0097083

Meeting Minutes Transmittal

T Plant Complex, Low Level Burial Grounds,
Central Waste Complex, Waste Receiving and Processing
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554
Richland, Washington
February 24, 2011

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.

Date: 5/26/11
Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 28 April 2011
Project Manager Representative, RL

Date: 4/28/11
Project Manager Representative, CHPRC

Central Waste Complex Admin Record	H6-08
LLBG Administrative Record	H6-08
T Plant Complex Admin Record	H6-08
Waste Receiving and Processing Admin Record	H6-08
MS Collins	A6-38
DL Flyckt	T4-10
PT Karschnia	T3-28
DG Singleton	H0-57
LC Tuott	T4-52
NW Ware	T4-04

RECEIVED
JUN 08 2011
EDMC

T Plant/LLBG/CWC/WRAP
PROJECT MEETING MINUTES
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554/700 Area
Richland, Washington

February 24, 2011

I. Approval of January 27, 2011 T Plant Complex, LLBG, CWC and WRAP Project Meeting Minutes (Ecology/DOE-RL/CHPRC).

A. The PMM minutes were approved. Brian Dixon (CHPRC) noted that since the packet for the trench 94 exemption from lined trench requirements was attached to the minutes when they were routed for review and comment, and the packet has been submitted to the Administrative Record (AR) separately, he suggested not including the packet with the minutes for submittal to the AR. Mr. Dixon added that there are several references to the packet in the minutes. Deborah Singleton (Ecology) agreed with Mr. Dixon's suggestion.

II. Operational Status

A. Lee Tuott (CHPRC) provided the operational status (see attached charts and tables). Mr. Tuott noted that the asterisk and footnote on the waste retrieval performance table of the handout should be deleted. The 954 cubic meters does not include the 228 cubic meters that are sitting on the side of the trench, which was reported in the M-91 PMM previous to this meeting. Ms. Singleton noted that the first item in the CWC/LLBG activities table should LLBG trench 34 instead of CWC. Mr. Collins added that the following status should read void filling of HGTR has been completed at CWC. Mr. Tuott will revise the table to clarify the activities and status.

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no previous agreements and commitments to status.

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no new agreements or commitments established.

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities

A. TSD Units Permit Status

Gus Aljure (CHPRC) stated that work is under way to provide Ecology the information for the contingency section for LLBG, the inspection section for T Plant, and the CWC closure plan. RL/CHPRC are not providing comments on the process section and permit conditions, and will wait until they are posted on Share Point to comment. Ms. Singleton confirmed that Ecology plans to post all of the addenda for the four Solid Waste Operational Complex (SWOC) units on Share Point by March 4, 2011, and a two-week review period for RL/CHPRC would follow. Ms. Singleton stated that Ecology is using the closure plan format from the 222-S Lab for the WRAP closure plan. Mr. Aljure noted that RL expressed some concerns with using the 222-S as a template for closure. The concerns were associated more with the presentation and formatting and not the

content. Ms. Singleton noted that EPA has not expressed too much concern with the 222-S template. Ms. Singleton stated that EPA's interpretation of the regulations as far as information needed for the closure plan differ from Ecology's. Ecology's intent is to include all the information required by the WAC citations without having to commit to providing detailed information on how to close a facility in 40 years.

Mr. Miskho stated that the majority of the comments RL/CHPRC sent to Ecology regarding the WRAP Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) were rejected by Ecology through the Review Comment Record (RCR) form, and no explanation was provided. Ecology then retracted the e-mail containing the RCR. Mr. Miskho asked Ecology about how to proceed with the WAP. Ms. Singleton indicated that there is an issue with the lack of sufficient information regarding the retrieval process waste when it comes into the WRAP facility. Mr. Miskho responded that RL/CHPRC believe that additional information is not needed, and noted that waste retrieval project section of the WAP (Section 2.7) received very few comments or changes during review. Mr. Miskho added that Section 2.7 in the WAP was designated for the retrieval project waste because it is not considered newly generated waste or project waste transferred between the SWOC units. Mr. Collins stated that the retrieval waste stream is not considered to be different from any other waste stream entering WRAP, and the WAP only addresses how waste is accepted into a facility. Ms. Singleton stated that the processing section would address what happens to the waste once it is accepted in the facility, and Mr. Collins concurred, stating that the process section would indicate what physically happens to the waste, such as repackaging. Mr. Miskho expressed concern about updating the interim status WAPs when there doesn't seem to be any progress on resolving the WAP comments.
Mr. Miskho also noted that Ecology requested a flow chart, and RL/CHPRC looked at the flow charts and did not believe a new flow chart was needed.

