



Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

SEP 06 2011

11-HAB-0047

Ms. S. L. Leckband, Chair
Hanford Advisory Board
Enviroissues Hanford Project Office
713 Jadwin, Suite 4
Richland, Washington 99352

Dear Ms. Leckband:

HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD (HAB) JUNE 3, 2011, CONSENSUS ADVICE #247,
"PW-1/3/6 AND CW-5 OPERABLE UNITS"


The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) wants to thank the HAB for providing consensus advice #247 (enclosed) on the Proposed Plan for remediation of the 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, 200-PW-6, and 200-CW-5 Operable Units.

We appreciate the HAB's perseverance and commitment to cleaning up these sites that spans almost three years. HAB Advice #207 (Criteria for Development of the Proposed Plan for 200-PW-1, 3, and 6) issued in June 6, 2008, shaped the revised feasibility study and proposed plan currently out for public comment.

Your advice, along with comments received on the proposed plan, will be considered before the Record of Decision is issued and responded to in a response-to-comment document. The agencies will issue a joint response to your advice following finalization of the comment-to-response document.

If you have any questions, please contact Paula Call at (509) 376-2048.

Sincerely,


Matt McCormick
Manager

HAB:PKC

Enclosure

cc: See Page 2

SEP 06 2011

cc w/encl:

C. Brennan, EM-42
S. L. Charboneau, ORP-DDFO
D. A. Faulk, EPA
T. Gilley, Enviroissues
S. Hayman, Enviroissues
J. Hedges, Ecology
S. S. Patel, EM-51
S. L. Samuelson, ORP
T. L. Sturdevant, Ecology
S. G. Van Camp, EM-51
C. D. West, EM-51
M. Zhu, EM-51
Administrative Record
Environmental Portal
The Oregon and Washington
Congressional Delegations

U.S. Senators (OR)
J. Merkley
R. Wyden

U.S. Senators (WA)
M. Cantwell
P. Murray

U.S. Representatives (WA)
N. Dicks
R. Hastings
J. Herrera Beutler
J. Inslee
R. Larsen
J. McDermott
C. McMorris Rodgers
D. Reichert
A. Smith

State Senators (WA)
J. Delvin
M. Hewitt

State Representatives (WA)
L. Haler
B. Klippert

HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD

A Site Specific Advisory Board, Chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act

Advising:

US Dept of Energy
US Environmental
Protection Agency
Washington State
Dept of Ecology

June 3, 2011

CHAIR:

Susan Leckband

Scott Samuelson, Manager
U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection
P.O. Box 450 (H6-60)
Richland, WA 99352

VICE CHAIR:

Bob Suyama

Matt McCormick, Manager
U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations
P.O. Box 550 (A7-50)
Richland, WA 99352

BOARD MEMBERS:

Local Business

Harold Heacock

Labor/Work Force

David Davis
Thomas Carpenter
Jeff Luke
Rebecca Holland

Local Environment

Gene Van Liew

Local Government

Maynard Plahuta
Pam Larsen
Rick Jansons
Rob Davis
Jerry Peltier
Richard Leitz
Bob Parks

Tribal Government

Russell Jim
John Stanfill

Public Health

Margery Swint
Howard Putter

University

Doug Mercer

Public-at-Large

Norma Jean Germond
Keith Smith
Bob Parazin
Bob Suyama

Regional Environ-

ment/Citizen

Dan Serres
Susan Leckband
Paige Knight
Gerald Pollet

State of Oregon

Lyle Smith
Ken Niles

Ex-Officio

Confederated Tribes
of the Umatilla
Washington State
Department of Health

Dennis Faulk, Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
309 Bradley Blvd, Suite 115
Richland WA 99352

Re: PW-1/3/6 and CW-5 Operable Units

Dear Messrs. Samuelson, McCormick and Faulk,

Background

The draft "Proposed Plan for the Remediation of the 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 Operable Units" (Draft Plan) discusses the proposed remediation of 22 waste sites within the 200 Area of the Central Plateau. The 200-PW-3 Operable Unit (Cesium Sites) includes four Plutonium Uranium Extraction Plant cribs and one unplanned release, all containing primarily cesium-137 in the 200 East Area. The remaining 17 waste sites (PW-1, PW-6) are cribs, ditches, and other miscellaneous release sites associated with the plutonium recovery activities in the 200 West Area. The nature and extent of the nitrate plume and technetium-99 contamination is not understood.

