


Meeting Minutes Transmittal

**T Plant Complex, Low Level Burial Grounds,
Central Waste Complex, Waste Receiving and Processing
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Richland, Washington**


May 28, 2015

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.



Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 6/25/2015



Project Manager Representative, RL

Date: 25 June 2015

Central Waste Complex Admin Record	H6-08
LLBG Administrative Record	H6-08
T Plant Complex Admin Record	H6-08
Waste Receiving and Processing Admin Record	H6-08
J. V. Borghese	H8-43
M. S. Collins	A6-38
B. J. Dixon	T4-09
P. E. Eberlein	H0-57
R. H. Engelmann	H8-45
O. A. Farabee	A6-38
R. D. Hildebrand	A5-11
S. K. Johansen	T1-41
R. L. Long Jr.	A6-38
S. S. Lowe	H0-57
P. W. Martin	H8-45
A. S. Mortensen	T4-04
L. C. Petersen	T4-06
E. R. Skinnarland	H0-57
D. G. Singleton	H0-57

T PLANT/LLBG/CWC/WRAP
Project Managers Meeting Minutes
825 Jadwin/Room 540S/700 Area
Richland, Washington

May 28, 2015

- I. The March 26, 2015, project managers meeting (PMM) minutes were approved by RL and Ecology representatives and submitted to the Administrative Record (AR). The April 23, 2015, PMM was canceled per RL and Ecology representative agreement.

- II. Operational Status

Linda Petersen (CHPRC) provided the operational status (see handout). Mike Collins (RL) noted that the activities reported at the Low Level Burial Grounds (LLBG) include all eight burial grounds and not just trenches 31/34 and 94. Ms. Petersen added that the waste shipments and leachate collection apply only to trenches 31/34, and the rest of the activities apply to all of the burial grounds. Mr. Collins stated that planning for sludge storage activities at T Plant are ongoing, and invited Ecology to attend some of the meetings.

Ms. Petersen noted that the RCRA-regulated container inventory in today's handout covers March 2015 to May 2015 since the April 2015 PMM was canceled. Ms. Petersen referred to the large containers in T Plant that increased from two to eight, and explained that the increase was due to adjusting the Solid Waste Information Tracking System (SWITS) data. Ms. Petersen stated that in the Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) report, the 221-T tanks have heels that are below the liquid level reading, and a one-inch heel was assumed. Ms. Petersen added that some of the tanks in SWITS were erroneously reported as zero, and that Nancy Ware (Ecology) had noted the discrepancy between the LDR report and SWITS, and the SWITS data was adjusted. Stephanie Johansen (CHPRC) pointed out that it is misleading to include the tanks in the table because they are not containers, and the definition of a container in RCRA is very specific. Deborah Singleton (Ecology) suggested putting a note at the bottom of the table to indicate the tanks. Ms. Petersen stated that the three medium containers at T Plant that have been carried forward for years are tanks, and that she would follow up on the two large containers listed at T Plant. Ms. Petersen stated that she would correct the table and specify the tanks in the handout for the next PMM.

Ms. Singleton inquired about the increase in small containers at WRAP. Ms. Petersen responded that the increase was due to some drums that were returned from Permafix Northwest, and they are being stored in 2404-WC because it is a temperature-controlled building. Ms. Johansen noted that the drums consist of containers that were repackaged at Permafix Northwest and returned to WRAP for storage. Ms. Petersen added that the drums were the Hanford Engineering Development Lab (HEDL) drums.

Ms. Singleton inquired about a permitting requirement associated with WRAP, stating that most of the waste has been removed from WRAP, and a lot of the areas that were identified as dangerous waste management areas don't contain any waste but are still being inspected since they are not closed. Ms. Singleton asked if 2404-WC has all of its current and up-to-date inspection records. Mr. Collins responded that inspections are done if waste is being stored. Ms. Singleton asked if inspections are done in areas where no waste is being stored. Mr. Collins responded that areas that have no waste would still be inspected to keep aware of the building conditions. Joel Williams, Jr. (CHPRC) stated that Ecology recently conducted an inspection, and CHPRC provided Ecology with the inspection records. Mr. Williams stated that an inspection is

done on the floors and everything else in the building, and the records are marked as no waste in the building. Mr. Williams added that the inspections will also identify roof leaks and anything on the floor, such as dripping on the floor from the roof, and records are kept on the findings.

