

0059926

Isom, Debra A (Debbi)

From: Tortoso, Arlene C
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 2:46 PM
To: Isom, Debra A (Debbi)
Cc: Price, John (ECY)
Subject: FW: Administrative Record for ISRM

Debbi:
I concur with John's request.
Arlene

-----Original Message-----

From: Price, John [mailto:Jpri461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 3:18 PM
To: 'Tortoso, Arlene C'
Subject: RE: Administrative Record for ISRM

Arlene, I am requesting your concurrence to have Debbi remove from the Admin record the draft ESD received by AR on 12/3/02, and the DOE response to EPA comments. If you concur please forward this email to Debbi.

-----Original Message-----

From: Tortoso, Arlene C [mailto:Arlene_C_Tortoso@RL.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2003 8:28 AM
To: Price, John
Subject: FW: Administrative Record for ISRM

-----Original Message-----

From: Isom, Debra A (Debbi)
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2003 8:24 AM
To: Tortoso, Arlene C
Subject: RE: Administrative Record for ISRM

Arlene,

The AR has two ESDs for 100-HR-3.

The first has no date, but was received by the AR on 12/3/02. Without the signatures it would be considered a draft. You sent it to me via an email dated 10/15/2002, and asked for it to be included in the AR. The second document you sent at that time is DOE's response to EPA comments. As I stated below, when the AR receives comments and/or responses to comments, it is necessary to include the document being commented/responded to.

The second ESD has a date of 4/7/03 and is signed by the 3 parties.

Thanks, Debbi

-----Original Message-----

From: Tortoso, Arlene C
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2003 9:36 AM
To: Isom, Debra A (Debbi)
Subject: FW: Administrative Record for ISRM

7/23/2003

Debbi:

Could you check into John Price's comment below and let me know what the Admin. Record contains regarding draft versions of the ESD for the ISRM project for 100-HR-3.

Thanks,
Arlene

-----Original Message-----

From: Price, John [mailto:Jpri461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2003 4:57 PM
To: 'Tortoso, Arlene C'
Subject: RE: Administrative Record for ISRM

I would agree with public review drafts having to be in the record, but not with internal drafts. My impression was that internal review drafts were in the record. Can you check that out? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Tortoso, Arlene C [mailto:Arlene_C_Tortoso@RL.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2003 4:52 PM
To: Price, John
Subject: RE: Administrative Record for ISRM

John:

I checked with Debbi on your question awhile back and she stated that the draft versions need to be in the Admin Record to support the comments received and comment responses sent, on the draft versions, leading up to the final version. If you have questions about this you may want to give her a call at 376-2530.

Thanks,
Arlene

-----Original Message-----

From: Price, John [mailto:Jpri461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2003 4:12 PM
To: Arlene Tortoso
Cc: Borghese, Jane V
Subject: RE: Administrative Record for ISRM

Hi Arlene, did you ever get this fixed? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Price, John
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2003 2:07 PM
To: Arlene Tortoso (Arlene_C_Tortoso@RL.gov)
Subject: Administrative Record for ISRM

I believe that there are draft versions of the ESD in the admin record for the 100-HR-3 ESD. Can you have someone look into it and clean up the file?

The accession numbers are D9192299, D9192295

John Price, Project Manager
Department of Ecology
(509) 736-3029