

Kearney/Centaur Division
A.T. Kearney, Inc.
2952 George Washington Way
Richland, Washington 99352
509 375 5667
Facsimile 509 375 5151

Management
Consultants

W0764-QES
0043545

ATKEARNEY



24 January 1996

Ms. Joan Kessner
Bechtel Hanford Incorporated
Post Office Box 969 MSIN H4-23
Richland, Washington 99352

Dear Ms. Kessner:

Enclosed is the data validation summary report for the
100-BC-5 Round 9 Groundwater data.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bruce Christian".

R. Bruce Christian
Consultant

cc: J. Duncan - CH2
R. Stringer - ATK
J. Goode - ATK
C. Reyes - ATK



Validation Reports 100-BC-5

**DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT
FOR THE
100-BC-5 OPERABLE UNIT
ROUND 9 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING**

Submitted To:

**Bechtel Hanford Incorporated
3350 George Washington Way
Richland, WA 99352**

Submitted By:

**A.T. Kearney, Inc.
2952 George Washington Way
Richland, WA 99352**

In Response To:

**Purchase Order VSR-B96-008
Task Order No. SAF-B95-103**

**Document Control Number
BHI-00556, Rev. 00**

**Validation Start Date: 18 December 1995
Validation Completion Date: 5 January 1996**

24 January 1995

000001

DISCLAIMER

This report is designated as Revision 0. The report addresses the validation of the 100-BC-5 Operable Unit Round 9 Groundwater Sampling data. The report addresses only those samples that have been provided for data validation review.

All related quality assurance samples, including all field quality control samples, were reviewed and validated to verify that reported sample results were of sufficient quality to meet quality control objectives specified by Bechtel Hanford, Inc.

ACRONYMS

| | |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------|
| %D | Percent difference |
| AA | Atomic absorption |
| BFB | Bromofluorobenzene |
| BNA | Base/neutral and acid |
| CCB | Continuing calibration blank |
| CV | Coefficient of variation |
| CCV | Continuing calibration verification |
| CLP | Contract laboratory program |
| CRA | CRDL standard for AA |
| CRDL | Contract Required Detection Limit |
| CRI | CRDL standard for ICP |
| CRII | CRDL standard for ICP initial |
| CRIF | CRDL standard for ICP final |
| CRQL | Contract required quantitation limit |
| CVAA | Cold vapor atomic absorption |
| DBC | Dibutylchloroendate |
| DFTPP | Decafluorotriphenylphosphine |
| DQO | Data quality objectives |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| GC/MS | Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry |
| GC | Gas chromatography |
| GFAA | Graphite furnace atomic absorption |
| GPC | Gel permeation chromatography |
| ICB | Initial calibration blank |
| ICP | Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry |
| ICS | ICP interference check sample |
| ICV | Initial calibration verification |
| IDL | Instrument detection limit |
| LCS | Laboratory control sample |
| LCSS | Laboratory control sample soil |
| LCSW | Laboratory control sample water |
| MDA | Minimum detectable activity |
| MSA | Method of standard addition |
| MS/MSD | Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate |
| PBW | Preparation blank water |
| PCB | Polychlorinated biphenyl |
| PEM | Performance evaluation mixture |
| QA | Quality assurance |
| QC | Quality control |
| RDL | Required detection limit |
| RF | Response factor |

| | |
|-----|----------------------------------|
| RIC | Reconstructed ion chromatogram |
| RPD | Relative percent difference |
| RRF | Relative response factor |
| RRT | Relative retention time |
| RSD | Relative standard deviation |
| RT | Retention time |
| SDG | Sample delivery group |
| SOW | Statement of work |
| TAL | Target analyte list |
| TCL | Target compound list |
| TIC | Tentatively identified compounds |
| TOC | Total organic carbon |
| TOX | Total organic halogen |
| V | Validated |
| VOC | Volatile organic compounds |

CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| 2.0 | METALS DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY | 17 |
| 3.0 | GENERAL CHEMISTRY DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY | 24 |
| 4.0 | RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY | 28 |
| 5.0 | REFERENCES | 31 |

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Metals Data Summary Tables

Appendix B - Metals Validated Laboratory Report Forms

Appendix C - General Chemistry Data Summary Tables

Appendix D - General Chemistry Laboratory Report Forms

Appendix E - Radiochemistry Summary Tables

Appendix F - Radiochemistry Validated Laboratory Report Forms

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The information provided in this validation summary report includes data from the chemical analyses of samples from the 100-BC-5 Operable Unit Round 9 Groundwater. Data from this sampling event and their related quality assurance samples were reviewed and validated in accordance with WHC guidelines at the requested level.

Sample analyses included metals, general chemistry and radiochemistry. Sixty (60) metals samples were analyzed by Quanterra Environmental Services (QES) and Lockheed Analytical Services (LAS). The metals samples were validated using Westinghouse-Hanford protocols specified in *Data Validation Procedures for Chemical Analyses*, (WHC 1992a). All qualifiers assigned to the metals data were based on this guidance. The table below lists the metals SDGs that were validated for this sampling event.

| SDG No. | Matrix | No. of Samples Analyzed | Level of Validation | Parameters |
|---------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| W0764 | W | 20 | A | Metals |
| W0769 | W | 16 | C | Metals |
| W0771 | W | 20 | A | Metals |
| LK5628 | W | 4 | C | Metals |

Thirty (30) samples were analyzed for general chemistry parameters by QES and LAS laboratories. General chemistry sample analyses included the following parameters:

- Fluoride
- Sulfate
- Specific Conductivity
- pH

The general chemistry samples were validated using the Westinghouse Hanford protocols specified in *Data Validation Procedures for Chemical Analyses*, (WHC 1992b). All qualifiers assigned to general chemistry data were based on this guidance. The table below lists the general chemistry SDGs that were validated for this sampling event.

| SDG No. | Matrix | No. of Samples Analyzed | Level of Validation | Parameters |
|---------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| W0764 | W | 10 | A | General Chem |
| W0769 | W | 8 | C | General Chem |
| W0771 | W | 10 | A | General Chem |
| LK5628 | W | 2 | C | General Chem |

Thirty (30) samples were analyzed for radiochemical parameters by QES and LAS laboratories. Radiochemistry sample analyses included the following parameters:

- Gross alpha and gross beta
- Strontium-90
- Technetium-99
- Carbon-14
- Tritium

The radiochemical samples were validated using the Westinghouse-Hanford protocols specified in *Data Validation Procedures for Radiochemical Analyses*, (WHC 1992a). All qualifiers assigned to radiochemical data were based on this guidance. The table below lists the radiochemistry SDGs that were validated for this sampling event.

| SDG No. | Matrix | No. of Samples Analyzed | Level of Validation | Parameters |
|---------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| W0764 | W | 10 | A | Radiochemistry |
| W0769 | W | 8 | C | Radiochemistry |
| W0771 | W | 10 | A | Radiochemistry |
| LK5628 | W | 2 | C | Radiochemistry |

Quality Control Samples

Included with the samples within this report are the following QC samples: Split samples, field duplicate samples and equipment blanks.

Split Samples

A field split sample is used to assess precision. A field split sample is a duplicate of a representative sample(s) from a sampling event that is sent to a third party (reference) laboratory for analysis. Four sets of split samples were submitted to QES and LAS as shown below:

| <u>Sample No.</u> | <u>Split Sample No.</u> | <u>Well Location</u> |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| BOGNQ1(QES) | BOGNW7(LAS) | 199-B2-12 |
| BOGNQ2(QES) | BOGNW8(LAS) | 199-B2-12 |
| BOGNS3(QES) | BOGNW9(LAS) | 199-B5-1 |
| BOGNS4(QES) | BOGNX0(LAS) | 199-B5-1 |

The results for the split samples were compared using the validation guidelines for determining the RPD between a sample and its duplicate. All metal results fell within the required control limits with the exception of:

- Calcium in sample pair BOGNQ2/BOGNW8.

All general chemistry and radiochemistry results fell within the required control limits.

No qualifiers were assigned based on the split sample results, since under WHC validation guidelines, sample data are not qualified based on split samples results. All results for both well locations appear in the summary tables within this report.

Field Duplicates

A field duplicate sample is a sample that is split and submitted to a given laboratory as two discrete field samples without the laboratory knowing the duplicate identity. Two sets of field duplicate samples were submitted to QES as shown below:

000006

Set 1:

| <u>Sample No.</u> | <u>Duplicate Sample No.</u> | <u>Well Location</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| BOGNO1 | BOGNW3 | 199-B2-12 |
| BOGNO2 | BOGNW4 | 199-B2-12 |

Set 2:

| <u>Sample No.</u> | <u>Duplicate Sample No.</u> | <u>Well Location</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| BOGNS3 | BOGNW5 | 199-B5-1 |
| BOGNS4 | BOGNW6 | 199-B5-1 |

The field duplicate results were compared using the validation guidelines for determining the RPD between a sample and its duplicate. All metals results fell within the required control limits with the exception of:

- Iron in sample pair BOGNS3/BOGNW5 (SDG No. W0769); and
- Zinc in sample pair BOGNO2/BOGNW4 (SDG No. W0769).