Ms. Petersen noted that the waste package inventory in CWC outside storage areas had 185 containers when the Agreed Order (AO) went into effect, and there are now 173 containers. Ms. Singleton stated that the progress with the container removal was shared with Ecology, including the opportunity to view the process at Permafix Northwest of opening a container and repackaging the contents. Mr. Collins suggested that it would be of value for Ecology (or RL) to give a presentation on the progress with container removal to Maia Bellon, who is the Ecology director. Ms. Singleton stated that she would follow up with Mr. Collins' suggestion in an effort to recognize the progress being made.

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no previous agreements or commitments to discuss.

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no new agreements or commitments established.

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities

A. Agreed Order – Implementation

Ms. Johansen stated that there were no items to status for the Agreed Order (AO).

B. Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Rev. 9 Update

Ms. Singleton indicated that there was no change in status to report since the last PMM.

C. 8C Updates, Closure Plans, Part B Application

Ms. Johansen stated that development continues with the Part B permit applications. Mr. Collins stated that RL/CHPRC are struggling with updating the Part A's and the closure plans that were submitted to Ecology in 2013 because no comments have been received. Ms. Johansen noted that a meeting was held last week with Ecology to discuss the path forward for four closure plans, which will bring agreement on the strategy for five of the 14 closure plans. Mr. Collins stated that RL is aware of Dave Bartus' (EPA) concerns regarding the Part A's and the closure plans, but the issue is not knowing the specific concerns that EPA Region 10 has. Mr. Collins stated the opinion that the Part A's are more important because they set up the dangerous waste management units. Ms. Singleton responded that EPA and Ecology have the same three or four concerns with the closure plans that were submitted in 2013, and that she could share those concerns with RL/CHPRC. Ms. Singleton added that she would need to follow up on whether EPA provided their issues with the Part A's. Mr. Collins expressed appreciation if Ms. Singleton could share EPA's comments on the Part A's.

Ms. Singleton expressed appreciation to Ms. Johansen and her team on their work with Ecology's team on the FS-1 closure plan, which was originally submitted by RL/CHPRC in October 2013. Ms. Singleton noted that Ecology is working internally to resolve some issues that may or may not align with EPA, and the issues pertain to using or not using guidance documents as they relate to RCRA/CERCLA for the closure plan. Ms. Singleton noted that there have been previous actions and decisions made regarding CERCLA actions that have been taken and the guidance that was used, and Ecology is currently trying to determine if some of those same regulations may be applied to the closure of the RCRA treatment, storage, disposal (TSD) units. Ms. Singleton stated that it is Ecology's

responsibility to follow up on what has been submitted. Ms. Singleton noted that the FS-1 closure plan that was signed and submitted by RL/CHPRC will not be going out for public comment at the scheduled time. Ms. Johansen stated that it was scheduled to go out for public comment yesterday (5/27/15).

D. Conceptual Agreement Packages (CAPS)

Ms. Singleton stated that development of the CAPs is still in process, and acknowledged RL/CHPRC's dilemma about whether working with draft CAPs would meet the requirements of the AO or whether RL/CHPRC should wait until the CAPs are final. Mr. Collins responded that RL/CHPRC are following the AO and are not expecting or waiting for any CAPs to arrive during the review of the 8C updates. Ms. Johansen stated that the schedule for submitting an updated 8C to Ecology has moved from August 2015 to January 2016, due to the schedule for the WESF closure plan.

E. Sludge Storage at T Plant

Mr. Collins reported that the current plan is to follow Rod Lobos' (EPA) suggestion and pursue a CERCLA ROD amendment for the T Plant cells. Mr. Collins stated that the parties agreed that a Risk Based Disposal Analysis (RBDA) will not be done, and that the RCRA path didn't seem appropriate for the T Plant cells that aren't a containment building. Mr. Collins added that Cliff Clark (RL) and Roger Quintero (RL) were in agreement with the path forward. Mr. Collins noted that the decision could change, but that is the current path forward.

