

SAF-NFM-018
300 D&D Near Field Monitoring –
Air Filter Analysis
FINAL DATA PACKAGE

COMPLETE COPY OF DATA PACKAGE TO:

No Distribution Required

KW 5/6/15
INITIAL/DATE

COMMENTS:

SDG NFM0085

SAF NFM-018

Rad only

Chem only

Rad & Chem

Complete

Partial

Sample Location: Near Field Monitoring for 300 Area

Analytical Data Package Prepared For
Washington Closure Hanford

Radiochemical Analysis By

TestAmerica Inc

2800 G.W. Way, Richland Wa, 99354, (509)-375-3131.

Assigned Laboratory Code: TARL

Data Package Contains 18 Pages

Report No.: 65532

Results in this report relate only to the sample(s) analyzed.

SDG No.	Order No.	Client Sample ID (List Order)	Lot-Sa No.	Work Order	Report DB ID	Batch No.
NFM0085	NFM-018	S957184	J5D210411-1	M6MQQ1AA	9M6MQQ10	5112039

Certificate of Analysis

Washington Hanford Closure
2620 Fermi Avenue
Richland, WA 99354

April 29, 2015

Attention: Joan Kessner

SAF Number	:	NFM-018
Date SDG Closed	:	April 20, 2015
Number of Samples	:	One (1)
Sample Type	:	Filter
SDG Number	:	NFM0085
Data Deliverable	:	21-Day / Summary

CASE NARRATIVE

I. Introduction

On April 20, 2015, one filter sample was received at TestAmerica for radiochemical analysis. Upon receipt, the sample was assigned the following laboratory ID number to correspond with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) specific ID:

<u>WCH ID#</u>	<u>TARL ID#</u>	<u>MATRIX</u>	<u>DATE OF RECEIPT</u>
S957184	M6MQQ	FILTER	4/20/15

II. Sample Receipt

The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in.

III. Analytical Results/Methodology

The analytical results for this report are presented by laboratory sample ID. Each set of data includes sample identification information, analytical results and the appropriate associated statistical errors.

The requested analyses were:

Gas Proportional Counting
Gross Alpha by method RL-GPC-008
Gross Beta by method RL-GPC-008

IV. Quality Control

Washington Closure Hanford
April 29, 2015

The analytical results for each analysis performed includes a minimum of one laboratory control sample (LCS), one method (reagent) blank, and one duplicate sample analysis. Any exceptions have been noted in the "Comments" section.

QC and sample results are reported in the same units.

V. Comments


Gas Proportional Counting

Simultaneous Gross Alpha and Gross Beta by method RL-GPC-008:

The LCS, batch blank and sample results are within contractual requirements.

I certify that this Certificate of Analysis is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager, or a designee as verified by the following signature.

Reviewed and approved:


Rhonda Wagar
Project Manager

Drinking Water Method Cross References

DRINKING WATER ASTM METHOD CROSS REFERENCES		
Referenced Method	Isotope(s)	TestAmerica Richland's SOP No.
EPA 901.1	Cs-134, I-131	RL-GAM-001
EPA 900.0	Alpha & Beta	RL-GPC-001
EPA 00-02	Gross Alpha (Coprecipitation)	RL-GPC-002
EPA 903.0	Total Alpha Radium (Ra-226)	RL-RA-002
EPA 903.1	Ra-226	RL-RA-001
EPA 904.0	Ra-228	RL-RA-001
EPA 905.0	Sr-89/90	RL-GPC-003
ASTM D5174	Uranium	RL-KPA-003
EPA 906.0	Tritium	RL-LSC-005

Results in this report relate only to the sample(s) analyzed.