All general chemistry results fell within the required control limits.

All radiochemistry results fell within the required control limits with the exception of:

- Gross Beta in sample pair BOGNS3/BOGNW5 (SDG No. W0769).

No qualifiers were assigned based on the duplicate sample results, since under WHC guidelines, sample data are not qualified based on field duplicate results. All results for both well locations appear in the summary tables within this report.

Equipment Blanks

Equipment blanks are water samples used to determine whether or not decontamination procedures were adequate or that contamination was not inherent in the equipment used. Four equipment blanks were submitted to QES as follows:

| <u>Sample Number</u> | <u>Well Location</u> |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| BOGNV9 | 199-B2-12 |
| BOGNW0 | 199-B2-12 |
| BOGNW1 | 199-B5-1 |
| BOGNW2 | 199-B5-1 |

The following metal analytes were detected in the equipment blanks:

- Barium, calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, vanadium and zinc in sample numbers BOGNV9 and BOGNW1;
- Barium, calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, thallium, vanadium and zinc in sample number BOGNW0; and
- Barium, beryllium, calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, vanadium and zinc in sample number BOGNW2.

The following general chemistry analytes were detected in the equipment blanks:

- Specific conductance in sample number BOGNW1.

No radiochemical analytes were detected in the equipment blanks.

No qualifiers were assigned based on the above detects since under WHC validation guidelines, sample data are not qualified based on field blank results.

The following report is broken down into sections for metals, general chemistry and radiochemical analyses. Each metals section includes:

- A general validation summary which addresses precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability;
- Holding times;
- Calibrations;
- Blanks, including calibration, and preparation blanks;
- Analytical accuracy including matrix spike samples, laboratory control samples, GFAA analytical spikes and MSA results;
- Analytical precision including laboratory duplicates, ICP serial dilutions, field duplicates, field splits, and GFAA duplicate injections;
- Sample result quantitation, verification and reported detection limits; and
- System performance and overall assessment.

Each general chemistry section includes:

- A general validation summary which addresses precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability;
- Holding times;
- Calibrations;
- Laboratory blanks;
- Analytical accuracy including matrix spike samples and laboratory control samples;
- Analytical precision including laboratory duplicates, field duplicates and field splits;
- Sample result quantitation, verification and reported detection limits; and
- System performance and overall assessment.

Each radiochemistry section includes:

- A general validation summary which addresses precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability;
- Holding times;
- Calibrations;
- Laboratory blanks;
- Analytical accuracy including chemical recoveries, matrix spike samples and laboratory control samples;
- Analytical precision including laboratory duplicates, field duplicates and field splits;
- Sample result quantitation, verification and reported detection limits; and
- System performance and overall assessment.

In addition, the appendices include the data summary tables as well as the validated laboratory report forms for metals, general chemistry and radiochemistry analyses.

Data validation personnel assigned qualifiers to the reported data based on specified data quality objectives. Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with WHC guidelines to organic, inorganic and general chemistry data are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in

the sample. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.

- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ - Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with WHC guidelines to radiochemistry data are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. The value reported is the sample result corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory. The data is usable for decision making purposes.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected at concentrations above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate, but is usable for decision making purposes.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated

concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.

- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified QC deficiency.

1.1 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

Data validation is performed in order to determine the usability of analytical results to support programmatic decisions regarding the selection of cleanup remedies and investigative approach. Data validation is the process of reviewing a body of analytical data to determine if it meets the criteria defined in the WHC validation guidelines, and to assure that the data are acceptable for their intended use. The validation process, as required under the specified validation level, consists of:

- Verifying the data packages for completeness using validation Level A;
- Verifying compliance with quality assurance (QA) requirements;
- Checking quality control (QC) values against the defined limits; and
- Applying qualifiers to analytical results for the purpose of defining the limitations of the reviewed data;

The result of data validation is the completion of narrative reports, checklists, and summary forms. The validation will be used to determine whether the analytical data are acceptable for their intended use.

The objectives of this data validation project is to provide Bechtel Hanford Inc. with an assessment of environmental data regarding the 100-BC-5 Operable Unit Round 9 Groundwater Sampling Investigation.

1.2 SAMPLES AND ANALYSES

| SAMPLES AND ANALYSES | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Data Package No. | Sample Number | Sample Location | Sample Date | Sample Type ¹ | Level of Validation | Analysis ² |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ3 | 199-B2-13 | 10/13/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ4 | 199-B2-13 | 10/13/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ5 | 199-B3-1 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ6 | 199-B3-1 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ7 | 199-B3-46 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |

| SAMPLES AND ANALYSES | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Data Package No. | Sample Number | Sample Location | Sample Date | Sample Type ¹ | Level of Validation | Analysis ² |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ8 | 199-B3-46 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNQ9 | 199-B3-47 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR0 | 199-B3-47 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR1 | 199-B4-1 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR2 | 199-B4-1 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR5 | 199-B4-5 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR6 | 199-B4-5 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR7 | 199-B4-7 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNR8 | 199-B4-7 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNS5 | 199-B5-2 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNS6 | 199-B5-2 | 10/09/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNS7 | 199-B8-6 | 10/13/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNS8 | 199-B8-6 | 10/13/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNT3 | 199-B9-3 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0764-QES | BOGNT4 | 199-B9-3 | 10/12/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNQ1 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNQ2 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNR3 | 199-B4-4 | 10/17/95 | GW | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNR4 | 199-B4-4 | 10/17/95 | GW | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNS3 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNS4 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNT5 | 699-63-90 | 10/16/95 | GW | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNT6 | 699-63-90 | 10/16/95 | GW | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNV9 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW,EB | C | 1,2,3 |

| SAMPLES AND ANALYSES | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Data Package No. | Sample Number | Sample Location | Sample Date | Sample Type ¹ | Level of Validation | Analysis ² |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW0 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW,EB | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW1 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW,EB | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW2 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW,EB | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW3 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW,DUP | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW4 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW,DUP | C | 1 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW5 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW,DUP | C | 1,2,3 |
| W0769-QES | BOGNW6 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW,DUP | C | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNR9 | 199-B4-8 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNS0 | 199-B4-8 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNS1 | 199-B4-9 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNS2 | 199-B4-9 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNS9 | 199-B9-1 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNT0 | 199-B9-1 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNT1 | 199-B9-2 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNT2 | 199-B9-2 | 10/18/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNT7 | 699-65-72 | 10/19/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNT8 | 699-65-72 | 10/19/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNT9 | 699-65-83 | 10/20/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV0 | 699-65-83 | 10/20/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV1 | 699-66-64 | 10/19/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV2 | 699-66-64 | 10/19/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV3 | 699-71-77 | 10/20/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV4 | 699-71-77 | 10/20/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV5 | 699-72-73 | 10/19/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |

| SAMPLES AND ANALYSES | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Data Package No. | Sample Number | Sample Location | Sample Date | Sample Type ¹ | Level of Validation | Analysis ² |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV6 | 699-72-73 | 10/19/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV7 | 699-72-92 | 10/20/95 | GW | A | 1,2,3 |
| W0771-QES | BOGNV8 | 699-72-92 | 10/20/95 | GW | A | 1 |
| LK5628-LAS | BOGNW7 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW,SPLIT | C | 1,2,3 |
| LK5628-LAS | BOGNW8 | 199-B2-12 | 10/16/95 | GW,SPLIT | C | 1 |
| LK5628-LAS | BOGNW9 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW,SPLIT | C | 1,2,3 |
| LK5628-LAS | BOGNX0 | 199-B5-1 | 10/17/95 | GW,SPLIT | C | 1 |

- ¹ GW = Ground Water EB = Equipment Blank DUP = Duplicate SPLIT = Split Sample
² 1 = Inorganics, 2 = General Chemistry, 3 = Radiochemistry

000016

2.0 METALS DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

2.1 SUMMARY

Positive and negative preparation blank contamination was detected in numerous samples in SDG Nos. W0769 and LK5628. The matrix spike recovery for lead was outside QC limits in SDG No. W0769. All associated results were flagged accordingly.

With the exceptions noted above, the project-specific data quality objectives in terms of precision, accuracy, completeness, representativeness, and comparability have been met.

