

0070419

**SAF-RC-051**  
**100 & 300 Area Component of the**  
**RCBRA - Incremental Soil Sampling**  
**FINAL VALIDATION PACKAGE**

**COMPLETE COPY OF VALIDATION PACKAGE TO:**

Jeanette Duncan (2) H9-02

*JE 07/26/06*  
INITIAL/DATE

**SDG K0274**

**SAF-RC-051**

**Sample Location/Waste Site: 100-H Riparian #8**

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 08 2006

**EDMC**

Date: 14 July 2006  
To: Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)  
From: TechLaw, Inc.  
Project: 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA – Incremental Soil Sampling  
Subject: Semivolatile - Data Package No. K0274-LLI

## **INTRODUCTION**

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0274 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample	Date	Matrix	Method	Reference
J11JJ6	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ7	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ8	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ9	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JKO	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1

1 – Semivolatiles by 8270C.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

## **DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES**

### **• Holding Times & Sample Preservation**

Analytical holding times were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Samples must be extracted within 14 days of the date of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days from the date of extraction for semivolatile analytes.

000001

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detectable sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

The nature of the incremental sampling process precludes sample preservation by cooling. Per WCH instruction, this validation does not include examining the sample preservation cooling parameters of the WCH validation procedures.

All holding times were acceptable.

#### • **Method Blanks**

Method blank analyses are conducted to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. Analytical results for analytes present in any sample at less than five times the concentration of that analyte found in the associated blank are qualified as non-detects and flagged "U". Common laboratory contaminants present in samples at less than ten times the concentration of that analyte found in the associated blank are qualified as non-detects. If a sample result is less than the CRQL and is less than five times (or less than ten times for lab contaminants) the highest associated blank result, the sample result value is raised to the CRQL level and qualified as undetected "U".

Due to method blank contamination, the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate result in all samples were qualified as undetected, raised to the RQL and flagged "U".

All other method blank results were acceptable.

#### Field Blanks

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

#### • **Accuracy**

##### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate & Blank Spike Recoveries

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to

000002

accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analyses are performed in duplicate using five compounds for which percent recoveries must be within a range of 50-150% or within laboratory control limits. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Undetected sample results with spike recoveries below control limits are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Undetected sample results are not qualified if the spike recovery is above control limits. Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

Due to an LCS recovery outside QC limits (16%), all 2,4-dinitrophenol results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

#### Surrogate Recovery

The analyses of surrogate compounds provide a measure of performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control windows have been established by the EPA CLP program. If two surrogates of the same class of compounds (base/neutral or acid) are out of control limits, all associated sample results greater than the contract required quantitation limit (CRQL) are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Sample results less than the CRQL and below the lower control limit are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results less than the CRQL with recoveries above the upper control limit require no qualification. If a surrogate recovery is less than 10%, detects are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All surrogate results were acceptable.

#### Precision

##### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes. Precision is expressed by the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. Samples results must be within RPD limits of +/-20%. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

000003

Due to an RPD outside QC limits (31%), all 2,4-dinitrophenol results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other precision results were acceptable.

#### Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

#### • **Analytical Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required quantitation limits (RQL's) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. Forty analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required. All other analytes met the RQL.

#### • **Completeness**

Data package No. K0274 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 4%.

#### **MAJOR DEFICIENCIES**

None found.

#### **MINOR DEFICIENCIES**

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

- Due to method blank contamination, the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate result in all samples were qualified as undetected, raised to the RQL and flagged "U".
- Due to an LCS recovery outside QC limits (16%), all 2,4-dinitrophenol results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to an RPD outside QC limits (31%), all 2,4-dinitrophenol results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

000004

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

Forty analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

#### **REFERENCES**

WCH, Contract #20266, *Validation Statement of Work*, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005, *100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling and Analysis Plan*.

**Appendix 1**  
**Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers**

**000006**

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the WCH validation SOW are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the same quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications usable for decision-making purposes).

000007

**Appendix 2**  
**Summary of Data Qualification**

000008

SEMIVOLATILE DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY\*



COMMENTS:

COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	U at RQL	All	Blank contamination
2,4-Dinitrophenol	J	All	LCS & RPD

\* - The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

**Appendix 3**  
**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports**

**000010**

Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD											
Laboratory: LLI		SDG: K0274									
Sample Number	J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0		
Remarks											
Sample Date	3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		
Extraction Date	3/21/06		3/21/06		3/21/06		3/21/06		3/21/06		
Analysis Date	4/12/06		4/13/06		4/12/06		4/13/06		4/12/06		
Semivolatile (8270C)	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Phenol		330	U	330	U	19		330	U	330	U
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2-Chlorophenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	330	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2-Methylphenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
3 and/or 4-Methylphenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Hexachloroethane		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Nitrobenzene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Isophorone		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2-Nitrophenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,4-Dimethylphenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,4-Dichlorophenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	330	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Naphthalene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
4-Chloroaniline		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Hexachlorobutadiene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2-Methylnaphthalene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	330	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	330	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
2-Chloronaphthalene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2-Nitroaniline		830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
Dimethylphthalate		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Acenaphthylene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U

Laboratory applied non-detect qualifiers "U" have been included in this table to minimize miss-interpretation of results.

All other qualifiers shown were applied during validation.

\* - RQL exceeded

000011

Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD											
Laboratory: LLI		SDG: K0274									
Sample Number	J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0		
Remarks											
Sample Date	3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		
Extraction Date	3/21/06		3/21/06		3/21/06		3/21/06		3/21/06		
Analysis Date	4/12/06		4/13/06		4/12/06		4/13/06		4/12/06		
Semivolatile (B270C)	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
3-Nitroaniline		830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
Acenaphthene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,4-Dinitrophenol		830	UJ	830	UJ	830	UJ	830	UJ	830	UJ
4-Nitrophenol		830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
Dibenzofuran	330	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Diethylphthalate		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Fluorene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
4-Nitroaniline		830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol		830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Hexachlorobenzene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Pentachlorophenol	330	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U	830	U
Phenanthrene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Anthracene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Carbazole		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Di-n-butylphthalate		36		50		61		330	U	28	
Fluoranthene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Pyrene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Butylbenzylphthalate		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Benzo(a)anthracene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Chrysene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Di-n-octylphthalate		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Benzo(a)pyrene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U

000012

Laboratory applied non-detect qualifiers "U" have been included in this table to minimize miss-interpretation of results.

All other qualifiers shown were applied during validation.

