

SAF-RC-189
100N Field Remediation –
Soil Full Protocol
FINAL DATA PACKAGE

COMPLETE COPY OF DATA PACKAGE TO:

Kathy Wendt H4-21

KW 9/4/12
INITIAL/DATE

COMMENTS:

SDG D1223725

SAF-RC-189

Rad only

Chem only

Rad & Chem

Complete

Partial

Sample Location: 100-N-61:1 Verification Split –Asbestos



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Report Date: August 31, 2012

Joan Kessner
Washington Closure Hanford, LLC
2620 Fermi Ave.
Richland, WA 99354

Phone: (509) 375-4688

E-mail: Joan.kessner@wch-rcc.com

Workorder: **34-1223725**
Client Project ID: 100N-Field Remediation-Soil
Fu
Purchase Order: RC-189-105
Project Manager: Kevin Griffiths

Analytical Results

Sample ID: J1R099	Media: Bulk	Collected: 08/21/2012
Lab ID: 1223725001	Sampling Location: 100-N61 Verification	Received: 08/24/2012
Method: NIOSH 9002		Analyzed: 08/31/2012
Analyte	%	RL (%)
Chrysotile	ND	1.0
Amosite	ND	1.0
Crocidolite	ND	1.0
Actinolite/Tremolite	ND	1.0
Anthophyllite	ND	1.0

Report Authorization

Method	Analyst	Peer Review
NIOSH 9002	Peter P. Steen	Paul M. Megerdichian

Laboratory Contact Information

ALS Environmental
960 W LeVoy Drive
Salt Lake City, Utah 84123

Phone: (801) 266-7700
Email: als.lt.lab@ALSGlobal.com
Web: www.alsl.com

ADDRESS 960 West LeVoy Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA 84123 | PHONE +1 801 266 7700 | FAX +1 801 268 9992
ALS GROUP USA, CORP. Part of the ALS Laboratory Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company

Environmental

www.alsglobal.com

RIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER



ANALYTICAL REPORT

Workorder: **34-1223725**
 Client Project ID: 100N-Field Remediation-Soil
 Fu
 Purchase Order: RC-189-105
 Project Manager: Kevin Griffiths

General Lab Comments

The results provided in this report relate only to the items tested.
 Samples were received in acceptable condition unless otherwise noted.
 Samples have not been blank corrected unless otherwise noted.
 This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of ALS.

ALS provides professional analytical services for all samples submitted. ALS is not in a position to interpret the data and assumes no responsibility for the quality of the samples submitted.

All quality control samples processed with the samples in this report yielded acceptable results unless otherwise noted.

ALS is accredited for specific fields of testing (scopes) in the following testing sectors. The quality system implemented at ALS conforms to accreditation requirements and is applied to all analytical testing performed by ALS. The following table lists testing sector, accreditation body, accreditation number and website. Please contact these accrediting bodies or your ALS project manager for the current scope of accreditation that applies to your analytical testing.

Testing Sector	Accreditation Body (Standard)	Certificate Number	Website
Environmental	ACCLASS (DoD ELAP)	ADE-1420	http://www.aiclasscorp.com
	Utah (NELAC)	DATA1	http://health.utah.gov/lab/labimp/
	Nevada	UT00009	http://ndep.nv.gov/bsdwl/labservice.htm
	Oklahoma	UT00009	http://www.deq.state.ok.us/CSDnew/
	Iowa	IA# 376	http://www.iowadnr.gov/InsideDNR/RegulatoryWater.aspx
	Florida (TNI)	E871067	http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/bars/sas/qa/
	Texas (TNI)	T104704456-11-1	http://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html
Industrial Hygiene	AIHA (ISO 17025 & AIHA IHLAP/ELLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Lead Testing:			
CPSC	ACCLASS (ISO 17025, CPSC)	ADE-1420	http://www.aiclasscorp.com
Soil, Dust, Paint ,Air	AIHA (ISO 17025, AIHA ELLAP and NLLAP)	101574	http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org
Dietary Supplements	ACCLASS (ISO 17025)	ADE-1420	http://www.aiclasscorp.com

Definitions

LOD = Limit of Detection = MDL = Method Detection Limit, A statistical estimate of method/media/instrument sensitivity.
 LOQ = Limit of Quantitation = RL = Reporting Limit, A verified value of method/media/instrument sensitivity.
 ND = Not Detected, Testing result not detected above the LOD or LOQ.
 ** No result could be reported, see sample comments for details.
 < This testing result is less than the numerical value.
 () This testing result is between the LOD and LOQ and has higher analytical uncertainty than values at or above the LOQ.