2.2 HOLDING TIMES

Analytical holding times for ICP metals, GFAA Metals and mercury analyses were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Samples must be analyzed within six months for all ICP and GFAA metals and within 28 days for mercury.

Holding times were met for all samples.

2.3 CALIBRATIONS

Performance of specific instrument quality assurance and quality control procedures, including deficiencies noted during the quality assurance review, are outlined below.

The calibrations are each immediately verified with an ICV standard and a calibration blank. The ICV is prepared from a source independent of the calibration standards, at a mid-calibration range concentration. The ICV percent recovery must fall within the control limits of 90% to 110% for metals analyzed by ICP. Calibration linearity near the detection limit is verified with a standard prepared at a concentration near the CRDL.

The calibrations are subsequently verified at regular intervals using a CCV standard. The control windows for percent recovery of CCV standards are the same as the ICV windows described above.

Calibrations are not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.3.1 ICP Calibration

An ICS is analyzed at the beginning and end of each ICP sample run to verify the laboratory interelement and background correction factors. Results for the ICS solution must fall within the control limit of plus or minus 20% of the true value.

ICP calibration is not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.3.2 Atomic Absorption Calibrations

Duplicate injections are required for all GFAA analyses. The duplicate injections establish the precision of the individual analytical determinations. For sample concentrations greater than the CRDL, duplicate injections must agree within plus or minus 20% RSD or CV.

Duplicate injections are not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.4 BLANKS

2.4.1 Calibration Blanks

A calibration blank must be analyzed at each wavelength used for analysis immediately after every initial and continuing calibration verification, at a frequency of 10% or every two hours during the run. The blank must be analyzed at the beginning of the run and after the last analytical sample. A CCB must be run after the last CCV following the last analytical sample of the run. In the case of positive blank results, samples with results (in ug/L) less than five times the highest amount found in any of the associated blanks have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations greater than five times the highest blank value do not require qualification.

If the absolute value of any negative calibration blank exceeds the IDL, all non-detects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". All associated positive results within two times the absolute blank value are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". The qualification applies only to results generated between the associated calibration blank and the nearest acceptable calibration blank.

Calibration results are not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.4.2 Preparation Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with results (in ug/L) of less than 5 times the preparation blank value have their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank exceeds the CRDL, all associated undetected results are rejected and flagged "UR". All associated detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary. If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the IDL and less than or equal to the CRDL, all associated non-detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". All associated detects less than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, beryllium results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOGNQ1, BOGNR3, BOGNR4, BOGNS3, BOGNS4, BOGNT6, BOGNW3 and BOGNW4 have been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a negative preparation blank result, chromium results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOGNQ1, BOGNQ2, BOGNT5, BOGNT6, BOGNV9, BOGNW0, BOGNW1, BOGNW2, BOGNW3 and BOGNW4 have been flagged "UJ".

Due to the presence of a negative preparation blank result, chromium results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOGNR3 and BOGNR4 have been flagged "J".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, copper results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOGNQ1, BOGNQ2, BOGNR3, BOGNR4, BOGNS3, BOGNS4, BOGNT5, BOGNT6, BOGNW3, BOGNW4, BOGNW5 and BOGNW6 have been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, iron results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOGNQ1, BOGNQ2, BOGNR4, BOGNS4, BOGNT6, BOGNW3, BOGNW4 and BOGNW6 have been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a negative preparation blank result, lead results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOGNQ1, BOGNQ2, BOGNR3, BOGNR4, BOGNS3, BOGNS4, BOGNT5, BOGNT6, BOGNV9, BOGNW0, BOGNW1, BOGNW2,

BOGNW3, BOGNW4, BOGNW5 and BOGNW6 have been flagged "UJ".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, manganese results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOG NR3, BOG NR4, BOG NS3, BOG NS4, BOG NT5, BOG NT6, BOG NW5 and BOG NW6 have been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a negative preparation blank result, thallium results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOG NQ1, BOG NR3, BOG NR4, BOG NS3, BOG NS4, BOG NT5, BOG NT6, BOG NV9, BOG NW1, BOG NW2, BOG NW3, BOG NW4, BOG NW5 and BOG NW6 have been flagged "UJ".

Due to the presence of a negative preparation blank result, thallium results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOG NQ2 and BOG NW0 have been flagged "J".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, vanadium results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOG NR3, BOG NR4, BOG NS3, BOG NS4, BOG NT5, BOG NT6, BOG NW5 and BOG NW6 have been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, zinc results in SDG No. W0769 sample numbers BOG NQ1, BOG NQ2, BOG NR3, BOG NR4, BOG NS3, BOG NS4, BOG NT5, BOG NT6, BOG NW3, BOG NW5 and BOG NW6 have been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, an aluminum result in SDG No. LK5628 sample number BOG NW9 has been flagged "U".

Due to the presence of a positive preparation blank result, iron results in SDG No. LK5628 sample numbers BOG NW7 and BOG NW9 have been flagged "U".

To avoid masking potential sources of contamination, professional judgement was used to determine that the equipment blank results reported in SDG No. W0769 would not be qualified based on positive method blank results.

All other preparation blank results were acceptable.

2.5 ACCURACY

2.5.1 Matrix Spike Samples

Matrix spike analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike recoveries must fall within the range of 75% to 125%. Samples with a spike recovery of less than 30% and a sample value

below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a spike recovery of 30% to 74% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a spike recovery of greater than 125% or less than 75% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified "J". All samples with a spike recovery greater than 125% and a sample result less than the IDL require no qualification.

The matrix spike recovery for lead in SDG No. W0769 was 72.6%, slightly below the established QC limits. The lead results for all samples in this SDG were qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ".

All other matrix spike recovery results were acceptable.

2.5.2 Laboratory Control Samples

The LCS monitors the overall performance of the analysis, including the sample preparation. An LCS should be digested or distilled and analyzed with every group of samples which have been prepared together. Non-detected sample results with a LCS recovery between 50% and 79% are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Detected sample results with a LCS recovery between 50% to 79% or greater than 120% are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Associated sample results with a LCS recovery less than 50% are rejected and flagged "R".

LCS results are not considered under Level C validation.

2.5.3 GFAA Analytical Spikes

The post-digestion analytical spike is analyzed to determine the extent of interference in the sample matrix. The analytical spike recoveries establish the accuracy of the individual GFAA determinations.

Positive sample results whose analytical spike results are outside the 85% to 115% control limit, but whose absorbances are less than 50% of the analytical spike absorbance, are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". In cases where the analytical spike recovery was less than 10%, all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

GFAA analytical spike results are not reviewed under Level C validation

2.5.4 Method of Standard Addition (MSA) Results

For all samples whose analytical spike results are outside the 85% to 115%

control limit and whose absorbances are greater than 50% of the analytical spike absorbance, an MSA is required. In cases where the MSA correlation coefficient was less than 0.995, the MSA analysis is repeated once. If the correlation coefficient was still less than 0.995, samples are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If a sample required MSA analysis but was not analyzed, all associated data must be qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

MSA results are not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.6 ANALYTICAL PRECISION

2.6.1 Laboratory Duplicate Samples

The laboratory duplicate results are used to assess the precision of the method by measuring a second aliquot of the sample that is treated the same way as the original. For aqueous samples, if the RPD of the original sample and its duplicate is greater than 20% and the positive sample result is greater than five times the CRDL, the associated sample result is qualified as an estimate and flagged "J". Also, the associated sample result is qualified as an estimate and flagged "J" if the positive sample result is less than five times the CRDL and the difference between the duplicate samples is greater than plus or minus the CRDL.

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

2.6.2 ICP Serial Dilution

The ICP serial dilution is used to determine whether significant physical or chemical interferences exist due to sample matrix. If a sample concentration is less than or equal to fifty times the IDL for an analyte and the %D is outside the plus or minus 10% control limits the associated data are qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Serial dilution results are not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.6.3 Field Duplicates

Field duplicate results are compared using the same guidelines for determining the RPD between a sample and its duplicate as described above. According to WHC validation guidelines, qualifiers are not assigned based on field duplicate results. Field duplicate results are discussed in section 1.0 of this report.

2.6.4 Field Split Samples

A field split sample is a representative sample from a sampling event that is sent to a third party laboratory. The field split sample results are evaluated by comparing the corresponding sample results to the reference laboratory sample results. According to WHC validation guidelines, qualifiers are not assigned based on field split results. Field split sample results are discussed in section 1.0 of this report.

2.6.5 GFAA Duplicate Injections

Each GFAA analysis requires a minimum of two injections (burns), except for full MSAs. The RSD for the duplicate injections must be within the control limits of plus or minus 20% for samples with concentrations greater than the CRQL. If these requirements are not met, the analytical sample must be rerun once (i.e., two additional burns). If the readings are then still outside the QC limits, the result is qualified as an estimate and flagged "J".