Uncertainty Estimation

TestAmerica Richland has adopted the internationally accepted approach to estimating uncertainties described in "NIST Technical Note 1297, 1994 Edition". The approach, "Law of Propagation of Errors", involves the identification of all variables in an analytical method which are used to derive a result. These variables are related to the analytical result (R) by some functional relationship, $R = \text{constants} * f(x,y,z,\dots)$. The components (x,y,z) are evaluated to determine their contribution to the overall method uncertainty. The individual component uncertainties (u_i) are then combined using a statistical model that provides the most probable overall uncertainty value. All component uncertainties are categorized as type A, evaluated by statistical methods, or type B, evaluated by other means. Uncertainties not included in the components, such as sample homogeneity, are combined with the component uncertainty as the square root of the sum-of-the-squares of the individual uncertainties. The uncertainty associated with the derived result is the combined uncertainty (u_c) multiplied by the coverage factor (1,2, or 3).

When three or more sample replicates are used to derive the analytical result, the type A uncertainty is the standard deviation of the mean value (S/\sqrt{n}), where S is the standard deviation of the derived results. The type B uncertainties are all other random or non-random components that are not included in the standard deviation.

The derivation of the general "Law of Propagation of Errors" equations and specific example are available on request.

Report Definitions

Action Lev	An agreed upon activity level used to trigger some action when the final result is greater than or equal to the Action Level. Often the Action Level is related to the Decision Limit.
Batch	The QC preparation batch number that relates laboratory samples to QC samples that were prepared and analyzed together.
Bias	Defined by the equation (Result/Expected)-1 as defined by ANSI N13.30.
COC No	Chain of Custody Number assigned by the Client or TestAmerica.
Count Error (#s)	Poisson counting statistics of the gross sample count and background. The uncertainty is absolute and in the same units as the result. For Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) the batch blank count is the background.
CSU (#s) <i>u_c Combined Standard Uncert.</i>	All known uncertainties associated with the preparation and analysis of the sample are propagated to give a measure of the uncertainty associated with the result, <i>u_c the combined standard uncertainty</i> . The uncertainty is absolute and in the same units as the result.
(#s), Coverage Factor	The coverage factor defines the width of the confidence interval, 1, 2 or 3 standard deviations.
CRDL (RL)	Contractual Required Detection Limit as defined in the Client's Statement Of Work or TestAmerica "default" nominal detection limit. Often referred to the reporting level (RL)
Lc	Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume associated with the sample. The Type I error probability is approximately 5%. $Lc = (1.645 * \sqrt{2 * (BkgrndCnt / BkgrndCntMin) / SCntMin}) * (ConvFct / (Eff * Yld * Abn * Vol) * IngrFct)$. For LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability. Lc cannot be calculated when the background count is zero.
Lot-Sample No	The number assigned by the LIMS software to track samples received on the same day for a given client. The sample number is a sequential number assigned to each sample in the Lot.
MDC MDA	Detection Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume with a Type I and II error probability of approximately 5%. $MDC = (4.65 * \sqrt{((BkgrndCnt / BkgrndCntMin) / SCntMin) + 2.71 / SCntMin}) * (ConvFct / (Eff * Yld * Abn * Vol) * IngrFct)$. For LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability.
Primary Detector	The instrument identifier associated with the analysis of the sample aliquot.
Ratio U-234/U-238	The U-234 result divided by the U-238 result. The U-234/U-238 ratio for natural uranium in NIST SRM 4321C is 1.038.
Rst/MDC	Ratio of the Result to the MDC. A value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at a high level of confidence. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result.
Rst/TotUcert	Ratio of the Result to the Total Uncertainty. If the uncertainty has a coverage factor of 2 a value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at approximately the 95% level of confidence assuming a two-sided confidence interval. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result.
Report DB No	Sample Identifier used by the report system. The number is based upon the first five digits of the Work Order Number.
RER	The equation Replicate Error Ratio = $(S-D) / [\sqrt{TPUs^2 + TPUd^2}]$ as defined by ICPT BOA where S is the original sample result, D is the result of the duplicate, TPUs is the total uncertainty of the original sample and TPUd is the total uncertainty of the duplicate sample.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group Number assigned by the Client or assigned by TestAmerica upon sample receipt.
Sum Rpt Alpha Spec Rst(s)	The sum of the reported alpha spec results for tests derived from the same sample excluding duplicate result where the results are in the same units.
Work Order	The LIMS software assign test specific identifier.
Yield	The recovery of the tracer added to the sample such as Pu-242 used to trace a Pu-239/40 method.

