

HANFORD FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER
INTERAGENCY MANAGEMENT INTEGRATION TEAM (IAMIT)
DECISION / DETERMINATION / ACTION ASSIGNMENT
Number: 2016-001

This form is intended to document the decisions and determinations made by the IAMIT within their authorities under the terms and conditions of the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order. This form is also intended to provide notification, to the affected persons, of the IAMITs decisions / determinations or actions assigned.

SUBJECT: (Note the change request number, disputed subject or milestone addressed)


Extension of TPA dispute on the disapproval of change control form M-45-15-01, interim milestone M-045-92 Installation of Barriers

DECISION / DETERMINATION / ACTION ITEM: (Note the assignee and due date)

The parties are currently in dispute at the IAMIT level with regard to TPA change control form M-45-15-01 regarding TPA milestone M-045-92 (installation of barriers). The parties are also in dispute at the Project Manager level on TPA change control form M-62-14-02 regarding TPA milestone M-062-45 (System Plan negotiations). The IAMIT has determined that, if possible, it is in the best interest of the parties to resolve the dispute on TPA change control form M-45-15-01 following the entry of a final order modifying the Consent Decree in *State of Washington v. U.S. Dept. of Energy*, No. 08-5085-RMP. To those ends, the parties agree per HFFACO Article VIII *Resolution of Disputes*, Paragraph 30, Section E, that the deadline for resolution of the M-45-15-01 dispute may be extended until the next regularly-scheduled IAMIT meeting following entry of said final order. Provided, however, the IAMIT further agrees that either party may withdraw their consent to extend the dispute upon written notice to the other party. Should either party withdraw their consent in accordance with this agreement, then the matter shall be placed on the agenda of the next regularly scheduled IAMIT meeting following the receipt of written notice of withdrawal by the other party. The IAMIT shall then have twenty-one (21) days to unanimously resolve the dispute in accordance with HFFACO Article VIII, *Resolution of Disputes*, Paragraph 30, Section D.

IS THIS DECISION / DETERMINATION / ACTION ITEM

FINAL INTERIM (Further action to be taken)



IAMIT MEMBER APPROVALS

Ellen Matting
DOE TOM FLETCHER

3/10/16
Date

Approved per attached email
EPA

3-10-2016
Date

[Signature]
Ecology

3/10/16
Date

Price, John (ECY)

From: Faulk, Dennis <Faulk.Dennis@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2016 7:26 PM
To: Price, John (ECY)
Subject: Re: M-45-92 IAMIT dispute

I concur with the extension. Please sign on my behalf if needed.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 8, 2016, at 6:54 PM, Price, John (ECY) <Jpri461@ECY.WA.GOV> wrote:

We 'll try to get it done sooner, but we may have to sign an IAMIT agreement this Friday,
The agreement would roll the dispute on M-45-92, interim barriers on SSTs, into the M-62-45 System
Plan negotiations.

Can we sign your agreement to that, if ORP & Ecology reach agreement?