GFAA duplicate injections are not reviewed under Level C validation.

2.7 SAMPLE DETECTION LIMITS

The objective of reviewing detection limits is to verify that reported detection limits are less than or equal to the CRDL. All reported detection limits were acceptable.

2.8 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Positive and negative preparation blank contamination was reported in both SDGs validated. Associated sample results were flagged accordingly. The matrix spike recovery for lead was slightly below QC limits, resulting in all lead results in SDG No. W0769 being qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but per WHC guidelines, the data are usable for decision making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All metals data packages submitted for validation were found to be 100% complete.

3.0 GENERAL CHEMISTRY DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

3.1 SUMMARY

The holding time for pH was exceeded for all samples in all SDGs. All associated sample results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

With the above noted exceptions, the project-specific data quality objectives in terms of precision, accuracy, completeness, representativeness, and comparability have been met.

3.2 HOLDING TIMES

Analytical holding times were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: 28 days for fluoride, sulfate and specific conductivity and immediately for pH.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

The holding time for pH was exceeded for all samples in all SDGs (including SDGs W0764 and W0771). Therefore, the associated results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Holding times for all other analytes met QC requirements.

3.3 CALIBRATIONS

3.3.1 Initial Calibration

The following calibration procedures must be conducted:

- At least one blank and three standards are used to establish the ion chromatography, ion selective electrode, and spectrophotometer calibrations with a correlation greater than or equal to 0.995 prior to sample analysis.
- At least two reference buffers or standards at a high and low concentration

were used to calibrate the pH and conductivity meters.

If any of these initial calibration requirements are not met, all associated data are qualified "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects.

Initial calibration results are not reviewed under Level C validation.

3.3.2 Continuing Calibration Verification

All CCV standards must be analyzed at the required frequency. The percent recoveries must fall within the 90%-110% acceptance window. If the recoveries fall outside this window, all associated detects are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are flagged "UJ".

Continuing calibration results are not considered under Level C validation.

3.4 BLANKS

3.4.1 Laboratory Blanks

At least one laboratory preparation blank must be analyzed with each sample batch. At least one initial calibration blank must be analyzed for every 20 samples. As per WHC guidelines, no qualification of data based on blank contamination is required for general chemistry parameters.

All laboratory blank results were acceptable.

3.5 ACCURACY

3.5.1 Matrix Spike Recovery

Matrix spike analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike recoveries must fall within the range of 75% to 125%. Samples with a spike recovery less than 30% and a sample value below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a spike recovery between 30% and 74% and a sample value below the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Samples with a spike recovery of less than 75% or greater than 125% and a sample value greater than the IDL are qualified as "J". Finally, samples with a spike recovery of greater than 125% and a sample value less than the IDL are acceptable and do not require qualification.

All matrix spike recovery results were acceptable.

3.5.2 Laboratory Control Sample Recovery

The LCS monitors the overall performance of all steps in the analysis, including the sample preparation. An LCS should be prepared (e.g., digested or distilled) and analyzed with every group of samples which have been prepared together. The performance criteria for aqueous LCS percent recovery is 80% to 120%. The performance criteria for solid LCS samples are established by the manufacturer or the laboratory.

LCS results are not reviewed under Level C validation.

3.6 PRECISION

3.6.1 Laboratory Duplicates

The laboratory duplicate sample analyses are used to measure laboratory precision and sample homogeneity. Laboratory duplicate RPDs must fall below 20% for waters and 35% for soils. If an RPD for an aqueous sample is greater than 20% and the sample result is less than five times the CRDL, all associated detects are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the range between duplicate aqueous samples is greater than plus or minus the CRDL and the sample result is less than five times the CRDL, all associated detects are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If an RPD for soil samples is greater than 35% and the sample result is greater than five times the CRDL, all associated detects are flagged "J". If the range between duplicate soil samples is greater than plus or minus two times the CRDL and the sample result is less than five times the CRDL, then all detects are flagged "J".

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

3.6.2 Field Duplicates

Field duplicate sample analyses are used to measure both the lab and field sampling procedure precision. Field duplicate results are compared using the same guidelines for determining the precision between a sample and its duplicate. Under WHC validation guidelines, data are not qualified based on field duplicate results. Results of the field duplicate samples are discussed in section 1.0 of this report.

3.6.3 Field Split Samples

A field split sample is a representative sample from a sampling event that is sent to a third party laboratory. Field split sample results are evaluated by comparing the corresponding sample results to the reference laboratory sample results. Under WHC validation guidelines, data qualification is not required based on field split results. Results of the field split samples are discussed in section 1.0.

3.7 SAMPLE DETECTION LIMITS

The sample detection limits were evaluated to ensure that all analytes were analyzed for at or below the CRDL. The laboratory detection level for all sulfate results in SDG No. W0769 were above the CRDL. Under WHC guidelines, no qualification is required. All other sample detection limits were acceptable.

3.8 OVERALL ASSESSMENT AND SUMMARY

Holding time for pH was exceeded for all samples in all SDGs. All results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under WHC guidelines, the data are considered usable for decision making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All general chemistry packages submitted for validation were found to be 100% complete.

4.0 RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

4.1 SUMMARY

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all carbon-14 and tritium results in SDG No. W0769 and all technetium-99 results in SDG No. LK5628 were qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

With the exceptions noted above, the project-specific data quality objectives in terms of precision, accuracy, completeness, representativeness, and comparability have been met.

4.2 HOLDING TIMES AND SAMPLE PREPARATION

Holding times are calculated from Chain-of-Custody forms to determine the validity of the results. The maximum holding time for radiochemical analyses is six months. Tritium sample preparation requires distillation. Tritium samples must be analyzed within seven days of distillation.

All holding times and sample preparation measures were acceptable. Tritium distillation is not reviewed under Level C validation.

4.3 CALIBRATIONS

Instrument calibration is performed to establish that the counters used to determine radionuclide activities are capable of producing acceptable and reliable analytical data. Each counting system must be factory calibrated at installation and after any maintenance or repair. Calibration consists of an instrument efficiency determination for each applicable radionuclide. Continuing calibration checks are performed to verify that instrument performance is stable and reproducible.

Calibration results, including efficiency checks and background counts, are not reviewed under Level C validation.

4.4 LABORATORY BLANKS

Laboratory blank samples are analyzed to determine if positive results are due to laboratory reagent, sample container, or detector contamination. If blank analysis results indicate the presence of an analyte above the MDA, the following qualifiers were applied: All positive sample results less than five times the highest blank concentration are qualified as estimates; sample results below the MDA are

elevated to the MDA and qualified as undetected; sample results above the MDA and greater than five times the highest blank concentration are not qualified.

All laboratory blank results were acceptable.

4.5 ACCURACY

4.5.1 Laboratory Control and Matrix Spike Samples

Accuracy was evaluated by analyzing soil or distilled water samples spiked with known amounts of radionuclides. The sample activity as determined by analysis is compared to the known activity to assess accuracy. The acceptable laboratory control sample recovery range is 70% to 130%, while that for a matrix spike is 60% to 140%. Spike sample results outside the above ranges resulted in associated sample results being qualified as estimates, rejected, or not qualified, depending on the activity of the individual sample.

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all carbon-14 and tritium results in SDG No. W0769 were qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all technetium-99 results in SDG No. LK5628 were qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

All other laboratory control and matrix spike sample results were acceptable.

4.6 PRECISION

4.6.1 Laboratory Duplicates

Analytical precision is expressed by the RPD between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. Precision is also be assessed using unspiked duplicate sample analyses. If both sample and replicate activities are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 35% for soil samples and less than 20% for water samples, the results are acceptable. If either activities are less than five times the CRDL, a control limit of less than or equal to two times the CRDL is used for soil samples and less than or equal to the CRDL for water samples. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

4.6.2 Field Duplicates

Field duplicate results are compared using the same guidelines for determining the RPD between a sample and its duplicate. Under WHC validation guidelines, data qualification is not required based on field duplicate results. Results of the field duplicate samples are discussed in section 1.0.

4.6.3 Field Split Samples

A field split sample is a representative sample from a sampling event that is sent to a third party laboratory. The field split sample results are evaluated by comparing the corresponding sample results to the reference laboratory sample results. Under WHC validation guidelines, data qualification is not required based on field split results. Results of the field split samples are discussed in section 1.0.

4.7 SAMPLE DETECTION LIMITS

The MDA for each analyte was assessed to ensure that it met the CRDL. The reviewer verified that the reported detection limits were at or below the CRDL.

4.8 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE AND OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all carbon-14 and tritium results in SDG No. W0769 and all technetium-99 results in SDG No. LK5628 were qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ". Data flagged "J" indicate the associated concentration is an estimate, but under WHC guidelines, the data are considered usable for decision making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All radiochemical data packages submitted for validation were found to be 100% complete.