Brian Dixon (CHPRC) stated that a meeting is scheduled later today with Mr. Lobos and Ron Skinnarland (Ecology) and several other staff to discuss a follow-up from the last meeting that was held at the end of April 2015. Mr. Dixon concurred with Mr. Collins' report on the path forward, and that the proposal is to make clear in the ROD amendment that longer-term storage at T Plant will occur and that T Plant is onsite for purposes of the CERCLA action. Mr. Dixon stated that the path forward will provide Ecology control as far as how the sludge is managed safely and in accordance with the Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) for PCB remediation waste, and will also give Ecology, EPA and others the opportunity to comment on the approach. Mr. Dixon added that the approach will essentially take the sludge storage at T Plant out of RCRA space relative to permitting because it will be managed under CERCLA, and only the standing requirements for CERCLA will apply. Mr. Dixon noted that the approach will remove the pressure on Ecology about doing permit modifications, and yet will address Ecology's concerns about ensuring that the sludge is safely managed and maintain their controls

VI. Approved Changes signed off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

- A. Mike Collins asked if there has been discussion within Ecology about modifying the TPA to address the AO or Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO) issues. Ms. Singleton responded that she was not aware of any discussion.

VII. General Discussion

- A. There were no topics for general discussion.

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
CWC	RL will schedule a meeting with Ecology by the end of September 2014 to discuss disposition of tank D-10	New Action	6/26/14
		Mr. Collins sent Ecology information regarding tank D-10 in lieu of scheduling a meeting. Ecology will notify Mr. Collins if the information is satisfactory or if a meeting needs to be scheduled.	10/30/14
		RL and Ecology agreed to close this action since tank D-10 will be addressed in the pending PMP discussions regarding scheduling.	5/28/15
LLBG	RL to schedule a tour of trenches 31/34/94 for Ecology	New Action	1/22/15
		CHPRC will follow up to ensure that the tour is scheduled.	3/26/15
		A tour was held for Ecology in late April 2015. This action was closed.	5/28/15
CWC	Provide Ecology results of surveys done under Box 231ZDR-11	New Action	1/22/15
		CHPRC is in the process of gathering information and will follow up on the status.	3/26/15
		The survey results were provided to Ecology at this PMM; see attached. ACTION CLOSED.	5/28/15
CWC	Provide Ecology a process for identifying overpacked watch list drums in SWITS	New Action	3/26/15
		A copy of the SWITS process was provided to Ecology today. This action was modified for CHPRC to set up a meeting with Ecology to go through the procedure on SWITS.	5/28/15

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record.

- A. The meeting minutes from today's meeting were identified for submittal to the AR. Mr. Engelmann stated that the closure extension request letter that was sent to Ecology weeks ago regarding the dangerous waste management units should have been copied to the AR, and he will follow up to ensure it was submitted.


X. Next Project Managers Meeting

- A. The next PMM was scheduled for June 25, 2015.

CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT (Submitted for Approval)			RSR No. SW-1500122	Page 1 of 7																								
Date 1/21/2015	Start/Stop Time 0900 / 1500	Area/Location 200W / 10 ACRE / NA / CWC		RWP/Rev. SWP102/Rev . 6																								
Purpose of Survey: <input type="checkbox"/> Material Clearance Number: N/A Cleared to: N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Ram Shipment: N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Required Task: N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Job Coverage: 2X-12-03385 <input type="checkbox"/> Verification survey $\alpha = <D$ <D=No increase in audible count rate <table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; width: 10%;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none; width: 15%;">Inches/Sec.</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; width: 10%;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none; width: 15%;">Inches Away</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;">Count Time (Sec.)</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;">% Surveyed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;"># of Static Counts</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;">Square Feet</td> </tr> </table> <input type="checkbox"/> Verification survey $\beta y = <D$ <D=No Increase in audible count rate <table style="width:100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; width: 10%;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none; width: 15%;">Inches/Sec.</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; width: 10%;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none; width: 15%;">Inches Away</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;">Count Time (Sec.)</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;">% Surveyed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;"># of Static Counts</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black;">N/A</td> <td style="border: none;">Square Feet</td> </tr> </table> <input type="checkbox"/> Other: N/A			N/A	Inches/Sec.	N/A	Inches Away	N/A	Count Time (Sec.)	N/A	% Surveyed	N/A	# of Static Counts	N/A	Square Feet	N/A	Inches/Sec.	N/A	Inches Away	N/A	Count Time (Sec.)	N/A	% Surveyed	N/A	# of Static Counts	N/A	Square Feet	Description of Work / Comments: 231ZDR-11 Associated work HCA/CA Remediation of liquid from metal catch containments and disposal of catch containments and waste jugs. Comments: The area is set up in an RBA and HCA/CA with CA corridor. The work scope was to down post the HCA area as surveys are performed, remove the liquid from the catch pans into jugs, package the waste pans, place the waste jugs into drums and pans into the burial box. Work performed within the RBA. The operators relocated the burial box and removed the lid. The drums were also moved near the HCA. The area was posted as a CA and surveys were begun on the exisiting HCA. As surveys were performed the area was slowly downposted and entered into for work. The liquid was removed from catch pans that needed it. Conway pads, absorbant pigs were added to the pans and they were wrapped in reinforced bags. The areas under the pans were also surveyed(after removal). Spotty contaimeination was found throughout the area, but the highest concentrations were just north of the southern pans, especially around the two that were on the south side towards the west. There was smearable on the pans to the south and also on the jersey barrier in the center. After all items were removed. The area was sprayed with invisiblu. The jersey barrier near the center was also sprayed. The chain was reestablished around the original HCA area. The boundary was surveyed to ensure the CA was contained within the boundaries. The waste pans were placed into the burial box, and the waste jugs were placed into the drums. The box and drums were also surveyed. See survey SW-1500023 for material release. RBA work scope to complete job The area was reposted as RBA with the CA boundary around the original HCA area. The drums were removed from the area and placed into Low Flash Point Area (FS-15) for storage. The burial box was relocated to the southern boundary of the RBA.	
N/A	Inches/Sec.	N/A	Inches Away																									
N/A	Count Time (Sec.)	N/A	% Surveyed																									
N/A	# of Static Counts	N/A	Square Feet																									
N/A	Inches/Sec.	N/A	Inches Away																									
N/A	Count Time (Sec.)	N/A	% Surveyed																									
N/A	# of Static Counts	N/A	Square Feet																									


CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT (Submitted for Approval)					RSR No. SW-1500122			Page 2 of 7						
Dose Rate Measurements														
No.	Description	Note ¹ : F = Field (≥30cm) C = Contact(≤1 cm)												
		Dist. (cm) Note ¹	WO mR/hr	WC mR/hr	CF Non- Penetrating	CF Penetrating	Neutron Dose mrem/hr	Shallow Dose mrem/hr	Deep Dose mrem/hr					
D1	Field readings	F	<0.5	<0.5	2	1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5					
D2	waste jugs/drums (0074019, 0074020, 0074105, 0	C	<0.5	<0.5	2	1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5					
D3	waste jugs/drums (0074019, 0074020, 0074105, 0	F	<0.5	<0.5	2	1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5					
Contamination Measurements														
No.	Description	† Manually Calculated by RCT												
		Background cpm		Direct Gross cpm/100 cm ²		Total dpm/100 cm ²		Correction Factor		Type	Removable Gross (cpm)		dpm/100 cm ²	
		βγ	α	βγ	α	βγ	α	βγ	α		βγ	α	βγ	α
C1	highest direct reading on the ground	150	0	1500	5000	13500	50000	10	10	Smear	150	0	<1000	<20
C2	spotty contamination on the ground between 3000 and 6000 dpm	150	0	300	600	1500	6000	10	10	Smear	150	0	<1000	<20
C3	highest direct on the pans	150	0	N/A	4000	N/A	24000	10	6	Smear	150	200	<1000	1200
C4	boundary surveys on the perimeter of the existing area (original HCA posted area) after placement of the chains	150	0	150	0	<5000	<500	10	10	Smear	150	0	<1000	<20
C5	concrete ecoblock (center)	150	0	N/A	40	N/A	400	10	10	Smear	150	20	<1000	200
C6	jugs after filling	150	0	150	0	<5000	<500	10	10	Smear	150	0	<1000	<20
C7	Waste box/drums	150	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	10	Smear	150	0	<1000	<20
C8	periodic work area surveys on personnel	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	<500	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Map/Sketch




Map Name: Remediation		Map Description: start of work								
Legend	# Direct Measurement	▲ Air Sample	⊕ Smear	# LAW	◆ Neutron Dose Rate	T# Transferability	F# Field	C# Contact	D# Other Distance	O# Other Measurement
	----- (designation inside) ----- Radiological Area Boundary							Note: Dose Rates in mrem/hr unless otherwise noted.		