\* - RQL exceeded



Cust ID: J11JJ6 J11JJ7 J11JJ7 J11JJ7 J11JJ8 J11JJ9

RFW#: 001 002 002 MS 002 MSD 003 004

2-Chloronaphthalene	330 U	330 U	80 %	76 %	330 U	330 U
2-Nitroaniline	830 U	830 U	83 %	80 %	830 U	830 U
Dimethylphthalate	330 U	330 U	80 %	76 %	330 U	330 U
Acenaphthylene	330 U	330 U	82 %	78 %	330 U	330 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	330 U	330 U	80 %	77 %	330 U	330 U
3-Nitroaniline	830 U	830 U	94 %	91 %	830 U	830 U
Acenaphthene	330 U	330 U	82 %	78 %	330 U	330 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol	830 U J	830 U J	33 %	45 %	830 U J	830 U J
4-Nitrophenol	830 U	830 U	82 %	83 %	830 U	830 U
Dibenzofuran	330 U	330 U	84 %	79 %	330 U	330 U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	330 U	330 U	87 %	83 %	330 U	330 U
Diethylphthalate	330 U	330 U	83 %	79 %	330 U	330 U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	330 U	330 U	80 %	77 %	330 U	330 U
Fluorene	330 U	330 U	81 %	76 %	330 U	330 U
4-Nitroaniline	830 U	830 U	97 %	80 %	830 U	830 U
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	830 U	830 U	75 %	77 %	830 U	830 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	330 U	330 U	67 %	64 %	330 U	330 U
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	330 U	330 U	70 %	67 %	330 U	330 U
Hexachlorobenzene	330 U	330 U	88 %	85 %	330 U	330 U
Pentachlorophenol	830 U	830 U	80 %	88 %	830 U	830 U
Phenanthrene	330 U	330 U	84 %	81 %	330 U	330 U
Anthracene	330 U	330 U	86 %	82 %	330 U	330 U
Carbazole	330 U	330 U	87 %	84 %	330 U	330 U
Di-n-butylphthalate	36 J	50 J	82 %	79 %	61 J	330 U
Fluoranthene	330 U	330 U	87 %	83 %	330 U	330 U
Pyrene	330 U	330 U	88 %	84 %	330 U	330 U
Butylbenzylphthalate	330 U	330 U	89 %	86 %	330 U	330 U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	330 U	330 U	64 %	62 %	330 U	330 U
Benzo (a) anthracene	330 U	330 U	84 %	81 %	330 U	330 U
Chrysene	330 U	330 U	83 %	78 %	330 U	330 U
bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	330 180 JBU	330 180 JBU	84 %	81 %	330 180 JBU	330 67 JBU
Di-n-octyl phthalate	330 U	330 U	87 %	85 %	330 U	330 U
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	330 U	330 U	92 %	90 %	330 U	330 U
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	330 U	330 U	78 %	75 %	330 U	330 U
Benzo (a) pyrene	330 U	330 U	81 %	77 %	330 U	330 U
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	330 U	330 U	75 %	71 %	330 U	330 U
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	330 U	330 U	75 %	71 %	330 U	330 U
Benzo (g, h, i) perylene	330 U	330 U	68 %	64 %	330 U	330 U

000014

00000000

(1) - Cannot be separated from Diphenylamine. \*= Outside of EPA CLP QC limits.

Handwritten signature and date: 7/13/06



Cust. ID: J11JK0 SBLKV V SBLKV BS

RFW#: 005 06LE0265-MB1 06LE0265-MB1

2-Chloronaphthalene	330	U	330	U	70	%
2-Nitroaniline	830	U	830	U	73	%
Dimethylphthalate	330	U	330	U	72	%
Acenaphthylene	330	U	330	U	72	%
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	330	U	330	U	71	%
3-Nitroaniline	830	U	830	U	95	%
Acenaphthene	330	U	330	U	72	%
2,4-Dinitrophenol	830	UJ	830	U	16	* %
4-Nitrophenol	830	U	830	U	74	%
Dibenzofuran	330	U	330	U	74	%
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	330	U	330	U	79	%
Diethylphthalate	330	U	330	U	73	%
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	330	U	330	U	71	%
Fluorene	330	U	330	U	71	%
4-Nitroaniline	830	U	830	U	83	%
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	830	U	830	U	58	%
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	330	U	330	U	59	%
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	330	U	330	U	62	%
Hexachlorobenzene	330	U	330	U	79	%
Pentachlorophenol	830	U	830	U	64	%
Phenanthrene	330	U	330	U	74	%
Anthracene	330	U	330	U	77	%
Carbazole	330	U	330	U	77	%
Di-n-butylphthalate	28	J	330	U	76	%
Fluoranthene	330	U	330	U	81	%
Pyrene	330	U	330	U	75	%
Butylbenzylphthalate	330	U	330	U	76	%
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	330	U	330	U	101	%
Benzo(a)anthracene	330	U	330	U	75	%
Chrysene	330	U	330	U	75	%
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	330	U	33	J	73	%
Di-n-octyl phthalate	330	U	330	U	73	%
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	330	U	330	U	76	%
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	330	U	330	U	73	%
Benzo(a)pyrene	330	U	330	U	72	%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	330	U	330	U	73	%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	330	U	330	U	73	%
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	330	U	330	U	68	%

330 5/19/92 JB U

*Handwritten signature and date: 7/13/92*

(1) - Cannot be separated from Diphenylamine. \* = Outside of EPA CLP QC limits.

000000011

**Appendix 4**  
**Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation**

**000017**



## Case Narrative

**Client:** TNU-HANFORD RC-051  
**LVL #:** 0603L638  
**SDG/SAF #** K0274/RC-051

**W.O. #:** 11343-606-001-9999-00  
**Date Received:** 03-30-2006

### SEMIVOLATILE

Five (5) soil samples were collected on 03-28-2006.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW 846 method 3540C on 04-07-2006 and analyzed according to criteria set forth in Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW 846 Method 8270C for TCL Semivolatile target compounds on 04-11,12-2006.

The following is a summary of QC results accompanying the sample results. Lionville Laboratory Inc (LvLI) certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC except as noted below:


1. Samples were extracted and analyzed within required holding time.
2. Non-target compounds were detected in the samples.
3. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
4. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
5. One (1) of sixty-four (64) blank spike recoveries was outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR) has been enclosed.
6. The method blank contained the common laboratory contaminant Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate at a level less than the CRQL.
7. Internal standard area and retention time criteria were met.
8. Manual integrations are performed according to SOP QA-125 to produce quality data with the utmost integrity. All manual integrations are required to be technically valid and properly documented. Appropriate technical flags are defined in the Glossary ("Technical Flags For Manual Integration").

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 20 pages.

000018



9. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
10. I certify, that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data, contained in this hard-copy data package, has been authorized, by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

  
Iain Daniels  
Laboratory Manager  
Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

4/21/08  
Date

som\group\data\bna\mu-hanford\0603-638.doc

000019

Lionville Laboratory Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR)

SDR #: 06M5123

Initiator: Robert Caden  
 Date: 4/17/06  
 Client: Tri-Hanford RCOSI

Batch: 06036639  
 Samples: 95  
 Method: MS/MSD CAW/CLP

Parameter: OPUSH  
 Matrix: S.I.  
 Prep Batch: 06L60265

1. Reason for SDR

a. COC Discrepancy  Tech Profile Error  Client Request  Sampler Error on C-O-C  
 Transcription Error  Wrong Test Code  Other \_\_\_\_\_

b. General Discrepancy

Missing Sample/Extract  Container Broken  Wrong Sample Pulled  Label ID's Illegible  
 Hold Time Exceeded  Insufficient Sample  Preservation Wrong  Received Past Hold  
 Improper Bottle Type  Not Amenable to Analysis

Note: Verified by [Log-In] or [Prep Group] (circle)...signature/date: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Problem (include all relevant specific results; attach data if necessary)

2.4 dinitrophenol spike recovery at or below limits -16% (20-120) MS/MSD ok. All other spikes satisfactory.

2. Known or Probable Causes(s)

phthalic acid esters are subject to erratic chromatographic behavior especially if GC systems contaminated with high boiling material.

3. Discussion and Proposed Action

Other Description:

- Re-log
- Entire Batch
- Following Samples: \_\_\_\_\_
- Re-leach
- Re-extract
- Re-digest
- Revise EDD
- Change Test Code to \_\_\_\_\_
- Place On/Take Off Hold (circle)

Narrate

4. Project Manager Instructions...signature/date:

- Concur with Proposed Action
- Disagree with Proposed Action; See Instruction
- Include in Case Narrative
- Client Contacted:
- Date/Person \_\_\_\_\_
- Add
- Cancel

[Signature] 4/18/06

5. Final Action...signature/date:

- Verified re-[log][leach][extract][digest][analysis] (circle)
- Included in Case Narrative
- Hard Copy COC Revised
- Electronic COC Revised
- EDD Corrections Completed

Other Explanation:

[Signature] 4/21/06

When Final Action has been recorded, forward original to QA Specialist for distribution and filing.

