0097084

Meeting Minutes Transmittal

T Plant Complex, Low Level Burial Grounds,
Central Waste Complex, Waste Receiving and Processing
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554
Richland, Washington
January 27, 2011

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.

Date: 5/26/11
Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 24 Feb 11
Project Manager Representative, RL

Date: 2/24/11
Project Manager Representative, CHPRC

Central Waste Complex Admin Record	H6-08
LLBG Administrative Record	H6-08
T Plant Complex Admin Record	H6-08
Waste Receiving and Processing Admin Record	H6-08
MS Collins	A6-38
DL Flyckt	T4-10
PT Karschnia	T3-28
PW Martin	T4-52
DG Singleton	H0-57
LC Tuott	T4-52
NW Ware	T4-04

RECEIVED
JUN 08 2011
EDMC

T Plant/LLBG/CWC/WRAP
PROJECT MEETING MINUTES
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554/700 Area
Richland, Washington

January 27, 2011

I. Approval of December 9, 2010 T Plant Complex, LLBG, CWC and WRAP Project Meeting Minutes (Ecology/DOE-RL/CHPRC).

A. The PMM minutes were approved.

II. Operational Status

A. Lee Tuott (CHPRC) provided the operational status (see attached charts and tables) and noted that the charts have been revised. Mr. Tuott stated that the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA) goals and topics are no longer being reported due to some confusion as to how ARRA fit in with TPA milestones. The table will now reflect TPA milestones and definitions and the progress being made. Mr. Tuott noted that the other activities and goals for the WRAP and T Plant TRU program are goals established between RL and CHPRC.

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no previous agreements and commitments to status.

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no new agreements or commitments established.

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities

A. TSD Units Permit Status

Mike Collins (RL) stated that CHPRC is working to meet the January 31, 2011 date to provide Ecology the additional information it requested to support the addendums for the four Solid Waste Operational Complex (SWOC) units. Deborah Singleton (Ecology) stated that the decision has been made to divide the Low Level Burial Grounds (LLBG) into two operating units and one closure unit as follows: LLBG 1 trenches 31 and 34; LLBG 2 trench 94; and the green islands in LLBG. Tony Miskho (CHPRC) recommended using the term used areas instead of green islands, and referring to the green islands in parentheses. Ms. Singleton agreed with Mr. Miskho's suggestion. Ms. Singleton stated that because the used areas are identified as treatment, storage and disposal (TSD) units, the statement of basis and fact sheet in the LLBG Part A permit will contain supporting language for the used areas to be designated for CERCLA cleanup. The language in the Part A will state that the used areas will go into closure, and that the closure will be coordinated with 200-SW-2 operable unit. Tony McKarns (RL) noted that the term Low Level Burial Ground 1 or 2 should not be used since there are several burial grounds and it would likely cause confusion. Ms. Singleton agreed. Mr. Miskho asked if Ecology and RL were in agreement to have two operating units (31/34 and 94) and one closure unit that will be coordinated with 200-SW-2.

Ms. Singleton and Mr. McKarns concurred. Mr. McKarns asked about establishing an action to develop the closure chapter for the used areas and whether a compliance schedule will be needed to submit a closure plan. Ms. Singleton stated that she envisions several compliance schedules for the permit since there are so many unknowns.
Mr. Miskho noted that five addenda ("easy five") have been completed for CWC and WRAP and are almost completed for T Plant: E, security; F, preparedness; G, training; I, inspection; and J, contingency. Mr. Miskho suggested taking an action to complete those five addenda for the two burial ground operating units (31/34). Mr. Collins stated that if it fits into CHPRC's schedule of priorities, to proceed with the five addenda for 31/34. Ecology agreed, and the **action was taken for the permittee to provide Ecology the five addenda for operating units 31/34: E, security; F, preparedness; G, training; I, inspection; and J, contingency.** It was also agreed to place the five completed addenda for CWC, WRAP and T Plant on Share Point so that RL/CHPRC could do a review to confirm they are the correct and final version.

Mr. Miskho clarified that the supplemental information being provided to Ecology by January 31, 2011 is for the operating TSDs (31/34&94), and Ms. Singleton concurred.

Mr. Collins asked if Ecology was in agreement to modify the CWC and WRAP Part A's to incorporate the switch of building 2404-WA from CWC to WRAP. Ms. Singleton stated that she was in agreement, and the language should include the total volume increase and scale drawings, etc., as previously discussed. Joel Williams (CHPRC) asked if the Part A's would be approved under interim status. Ms. Singleton acknowledged that the process for incorporating the CWC and WRAP permits into the Hanford sitewide permit could be delayed by the public comment period, and stated that she will ensure approval of the Part A's under interim status so that the building changeover can proceed.