Mr. Aljure stated that supplemental information on the burial grounds was provided to Ecology, with the exception of trench 94. Comments from the Navy have been received, which RL/CHPRC are addressing and plan to transmit them to Ecology early next week. Ms. Singleton confirmed that there will be a fifth WAP for trench 94. Mr. Collins stated that the WAP for trench 94 will be identical to trenches 31/34, since there is no difference in the acceptance of waste into the trenches. Mr. Collins added that for trench 94, the sections for processing, contingency, security and preparedness will be different from trenches 31/34. One exception in the preparedness section for trench 94 will be for ignitable reactive waste, since there is none in the submarine reactor cores.

Mr. Miskho initiated a discussion regarding the agreement stated last month in the PMM that there would be three Part A's for trenches 31/34 and 94, and a Part V closure TSD unit for the used/green island areas. Mr. Dixon stated that RL/CHPRC are working closely with Ecology to evaluate the various approaches for addressing the used/green island areas. A meeting is scheduled this afternoon with RL and Ecology, and the burial grounds will be discussed.

B. LLBG Groundwater Sampling

Stuart Luttrell (CHPRC) provided an update on groundwater sampling. All of the wells scheduled for sampling in Waste Management Area 1 (WMA-1) in January 2011 were completed. Due to a stop work order in WMA-2 during October 2010, the wells were sampled in December 2010. Well sampling in WMA-3 is scheduled for March 2011. The wells in WMA-4 were sampled in January 2011. Mr. Dixon asked if the sampling restrictions have been lifted and the routine schedules for groundwater sampling are moving forward. Mr. Luttrell stated that there are still restrictions on sampling with electric submersible pumps, with the exception of the portable electrical pumps. Sampling is also continuing with bailers, peristaltic pumps and hydrostar pumps (gas driven). Mr. Luttrell stated that if the electrical pump safety issue is not resolved soon, then the March sampling in WMA-3 could be impacted, along with the sampling that is scheduled for this quarter.

Mr. Miskho initiated a discussion regarding the burial grounds groundwater plans for trenches 31/34 and 94. Mr. Luttrell stated that the groundwater plan for trenches 31/34 have been drafted and released to MSA for reformatting. Ms. Singleton stated that the compliance schedule for trench 94 will be a permit condition to submit a groundwater monitoring plan by a certain date. Mr. Miskho noted that management of the used/green island portions of the burial grounds under groundwater monitoring has not been resolved yet. Ms. Singleton responded that Ecology is having internal discussions regarding the used/green island areas, and when information is available she will transmit it to Mr. Collins.

Mr. Luttrell stated that new wells will be installed at trenches 31/34 and NRDWL. There will be no characterization associated with the wells, and so they will be done under a work plan instead of a SAP. The new wells will go under milestone M-24.

VI. Approved Changes Signed off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

A. There were no approved changes signed.

VII. General Discussion

A. Joel Williams (CHPRC) stated that the process for certifying the Part A's for WRAP and CWC is under way. The Part A's will be transmitted to Ecology for review before the final certification. Mr. Miskho stated that during certification, RL/CHPRC would like to get approval under interim status since it is not believed that the permit will be finalized in time, and the transfer of building 2404-WA from CWC to WRAP is needed for operations.

B. Mr. Dixon asked Ms. Singleton about Ecology's schedule, following posting of the permit addenda on Share Point, for RLCHPRC review and the public review process. Ms. Singleton responded that discussions regarding the schedule are ongoing between Ecology and RL, and the public review period is anticipated to start in July 2011. Mr. Aljure expressed concern about a fixed two-week review period after all the addenda are posted on the same day (3/4/11). Ms. Singleton responded that the review time frame may be expanded, due to the number of units (approx. 36) that will be posted.

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
LLBG	RL/CHPRC will provide Ecology with an information package on unused areas of burial grounds	Pending	4/23/09
		The information was provided to Ecology, and Ecology has provided comments. CHPRC is dispositioning Ecology's comments	12/9/10
		No status provided	1/27/11
		RL is investigating two anomalies in the field. Permittees will provide an updated report after anomalies are addressed.	2/24/11
LLBG	Ecology to determine what form the groundwater monitoring plan will take, and whether a compliance schedule will be established for the regulatory approach to the burial grounds	Action established	1/28/10
		No change in status. Waiting for results from DQO process.	10/28/10
		A meeting is scheduled for January 2011 to discuss WMA-1	12/9/10
		No status provided	1/27/11
		The groundwater monitoring plan for trenches 31/34 is in MSA for reformatting. A compliance schedule for trench 94 will require a date for submitting the groundwater monitoring plan. The used areas/green island approach is still undecided.	2/24/11
LLBG	Permittee will provide Ecology the five addenda for operating units LLBG 31/34 and LLBG 94: E, security; F, preparedness; G, training; I, inspection; J, contingency	Action established	1/27/11
		Addenda F for both units was provided, and the remaining four addenda are being prepared	2/24/11

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record.