Map/Sketch




Map Name: Remediation		Map Description: work area contamination								
Legend	# Direct Measurement	▲ Air Sample	⊕ Smear	# LAW	◆ Neutron Dose Rate	T# Transferability	F# Field	C# Contact	D# Other Distance	○# Other Measurement
	----- (designation inside) ----- Radiological Area Boundary							Note: Dose Rates in mrem/hr unless otherwise noted.		

Map/Sketch



Map Name: End of job		Map Description: work area at job completion								
Legend	# Direct Measurement	▲ Air Sample	⊙ Smear	# LAW	◆ Neutron Dose Rate	T# Transferability	F# Field	C# Contact	D# Other Distance	⊖# Other Measurement
	----- (designation inside) ----- Radiological Area Boundary							Note: Dose Rates in mrem/hr unless otherwise noted.		

Map/Sketch



Map Name: work progress

Map Description: work

Legend

Direct Measurement

▲ Air Sample

⊕ Smear

|# LAW

◆ Neutron Dose Rate

T# Transferability

F# Field

C# Contact

D# Other Distance

⊖# Other Measurement

----- (designation inside) ----- Radiological Area Boundary

Note: Dose Rates in mrem/hr unless otherwise noted.

Date Submitted: 01/26/2015 09:21:00

A-6004-663-SS (Rev. 4)

CH2M HILL PLATEAU REMEDIATION COMPANY RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT (Submitted for Approval)		RSR No. SW-1500122	Page 7 of 7
Instruments			
Instrument Type	Bar Code No.	Probe Bar Code No.	Efficiency (Used)
PAM	ACBC1-0304	DTHN3-0914	0.16
2360	SCLL8-0441	DTLLP-0548	0.10
2360	ACBC1-0084	DTHNE-0008	0.16
RO20	ICEB4-1447	N/A	N/A
RADEYE	NMTC2-0029	N/A	N/A
Unless stated otherwise in the "Comments" section, contamination levels for C-14, Fe-55, Ni-59, Ni-63, Se-79, Tc-99, Pd-107, and Eu-155 are ≤ 10 times the b-g contamination levels shown above (see CHPRC-00073, Table 2-2).			
History			
2015-01-21 16:16:13 - Fish, Cindy - Submitted			
2015-01-26 09:14:06 - Fish, Cindy - UnSubmitted: make corrections			
2015-01-26 09:22:22 - Fish, Cindy - Submitted: fixed instrument, added doserates, changed soil cement to invisiblu			

Waste Disposition Performance

Facility	Status as of 5-26-2015
CWC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performing waste storage & inspection activities • Mining, segregating, and overpacking watch list drums is ongoing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Priority 1 drums have been overpacked • Continuing to receive waste from onsite and offsite generators • Continuing to ship large boxes from OSA to PFNW • Continuing floor repairs in 2400 series buildings • Continuing to track new roof repair needs in RCRA open item list • Continuing emergency light testing & repairs • Continue emergency drill performance in preparation for site exercise in June
LLBG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuing to perform inspection activities • Continuing receipt of waste shipments at Trench 31/34. • Continuing leachate collection & removal system operation at Trenches 31 & 34 • Continuing LLBG housekeeping activities* <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applied pre-emergent herbicide in all LLBGs • Removal of blow-in sand near Trenches 31 & 34
WRAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuing to track new roof repair needs in WRAP turnover checklist • Continuing min-safe surveillance and maintenance activities. • Continuing floor maintenance activities at 2404-WB when resources & weather permit. No mixed waste containers with free liquids will be stored in this building without replacement secondary containment until the floor is repaired.
T Plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities.
<p>* Housekeeping in LLBGs includes tumbleweed monitoring & removal, control of vegetation growth, contamination control activities</p>	

RCRA-Regulated Container Inventory

of Containers and Volume

as of 5-26-2015

Facility	Drum/Small Container ¹	Medium Container/Box ²	Large Container ³	Total Volume
CWC (Mar)	5502 (1329 m ³)	485 (833m ³)	383 (6640 m ³)	8,802 m ³
CWC (May)	5491 (1326 m ³)	504 (867m ³)	380 (6561 m ³)	8,754 m ³
T-Plant (Mar)	3 (0 m ³)	3 (4 m ³)	2 (44 m ³)	48 m ³
T-Plant (May)	2 (0 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	8 (303 m ³)	303 m ³
WRAP (Mar)	5 (1 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	1 m ³
WRAP (May)	26 (6 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	6 m ³