5.0 REFERENCES

- EPA, 1987, *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846*, Third Edition, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1988a, *EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analyses, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1988b, *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analyses*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1988c, *EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganics Analyses, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1988d, *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1990, *EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analyses, Multi-media, Multi-Concentration*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1991, *EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organics Analyses, Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration*, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- WHC, 1992a, *Data Validation Procedures for Chemical Analyses*, WHC-SD-EN-SPP-002, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, October 1993.
- WHC, 1992b, *Data Validation Procedure for Radiological Analyses*, WHC-SD-EN-SPP-001, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, 1993.
- EPA, 1994, *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

APPENDICES

000032

APPENDIX A
METALS DATA SUMMARY TABLES

000035

| Project: WESTINGHOUSE - HANFORD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----|--------|---|--------|---|--|
| Laboratory: Quanterra | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Case | | SDG: W0769 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Number | B0GNW1 | | B0GNW2 | | B0GNW3 | | B0GNW4 | | B0GNW5 | | B0GNW6 | | | | | | | |
| Location | 199-B5-1 | | 199-B5-1 | | 199-B2-12 | | 199-B2-12 | | 199-B5-1 | | 199-B5-1 | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | EB | | EB | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | | Duplicate | | | | | | | |
| Sample Date | 10/17/95 | | 10/17/95 | | 10/16/95 | | 10/16/95 | | 10/17/95 | | 10/17/95 | | | | | | | |
| Inorganic Analytes | CRDL | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | |
| Aluminum | 200 | 22.3 | U | 22.3 | U | 22.3 | U | 22.3 | U | 22.3 | U | 22.3 | U | | | | | |
| Antimony | 60 | 27.6 | U | 27.6 | U | 27.6 | U | 27.6 | U | 27.6 | U | 27.6 | U | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 10 | 1.1 | U | 1.1 | U | 3.0 | | 3.1 | | 1.7 | | 2.3 | | | | | | |
| Barium | 200 | 4.0 | | 3.7 | | 59.9 | | 60.9 | | 32.8 | | 32.5 | | | | | | |
| Beryllium | 5 | 0.60 | U | 0.77 | | 0.87 | U | 0.77 | U | 0.60 | U | 0.60 | U | | | | | |
| Cadmium | 5 | 2.3 | U | 2.3 | U | 2.3 | U | 2.3 | U | 2.3 | U | 2.3 | U | | | | | |
| Calcium | 5000 | 1880 | | 1710 | | 26300 | | 26500 | | 45500 | | 44600 | | | | | | |
| Chromium | 10 | 3.7 | UJ | 3.7 | UJ | 3.7 | UJ | 3.7 | UJ | 72.5 | | 69.0 | | | | | | |
| Cobalt | 50 | 4.2 | U | 4.2 | U | 4.2 | U | 4.2 | U | 4.2 | U | 4.2 | U | | | | | |
| Copper | 25 | 9.9 | | 9.6 | | 14.0 | U | 10.2 | U | 11.0 | U | 11.3 | U | | | | | |
| Iron | 100 | 26.8 | | 27.9 | | 40.4 | U | 27.8 | U | 69.0 | | 32.9 | U | | | | | |
| Lead | 3 | 1.2 | UJ | 1.2 | UJ | 1.2 | UJ | 1.2 | UJ | 1.2 | UJ | 1.2 | UJ | | | | | |
| Magnesium | 5000 | 419 | | 401 | | 9490 | | 9570 | | 9110 | | 8920 | | | | | | |
| Manganese | 15 | 2.9 | | 2.7 | | 9.2 | | 8.5 | | 4.5 | U | 3.8 | U | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.2 | 0.10 | U | 0.10 | U | 0.10 | U | 0.10 | U | 0.10 | U | 0.10 | U | | | | | |
| Nickel | 40 | 11.3 | U | 11.3 | U | 11.3 | U | 11.3 | U | 11.3 | U | 11.3 | U | | | | | |
| Potassium | 5000 | 1390 | U | 1390 | U | 5110 | | 4600 | | 4660 | | 4380 | | | | | | |
| Selenium | 5 | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | | | | | |
| Silver | 10 | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | | | | | |
| Sodium | 5000 | 307 | | 252 | | 12900 | | 13000 | | 10200 | | 10000 | | | | | | |
| Thallium | 10 | 0.50 | UJ | 0.50 | UJ | 0.50 | UJ | 0.50 | UJ | 0.50 | UJ | 0.50 | UJ | | | | | |
| Vanadium | 50 | 7.3 | | 5.6 | | 44.8 | | 41.5 | | 15.4 | U | 14.8 | U | | | | | |
| Zinc | 20 | 27.4 | | 15.8 | | 15.4 | U | 32.4 | | 17.8 | U | 18.7 | U | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

000035

JB/C 1/4/95

EB = Equipment Blank

| Project: BECHTEL-HANFORD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|
| Laboratory: Lockheed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Case | SDG: LK5628 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Number | B0GNW7 | | B0GNW8 | | B0GNW9 | | B0GNX0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Location | 199-B2-12 | | 199-B2-12 | | 199-B5-1 | | 199-B5-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | Split | | Split | | Split | | Split | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Date | 10/16/95 | | 10/16/95 | | 10/17/95 | | 10/17/95 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inorganic Analytes | CRDL | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q | Result | Q |
| Aluminum | 200 | 21.0 | U | 21.0 | U | 23.8 | U | 21.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 60 | 4.0 | U | 4.0 | U | 4.0 | U | 4.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 10 | 4.6 | | 10.3 | | 4.6 | | 5.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barium | 200 | 63.2 | | 66.0 | | 31.0 | | 33.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beryllium | 5 | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | 1.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cadmium | 5 | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | 5000 | 27500 | | 30600 | | 46600 | | 52800 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium | 10 | 8.8 | | 4.0 | U | 80.7 | | 88.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cobalt | 50 | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | 6.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Copper | 25 | 3.0 | U | 3.0 | U | 3.0 | U | 3.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Iron | 100 | 43.8 | U | 9.0 | U | 98.4 | U | 9.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | 3 | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Magnesium | 5000 | 10100 | | 10900 | | 8900 | | 9960 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manganese | 15 | 7.0 | | 7.4 | | 2.0 | U | 2.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.2 | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | 0.20 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nickel | 40 | 14.0 | U | 14.0 | U | 14.0 | U | 14.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Potassium | 5000 | 4740 | | 5020 | | 5080 | | 4890 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selenium | 5 | 4.0 | U | 4.0 | U | 4.0 | U | 4.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Silver | 10 | 3.0 | U | 3.0 | U | 3.0 | U | 3.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sodium | 5000 | 13800 | | 14600 | | 10300 | | 11400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thallium | 10 | 4.9 | | 4.6 | | 5.9 | | 3.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vanadium | 50 | 40.9 | | 41.9 | | 7.7 | | 5.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc | 20 | 4.3 | | 3.0 | U | 8.3 | | 3.0 | U | | | | | | | | | | | | |

000030

RKC
12/28/95

APPENDIX B
METALS VALIDATED LABORATORY REPORT FORMS

CLP

1

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

B0GNW7

Lab Name: L.A.S.

Contract: HANFORD

Lab Code: LOCK

Case No.: B95-10

SAS No.:

SDG No.: L5628W

Matrix (soil/water): WATER

Lab Sample ID: L5628-2

Level (low/med): LOW

Date Received: 10/18/95

Solids: 0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | 21.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | 4.6 | B | | P |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | 63.2 | B | | P |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | 1.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | 5.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | 27500 | | | P |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | 8.8 | B | | P |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | 6.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | 43.8 | B | | P |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | 2.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | 10100 | | | P |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | 7.0 | B | | P |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | | | | NR |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | 14.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | 4740 | B | | P |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | 13800 | | | P |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | 4.9 | B | | P |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | 40.9 | B | | P |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | 4.3 | B | | P |

Color Before: COLORLESS

Clarity Before: CLEAR

Texture:

Color After: COLORLESS

Clarity After: CLEAR

Artifacts:

Comments:

12/25
12/28/95

FORM I - IN

000054

052 25

CLP

1
INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNW7

Lab Name: L.A.S. _____ Contract: HANFORD _____

Lab Code: LOCK__ Case No.: B95-10 SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: L5628W

Matrix (soil/water): WATER Lab Sample ID: L5628-3__

Level (low/med): LOW__ Date Received: 10/18/95

% Solids: __0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L__

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | | | | NR |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | | | | NR |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | | | | NR |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | | | | NR |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | | | | NR |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | | | | NR |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | | | | NR |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | | | | NR |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | | | | NR |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | | | | NR |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | | | | NR |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | | | | NR |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | | | | NR |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | | | | NR |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | 0.20 | U | | AV |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | | | | NR |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | | | | NR |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | | | | NR |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | | | | NR |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | | | | NR |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | | | | NR |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | | | | NR |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | | | | NR |

Color Before: COLORLESS Clarity Before: CLEAR__ Texture: _____

Color After: COLORLESS Clarity After: CLEAR__ Artifacts: _____

Comments:

FORM I - IN .