Route Distribution of Completed SDR

Route Distribution of Completed SDR

- Initiator
- Lab General Manager: M. Taylor
- Project Mgr. Stone/Johnson
- Data Management: Stinwell
- Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger

- Metals: Beegle
- Inorganic: Perrone
- GC/LC: Kiger
- MS: Rychlak/Daley
- Log-In: Perry
- Admin: \_\_\_\_\_
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Washington Closure Hanford</b>		<b>CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST</b>				RC-051-107		Page 2 of 2			
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L		Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8		SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>					
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596-1		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment FED EX					
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (LIONVILLE)		Offsite Property No. A060151		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC							

<b>POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS</b>  NONE  <b>Special Handling and/or Storage</b> Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, & page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.	Preservation	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
	Type of Container	G/P	G/P	aG	aG	aG	aG	G/P	G/P	^	^
	No. of Container(s)	9	9	7	1	7	7	7	7	0	0
	Volume	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	1^	1^
<b>SAMPLE ANALYSIS</b>		See item (1) in Special Instructions.	Chromium Hex - 7196	Semi-VOA - 8270A (TCL)	PAHs - 3310	Pesticides - 8081	PCBs - 8082	IC Anions - 300.0 (Nitrate)	NO2/NO3 - 353.2 (Nitrogen in Nitrite and Nitrate)	-	-

Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time								
J11JJ6	SOIL	3-28-06	14:00	3	1	1		1	1	1	1
J11JJ7			16:19	1	3	3		1	1	1	1
J11JJ8			15:20	1	1	1		3	3	1	1
J11JJ9			15:00	1	1	1		1	1	3	3
J11JK0			13:00	3	3	1		1	1	1	1

<b>CHAIN OF POSSESSION</b>		<b>Sign/Print Names</b>		<b>SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS</b>				<b>Matrix *</b> Soil Silt/Sand 300-Soil Sludge W = Water G = Gas A = Air D = Drum U = Other T = Tank W = Wire L = Liquid V = Vapour K = Other
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M. Tepper	Date/Time 3-29-06	Received By/Stored In CHZM Hill	Date/Time 3-29-06	* These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89,90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction.				
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M. Tepper	Date/Time 3-29-06	Received By/Stored In Fed Ex	Date/Time 3-29-06	~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kestner for any questions.				
Relinquished By/Removed From Fed Ex	Date/Time 3-30-06	Received By/Stored In J. Kennedy	Date/Time 3-30-06	(1) ICP Metals - 6010 (Full List) (Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Bismuth, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Lithium, Magnesium, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Phosphorus, Potassium, Selenium, Silicon, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Thallium, Tin, Uranium, Vanadium, Zinc)				
Relinquished By/Removed From Fed Ex	Date/Time 3-30-06	Received By/Stored In J. Kennedy	Date/Time 3-30-06					

<b>LABORATORY SECTION</b>	Received By	Title	Date/Time
<b>FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION</b>	Disposal Method	Disposed By	Date/Time

**Appendix 5**  
**Data Validation Supporting Documentation**

**000022**

GC/MS ORGANIC DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
PROJECT:	RCBRA - Soil		DATA PACKAGE: K0274		
VALIDATOR:	TLE	LAB: LLJ	DATE: 7/10/06		
		SDG: K0274			
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
SW-846 8260		SW-846 8260 (TCLP)	<b>SW-846 8270</b>		SW-846 8270 (TCLP)
SAMPLES/MATRIX					
J11J16 J11J17 J11J18 J11J19 J11J20					
Soil					

1. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND CASE NARRATIVE

Technical verification documentation present? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. INSTRUMENT TUNING AND CALIBRATION (Levels D and E)

GC/MS tuning/performance check acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Initial calibrations acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Continuing calibrations acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Standards traceable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Standards expired? ..... Yes No  N/A

Calculation check acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

GC/MS ORGANIC DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)

Calibration blanks analyzed? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 Calibration blank results acceptable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 Laboratory blanks analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
 Laboratory blank results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
 Field/trip blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
 Field/trip blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A

Comments:

MS - di-n-butylphthalate & bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate -  
all at RQL  
no FR  
date

4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)

Surrogates/system monitoring compounds analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
 Surrogate/system monitoring compound recoveries acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
 Surrogates traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 Surrogates expired? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
 MS/MSD samples analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
 MS/MSD results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
 MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 MS/MSD standards? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 LCS/BSS samples analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
 LCS/BSS results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
 Standards traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
 Standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
 Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
 Performance audit sample(s) analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
 Performance audit sample results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Comments:

2,4-dinitrophenol - LCS - 1670 - all  
no FR

GC/MS ORGANIC DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

5. PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)

MS/MSD samples analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD RPD values acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
Field split RPD values acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Comments: 2,4-dinitrophenol - 3170 - J all

6. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE (Levels D and E)

Internal standards analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
Internal standard areas acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
Internal standard retention times acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
Standards traceable? ..... Yes No N/A  
Standards expired? ..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors?..... Yes No N/A  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

7. HOLDING TIMES (all levels )

Samples properly preserved? ..... Yes No N/A  
Sample holding times acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

- cooling not assessed per WCH

GC/MS ORGANIC DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION, QUANTITATION, AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)

Compound identification acceptable? (Levels D, E).....	Yes	No	N/A
Compound quantitation acceptable? (Levels D, E).....	Yes	No	N/A
Results reported for all requested analyses?.....	Yes	No	N/A
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E).....	Yes	No	N/A
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E).....	Yes	No	N/A
Laboratory properly identified and coded all TIC? (Levels D, E).....	Yes	No	N/A
Detection limits meet RDL?.....	Yes	No	N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E).....	Yes	No	N/A
Comments: <u>40 over</u>			

9. SAMPLE CLEANUP (Levels D and E)

GPC cleanup performed? .....	Yes	No	N/A
GPC check performed? .....	Yes	No	N/A
GPC check recoveries acceptable?.....	Yes	No	N/A
GPC calibration performed?.....	Yes	No	N/A
GPC calibration check performed? .....	Yes	No	N/A
GPC calibration check retention times acceptable? .....	Yes	No	N/A
Check/calibration materials traceable?.....	Yes	No	N/A
Check/calibration materials Expired?.....	Yes	No	N/A
Analytical batch QC given similar cleanup? .....	Yes	No	N/A
Transcription/Calculation Errors? .....	Yes	No	N/A
Comments: _____			

Date: 14 July 2006  
 To: Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)  
 From: TechLaw, Inc.  
 Project: 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA – Incremental Soil Sampling  
 Subject: Inorganic - Data Package No. K0274-LLI

**INTRODUCTION**

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0274 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Date	Matrix	Validation	Reference
J11JJ6	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ7	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ8	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ9	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JK0	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1

1 – ICP metals by 6010B.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

**DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS**

**· Holding Times**

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within 6 months for ICP metals.

All holding times were acceptable.

**000001**

## • Preparation (Method) Blanks

### Preparation Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water processed through each sample preparation and analysis procedure, must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with digestate concentrations less than five times the preparation blank value have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

In the case of negative blank results, if the absolute value exceeds the contract required detection limit (CRDL), all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR" and all detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the associated preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the instrument detection limit (IDL) and less than or equal to the CRDL, all nondetects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ" and all detects less than ten times the absolute value of the blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary.

All preparation blank results were acceptable.

### Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

## • Accuracy

### Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 80% to 120%. Samples with a recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 79% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 120% or less than 80% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a recovery greater than 120% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

000002

Due to a matrix spike recovery outside QC limits (35.9%), all antimony results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Due to an LCS recovery outside QC limits (64.5%), all silicon results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

- **Precision**

- Laboratory Duplicate Samples

- Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 30%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

- All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

- Field Duplicate

- No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

- **Analytical Detection Levels**

- Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the 100 and 300 Area RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All results met the RQL.

- **Completeness**

- Data package No. K0274 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

## **MAJOR DEFICIENCIES**

None found.

## **MINOR DEFICIENCIES**

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

- Due to a matrix spike recovery outside QC limits (35.9%), all antimony results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to an LCS recovery outside QC limits (64.5%), all silicon results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

## **REFERENCES**

WCH, Contract #20266, *Validation Statement of Work*, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005, *100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan*.