Sample Results Summary

Date: 29-Apr-15

TestAmerica Inc TARI

Ordered by Method, Batch No., Client Sample ID.

Report No. : 65532

SDG No: NFM0085

Batch	Client Id Work Order	Parameter	Result +- CSU (2 s)	Qual	Units	Tracer Yield	MDL	CRDL	RPD
5112039	ALPHA_GPC								
	S957184								
	M6MQQ1AA	Alpha	5.25E-01 +- 1.9E-01		pCi/sample	100%	8.96E-02	1.10E-01	
		Beta	1.01E+01 +- 1.6E+00		pCi/sample	100%	2.43E-01	1.10E-01	
	No. of Results:	2							

TestAmerica Inc RPD - Relative Percent Difference.

rptTALRchSaSum
mary2 V5.3.6.8
A2002

QC Results Summary

Date: 29-Apr-15

TestAmerica Inc TARL

Ordered by Method, Batch No, QC Type,.

Report No. : 65532

SDG No.: NFM0085

Batch	Work Order	Parameter	Result +- CSU (2 s)	Qual	Units	Tracer Yield	LCS Recovery	Bias	MDL
ALPHA_GPC									
5112039	BLANK QC,								
	M6M5L2AA	Alpha	5.73E-03 +- 5.3E-02	U	pCi/sample	100%			7.86E-02
		Beta	2.82E-02 +- 1.5E-01	U	pCi/sample	100%			2.43E-01
5112039	LCS,								
	M6M5L1AC	Alpha	1.78E+01 +- 3.5E+00		pCi/sample	100%	99%	0.0	8.91E-02
		Beta	6.59E+00 +- 1.1E+00		pCi/sample	100%	83%	-0.2	2.59E-01
No. of Results:		4							

TestAmerica Inc Bias - (Result/Expected)-1 as defined by ANSI N13.30.
 rptSTLRchQcSummary V5.3.6.8 U Qual - Analyzed for but not detected above limiting criteria, Mdc/Mda/Mdl, Total Uncert, RDL or not identified by gamma scan software.
 A2002

FORM I

Date: 29-Apr-15

SAMPLE RESULTS

Lab Name: TestAmerica Inc **SDG:** NFM0085 **Collection Date:** 4/14/2015 1:30:00 PM
Lot-Sample No.: J5D210411-1 **Report No.:** 65532 **Received Date:** 4/20/2015 2:40:00 PM
Client Sample ID: S957184 **COC No.:** 20150415WCH **Matrix:** OTHER OTHERSOLID
 Ordered by Client Sample ID, Batch No.

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error (2 s)	CSU (2 s)	MDL, Action Lev	Rpt Unit, Lc	Yield CRDL(RL)	Rst/MDL, Rst/TotUcert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 5112039	ALPHA_GPC				M6MQQ1AA							
Alpha	5.25E-01		1.7E-01	1.9E-01	8.96E-02	pCi/sample	100%	(5.9)	4/23/15 07:42 p	1.0	1.0	GPC29D
Beta	1.01E+01		3.5E-01	1.6E+00	2.43E-01	pCi/sample	100%	(5.4)	4/23/15 07:42 p	Sample	Sample	GPC29D
						1.18E-01	1.10E-01	(41.4)		Sample	Sample	
								(12.9)				

No. of Results: 2 Comments:

TestAmerica Inc MDC|MDA,Lc - Detection, Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the sample Efficiency, Yield, and Volume.
 rptSTLRchSample U Qual - Analyzed for but not detected above limiting criteria, Mdc/Mda/Mdl, Total Uncert, RDL or not identified by gamma scan software.
 V5.3.6.8 A2002