RJS
12/28/95

000055

05325

CLP

1

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNW8

Lab Name: L.A.S. _____ Contract: HANFORD _____

Lab Code: LOCK _____ Case No.: SAF#B9 SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: L5628F

Matrix (soil/water): WATER _____ Lab Sample ID: L5628-16 _____

Level (low/med): LOW _____ Date Received: 10/18/95

Solids: _____ 0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L _____

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | 21.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | 10.3 | | | P |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | 66.0 | B | | P |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | 1.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | 5.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | 30600 | | | P |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | 6.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | 9.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | 2.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | 10900 | | | P |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | 7.4 | B | | P |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | | | | NR |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | 14.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | 5020 | | | P |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | 14600 | | | P |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | 4.6 | B | | P |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | 41.9 | B | | P |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | 3.0 | U | | P |

Color Before: _____ Clarity Before: _____ Texture: _____

Color After: _____ Clarity After: _____ Artifacts: _____

Comments:

_____ PJS 12/28/95

FORM I - IN

000056

063-25

CLP

1
INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNW8

Lab Name: L.A.S. _____ Contract: HANFORD _____

Lab Code: LOCK__ Case No.: SAF#B9 SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: L5628F

Matrix (soil/water): WATER Lab Sample ID: L5628-17__

Level (low/med): LOW__ Date Received: 10/18/95

Solids: __0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L__

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | | | | NR |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | | | | NR |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | | | | NR |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | | | | NR |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | | | | NR |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | | | | NR |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | | | | NR |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | | | | NR |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | | | | NR |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | | | | NR |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | | | | NR |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | | | | NR |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | | | | NR |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | | | | NR |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | 0.20 | U | | AV |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | | | | NR |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | | | | NR |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | | | | NR |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | | | | NR |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | | | | NR |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | | | | NR |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | | | | NR |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | | | | NR |

Color Before: COLORLESS Clarity Before: CLEAR__ Texture: _____

Color After: COLORLESS Clarity After: CLEAR__ Artifacts: _____

Comments:

RJS 12/28/95

FORM I - IN

000057

06425

CLP

1
INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNW9

Lab Name: L.A.S. _____ Contract: HANFORD _____

Lab Code: LOCK__ Case No.: B95-10 SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: L5628W

Matrix (soil/water): WATER Lab Sample ID: L5644-2__

Level (low/med): LOW__ Date Received: 10/19/95

% Solids: __0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L__

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|-----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | 23.8 | B | | P U |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | 4.6 | B | | P |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | 31.0 | B | | P |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | 1.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | 5.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | 46600 | | | P |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | 80.7 | | | P |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | 6.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | 98.4 | B | | P U |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | 2.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | 8900 | | | P |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | 2.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | | | | NR |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | 14.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | 5080 | | | P |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | 10300 | | | P |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | 5.9 | B | | P |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | 7.7 | B | | P |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | 8.3 | B | | P |

Color Before: COLORLESS Clarity Before: CLEAR__ Texture: _____

Color After: COLORLESS Clarity After: CLEAR__ Artifacts: _____

Comments:

FORM I - IN

RJS
12/28/95

000058

05425

CLP

1

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNW9

Lab Name: L.A.S. _____

Contract: HANFORD _____

Lab Code: LOCK _____

Case No.: B95-10

SAS No.: _____

SDG No.: L5628W

Matrix (soil/water): WATER

Lab Sample ID: L5644-3 _____

Level (low/med): LOW _____

Date Received: 10/19/95

% Solids: _____ 0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L _____

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | | | | NR |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | | | | NR |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | | | | NR |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | | | | NR |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | | | | NR |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | | | | NR |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | | | | NR |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | | | | NR |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | | | | NR |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | | | | NR |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | | | | NR |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | | | | NR |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | | | | NR |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | | | | NR |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | 0.20 | U | | AV |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | | | | NR |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | | | | NR |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | | | | NR |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | | | | NR |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | | | | NR |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | | | | NR |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | | | | NR |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | | | | NR |

Color Before: COLORLESS

Clarity Before: CLEAR _____

Texture: _____

Color After: COLORLESS

Clarity After: CLEAR _____

Artifacts: _____

Comments:

_____ RJS 12/28/95

FORM I - IN

000059

05525

CLP

1

INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNX0

Lab Name: L.A.S. Contract: HANFORD

Lab Code: LOCK Case No.: SAF#B9 SAS No.: SDG No.: L5628F

Matrix (soil/water): WATER Lab Sample ID: L5644-16

Level (low/med): LOW Date Received: 10/19/95

Solids: 0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | 21.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | 5.5 | B | | P |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | 33.8 | B | | P |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | 1.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | 5.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | 52800 | | | P |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | 88.6 | | | P |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | 6.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | 9.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | 2.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | 9960 | | | P |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | 2.0 | U | | P |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | | | | NR |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | 14.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | 4890 | B | | P |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | 4.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | 11400 | | | P |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | 3.0 | U | | P |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | 5.1 | B | | P |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | 3.0 | U | | P |

Color Before: Clarity Before: Texture:
Color After: Clarity After: Artifacts:

Comments:

Handwritten notes and date 12/28/95

FORM I - IN

000060

Handwritten signature

CLP

1
INORGANIC ANALYSES DATA SHEET

CLIENT ID NO.

BOGNX0

Lab Name: L.A.S. _____ Contract: HANFORD _____

Lab Code: LOCK__ Case No.: SAF#B9 SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: L5628F

Matrix (soil/water): WATER Lab Sample ID: L5644-17__

Level (low/med): LOW__ Date Received: 10/19/95

% Solids: __0.0

Concentration Units (ug/L or mg/kg dry weight): UG/L__

| CAS No. | Analyte | Concentration | C | Q | M |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---|---|----|
| 7429-90-5 | Aluminum | | | | NR |
| 7440-36-0 | Antimony | | | | NR |
| 7440-38-2 | Arsenic | | | | NR |
| 7440-39-3 | Barium | | | | NR |
| 7440-41-7 | Beryllium | | | | NR |
| 7440-43-9 | Cadmium | | | | NR |
| 7440-70-2 | Calcium | | | | NR |
| 7440-47-3 | Chromium | | | | NR |
| 7440-48-4 | Cobalt | | | | NR |
| 7440-50-8 | Copper | | | | NR |
| 7439-89-6 | Iron | | | | NR |
| 7439-92-1 | Lead | | | | NR |
| 7439-95-4 | Magnesium | | | | NR |
| 7439-96-5 | Manganese | | | | NR |
| 7439-97-6 | Mercury | 0.20 | U | | AV |
| 7440-02-0 | Nickel | | | | NR |
| 7440-09-7 | Potassium | | | | NR |
| 7782-49-2 | Selenium | | | | NR |
| 7440-22-4 | Silver | | | | NR |
| 7440-23-5 | Sodium | | | | NR |
| 7440-28-0 | Thallium | | | | NR |
| 7440-62-2 | Vanadium | | | | NR |
| 7440-66-6 | Zinc | | | | NR |

Color Before: COLORLESS Clarity Before: CLEAR__ Texture: _____

Color After: COLORLESS Clarity After: CLEAR__ Artifacts: _____

Comments:

_____ RJS 12/28/95

FORM I - IN

000061

06825

APPENDIX C
GENERAL CHEMISTRY DATA SUMMARY TABLES

APPENDIX D
GENERAL CHEMISTRY VALIDATED LABORATORY REPORT FORMS

Quanterra-Richland
P.O. Box 1970
Richland, WA 99352

Project: 550.107

Category: Anions - F, S04 EPA 300.0
Method: EPA 300.0
Matrix: LIQUID

Sample Date : 10/16/95
Receipt Date : 10/17/95
Report Date : 11/20/95

| Client ID | Quanterra ID | Analyte | CAS Number | Blank Sample Name | Prep. Date | Analyses Date | Result | Unit | Qual. | Detection Limit | Dil. |
|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------|------|-------|-----------------|------|
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.40 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001DUP | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.42 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001MS | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 94 | %REC | | | 1 |
| BOGNV9 | 9590-003 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.10 | MG/L | U | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNT5 | 9590-005 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.30 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNW3 | 9590-007 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.41 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNS3 | 9606-001 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.25 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNR3 | 9606-003 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.28 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNW5 | 9606-005 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.28 | MG/L | | 0.10 | 1 |
| BOGNW1 | 9606-007 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.10 | MG/L | U | 0.10 | 1 |
| NA | QCBLK82011-1 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.10 | MG/L | U | 0.10 | 1 |
| NA | QCCLS82011-1 | Fluoride | 16984-48-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 101 | %REC | | | 1 |