Ms. Singleton stated that after the supplemental information is provided by RL/CHPRC next Monday (1/31/11), Ecology will be working through February to complete all the addendums for the SWOC units. Ms. Singleton requested groundwater information (Addendum D) for incorporation into the trench 31/34 Part A from RL/CHPRC by the middle of February. During the first week of March, Ecology will post the addendums on Share Point for RL/CHPRC to review. Ms. Singleton noted that since there have been extensive communication and reviews between Ecology and RL/CHPRC, the turnaround time for RL/CHPRC review will be two weeks. Ms. Singleton stated that following RL/CHPRC's review, there will be a short amount of time for discussion regarding any significant issues that would impact operational activities.

Mr. Collins stated that RL/CHPRC will be providing comments using Review Comment Record (RCR) forms as a more formal way to document the review and comment process. Mr. McKarns asked about the level of rigor that will be used with the RCR process regarding comment response. Ms. Singleton responded that the intent of the RCR process is to require a regulatory basis for a comment. Mr. McKarns stated that that is the position RL is taking, but asked about the follow-up process if a regulatory-based comment is provided and the permit writer does not agree. Ms. Singleton stated that a response would be required to the comment. Joanette Biebesheimer (Ecology) added that

Ecology will be open to considering a different interpretation of a regulatory citation. Mr. McKarns asked for clarification regarding the possibility of a hold point in the process until an RCR comment is resolved. Ms. Biebesheimer responded that there is not any time in the schedule for hold points.

Joel Williams (CHPRC) stated that RL/CHPRC's last transmittal (1/17/11) to Ecology on the Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) is in a table format providing comment justification and a redline strikeout. Ecology's four comments have been received, three responses have been completed, and the next transmittal to Ecology will be on an RCR form. Mr. Miskho noted that the focus is on providing Ecology the additional requested information before finalizing responses to the four comments. Ms. Singleton concurred with the priority given to the additional information. Mr. Miskho stated that a file is being kept to track all the changes to the WAP, and asked about coordinating with Ecology to ensure they are working to the most recent file. Mr. Williams stated that the master file on the WAP was transmitted to Ecology. Ms. Biebesheimer stated that their starting point was with the interim status WAP that RL/CHPRC provided, and they have worked forward from there.

Ms. Biebesheimer stated that at the request of the AG, she is reviewing and making edits to the WAP with her team to ensure its enforceability. Ms. Biebesheimer stated that the intent is to accurately reflect operations. Ms. Singleton added that the operational intent is not being changed, but the AG has directed that if it is stated in the WAP that the permittee is going to do a certain action, that the language should be written such that it is enforceable (i.e., the permittee *will*). Mr. McKarns stated that a discussion regarding the changes the AG proposed, primarily to the contingency plan, was held during the PMM held just prior to this PMM. Mr. McKarns and Mr. Collins expressed concern over changing "may" to "will" in the contingency plan, since the change could affect ability of the BED to make decisions based on what is happening and force them to take actions that may not be germane to the incident. Mr. Collins and Ms. Singleton acknowledged that that type of language change may not impact the WAP.

Linda Petersen (CHPRC) noted that a groundwater monitoring plan is being planned for trenches 31/34 and trench 94, and asked what monitoring plan will be used for the remaining used areas. A discussion ensued regarding the use of the current interim status monitoring plan, and the splitting up of the burial grounds and their location in specific waste management areas. Doug Hildebrand (RL) referenced an earlier discussion that the 200-SW-2 CERCLA process would be used, which would require some modification to the monitoring. It was agreed to schedule a separate meeting for further discussion.

Mr. Collins stated that some shallow pot-holing was done last week around anomalies in the unused areas, and the decision has been made to dig deeper to provide better detail. If it is determined to be non-regulated waste, it will be removed. Ms. Singleton requested a report to document any changes to the actions taken in the unused areas.

Ms. Singleton raised the subject of in-trench treatment of the HGTR drums at LLBG, stating that she had a discussion with Dave Bartus (EPA) and reviewed the 40 CFR 268 he cited which indicates that treatment cannot be done once waste is disposed. Mr. Collins stated that a decision needs to be made quickly, and Ms. Singleton and Mr. Collins agreed to discuss the issue immediately following today's PMM.

B. LLBG Groundwater Sampling

There was no status provided.

VI. Approved Changes Signed off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

A. There were no approved changes signed.

VII. General Discussion

A. The exemption for the liner in Trench 94 was discussed. The request for exemption was made by RL via letter 93-RPB-075 (AR Accession Number D196120545). Ecology approval was provided on September 2, 1993 via letter number 9305728 (AR Accession Number D196106551). An updated request for exemption was provided as appendix 4D of Working Draft WD-1 of the Part B permit application for the Mixed Waste Disposal Units that was transmitted to Ecology with letter 00-055-357 dated June 7, 2000 (AR Accession Number D8506787).