**Appendix 1**  
**Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers**

**000005**

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with WCH validation SOW are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ - Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

000006

**Appendix 2**  
**Summary of Data Qualification**

000007

METALS DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY\*

SPS-K022-001			
COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Silicon	J	All	LCS recovery
Antimony	J	All	MS recovery

\* - The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

**Appendix 3**

**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports**

000009

000010

Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD											
Laboratory: LLI SDG: K0274											
Sample Number		J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0	
Remarks											
Sample Date		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06	
Inorganics	RQL	Result	Q								
Silver	1	0.12		0.11	U	0.10	U	0.10	U	0.11	U
Aluminum		7600		7350		8030		7430		7690	
Arsenic	10	5.7		5.5		5.9		5.7		5.6	
Boron		2.5		0.88		0.60		0.54		0.36	U
Barium	2	71.1		71.0		75.2		68.1		71.5	
Beryllium		0.45		0.42		0.46		0.43		0.45	
Bismuth		0.77	U	0.77	U	0.76	U	0.76	U	0.77	U
Calcium		3850		3970		4270		4090		4040	
Cadmium	0.5	1.1		1.1		1.2		1.0		1.1	
Cobalt		5.8		5.8		6.3		6.1		6.0	
Chromium	1	21.1		19.7		22.6		22.4		22.2	
Copper	1	26.5		27.1		27.8		29.4		25.2	
Iron		18400		17300		19800		19300		19700	
Potassium	400	828		793		861		816		824	
Lithium	5	9.8		9.4		9.9		9.4		9.8	
Magnesium		4270		4220		4420		4240		4360	
Manganese		286		305		338		295		308	
Molybdenum		0.76		0.73		0.72		0.72		0.66	
Sodium		222		224		239		234		219	
Nickel		16.4		16.1		16.9		16.4		16.7	
Phosphorous	5	663		652		682		657		666	
Lead	5	31.5		35.0		35.6		36.7		33.2	
Antimony	6	0.66	UJ								
Selenium		0.71	U	0.71	U	0.70	U	0.70	U	0.71	U
Silicon		391	J	323	J	367	J	383	J	376	J
Tin		1.6	U								
Strontium		24.0		23.5		25.6		24.1		24.3	
Thallium		1.1	U	1.1	U	1.0	U	1.0	U	1.1	U
Uranium	30	1.3	U								
Vanadium		42.7		38.0		46.0		44.9		46.1	
Zinc	1	202		218		236		225		208	

Laboratory applied non-detect qualifiers "U" have been included in this table to minimize miss-interpretation of results. All other qualifiers shown were applied during validation.

Liconville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11243-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
-001	J11JJ6	Silver, Total	0.12	MG/KG	0.11	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	7600	MG/KG	4.3	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	5.7	MG/KG	0.92	3.0
		Boron, Total	2.5	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Barium, Total	71.1	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.45	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.77 u	MG/KG	0.77	3.0
		Calcium, Total	3850	MG/KG	2.5	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	1.1	MG/KG	0.11	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	5.8	MG/KG	0.21	3.0
		Chromium, Total	21.1	MG/KG	0.20	3.0
		Copper, Total	26.5	MG/KG	0.18	3.0
		Iron, Total	18400	MG/KG	5.2	3.0
		Potassium, Total	828	MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Lithium, Total	9.8	MG/KG	0.05	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	4270	MG/KG	1.5	3.0
		Manganese, Total	286	MG/KG	0.05	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.76	MG/KG	0.44	3.0
		Sodium, Total	222	MG/KG	1.1	3.0
		Nickel, Total	16.4	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	663	MG/KG	1.4	3.0
		Lead, Total	31.5	MG/KG	0.47	3.0
		Antimony, Total	0.66 u	MG/KG	0.66	3.0
		Selenium, Total	0.71 u	MG/KG	0.71	3.0
		Silicon, Total	391	MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Tin, Total	1.6 u	MG/KG	1.6	3.0
		Strontium, Total	24.0	MG/KG	0.02	3.0
		Thallium, Total	1.1 u	MG/KG	1.1	3.0
		Uranium, Total	1.3 u	MG/KG	1.3	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	42.7	MG/KG	0.14	3.0
		Zinc, Total	202	MG/KG	0.24	3.0

*Handwritten:*  
 7/13/06

000011

000000013

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
-002	J11JJ7	Silver, Total	0.11	u	MG/KG 0.11	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	7350		MG/KG 4.3	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	5.5		MG/KG 0.92	3.0
		Boron, Total	0.88		MG/KG 0.36	3.0
		Barium, Total	71.0		MG/KG 0.03	3.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.42		MG/KG 0.03	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.77	u	MG/KG 0.77	3.0
		Calcium, Total	3970		MG/KG 2.5	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	1.1		MG/KG 0.11	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	5.8		MG/KG 0.21	3.0
		Chromium, Total	19.7		MG/KG 0.20	3.0
		Copper, Total	27.1		MG/KG 0.18	3.0
		Iron, Total	17300		MG/KG 5.3	3.0
		Potassium, Total	793		MG/KG 3.4	3.0
		Lithium, Total	9.4		MG/KG 0.05	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	4220		MG/KG 1.5	2.0
		Manganese, Total	305		MG/KG 0.05	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.73		MG/KG 0.44	3.0
		Sodium, Total	224		MG/KG 1.1	3.0
		Nickel, Total	16.1		MG/KG 0.36	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	652		MG/KG 1.4	3.0
		Lead, Total	35.0		MG/KG 0.47	3.0
		Antimony, Total	0.66	u	MG/KG 0.66	3.0
		Selenium, Total	0.71	u	MG/KG 0.71	3.0
		Silicon, Total	323		MG/KG 3.4	3.0
		Tin, Total	1.6	u	MG/KG 1.6	3.0
		Strontium, Total	23.5		MG/KG 0.02	3.0
		Thallium, Total	1.1	u	MG/KG 1.1	3.0
		Uranium, Total	1.3	u	MG/KG 1.3	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	38.0		MG/KG 0.14	3.0
		Zinc, Total	218		MG/KG 0.24	3.0

*Handwritten:*  
 2/13/06

000012

000000014

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 06031638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
-003	J11J08	Silver, Total	0.10	u MG/KG	0.10	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	8030	MG/KG	4.3	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	5.9	MG/KG	0.91	3.0
		Boron, Total	0.60	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Barium, Total	75.2	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.46	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.76	u MG/KG	0.76	3.0
		Calcium, Total	4270	MG/KG	2.5	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	1.2	MG/KG	0.10	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	6.3	MG/KG	0.21	3.0
		Chromium, Total	22.6	MG/KG	0.19	3.0
		Copper, Total	27.8	MG/KG	0.18	3.0
		Iron, Total	19800	MG/KG	5.2	3.0
		Potassium, Total	861	MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Lithium, Total	9.9	MG/KG	0.04	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	4420	MG/KG	1.4	3.0
		Manganese, Total	338	MG/KG	0.04	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.72	MG/KG	0.43	3.0
		Sodium, Total	239	MG/KG	1.1	3.0
		Nickel, Total	16.9	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	682	MG/KG	1.3	3.0
		Lead, Total	35.6	MG/KG	0.46	3.0
		Antimony, Total	0.66	u MG/KG	0.66	3.0
		Selenium, Total	0.70	u MG/KG	0.70	3.0
		Silicon, Total	367	J MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Tin, Total	1.6	u MG/KG	1.6	3.0
		Strontium, Total	25.6	MG/KG	0.01	3.0
		Thallium, Total	1.0	u MG/KG	1.0	3.0
		Uranium, Total	1.3	u MG/KG	1.3	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	46.0	MG/KG	0.13	3.0
		Zinc, Total	236	MG/KG	0.24	3.0