PLSC
11/8/95

000066

~~000062~~

Quanterra-Richland
P.O. Box 1970
Richland, WA 99352

Project: 550.107

Category: Anions IC - S04
Method: EPA 300.0
Matrix: LIQUID

Sample Date : 10/16/95
Receipt Date : 10/17/95
Report Date : 11/20/95

| Client ID | Quanterra ID | Analyte | CAS Number | Blank Sample Name | Prep. Date | Analyses Date | Result | Unit | Qual. | Detection Limit | Dil. |
|-----------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------|------|-------|-----------------|------|
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 10.7 | MG/L | | 0.50 | 1 |
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001DUP | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 10.1 | MG/L | | 0.50 | 1 |
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001MS | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 98 | %REC | | | 2 |
| BOGNV9 | 9590-003 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.50 | MG/L | U | 0.50 | 1 |
| BOGNT5 | 9590-005 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 26.9 | MG/L | | 1.00 | 2 |
| BOGNW3 | 9590-007 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 10.3 | MG/L | | 0.50 | 1 |
| BOGNS3 | 9606-001 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 35.0 | MG/L | | 2.50 | 5 |
| BOGNR3 | 9606-003 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 48.4 | MG/L | | 2.50 | 5 |
| BOGNW5 | 9606-005 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 33.9 | MG/L | | 2.50 | 5 |
| BOGNW1 | 9606-007 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.50 | MG/L | U | 0.50 | 1 |
| NA | QCBLK82011-1 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 0.50 | MG/L | U | 0.50 | 1 |
| NA | QCBLK82096-1 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 0.50 | MG/L | U | 0.50 | 1 |
| NA | QCCLS82011-1 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82011-1 | 10/30/95 | 10/30/95 | 93 | %REC | | | 1 |
| NA | QCCLS82096-1 | Sulfate | 14808-79-8 | QCBLK82096-1 | 10/31/95 | 10/31/95 | 93 | %REC | | | 1 |

JLSC
1/8/95

000067

~~000006~~ *JLSC*

Quanterra-Richland
P.O. Box 1970
Richland, WA 99352

Project: 550.107

Category: Conductivity
Method: EPA 9050
Matrix: LIQUID

Sample Date : 10/16/95
Receipt Date : 10/17/95
Report Date : 11/20/95

| Client ID | Quanterra ID | Analyte | CAS Number | Blank Sample Name | Prep. Date | Analyses Date | Result | Unit | Qual. | Detection Limit | Dil. |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------|------------|-------|-----------------|------|
| B0GNQ1 | 9590-001 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK80912-1 | 10/19/95 | 10/19/95 | 255 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNQ1 | 9590-001DUP | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK80912-1 | 10/19/95 | 10/19/95 | 255 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNV9 | 9590-003 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK80912-1 | 10/19/95 | 10/19/95 | 1.00 | UMHOS/CM U | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNT5 | 9590-005 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK80912-1 | 10/19/95 | 10/19/95 | 323 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNW3 | 9590-007 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK80912-1 | 10/19/95 | 10/19/95 | 256 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNS3 | 9606-001 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK81322-1 | 10/24/95 | 10/24/95 | 343 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNR3 | 9606-003 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK81322-1 | 10/24/95 | 10/24/95 | 394 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNW5 | 9606-005 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK81322-1 | 10/24/95 | 10/24/95 | 343 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| B0GNW1 | 9606-007 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK81322-1 | 10/24/95 | 10/24/95 | 29.4 | UMHOS/CM | | 1.00 | 1 |
| NA | QCBLK80912-1 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK80912-1 | 10/19/95 | 10/19/95 | 1.00 | UMHOS/CM U | | 1.00 | 1 |
| NA | QCBLK81322-1 | Specific Conduc | C-011 | QCBLK81322-1 | 10/24/95 | 10/24/95 | 1.00 | UMHOS/CM U | | 1.00 | 1 |

JVSC
1/8/95

000068

~~000064~~

Quanterra-Richland
P.O. Box 1970
Richland, WA 99352

Project: 550.107

Category: pH EPA 9040
Method: EPA 9040
Matrix: LIQUID

Sample Date : 10/16/95
Receipt Date : 10/17/95
Report Date : 11/20/95

| Client ID | Quanterra ID | Analyte | CAS Number | Blank Sample Name | Prep. Date | Analyses Date | Result | Unit | Qual. | Detection Limit | Dil. |
|-----------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--------|------|-------|-----------------|------|
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.87 | PH | J | | 1 |
| BOGNQ1 | 9590-001DUP | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.89 | PH | | | 1 |
| BOGNV9 | 9590-003 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 5.52 | PH | J | | 1 |
| BOGNT5 | 9590-005 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.80 | PH | | | 1 |
| BOGNW3 | 9590-007 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.87 | PH | | | 1 |
| BOGNS3 | 9606-001 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.40 | PH | | | 1 |
| BOGNR3 | 9606-003 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.91 | PH | | | 1 |
| BOGNW5 | 9606-005 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 7.36 | PH | | | 1 |
| BOGNW1 | 9606-007 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 4.92 | PH | | | 1 |
| NA | QCBLK81229-1 | pH | C-006 | QCBLK81229-1 | 10/23/95 | 10/23/95 | 5.21 | PH | | | 1 |

000069

XV
11/8/95
~~0000065~~

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

Sample Results

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Client Sample ID: BOGNW7 | Date Collected: 16-OCT-95 |
| Matrix: Water | Date Received: 18-OCT-95 |
| Percent Solids: N/A | |

| Constituent | Units | Method | Result | Project Reporting Limit | Data Qualifier(s) | Date Analyzed | LAS Batch ID | LAS Sample ID |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Fluoride | mg/L | 300.0 | 0.42 | 0.10 | | 23-OCT-95 | 28989 | L5628-4 |
| Sulfate | mg/L | 300.0 | 11. | 0.10 | | 20-OCT-95 | 28990 | L5628-4 |
| pH | pH Units | 9040 | 8.1 | 0.10 | J | 23-OCT-95 | 28953 | L5628-4 |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 9050 | 260 | 1.0 | | 06-NOV-95 | 28991 | L5628-5 |

BSK
12/28/95

~~031~~ *RS*

000070

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

Sample Results

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Client Sample ID: BOGNW9 | Date Collected: 17-OCT-95 |
| Matrix: Water | Date Received: 19-OCT-95 |
| Percent Solids: N/A | |

| Constituent | Units | Method | Result | Project Reporting Limit | Data Qualifier(s) | Date Analyzed | LAS Batch ID | LAS Sample ID |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Fluoride | mg/L | 300.0 | 0.24 | 0.10 | | 23-OCT-95 | 28989 | L5644-4 |
| Sulfate | mg/L | 300.0 | 39. | 0.10 | | 20-OCT-95 | 28990 | L5644-4 |
| pH | pH Units | 9040 | 7.6 | 0.10 | J | 23-OCT-95 | 28953 | L5644-4 |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 9050 | 350 | 1.0 | | 06-NOV-95 | 28991 | L5644-5 |

RVC
12/28/95

032/21

000071

APPENDIX E
RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA SUMMARY TABLES

APPENDIX F
RADIOCHEMISTRY VALIDATED LABORATORY REPORT FORMS

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51023201 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: B0GNQ1 DATE RECEIVED: 10/17/95 10:10:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 1.58E+00 | 8.4E-01 | 8.7E-01 | 1.06E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 6.93E+00 | 1.8E+00 | 1.9E+00 | 2.83E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 3.76E-01 U | 2.3E-01 | 2.6E-01 | 7.79E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | -1.58E-01 U J | 1.5E+00 | 3.1E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | -1.63E+00 U | 1.6E+00 | 4.5E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | 1.33E+02 U J | 1.4E+02 | 2.1E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

Handwritten: JBC
1/4/96

000076

Handwritten: 000076

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51024601 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: B0GNS3 DATE RECEIVED: 10/18/95 10:00:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 1.41E+00 | 7.7E-01 | 7.9E-01 | 8.31E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 1.52E+01 | 2.3E+00 | 2.5E+00 | 2.87E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 8.59E-01 | 2.7E-01 | 3.8E-01 | 7.65E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | -5.41E-01 | 1.5E+00 | 3.1E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | 3.52E+01 | 2.5E+00 | 7.8E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | 2.08E+03 | 2.3E+02 | 3.4E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