A. There were no documents identified.

X. Next Project Mangers Meeting

A. The next meeting was scheduled for March 24, 2011.

T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC PROJECT MEETING
825 Jadwin/Room 554
Hanford, Washington
February 24, 2011

10:30 a.m. to 11:45 a.m.
Agenda

I. Approval of January 27, 2011 T Plant Complex, LLBG, CWC, and WRAP Project Meeting Minutes (Ecology/DOE-RL/CHPRC)

II. Operational Status

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities
   A. TSD Units Permit Status
   B. LLBG Groundwater Sampling

VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

VII. General Discussion

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
LLBG	RL/CHPRC will provide Ecology with an information package on unused areas of burial grounds	Pending	4/23/09
		The information was provided to Ecology, and Ecology has provided comments. CHPRC is dispositioning Ecology's comments.	12/9/10
		No status provided	1/27/11
LLBG	Ecology to determine what form the groundwater monitoring plan will take, and whether a compliance schedule will be established for the regulatory approach to the burial grounds	Action established	1/28/10
		No change in status. Waiting for results from DQO process.	10/28/10
		A meeting is scheduled for January 2011 to discuss WMA-1	12/9/10
		No status provided	1/27/11
LLBG	Permittee will provide Ecology the five addenda for operating units 31/34: E, security; F, preparedness; G, training; I, inspection; j, contingency	Action established	1/27/11

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record

X. Next Project Managers Meeting

Waste Retrieval Performance

TPA M-091-40T	FY09 Total	FY10 Total	FY11 OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEPT	FY11 Total	FY09-11 Total
Retrieve 2,000 m^3 CH-RSW by 9/30/2011	269	540	0	59	6	79	0								145	954

CWC/LLBG Activities

Activity Description	Status
Trench 34 Treatment and Disposal Activities	Void filling of HGTR at CWC has been completed and the drums and boxes are being prepared for treatment and disposal in the LLBG trench.
Sodium Metal Material Disposition (40 Packages)	Packages are being shipped to a commercial facility in Tennessee
CWC - Roof Repairs	8 buildings with identified roof leaks (2403-WD, 2403-WB, 2403-WA, 2402-WB, 2402-WC, 2402-WD, 2402-WF, 2402-WI) • Roof repair activities are being coordinated with WRAP roof repair activities.
LLBG - Retrieval Activities	• Continuing to retrieve large containers in 3A trench 17 and are completing retrieval in trench 8 to meet the milestone definition. • Restarted retrieval of large containers in 4B trench 11. • NGR – began retrieval of 12B drums on February 16th. • NGR site prep continues in 4B.

TRU Program (WRAP and T Plant) Performance

TPA M-091-46A:	FY09 Total	FY10 Total	FY11 OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEPT	FY11 Total	FY09-11 Total
Certify 850 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM by 9/30/2011	106	560	17	-1	45	31	2								94	760

TPA M-091-46G	FY10 Total	FY11 OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEPT	FY11 Total	FY10-11 Total
Complete offsite shipment of 1,000 m3 of small container CH TRUM by 9/30/2011	484	26	0	52	35	10								123	607

TRU Program Activities

Activity Description	Status
WRAP	Completed the TRU campaign shipments to Idaho. Continuing to implement the Central Characterization Project (CCP) program and shipment of TRU waste to WIPP. Repacking operations are occurring. HE-RTR planned for start-up by early March. RH-TRU operations are being initiated for shipments to WIPP this summer.
T Plant	Beryllium issues have been addressed and repackaging has resumed. Venting issues have been addressed and venting has resumed.

LLBG, CWC, WRAP, and T PLANT
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554
Hanford, Washington

February 24, 2011

Attendance List

Name	Organization	Phone Number
Kathy Clause	CHPRC	376-2377
Kathy Knox	Knox Reporting	946-5535
PAUL W. MARSH	CHPRC	376-6620
Stephanie Johansen	M+EC	373-1031
Tony Mislicho	CHPRC	376-7313
LEE TROTT	CHPRC	376-1045
Jim Rasmussen	Rasmussen Env.	376-7574
Michael Collins	DOE-RL	376 6536
Deborah Singleton	Ecology	372-7923
R Douglas Hildebrand	DOE-RL	373-7626
Gus ALJURE	CHPRC	376-7644
Reed Kaldor	MSA	372-1992
Joel Williams Jr	CHPRC-RP	376-4782
[illegible]	CHPRC SHGRP	376-4531