FORM II

Date: 29-Apr-15

BLANK RESULTS

Lab Name: TestAmerica Inc
Matrix: OTHER

SDG: NFM0085
Report No.: 65532

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error (2 s)	CSU (2 s)	MDL, Lc	Rpt Unit, CRDL	Yield	Rst/MDL, Rst/TotalCert	Analysis, Prep Date	Total Sa Size	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 5112039 ALPHA_GPC												
Work Order: M6M5L2AA Report DB ID: M6M5L2AB												
Alpha	5.73E-03	U	5.3E-02	5.3E-02	7.86E-02	pCi/sample	100%	0.07	4/27/15 05:17 p	1.0	1.0	GPC29B
Beta	2.82E-02	U	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	3.52E-02	4.50E-01	100%	0.22	4/27/15 05:17 p	Sample	Sample	GPC29B
					2.43E-01	pCi/sample	100%	0.12		Sample	Sample	
					1.18E-01	4.50E-01		0.39				

No. of Results: 2 Comments:

TestAmerica Inc MDC\MDA,Lc - Detection, Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the sample Efficiency, Yield, and Volume.
 rpt\STLRch\Blank U Qual - Analyzed for but not detected above limiting criteria, Mdc\MDa\Mdl, Total Uncert, RDL or not identified by gamma scan software.
 V5.3.6.8 A2002

Date: 29-Apr-15

FORM II

LCS RESULTS

Lab Name: TestAmerica Inc SDG: NFM0085 Report No.: 65532
Matrix: OTHER

Parameter	Result	Qual	Count Error (2 s)	CSU (2 s)	MDL	Report Unit	Yield	Expected	Expected Uncert	Recovery, Bias	Analysis, Prep Date	Aliquot Size	Primary Detector
Batch: 5112039 ALPHA_GPC Work Order: M6M5L1AC Report DB ID: M6M5L1CS													
Alpha	1.78E+01		4.8E-01	3.5E+00	8.91E-02	pCi/sample	100%	1.80E+01	6.1E-01	99%	4/24/15 10:28 a	1.0	GPC29B
Beta	6.59E+00		3.4E-01	1.1E+00	2.59E-01	pCi/sample	100%	7.92E+00	1.6E-01	83%	4/24/15 10:28 a	1.0	GPC29B
Rec Limits:											Sample		
Rec Limits:											Sample		

No. of Results: 2 Comments:

Lot No., Due Date: J5D210411; 05/11/2015
Client, Site: 127642; S00W235B00 HANFORD
QC Batch No., Method Test: 5112039; RAB-P/SR Alpha,Beta by GPC
SDG, Matrix: NFM0085; OTHER

1.0 COC		
1.1	Is the ICOC page complete; includes all applicable analysis, dates, SOP numbers, and revisions?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
2.0 QC Batch		
2.1	Do the Summary/Detailed Reports include a calculated result for each sample listed on the QC Batch Sheet?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
2.2	Are the QC appropriate for the analysis included in the batch?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
2.3	Is the Analytical Batch Worksheet complete; includes as appropriate, volumes, count times, etc?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
2.4	Does the Worksheets include a Tracer Vial label for each sample?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.0 QC & Samples		
3.1	Is the blank results, yield, and MDA within contract limits?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
3.2	Is the LCS result, yield, and MDA within contract limits?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
3.3	Are the MS/MSD results, yields, and MDA within contract limits?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.4	Are the duplicate result, yields, and MDAs within contract limits?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.5	Are the sample yields and MDAs within contract limits?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
4.0 Raw Data		
4.1	Were results calculated in the correct units?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
4.2	Were analysis volumes entered correctly?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
4.3	Were Yields entered correctly?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.4	Were spectra reviewed/meet contractual requirements?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.5	Were raw counts reviewed for anomalies?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
5.0 Other		
5.1	Are all nonconformances included and noted?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.2	Are all required forms filled out?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
5.3	Was the correct methodology used?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
5.4	Was transcription checked?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
5.5	Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.6	Are worksheet entries complete and correct?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/>
6.0	Comments on any No response:	


Thomas SM
First Level *SM* **Date** 4/28/15

Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY Second Level Review

Batch Number: 5112039

Review Item	Yes (✓)	No (✓)	NA (✓)
A. Sample Analysis			✓
1. Are the sample yields within acceptance criteria?			✓
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
3. Are the correct isotopes reported?	✓		
B. QC Samples			
1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria?	✓		
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit?			✓
5. Is the LCS recovery within contract acceptance criteria?	✓		
6. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
7. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			✓
8. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			✓
C. Other			✓
1. Are all Nonconformances (NCM) included and noted?			✓
2. Was the correct methodology used?	✓		
3. Were units checked?	✓		