*Handwritten:* 7/13/06

000013

000000015

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
-004	J11JJ9	Silver, Total	0.10	u MG/KG	0.10	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	7430	MG/KG	4.3	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	5.7	MG/KG	0.92	3.0
		Boron, Total	0.54	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Barium, Total	68.1	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.43	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.76	u MG/KG	0.76	3.0
		Calcium, Total	4090	MG/KG	2.5	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	1.0	MG/KG	0.10	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	6.1	MG/KG	0.21	3.0
		Chromium, Total	22.4	MG/KG	0.20	3.0
		Copper, Total	29.4	MG/KG	0.18	3.0
		Iron, Total	19300	MG/KG	5.2	3.0
		Potassium, Total	816	MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Lithium, Total	9.4	MG/KG	0.04	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	4240	MG/KG	1.5	3.0
		Manganese, Total	295	MG/KG	0.04	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.72	MG/KG	0.44	3.0
		Sodium, Total	224	MG/KG	1.1	3.0
		Nickel, Total	16.4	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	657	MG/KG	1.4	3.0
		Lead, Total	36.7	MG/KG	0.46	3.0
		Antimony, Total	0.66	u J MG/KG	0.66	3.0
		Selenium, Total	0.70	u MG/KG	0.70	3.0
		Silicon, Total	383	J MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Tin, Total	1.6	u MG/KG	1.6	3.0
		Strontium, Total	24.1	MG/KG	0.02	3.0
		Thallium, Total	1.0	u MG/KG	1.0	3.0
		Uranium, Total	1.3	u MG/KG	1.3	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	44.9	MG/KG	0.14	3.0
		Zinc, Total	225	MG/KG	0.24	3.0

*Handwritten:* 7/13/06

000014

000000016

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
-005	J11JK0	Silver, Total	0.11 u	MG/KG	0.11	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	7690	MG/KG	4.3	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	5.6	MG/KG	0.92	3.0
		Boron, Total	0.36 u	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Barium, Total	71.5	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.45	MG/KG	0.03	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.77 u	MG/KG	0.77	3.0
		Calcium, Total	4040	MG/KG	2.5	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	1.1	MG/KG	0.11	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	6.0	MG/KG	0.21	3.0
		Chromium, Total	22.2	MG/KG	0.20	3.0
		Copper, Total	25.2	MG/KG	0.18	3.0
		Iron, Total	19700	MG/KG	5.3	3.0
		Potassium, Total	824	MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Lithium, Total	9.8	MG/KG	0.05	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	4360	MG/KG	1.5	3.0
		Manganese, Total	308	MG/KG	0.05	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.66	MG/KG	0.44	3.0
		Sodium, Total	219	MG/KG	1.1	3.0
		Nickel, Total	16.7	MG/KG	0.36	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	666	MG/KG	1.4	3.0
		Lead, Total	33.2	MG/KG	0.47	3.0
		Antimony, Total	0.66 u	MG/KG	0.66	3.0
		Selenium, Total	0.71 u	MG/KG	0.71	3.0
		Silicon, Total	376	MG/KG	3.4	3.0
		Tin, Total	1.6 u	MG/KG	1.6	3.0
		Strontium, Total	24.3	MG/KG	0.02	3.0
		Thallium, Total	1.1 u	MG/KG	1.1	3.0
		Uranium, Total	1.3 u	MG/KG	1.3	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	46.1	MG/KG	0.14	3.0
		Zinc, Total	208	MG/KG	0.24	3.0

*Handwritten:* ✓  
7/13/06

000015

000000017

**Appendix 4**  
**Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation**



**Analytical Report**

**Client:** TNU-HANFORD RC-051  
**LVL#:** 0603L638  
**SDG/SAF#:** K0274/RC-051

**W.O.#:** 11343-606-001-9999-00  
**Date Received:** 03-30-06

**METALS CASE NARRATIVE**

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results. Lionville Laboratory (LvLI) certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC except as noted below.

All soil samples are reported on a dry weight basis unless requested by the client, required by the method, or noted otherwise.


1. This narrative covers the analyses of 5 soil samples.
2. The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with methods checked on the attached glossary. The samples were digested in 2 gram increments in multiple beakers until all of the metals sample aliquot was digested. The resulting digestates were composited to represent each sample for analysis, and a portion of the final digestate volume was filtered for analysis. All samples were reported with 3-fold dilutions due to high concentrations and sample matrix. The sample results are reported on a wet weight, 'as received' basis.
3. All analyses were performed within the required holding times.
4. Please refer to the Sample Receipt Check List for sample discrepancies in LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
5. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifications (ICV/CCVs) were within the 90-110% control limits.
6. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCBs) were within control limits (less than the PQL).
7. All preparation/method blanks (MB) were within method criteria {less than the Practical Quantitation Limit (3X the IDL), or samples greater than 20X MB value}. Refer to the Inorganics Method Blank Data Summary.
8. All ICP Interference Check Standards were within control limits.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 29 pages.

9. All laboratory control samples (LCS) were within the 80-120% control limits with the exception of Silicon at 64.5%. Refer to the Inorganics Laboratory Control Standards Report. Associated sample results may be biased low.
10. The matrix spike (MS) recoveries for 4 analytes were outside the 75-125% control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Accuracy Report.
11. For analytes where the ICP MS is out-of-control, a post-digestion MS (PDS) and serial dilution are performed. A PDS was prepared at meaningful concentration level for the following analytes:

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Element</u>	<u>PDS</u> <u>Concentration (ppb)</u>	<u>PDS</u> <u>% Recovery</u>
J11JJ6	Aluminum	66,000	93.4
	Iron	66,000	108.2
	Antimony	300	97.3
	Silicon	6,300	98.9

12. The duplicate analyses for 2 analytes were outside the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Precision Report.
13. For the purposes of this report, the data has been reported to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL). Values between the IDL and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) are acquired in a region of less-certain quantification.
14. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
15. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

  
 Iain Daniels  
 Laboratory Manager  
 Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

5/17/06  
 Date

jjw/m03-638



000018

88888818

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-107		Page 2 of 3			
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L		Data Turnaround <b>45 Days</b>	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8		SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>					
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596-1		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment FED EX					
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (LIONVILLE)		Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC					

POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS  NONE  Special Handling and/or Storage  Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, & page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.	Preservation	Note									
	Type of Container	G/P	G/P	uG	uG	uG	uG	G/P	G/P	^	^
	No. of Container(s)	9	9	7	1	7	7	7	7	0	0
	Volume	30g	1^	1^							

SAMPLE ANALYSIS	Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time	See Item (1) in Special Instructions	Chromium Hex. - 7194	Semi-VOA - 8278A (TCL)	PAHs - 3110	Pesticides - 8061	PCBs - 8062	IC Anions - 300.0 (Nitrate)	NO2/NO3 - 333.2 (Nitrogen in Nitrite and Nitrate)
		J11JW8	SOIL	3-28-06	14:00	3	1	1		1	1	1
	J11J57			16:19	1	3	3		1	1	1	1
	J11J18			15:20	1	1	1		3	3	1	1
	J11J59			15:00	1	1	1		1	1	3	3
	J11JK0			13:00	3	3	1		1	1	1	1

CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS		Matrix *	
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	* These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Surionium-89,90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction.			In Soil In Sludge In Water In Air In Sediment In Ash In Slag In Other
Elizabeth M. Tepper		CH2M Hill		^ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form.			
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.			
Debra M. Tapp	3-29-06	Fed Ex	3-29-06	(1) ICP Metals - 6010 (Full List) (Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Bismuth, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Lithium, Magnesium, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Phosphorus, Potassium, Selenium, Silicon, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Thallium, Tin, Uranium, Vanadium, Zinc)			
Fed Ex	3-30-06 09:20	M. Hernandez	3-30-06 09:20				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				
Fed Ex	3-30-06 09:20	M. Hernandez	3-30-06 09:20				
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time				

LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title	Date/Time
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By	Date/Time