The Hanford Advisory Board (Board) adopted Advice #207 outlining criteria for development of this Draft Plan. The Board's commitment to the values, considerations and criteria in that advice is unchanged.

The Draft Plan proposes to cover the cesium waste sites in 200-PW-3 with additional soil to achieve a 15-foot thick "cap" thought to be protective of human health for 300-400 years of institutional control. The Board disagrees with this solution. Use of the

Envirolssues

Hanford Project Office
713 Jadwin, Suite 3
Richland, WA 99352
Phone: (509) 942-1906
Fax: (509) 942-1926

HAB Consensus Advice # 247
Subject: PW-1/3/6 & CW-5 Operable Units
Adopted: June 3, 2011
Page 1

Remove/Treat/Dispose (RTD) approach for this waste is consistent with the Board's Central Plateau Remedial Action Values Flowsheet (Advice #173).

In the case of the five Cesium Sites, most of the cesium-137 appears to be accessible within the top 15 feet of the disposal site, which would make these sites a good candidate for RTD. The configuration of these waste sites provides an excellent opportunity to remove the clean top soil in order to access the concentrated layer of radionuclides.

In addition, the Draft Plan proposes to apply the RTD approach to the Z ditches in the 200 West Area by mixing clean top soil with lower layers of soil containing concentrated plutonium (blending) to qualify for disposal at the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF), rather than the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). The Board strongly disagrees with this approach.


In Advice #207, the Board specifically advised sending as much plutonium to WIPP as possible. Plutonium is "forever." The high salt waste sites typically contain high plutonium concentrations in the near surface, making them candidates for the RTD remedy. Employing RTD for shipment to WIPP is the approach that would remove the plutonium (and the risks associated with that plutonium) from Hanford forever, and would result in a cleaner remediated site with substantially less plutonium permanently disposed in ERDF.

Advice

- The Board advises the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to get as much plutonium out of these waste sites as possible.
- The Board advises DOE to implement a RTD policy for plutonium that emphasizes remediation of plutonium disposal sites. DOE policy should opt to ship eligible plutonium-contaminated soil to WIPP for geological disposal, permanently removing it from Hanford.
- The Board advises DOE to utilize a RTD approach when a high concentration of a radionuclide exists. This approach is consistent with established Board values.
- The Board advises basing remedial design for cleanup of technetium and nitrates upon increased characterization. Extensive sampling is needed to determine the location and extent of technetium and nitrate contamination. This characterization should coincide with remediation efforts.
- The Board advises a policy to conduct RTD concurrently with vapor extraction efforts to ensure meeting Tri-Party Agreement milestones.

- The Board advises the proximity of cesium-137 to the surface necessitates implementing an RTD approach in order to dispose of cesium into the ERDF burial ground.
- The Board advises the Tri-Party agencies to hold public meetings to discuss the draft "Proposed Plan for the Remediation of the 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 Operable Units.

Sincerely,



Susan Leckband, Chair
Hanford Advisory Board

This advice represents Board consensus for this specific topic. It should not be taken out of context to extrapolate Board agreement on other subject matters.

cc: Stacy Charboneau, Co-Deputy Designated Official, U.S. Department of Energy,
Office of River Protection
Nick Ceto, Co-Deputy Designated Official, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland
Operations Office
Jane Hedges, Washington State Department of Ecology
Catherine Brennan, U.S. Department of Energy, Headquarters
The Oregon and Washington Delegations