Comments on any "No" response: _____

Second Level Review:  Date: 4/28/15

CUSTODY CHANGE REASON: ES to GEL/TestAmerica

Custody Changed By: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Garza, Phil

SAF #:

Sample Number	Location Code	Off Date/Time	Sample Collected by
S957178	N482	04 / 15 / 2015 08:23	Garza, Phil
S957181	N517	04 / 15 / 2015 08:31	Garza, Phil
S957182	N518	04 / 15 / 2015 08:12	Garza, Phil
S957184 <i>ml6MQQ</i>	N557	04 / 14 / 2015 13:30	Garza, Phil
S957212	N548	04 / 14 / 2015 10:33	Garza, Phil
S957213	N549	04 / 14 / 2015 10:52	Garza, Phil
S957214	N579	04 / 14 / 2015 10:45	Garza, Phil
S957215	N580	04 / 14 / 2015 10:38	Garza, Phil

NFM-005

NFM-018

NFM-020

J5D210411
SDG# NFM0085
Due 5/11/15



Total Custody Changes: 8

Delivered to: 222 S PFP WSCF Other

Delivered by: W/D _____ Date/Time: _____

Received by: N/A _____ Date/Time: _____

Sample Check-in List

Date/Time Received: 4/20/15 1440 Container GM Screen Result: (Airlock) 20 cpm Initials [J]
Sample GM Screen Result (Sample Receiving) 20 cpm Initials [J]

Client: WCH SDG #: NFM0085 SAF #: NFM-018 NA []

Lot Number: J50210411

Chain of Custody # 20150415WCH

Shipping Container ID or Air Bill Number : _____ NA [J]

Samples received inside shipping container/cooler/box Yes [J] Continue with 1 through 4. Initial appropriate response.
No [] Go to 5, add comment to #16.

- 1. Custody Seals on shipping container intact? Yes [] No [] No Custody Seal [J]
- 2. Custody Seals dated and signed? Yes [] No [] No Custody Seal [J]
- 3. Cooler temperature: _____ °C NA [J]
- 4. Vermiculite/packing materials is NA [J] Wet [] Dry []

Item 5 through 16 for samples. Initial appropriate response.

- 5. Chain of Custody record present? Yes [J] No []
- 6. Number of samples received (Each sample may contain multiple bottles): 1
- 7. Containers received: 1x Filter

- 8. Sample holding times exceeded? NA [] Yes [] No [J]
- 9. Samples have: _____ tape _____ hazard labels _____ custody seals J appropriate sample labels
- 10. Matrix: W A (FLT, Wipe, Solid, Soil) _____ I (Water) J S (Air, Niosh 7400) _____ T (Biological, Ni-63)

11. Samples:
J are in good condition _____ are leaking _____ are broken
J have air bubbles (Only for samples requiring no head space) _____ Other _____

- 12. Sample pH appropriate for analysis requested Yes [] No [] NA [J]
(If acidification is necessary go to pH area & document sample ID, initial pH, amount of HNO₃ added and pH after addition on table)
- 13. Were any anomalies identified in sample receipt? Yes [] No [J]
- 14. Description of anomalies (include sample numbers): NA [J] _____

15. Sample Location, Sample Collector Listed on COC? * Yes [] No [J]
*For documentation only. No corrective action needed.

16. Additional Information: NIA

[] Client/Courier denied temperature check. [] Client/Courier unpack cooler.