**Appendix 5**

**Data Validation Supporting Documentation**

**000020**

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
PROJECT:	RCBRA		DATA PACKAGE:	K0274	
VALIDATOR:	TLF	LAB:	LLI	DATE:	7/7/06
		SDG:	K0274		
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
<b>SW-846/ICP</b>	SW-846/GFAA	SW-846/Hg	SW-846 Cyanide		
SAMPLES/MATRIX					
J11J36	J11J37	J11J38	J11J39	J11J40	
					Soil

1. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND CASE NARRATIVE

Technical verification documentation present?..... Yes **No** N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATIONS (Levels D and E)

Initial calibrations performed on all instruments?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 Initial calibrations acceptable?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 ICP interference checks acceptable?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 ICV and CCV checks performed on all instruments?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 ICV and CCV checks acceptable?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 Standards traceable?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 Standards expired?..... Yes No **N/A**  
 Calculation check acceptable?..... Yes No **N/A**

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)

ICB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
ICB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
Laboratory blanks analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
Laboratory blank results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
Field blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A

Comments: no FB  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)

MS/MSD samples analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
LCS/BSS samples analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
LCS/BSS results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A  
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
Standards expired? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A  
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A  
Performance audit sample results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Comments: MS - Antimony 3020 - J all no P45  
LCS - Silicon 6490 - J all  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

**5. PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)**

- Duplicate RPD values acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A
- Duplicate results acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A
- MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A
- MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A
- Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?..... Yes No N/A
- Field split RPD values acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**6. ICP QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E)**

- ICP serial dilution samples analyzed?..... Yes No N/A
- ICP serial dilution %D values acceptable?..... Yes No N/A
- ICP post digestion spike required?..... Yes No N/A
- ICP post digestion spike values acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A
- Standards traceable? ..... Yes No N/A
- Standards expired? ..... Yes No N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors?..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

**7. FURNACE AA QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E)**

- Duplicate injections performed as required? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- Duplicate injection %RSD values acceptable? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- Analytical spikes performed as required? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- Analytical spike recoveries acceptable? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- Standards traceable? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- Standards expired? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- MSA performed as required? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- MSA results acceptable? ..... Yes No **N/A**
- Transcription/calculation errors? ..... Yes No **N/A**

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**8. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)**

- Samples properly preserved? ..... **Yes** No N/A
- Sample holding times acceptable? ..... **Yes** No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

**9. RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)**

- Results reported for all requested analyses?.....  Yes No  N/A
- Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A
- Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E).....  Yes No  N/A
- Detection limits meet RDL?.....  Yes No  N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Appendix 6**  
**Additional Documentation Requested by Client**

**000026**

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
BLANK1	06LO222-MB1	Silver, Total	0.04 u	MG/KG	0.04	1.0
		Aluminum, Total	1.4 u	MG/KG	1.4	1.0
		Arsenic, Total	0.30 u	MG/KG	0.30	1.0
		Boron, Total	0.12 u	MG/KG	0.12	1.0
		Barium, Total	0.02	MG/KG	0.01	1.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.01 u	MG/KG	0.01	1.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.26 u	MG/KG	0.26	1.0
		Calcium, Total	0.82 u	MG/KG	0.82	1.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.04 u	MG/KG	0.04	1.0
		Cobalt, Total	0.07 u	MG/KG	0.07	1.0
		Chromium, Total	0.06 u	MG/KG	0.06	1.0
		Copper, Total	0.06 u	MG/KG	0.06	1.0
		Iron, Total	1.7 u	MG/KG	1.7	1.0
		Potassium, Total	1.1 u	MG/KG	1.1	1.0
		Lithium, Total	0.03	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
		Magnesium, Total	0.48 u	MG/KG	0.48	1.0
		Manganese, Total	0.02 u	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.14 u	MG/KG	0.14	1.0
		Sodium, Total	0.38 u	MG/KG	0.38	1.0
		Nickel, Total	0.12 u	MG/KG	0.12	1.0
		Phosphorus, Total	0.45 u	MG/KG	0.45	1.0
		Lead, Total	0.16 u	MG/KG	0.16	1.0
		Antimony, Total	0.22 u	MG/KG	0.22	1.0
		Selenium, Total	0.24 u	MG/KG	0.24	1.0
		Silicon, Total	1.1 u	MG/KG	1.1	1.0
		Tin, Total	0.54 u	MG/KG	0.54	1.0
		Strontium, Total	0.009	MG/KG	0.005	1.0
		Thallium, Total	0.35 u	MG/KG	0.35	1.0
		Uranium, Total	0.44 u	MG/KG	0.44	1.0
		Vanadium, Total	0.04 u	MG/KG	0.04	1.0
		Zinc, Total	0.08 u	MG/KG	0.08	1.0

000027

000000018

Lincville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SPIKED SAMPLE	INITIAL RESULT	SPIKED AMOUNT	%RECOV	DILUTION FACTOR (SPK)
-001	J110J6	Silver, Total	2.4	0.12	2.5	91.2	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	8150	7600	101	548.4*	2.0
		Arsenic, Total	99.7	5.7	101	93.4	3.0
		Boron, Total	46.1	2.5	50.3	86.7	3.0
		Barium, Total	165	71.1	101	93.6	2.0
		Beryllium, Total	2.9	0.45	2.5	97.8	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	47.4	0.77u	50.3	94.3	2.0
		Calcium, Total	5200	3850	1260	107.4	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	3.4	1.1	2.5	92.0	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	29.4	5.8	25.1	94.0	3.0
		Chromium, Total	30.8	21.1	10.1	96.0	3.0
		Copper, Total	37.7	26.5	12.6	88.9	2.0
		Iron, Total	18200	18400	50.3	-420. *	3.0
		Potassium, Total	2020	828	1260	95.2	3.0
		Lithium, Total	61.7	9.8	50.3	103.2	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	5510	4270	1260	99.1	3.0
		Manganese, Total	308	286	25.1	87.6*	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	47.3	0.76	50.3	92.5	3.0
		Sodium, Total	1450	222	1260	97.4	3.0
		Nickel, Total	39.9	16.4	25.1	93.6	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	894	663	252	91.7	3.0
		Lead, Total	55.3	31.5	25.1	94.8	3.0
		Antimony, Total	9.0	0.66u	25.1	35.9	3.0
		Selenium, Total	92.2	0.71u	101	91.7	3.0
		Silicon, Total	694	391	50.3	602.2*	3.0
		Tin, Total	47.1	1.6 u	50.3	93.6	3.0
		Strontium, Total	72.5	24.0	50.3	96.4	3.0
		Thallium, Total	95.3	1.1 u	101	94.7	3.0
		Uranium, Total	45.2	1.3 u	50.3	90.0	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	65.3	42.7	25.1	90.0	3.0
		Zinc, Total	227	202	25.1	98.0*	3.0

000028

000000019

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	INITIAL			DILUTION
			RESULT	REPLICATE	RPD	
-001REP	J11JJ6	Silver, Total	0.12	0.10u	<del>NC</del>	3.0
		Aluminum, Total	7600	7330	3.6	3.0
		Arsenic, Total	5.7	5.8	1.7	3.0
		Boron, Total	2.5	0.75	107.4	3.0
		Barium, Total	71.1	68.9	3.1	3.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.45	0.43	5.6	3.0
		Bismuth, Total	0.77u	0.76u	NC	3.0
		Calcium, Total	3850	3780	1.7	3.0
		Cadmium, Total	1.1	1.0	9.5	3.0
		Cobalt, Total	5.8	5.8	0.00	3.0
		Chromium, Total	21.1	20.8	1.4	3.0
		Copper, Total	26.5	26.0	1.9	3.0
		Iron, Total	18400	18100	1.3	3.0
		Potassium, Total	828	809	2.2	3.0
		Lithium, Total	9.8	9.5	3.1	3.0
		Magnesium, Total	4270	4170	2.3	3.0
		Manganese, Total	286	282	1.3	3.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.76	0.77	1.8	3.0
		Sodium, Total	222	209	5.8	3.0
		Nickel, Total	16.4	15.8	3.7	3.0
		Phosphorus, Total	663	648	2.2	3.0
		Lead, Total	31.5	31.5	0.00	3.0
		Antimony, Total	0.66u	0.66u	NC	3.0
		Selenium, Total	0.71u	0.70u	NC	3.0
		Silicon, Total	391	384	1.9	3.0
		Tin, Total	1.6 u	1.6 u	NC	3.0
		Strontium, Total	24.0	23.3	3.0	3.0
		Thallium, Total	1.1 u	1.0 u	NC	3.0
		Uranium, Total	1.3 u	1.3 u	NC	3.0
		Vanadium, Total	42.7	42.0	1.7	3.0
		Zinc, Total	202	203	0.35	3.0