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
LLBG	RL/CHPRC will provide Ecology with an information package on unused areas of burial grounds	Pending	4/23/09
		The information was provided to Ecology, and Ecology has provided comments. CHPRC is dispositioning Ecology's comments	12/9/10
		No status provided	1/27/11
LLBG	Ecology to determine what form the groundwater monitoring plan will take, and whether a compliance schedule will be established for the regulatory approach to the burial grounds	Action established	1/28/10
		No change in status. Waiting for results from DQO process.	10/28/10
		A meeting is scheduled for January 2011 to discuss WMA-1	12/9/10
		No status provided	1/27/11
LLBG	Permittee will provide Ecology the five addenda for operating units 31/34: E, security; F, preparedness; G, training; I, inspection; J, contingency	Action established	1/27/11

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record.

A. See General Discussion (Section VII) above.

X. Next Project Mangers Meeting - February 24, 2011.

T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC PROJECT MEETING
825 Jadwin/Room 554
Hanford, Washington
January 27, 2011

10:30 a.m. to 11:15 a.m.
Agenda

I. Approval of December 9, 2010 T Plant Complex, LLBG, CWC, and WRAP Project Meeting Minutes (Ecology/DOE-RL/CHPRC)

II. Operational Status

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities
   A. TSD Units Permit Status
   B. LLBG Groundwater Sampling

VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

VII. General Discussion

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
LLBG	RL/CHPRC will provide Ecology with an information package on unused areas of burial grounds	Pending	4/23/09
		The information was provided to Ecology, and Ecology has provided comments. CHPRC is dispositioning Ecology's comments.	12/9/10
LLBG	Ecology to determine what form the groundwater monitoring plan will take, and whether a compliance schedule will be established for the regulatory approach to the burial grounds	Action Established	1/28/10
		No change in status. Waiting for results from DQO process.	10/28/10
		A meeting is scheduled for January 2011 to discuss WMA-1	12/9/10

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record

X. Next Project Managers Meeting

Waste Retrieval Performance

TPA M-091-40T	FY09 Total	FY10 Total	FY11 OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JUL	AUG	SEPT	FY11 Total	FY09 -11 Total
Retrieve 2,000 m^3 CH-RSW by 9/30/2011	269	540	0	59	6	79									145	954

CWC/LLBG Activities

Activity Description	Status
CWC Trench 34 Treatment Activities	Void filling of HGTR has been completed and drums and boxes have been placed in the trench in preparation for treatment and disposal.
Sodium Metal Material Disposition (40 Packages)	Packages to be shipped to a commercial facility in Tennessee and is scheduled to begin the week of 1/26/11.
CWC - Roof Repairs	8 buildings with identified roof leaks (2403-WD, 2403-WB, 2403-WA, 2402-WB, 2402-WC, 2402-WD, 2402-WF, 2402-WI) • Initiated BCR process with anticipated release of funds for early March start date of contractor.
LLBG - Retrieval Activities	• Continuing to retrieve large containers in 3A trenches 8 and 17. • Restarted retrieval of large containers in 4B trench 11. • NGR - began excavation of overburden in 12B and will begin retrieval of 12B drums in late January or early February; NGR site prep continues in 3A and 4B.

TRU Program (WRAP and T Plant) Performance

TPA M-091-46A:	FY09 Total	FY10 Total	FY11 OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEPT	FY11 Total
Certify 850 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM by 9/30/2011	106	560	17	-1	46	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88

Other Activities and Goals	FY09 Total	FY10 Total	FY11 OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEPT	FY11 Total	FY09-11 Total
860m^3 CH-TRU Repack by end of FY11	33	401	38	45	4	91									179	613

TRU Program Activities

Activity Description	Status
WRAP	Continue to implement the Central Characterization Project (CCP) program and TRU shipments to Idaho
	Finalizing procedures and work instructions to implement repacking in January.
T Plant	Repack activities on hold while addressing drum venting and beryllium procedures

LLBG, CWC, WRAP, and T PLANT
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin/Room 554
Hanford, Washington

January 27, 2011

Attendance List

Name	Organization	Phone Number
Kathy Clause	CHPRC	376-2377
Kathy Knox	Knox Reporting	946-5535
Brian Dixon	CHPRC	376-7053
Michael Collins	DOE	376-6536
Tony McKARNS	DOE	376-8981
Nancy Ware	M&EC	373-3478
Stephanie Johansen	M+EC	373-1031
Lee Tuott	CHPRC	376-1045
[illegible]	CHPRC	376-4782
Deborah Singleton	Ecology	372-7923
R Douglas Hildebrand	DOE-RL	373-9626
Stuart Luttrell	CHPRC	376-4531
LINDA Petersen	CHPRC/FGG	373-4200
[illegible]	ECY	372-7891
GUS ALJURE	CHPRC	376-7644
Tony Miskho	CHPRC	376-7373