1/4/96

000078

0008

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51023203 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: B0GNT5 DATE RECEIVED: 10/17/95 10:10:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 1.20E+00 | 7.5E-01 | 7.7E-01 | 1.03E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 5.42E+00 | 1.7E+00 | 1.7E+00 | 2.72E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 1.66E-01 <i>U</i> | 2.0E-01 | 2.1E-01 | 7.67E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | -2.34E+00 <i>UJ</i> | 1.5E+00 | 3.0E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | -1.92E+00 <i>U</i> | 1.6E+00 | 4.5E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | -5.07E+01 <i>UJ</i> | 1.3E+02 | 2.0E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

RISC
1/4/96

000075

~~000701~~

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51023202 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: B0GNV9 DATE RECEIVED: 10/17/95 10:10:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 9.05E-02 <i>U</i> | 2.6E-01 | 2.6E-01 | 6.15E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 1.36E+00 <i>U</i> | 1.3E+00 | 1.3E+00 | 2.63E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 1.63E-01 <i>U</i> | 2.1E-01 | 2.1E-01 | 7.90E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | -2.25E-01 <i>UJ</i> | 1.5E+00 | 3.1E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | -2.34E+00 <i>U</i> | 1.6E+00 | 4.5E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | 1.59E+01 <i>UJ</i> | 1.3E+02 | 2.0E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

R/KC
1/4/96

0006/μ

000080

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51024604 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: BOGNW1 DATE RECEIVED: 10/18/95 10:00:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 2.76E-01 U | 3.4E-01 | 3.4E-01 | 6.47E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 5.36E-01 U | 1.2E+00 | 1.2E+00 | 2.63E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 2.87E-01 U | 2.5E-01 | 2.6E-01 | 8.97E-01 | pCi/L | 79.30% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | 5.18E-01 U J | 1.5E+00 | 3.1E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | -1.28E+00 U | 1.6E+00 | 4.5E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | 6.05E+01 U J | 1.3E+02 | 2.0E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

1/4/99

000081

001/99

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51023204 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: B0GNW3 DATE RECEIVED: 10/17/95 10:10:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 1.26E+00 | 7.4E-01 | 7.6E-01 | 9.29E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 5.69E+00 | 1.7E+00 | 1.8E+00 | 2.84E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 1.89E-01 U | 2.1E-01 | 2.2E-01 | 8.07E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | -1.37E+00 UJ | 1.5E+00 | 3.0E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | -2.38E+00 U | 1.6E+00 | 4.5E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | 2.97E+01 UJ | 1.3E+02 | 2.0E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

1/4/96

00008~

~~0008~~

SAMPLE RESULTS

LAB NAME: ITAS-RICHLAND SDG: W0769
 LAB SAMPLE ID: 51024603 MATRIX: WATER
 CLIENT ID: B0GNW5 DATE RECEIVED: 10/18/95 10:00:00 AM

| ISOTOPE | RESULT | COUNTING ERROR (2s) | TOTAL ERROR (2s) | MDA | REPORT UNIT | YIELD | METHOD NUMBER |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| ALPHA | 2.29E+00 | 1.0E+00 | 1.1E+00 | 1.15E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| BETA | 7.66E+01 | 4.4E+00 | 7.0E+00 | 2.89E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3214 |
| STRONTIUM | 1.28E+00 | 3.1E-01 | 5.0E-01 | 7.97E-01 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3204 |
| C-14 | 1.19E+00 ⁰⁵ | 1.5E+00 | 3.1E+00 | 3.50E+00 | pCi/L | 100.00% | RD3263 |
| TC-99 | 4.05E+01 | 2.6E+00 | 8.3E+00 | 3.83E+00 | pCi/L | 95.10% | ITAS-IT-RS-0001 |
| TRITIUM | 2.00E+03 ^J | 2.3E+02 | 3.4E+02 | 3.09E+02 | pCi/L | 88.10% | RD3205 |

Number of Results:

1/4/96

000083

001/24

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

RAD DATA REPORT (ra01)

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. * Richland, WA

Bechtel Hanford Project (Project BECHTEL-HANFORD)

Client Sample ID: BOGNW7

LAL Sample ID: L5628-6

Date Collected: 16-OCT-95

Date Received: 18-OCT-95

Matrix: Water

Login Number: L5628

SDG: LK5628

| CONSTITUENT | Analyzed | Batch | ACTIVITY | Error | MCA | Datapoint | Units |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|-------|------|-----------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 02-NOV-95 | GR ALP/BETA LAL-0060_29082 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 | U | pCi/L |
| Gross Beta | 02-NOV-95 | GR ALP/BETA LAL-0060_29082 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | U | pCi/L |
| Total radio-strontium | 13-NOV-95 | SR-90 LAL-0196_29083 | 0.02 | 0.57 | 0.99 | | pCi/L |

JMS
1/4/94

0.75

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

RAD DATA REPORT (ra01)

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. * Richland, WA

Bechtel Hanford Project (Project BECHTEL-HANFORD)

Client Sample ID: BOGNW7

LAL Sample ID: L5628-11

Date Collected: 16-OCT-95

Date Received: 18-OCT-95

Matrix: Water

Login Number: L5628

SDG: LK5628

| Constituent | Analyzed | Batch | Activity | Error | MBA | Dataqual | Units |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------|----------|-------|-----|----------|-------|
| Tc-99 | 17-NOV-95 | TC-99 LAL-0169_30338 | -3.9 | 4.3 | 7.8 | 0J | pci/L |

RSC
1/4/96

~~076/4/96~~

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

RAD DATA REPORT (ra01)

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. * Richland, WA

Bechtel Hanford Project (Project BECHTEL-HANFORD)

Client Sample ID: BOGNW7

LAL Sample ID: L5628-15

Date Collected: 16-OCT-95

Date Received: 18-OCT-95

Matrix: Water

Login Number: L5628

SDG: LK5628

| Constituent | Analyzed | Batch | Activity | ERROR | NDA | Data Eval | Units |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|-------|-----|-----------|-------|
| C-14 | 27-OCT-95 | C-14 LAL-0209_29087 | -31. | 82. | 110 | | pCi/L |
| H-3 | 21-NOV-95 | TRITIUM(H3) LAL-0066_29086 | -120 | 210 | 280 | CC | pCi/L |

pkc
1/4/96

077/96

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

RAD DATA REPORT (ra01)

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. * Richland, WA

Bechtel Hanford Project (Project BECHTEL-HANFORD)

Client Sample ID: BOGNW9

LAL Sample ID: L5644-6

Date Collected: 17-OCT-95

Date Received: 19-OCT-95

Matrix: Water

Login Number: L5644

| Constituent | Analyzed | Batch | Activity | Error | MDA | Detector | Units |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|-------|-----|----------|-------|
| Gross Alpha | 02-NOV-95 | GR ALP/BETA LAL-0060_29082 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.5 | c U | pCi/L |
| Gross Beta | 02-NOV-95 | GR ALP/BETA LAL-0060_29082 | 34.5 | 3.2 | 2.2 | | pCi/L |
| Total radio-strontium | 13-NOV-95 | SR-90 LAL-0196_29083 | 1.44 | 0.67 | 1.0 | | pCi/L |

1/4/95
PKC

078/4

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

RAD DATA REPORT (ra01)

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. * Richland, WA

Bechtel Hanford Project (Project BECHTEL-HANFORD)

Client Sample ID: BOGNW9

LAL Sample ID: L5644-11

Date Collected: 17-OCT-95

Date Received: 19-OCT-95

Matrix: Water

Login Number: L5644

SDG: LK5628

| Constituent | Analyzed | Batch | Activity | FFDP | MDA | Database | Units |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------|----------|------|-----|----------|-------|
| Tc-99 | 17-NOV-95 | TC-99 LAL-0169_30338 | 38.7 | 7.1 | 8.3 | J | pCi/L |

MSC
1/4/96

079/MS

LOCKHEED ANALYTICAL SERVICES

RAD DATA REPORT (ra01)

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. * Richland, WA

Bechtel Hanford Project (Project BECHTEL-HANFORD)

Client Sample ID: 80GNW9

LAL Sample ID: L5644-15

Date Collected: 17-OCT-95

Date Received: 19-OCT-95

Matrix: Water

Login Number: L5644

| Constituent | Analyzed | Batch | ACTIVITY | Error | MDA | Detector | Units |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|-------|-----|----------|-------|
| C-14 | 27-OCT-95 | C-14 LAL-0209_29087 | 34. | 87. | 110 | U | pCi/L |
| H-3 | 21-NOV-95 | TRITIUM(H3) LAL-0066_29086 | 2210 | 400 | 280 | | pCi/L |

02/30
1/4/96

08/08