Sample Check-in List completed by Sample Custodian:
Signature: _____ Date: 4/20/15

Client Notification needed? Yes [] No [X] Date: _____
By: _____
Person contacted: _____


[X] No action necessary; process as is
Project Manager [Signature] Date 4/20/15

4/23/2015 8:15:20 AM **Sample Preparation/Analysis** Balance Id:;
 127642, Washington Closure Hanford LLC Pipet #:
 Washington Closure Hanford LLC BE Gross Alpha/Beta Prp GPC008
 S9 Gross Alpha and Beta by GPC using Pu-239,SrY90
 01 STANDARD TEST SET Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:
AnalytDueDate: 05/11/2015 Sep2 DT/Tm Tech:
Batch: 5112039 OTHER pCi/sampl PM, Quote: RW2, 91174
 SEQ Batch, Test: None

Work Ord. Lot, Sample Date	Total Amt/Unit	Total Acidified/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	Adj Aliq Amt (Un-Acidified)	QC Tracer Prep Date	Tracer Yield	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Defector Id	Count On Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	Comments:
1 M6MQQ-1-AA J5D210411-1-SAMP 04/14/2015 13:30 AmtRec: 1XFILTER #Containers: 1 Alpha: 29D 2300 Beta: 4/23/15 ad													
2 M6M5L-1-AA-B J5D220000-39-BLK 04/23/2015 08:14 pd AmtRec: 1 #Containers: 1 Alpha: 29A 1347 Beta: 4/23/15 ad													
3 M6M5L-1-AC-C J5D220000-39-LCS 04/23/2015 08:14 pd AmtRec: 1 #Containers: 1 Alpha: 29B Beta: I													

Sample Preparation/Analysis

4/23/2015 8:15:20 AM Balance Id:;
 BE Gross Alpha/Beta Prp GPC008 Pipet #:
 S9 Gross Alpha and Beta by GPC using Pu-239,Sr/Y90
 01 STANDARD TEST SET Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:
 Batch: 5112039 pCi/sampl
 SEQ Batch, Test: None Sep2 DT/Tm Tech:
Prep Tech:



Work Ord. Lot, Sample Date	Total Amt/Unit	Total Acidified/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	Adj Aliq Amt (Un-Acidified)	QC Tracer Prep Date	Tracer Yield	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	Comments:
----------------------------	----------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------	--------------	-----------	-----------------	----------------	-------------	------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------

All Clients for Batch: Washington Closure Hanford LLC, RW2, 91174
 12/7642, Washington Closure Hanford LLC

M6M001AA-SAMP Constituent List:
 ALPHA RDL:1.10E-01 pCi/sam LCL: UCL: RPD: BETA RDL:1.10E-01 pCi/sam LCL: UCL: RPD:
 M6M5L1AA-BLK Constituent List:
 ALPHA RDL:1.10E-01 pCi/sam LCL: UCL: RPD: BETA RDL:1.10E-01 pCi/sam LCL: UCL: RPD:
 M6M5L1AC-IGS:

M6M001AA-SAMP Calc Info:
 Uncert Level (#s): 2 Decay to SaDt: Y Blk Subt.: N Sci.Not.: Y ODRs: B
 M6M5L1AA-BLK Calc Info:
 Uncert Level (#s): 2 Decay to SaDt: Y Blk Subt.: N Sci.Not.: Y ODRs: B
 M6M5L1AC-IGS:
 Uncert Level (#s): 2 Decay to SaDt: Y Blk Subt.: N Sci.Not.: Y ODRs: B

Comments:

4/28/2015 8:53:46 AM

ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report

ByDate: 4/28/2014, 5/3/2015, Batch: '5112039', User: *ALL Order By DateTimeAccepting

Q Batch	Work Ord	CurStatus	Accepting	SOPs,Reagents,Comments
5112039				
AC		Rev1C	BullJ 4/23/2015 8:45:53	
SC			BullJ InPrep 4/23/2015 8:45:53 AM	RL-GPC-008 REVISION 6
SC			BullJ InCnt1 4/23/2015 8:46:17 AM	RL-CI-006 REVISION 5
SC			DawkinsO CalcC 4/28/2015 12:33:58 AM	RL-CI-006 REVISION 5
SC			McginnisT Rev1C 4/28/2015 8:53:39 AM	RL-DR-001 Rev 7
AC			BullJ 4/23/2015 8:46:17	
AC			DawkinsO 4/28/2015 12:33:58	
AC			McginnisT 4/28/2015 8:53:39	

AC: Accepting Entry; SC: Status Change

TestAmerica Richland
Richland Wa.