Footnotes regarding volumes:

1. 0.485 m³ (110 gallons; 17.1 ft³) or less
2. Greater than 0.485 m³ (110 gallons; 17.1 ft³) & less than 1.812 m³ (64ft³) (Standard Waste Box)
3. Greater than 1.812 m³

Waste Package Inventory in CWC Outside Storage Areas

CWC DWMU ^[1]	Number of Waste Packages in storage (1/24/2014)	Number of Waste Packages in storage (3/24/2015)	Number of Waste Packages in storage (5/26/2015)	Notes/Comments in Inventory Change from Previous Month
Outside Storage Area A	173	164	161	3 waste containers were shipped to PFNW (on 3/26/2015, 4/16/2015, & 5/14/2015)
Outside Storage Area B	11	11	11	
Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area	1	1	1	
East Outside Storage Area	0	0	0	
Shipping and Receiving Area	0	0	0	
Total =	185	176	173	

[1] DWMUs are based on those listed in the Agreed Order, exhibit B.

T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Hanford, Washington

May 28, 2015

ATTENDEE LIST

Name	Organization	Phone Number
1. Rick Engemann	CHPRC	376-7485
2. Kathy Knox	Knox Court Reporting	946-5535
3. Al Farabee	DOE	376-8089
4. Michael Turner	MSA - TPA	376-2872
5. Ben W. Vanech	DOE	376 9603
6. Stuart Luttrell	ECY	372-7911
7. Brett M Barnes	CHPRC - EP	376-3640
8. PAUL W. MARTIN	CHPRC - BA	376-6620
9. Michael Collins	DOE - RH	376 6536
10. Deborah Singleton	Ecology	372-7923
11. Elis Eberlein	Ecology	372-7906
12. LINDA Petersen	CHPRC - EP	373-4200
13. Brian Dixon	" - DWF+RS	per conf call
14. Stephanie Johnson	CHPRC	373-1031
15. John Temple	Ecology	372-7940
16. Jane V. Bosley	CHPRC - TPA	373-3804
17. Joel F. Williams Jr	CHPRC - EP	376-4782
18. Brian Dixon	CHPRC - DWF+RS	376-7055
19.		
20.		
21.		
22.		
23.		
24.		
25.		

DUP

T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC PROJECT MEETING
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Hanford, Washington
May 28, 2015
10:00 A.M. TO 11:00 A.M.

Agenda

- I. The March 26, 2015, PMM Minutes were approved by RL/Ecology representatives and are in the Administrative Record. The April 23, 2015, PMM was canceled per RL and Ecology representative agreement.
- II. Operational Status
- III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments
- IV. New Agreements and Commitments
- V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities
 - A. Agreed Order - Implementation
 - B. HF RCRA Permit Rev. 9 Update
 - C. 8C updates, closure plans, Part B application
 - D. Conceptual Agreement Packages
 - E. Sludge Storage at T Plant
- VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2
- VII. General Discussion

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
CWC	RL will schedule a meeting with Ecology by the end of September 2014 to discuss disposition of tank D-10	New Action	6/26/14
		Mr. Collins sent Ecology information regarding tank D-10 in lieu of scheduling a meeting. Ecology will notify Mr. Collins if the information is satisfactory or if a meeting needs to be scheduled.	10/30/14
		Ecology still evaluating the information	1/22/15
		Ecology will follow up on the information and send an email to RL	3/26/15
LLBG	RL to schedule a tour of trenches 31/34/94 for Ecology	New Action	1/22/15
		CHPRC will follow up to ensure that the tour is scheduled (<i>Completed 4/22/15</i>)	3/26/15
CWC	Provide Ecology results of surveys done under Box 231ZDR-11	New Action	1/22/15
		CHPRC is in the process of gathering information and will follow up on the status	3/26/15
CWC	Provide Ecology a process for identifying overpacked watch list drums in SWITS	New Action	3/26/15

- IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record
- X. Next Project Managers Meeting

