

Meeting Minutes Transmittal

**T Plant Complex, Low Level Burial Grounds,
Central Waste Complex, Waste Receiving and Processing
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin / Room 554
Richland, Washington**

December 6, 2012

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.



Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 04 March 2013



Project Manager Representative, RL

Date: 26 Feb 13

Central Waste Complex Admin Record	H6-08
LLBG Administrative Record	H6-08
T Plant Complex Admin Record	H6-08
Waste Receiving and Processing Admin Record	H6-08
MS Collins	A6-38
BJ Dixon	T4-09
LC Fearon	H0-57
RH Engelmann	H8-45
SK Johansen	T1-41
PW Martin	H8-45
DG Singleton	H0-57
LC Tuott	T4-06

T PLANT/LLBG/CWC/WRAP
PROJECT MANAGERS MEETING MINUTES
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554/700 Area
Richland, Washington

December 6, 2012

- I. The November Project Managers Meeting was canceled due to the Thanksgiving holiday. Meeting minutes for the October 25, 2012 PMM are in preparation. A copy of the draft October minutes were provided during today's meeting.
- II. Operational Status
- A. Lee Tuott (CHPRC) provided the operational status. Deborah Singleton (Ecology) asked if the plan is to pick up Box 231ZDR-11 (in CWC) and place it into the IP-1 bag. Mike Collins (RL) responded that the plan is to place the box into an IP-1 bag and box, and the box will be ready for transport. Mr. Collins added that there are no plans to place any other box in the same configuration. Allan Cawrse (CHPRC) stated that RL/CHPRC are proceeding with the plan to place the box in an IP-1 bag, closing the bag and placing the whole assembly in an IP-1 container box. Mr. Cawrse noted that around June or July 2012, an integrity report was submitted to Ecology stating that the box, as it currently sits, is capable of being lifted and moved. Ms. Singleton acknowledged receipt of the report. Mr. Cawrse stated that RL/CHPRC have not received a response from Ecology regarding its proposal to place the box in an IP-1 bag and box. Mr. Cawrse referred to Ecology's letter requiring immediate action on the box, and noted that there is no reference in the agreed order about the IP-1 container design. Ms. Singleton referred to the first line in the agreed order that talks about an "either/or" action for the box, and stated that placing the box in an IP-1 configuration would constitute the "or" action. Ms. Singleton noted that she was not present during the meeting when the agreed order was drafted, and she provided comments with the intent to clarify the language in the agreed order.
- Ms. Singleton stated that her office received an email that contained a National Enforcement Investigations Center (NEIC) document identifying four different storage areas for the Low-Level Burial Grounds. Ms. Singleton asked if RL/CHPRC were aware of what areas the NEIC was referring to, since the document just listed the title of the area and did not provide any definition or define the area. Mr. Collins stated that there are the four dangerous waste management units identified as trenches 31 and 34, and the two asphalt pads. Ms. Singleton responded that those four areas were not the areas in the document, and agreed to forward the email to Mr. Collins.
- Mr. Tuott noted that as the floor maintenance activities are done in WRAP, there will be one standard waste box remaining in 2404-WB, which is being filled with the various decontamination activities associated with the spill. Ms. Singleton inquired about the schedule at T Plant regarding the K Basin activities. Mr. Collins responded that the most recent information is that activities will start ramping up in late 2014 or early 2015 to prepare the canyon and cells for receipt of the NLOP sludge equipment. Ms. Singleton asked if the small amount of RCRA-regulated waste (dangerous waste) at T Plant will eventually be removed. Mr. Tuott responded that there will be waste remaining at T Plant.
- III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments
- A. There were no previous agreements or commitments to status.

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no new agreements and commitments to status.

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities

A. There was no discussion.

VI. Approved Changes Signed off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

A. There were no approved changes signed.

VII. General Discussion

A. Ms. Singleton initiated a discussion regarding the status of the Hanford RCRA Permit Revision 9. Ms. Singleton expressed appreciation to RL/CHPRC for the time, energy and effort in reviewing and providing responses to Rev. 9. Ms. Singleton stated that Ecology is considering reproping Rev. 9, and to allow for all the necessary preparation and review times, the current schedule for a final permit to be issued would be November 2015. Ms. Singleton stated that if the decision is made to repropose Rev. 9, a response to all of the comments would still need to be completed and a draft permit would have to be produced. There was a brief discussion regarding Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. Ms. Singleton stated that information associated with Rev. 9 that is submitted to Ecology can be requested through FOIA, and any request should be clear and succinct.