*200 corrected entry per 5/17/06*

000029

000000020

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS LABORATORY CONTROL STANDARDS REPORT 05/17/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKED AMOUNT	UNITS	RECOVER
LCS1	06L0222-LC1	Silver, LCS	23.2	25.0	MG/KG	92.8
		Aluminum, LCS	235	250	MG/KG	94.1
		Arsenic, LCS	443	500	MG/KG	88.6
		Boron, LCS	227	250	MG/KG	90.9
		Barium, LCS	236	250	MG/KG	94.2
		Beryllium, LCS	11.7	12.5	MG/KG	93.6
		Bismuth, LCS	46.6	50.0	MG/KG	93.2
		Calcium, LCS	1160	1250	MG/KG	93.2
		Cadmium, LCS	11.6	12.5	MG/KG	92.8
		Cobalt, LCS	116	125	MG/KG	92.4
		Chromium, LCS	23.4	25.0	MG/KG	93.6
		Copper, LCS	59.7	62.5	MG/KG	95.5
		Iron, LCS	236	250	MG/KG	94.4
		Potassium, LCS	1150	1250	MG/KG	92.1
		Lithium, LCS	248	250	MG/KG	99.0
		Magnesium, LCS	1140	1250	MG/KG	90.8
		Manganese, LCS	35.8	37.5	MG/KG	95.5
		Molybdenum, LCS	236	250	MG/KG	94.6
		Sodium, LCS	1140	1250	MG/KG	91.1
		Nickel, LCS	93.5	100	MG/KG	93.5
		Phosphorus, LCS	224	250	MG/KG	89.6
		Lead, LCS	116	125	MG/KG	92.7
		Antimony, LCS	137	150	MG/KG	91.5
		Selenium, LCS	427	500	MG/KG	85.3
		Silicon, LCS	161	250	MG/KG	64.5
		Tin, LCS	235	250	MG/KG	94.1
		Strontium, LCS	235	250	MG/KG	94.1
		Thallium, LCS	464	500	MG/KG	92.9
		Uranium, LCS	47.9	50.0	MG/KG	95.9
		Vanadium, LCS	116	125	MG/KG	93.2
		Zinc, LCS	45.9	50.0	MG/KG	91.8

000030

000000021

Date: 14 July 2006  
 To: Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)  
 From: TechLaw, Inc.  
 Project: 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA – Incremental Soil Sampling  
 Subject: Pesticide/PCB - Data Package No. K0274-LLI

**INTRODUCTION**

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0274 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Date	Matrix	Qualifier	Reference
J11JJ6	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ7	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ8	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ9	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JKO	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1

1- Pesticides by 8081A and PCBs by 8082.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

**DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES**

**• Holding Times & Sample Preservation**

Sample data were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be extracted within 14 days of the date of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days from the date of extraction.

If holding times are exceeded by less than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-

detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

The nature of the incremental sampling process precludes sample preservation by cooling. Per WCH instruction, this validation does not include examining the sample preservation cooling parameters of the WCH validation procedures.

All holding times were acceptable.

#### • **Method Blank**

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation or analysis. At least one method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. Method blanks should not contain target compounds at a concentration greater than required quantitation limit (RQL). If target compounds are present, sample results less than five times the blank concentration are qualified as undetected and flagged "U". If the sample result is less than five times the blank concentration and less than RQL, the result is qualified as undetected and elevated to the RQL.

All method blank results were acceptable.

#### Field Blanks

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

#### • **Accuracy**

##### Matrix Spike & Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 80% to 120%. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Non-detected sample results with spike recoveries outside control limits are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

Due to the lack of a matrix spike, matrix spike duplicate and LCS analysis, all toxaphene results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

#### Surrogate Recovery

The analysis of surrogate compounds provides a measure of performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control windows have been established by the laboratory. When a surrogate compound recovery is outside the control window, all positively identified target compounds associated with the unacceptable surrogate recoveries are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Non-detected compounds with surrogate recoveries less than the lower control limit are qualified as having an estimated detection limit and flagged "UJ". Non-detected compounds with surrogate recoveries above the upper control limit require no qualification.

Due to surrogate recoveries outside QC limits (125% & 137%), the 4,4'-DDE result in sample J11JJ6 was qualified as an estimate and flagged "J".

All other surrogate results were acceptable.

#### • **Precision**

#### Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes. Precision is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. For soil samples, results must be within RPD limits of plus/minus 20%. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

Due to the lack of a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analysis, all toxaphene results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other precision results were acceptable.

### Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

### • **Analytical Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the project specific RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All toxaphene results exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

### • **Completeness**

Data Package No. K0274 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

### **MAJOR DEFICIENCIES**

None found.

### **MINOR DEFICIENCIES**

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

- Due to the lack of a matrix spike, matrix spike duplicate and LCS analysis, all toxaphene results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to surrogate recoveries outside QC limits (125% & 137%), the 4,4'-DDE result in sample J11JJ6 was qualified as an estimate and flagged "J".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

All toxaphene results exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH validation statement of work, no qualification is required.

000004

## REFERENCES

WCH, Contract #20266, *Validation Statement of Work*, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005, *100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan*.

**Appendix 1**

**Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers**

000006

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the procedures herein are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

000007

**Appendix 2**  
**Summary of Data Qualification**

000008

**PESTICIDE/PCB DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY\***

SDG: 10274      EPA: 10274      PCB: 10274      PAGE: 10274

COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Toxaphene	J	All	No MS/MSD/LCS
4,4'-DDE	J	J11JJ6	Surrogate recovery

\* - The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

**Appendix 3**

**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports**

**000010**

Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD													
Laboratory: LLI		SDG: K0274											
Sample Number		J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0			
Remarks													
Sample Date		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06			
Extraction Date		4/10/06		4/10/06		4/10/06		4/10/06		4/10/06			
Analysis Date		4/20/06		4/20/06		4/20/06		4/20/06		4/20/06			
PCB	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Aroclor-1016		13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Aroclor-1221		13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Aroclor-1232	16.5	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Aroclor-1242	16.5	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Aroclor-1248		13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Aroclor-1254	16.5	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Aroclor-1260	16.5	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U		
Sample Number		J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0			
Remarks													
Sample Date		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06			
Extraction Date		4/7/06		4/7/06		4/7/06		4/7/06		4/7/06			
Analysis Date		4/12/06		4/12/06		4/12/06		4/12/06		4/12/06			
Pesticide	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Alpha-BHC	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Beta-BHC	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Heptachlor	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Delta-BHC	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Aldrin	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Heptachlor Epoxide	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Endosulfan I	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Dieldrin	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
4,4'-DDE	5	0.40	J	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Endrin	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Endosulfan II	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
4,4'-DDD	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Endosulfan Sulfate	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
4,4'-DDT	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Methoxychlor	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Endrin Ketone	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Endrin Aldehyde	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
alpha-Chlordane	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
gamma-Chlordane	5	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U		
Toxaphene	5	13	UJ	13	UJ	13	UJ	13	UJ	13	UJ		

000011

Laboratory applied non-detect qualifiers "U" have been included in this table to minimize miss-interpretation of results. All other qualifiers shown were applied during validation.