Doug Hildebrand (RL) noted that most of the information in Rev. 9 associated with LLBG groundwater monitoring units was a cut and paste from Rev. 8C, and some of the information described activities that are no longer being done. Mr. Hildebrand asked, if Rev. 9 is reproped, whether an effort would be made to insert the appropriate information for groundwater monitoring. Ms. Singleton responded that the team's review has not gotten that far, and currently there is only one individual reviewing the groundwater portions of the permit.

Ms. Singleton stated that legal has not made a decision regarding the communication process between RL/CHPRC and Ecology on Rev. 9. Ms. Singleton noted that if Rev. 9 is reproped, a decision would have to be made about the process for Ecology getting information from RL/CHPRC.

Joel Williams (CHPRC) asked if Ms. Singleton has plans to use any more people to conduct walk-downs of the SWOC facilities. Ms. Singleton responded that she is planning for her team to conduct site visits and walk-downs for the purpose of identifying and documenting, after communication with RL/CHPRC, if there are issues. Mr. Williams suggested advance notice of a site visit since there are limited crews available. Ms. Singleton stated that a month's notice would be given for upcoming site visits.

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
LLBG	RL will discuss with Ecology the preparation of appropriate TPA change notices to modify Appendices B&C.	Action established.	2/23/12
		This action was expanded to include discussion of the un-used areas.	4/26/12
		Appendix B&C changes are on hold.	6/28/12
		There was no change in status.	07/26/12

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
		Discussion needed with Deborah Singleton (Ecology) to determine if Appendices B&C were updated in September 2012.	10/25/12
		Lorna Dittmer (CHPRC) will check the tentative agreement for language that can be used to close the action.	12/6/12
T Plant	RL to submit proposal to Ecology for interim status monitoring compliance for 221-T tank system.	Action established.	4/26/12
		RL submitted a proposal to Ecology. Ecology is reviewing the proposal.	6/28/12
		No resolution to proposal. A status will be provided at the next PMM.	07/26/12
		No new status was provided.	10/25/12
		RL continues to review internally. No new status.	12/6/12

- IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record.
 - A. There were no documents identified for submittal to the AR.

- X. Next Project Managers Meeting
 - A. The next PMM is scheduled for January 24, 2013.



PROJECT MANAGERS MEETING OPERATIONS REPORT FOR SWOC

December 2012

Waste Retrieval Performance

CWC/LLBG Activities

Activity Description	Status
CWC	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Performing waste storage activities.•Coordinating with DOE on containerizing Box 231ZDR-11 and remediating the contaminated soils.<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Work has been completed on the development of the IP-1 container design criteria•DOE is reviewing the cost estimate including IP-1 bag and container fabrication /construction
LLBG	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Continuing layup activities for 4B, 4C, 3A, 12B burial grounds.

WRAP/T Plant Activities

Activity Description	Status
WRAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities.• As resources are available, performing the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• perform floor maintenance activities (e.g., painting, chip repair, resurfacing spill area) in 2404-WB.• Moved remaining mixed waste containers to CWC.
T Plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities.

Facility RCRA-Regulated Container Inventory (number of containers) and Volume (m³)*

Facility	Drum/Small Container ¹	Medium Container/Box ²	Large Container ³	Total Volume
CWC	5457 (1,316 m ³)	414 (710 m ³)	391 (6853 m ³)	8,879 m ³
T Plant	6 (1 m ³)	3 (4 m ³)	2 (44 m ³)	49 m ³
WRAP	0 (0 m ³)	1 (2 m ³)	0 (0 m ³)	2 m ³

*as of 12/03/12

Footnotes:

- 1 Volume of 0.485 m³ (110 gallons or 17.1 ft³) or less
- 2 Greater than 0.485 m³, less than 1.812 m³ (64ft³) (Standard waste box)
- 3 Greater than 1.812 m³