000000005

Sample Information	Cust ID:	J11JJ6	J11JJ7	J11JJ8	J11JJ8	J11JJ8	J11JJ9
	RFW#:	001	002	003	003 MS	003 MSD	004
	Matrix:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG
Surrogate:	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	105 %	111 %	111 %	117 %	110 %	101 %
	Decachlorobiphenyl	110 %	112 %	108 %	115 %	107 %	105 %
		-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----
Aroclor-1016		13 U	13 U	13 U	120 %	105 %	13 U
Aroclor-1221		13 U					
Aroclor-1232		13 U					
Aroclor-1242		13 U					
Aroclor-1248		13 U					
Aroclor-1254		13 U					
Aroclor-1260		13 U	13 U	13 U	111 %	101 %	13 U

000012

Sample Information	Cust ID:	J11JK0	PBLKFF	PBLKFF BS
	RFW#:	005	06LE0269-MB1	06LE0269-MB1
	Matrix:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG
Surrogate:	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	108 %	111 %	108 %
	Decachlorobiphenyl	108 %	107 %	105 %
		-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----
Aroclor-1016		13 U	13 U	111 %
Aroclor-1221		13 U	13 U	13 U
Aroclor-1232		13 U	13 U	13 U
Aroclor-1242		13 U	13 U	13 U
Aroclor-1248		13 U	13 U	13 U
Aroclor-1254		13 U	13 U	13 U
Aroclor-1260		13 U	13 U	101 %

*Handwritten:* 7/13/06 [Signature]

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. \*= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000000006

Cust ID:	J11JJ6	J11JJ7	J11JJ8	J11JJ8	J11JJ8	J11JJ9
Sample Information	RFW#: 001	002	003	003 MS	003 MSD	004
	Matrix: SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
	D.F.: 4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	Units: UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG	UG/KG
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	125 * ‡	89 ‡	106 ‡	96 ‡	103 ‡	103 ‡
Decachlorobiphenyl	137 * ‡	104 ‡	119 ‡	120 ‡	120 ‡	114 ‡
	fl	fl	fl	fl	fl	fl
Alpha-BHC	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	83 ‡	82 ‡	1.3 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	90 ‡	88 ‡	1.3 U
Beta-BHC	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	99 ‡	94 ‡	1.3 U
Heptachlor	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	90 ‡	88 ‡	1.3 U
Delta-BHC	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	75 ‡	74 ‡	1.3 U
Aldrin	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	84 ‡	83 ‡	1.3 U
Heptachlor epoxide	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	90 ‡	89 ‡	1.3 U
gamma-Chlordane	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	88 ‡	88 ‡	1.3 U
Endosulfan I	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	87 ‡	87 ‡	1.3 U
alpha-Chlordane	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	91 ‡	90 ‡	1.3 U
4,4'-DDE	0.40 J	1.3 U	1.3 U	88 ‡	89 ‡	1.3 U
Dieldrin	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	84 ‡	85 ‡	1.3 U
Endrin	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	91 ‡	90 ‡	1.3 U
4,4'-DDD	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	94 ‡	94 ‡	1.3 U
Endosulfan II	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	87 ‡	87 ‡	1.3 U
4,4'-DDT	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	88 ‡	87 ‡	1.3 U
Endrin aldehyde	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	91 ‡	92 ‡	1.3 U
Endosulfan sulfate	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	89 ‡	89 ‡	1.3 U
Methoxychlor	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	110 ‡	107 ‡	1.3 U
Endrin ketone	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	97 ‡	97 ‡	1.3 U
Toxaphene	13 UJ	13 UJ	13 UJ	13 U	13 U	13 UJ

000013

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. ‡= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. \*= Outside of EPA CLP QC

7/13/06

*[Handwritten signature]*



**Appendix 4**

**Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation**

**000015**



Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-051  
LVL #: 0603L638  
SDG/SAF # K0274/RC-051

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00  
Date Received: 03-30-2006

**PCB**

Five (5) soil samples were collected on 03-28-2006.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted on 04-10-2006 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 04-20-2006. The extraction procedure was based on method 3540C and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8082.

The following is a summary of QC results accompanying the sample results. Lionville Laboratory Inc (LvLI) certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC except as noted below:

1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy with the exception of a discrepancy, which was documented on the Sample Receipt Checklist.
2. Samples were extracted and analyzed within required holding time.
3. The samples and their associated QC samples received Copper-Sulfur and Sulfuric Acid cleanups according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846 methods 3660A and 3665A respectively.
4. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
5. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
6. The blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
7. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
8. The results for soil samples were reported on a wet-weight basis.
9. The initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 13 pages.

000016



10. The continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
11. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
12. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels  
Laboratory Manager  
Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

5/4/06

Date

soniv:\group\data\pest\tnu hanford\0603-638.pcb

000017



Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-051  
LVL #: 0603L638  
SDG/SAF # K0274/RC-051

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00  
Date Received: 03-30-2006

**CHLORINATED PESTICIDES**

Five (5) soil samples were collected on 03-28-2006.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted on 04-07-2006 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 04-12-2006. The extraction procedure was based on method 3540C and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8081A.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results. The Lionville Laboratory Inc (LvLI) certifies that all test results meet the requirements of NELAC except as noted below:

1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy with the exception of a discrepancy, which was documented on the Sample Receipt Checklist.
2. All required holding times for analysis have been met.
3. The samples and their associated QC samples received a Copper-Sulfur cleanup according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846 method 3660A.
4. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
5. Two (2) of eighteen (18) surrogate recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR) has been enclosed.
6. All blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
7. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
8. All samples required a 4-fold instrument dilution due to the nature of the sample matrix. The reporting limits were adjusted to reflect the necessary dilution.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 15 pages.

000018



9. The initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
10. The continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
11. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
12. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Iain Daniels', is written over a horizontal line.

Iain Daniels  
Laboratory Manager  
Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

son\vr\group\data\pest\tnu hanford\0603-638s.pst

5/4/06  
Date

000019

Lionville Laboratory Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR)

SDR #: 066L129

Initiator: KBW  
 Date: 4/24/04  
 Client: TN

Batch: 0603L638  
 Samples: 001  
 Method: SWB46MCAWW/CLP1

Parameter: PEST  
 Matrix: SOIL  
 Prep Batch: 066L0266

1. Reason for SDR

- a. COC Discrepancy  Tech Profile Error  Client Request  Sampler Error on C-O-C  
 Transcription Error  Wrong Test Code  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- b. General Discrepancy  
 Missing Sample/Extract  Container Broken  Wrong Sample Pulled  Label ID's Illegible  
 Hold Time Exceeded  Insufficient Sample  Preservation Wrong  Received Past Hold  
 Improper Bottle Type  Not Amenable to Analysis

Note: Verified by [Log-In] or [Prep Group] (circle)...signature/date: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Problem (Include all relevant specific results; attach data if necessary)

*Surrogate recovers high in sample 001.*

2. Known or Probable Causes(s)

3. Discussion and Proposed Action

Other Description:

- Re-log
- Entire Batch
- Following Samples: \_\_\_\_\_
- Re-leach
- Re-extract
- Re-digest
- Revise EDD
- Change Test Code to \_\_\_\_\_
- Place On/Take Off Hold (circle)

*None. no hit in sample above reporting limit 4/25/04.*

*[Signature]* 4/24/04

4. Project Manager Instructions...signature/date: \_\_\_\_\_

- Concur with Proposed Action
- Disagree with Proposed Action; See Instruction
- Include in Case Narrative
- Client Contacted:
- Date/Person \_\_\_\_\_
- Add
- Cancel

5. Final Action...signature/date: \_\_\_\_\_

Other Explanation:

- Verified re-[log][leach][extract][digest][analysis] (circle)
- Included in Case Narrative
- Hard Copy COC Revised
- Electronic COC Revised
- EDD Corrections Completed

*[Signature]*

When Final Action has been recorded, forward original to QA Specialist for distribution and filing.

Route	Distribution of Completed SDR	Route	Distribution of Completed SDR
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Initiator	<input type="checkbox"/>	Metals: Beegle
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lab General Manager: M. Taylor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inorganic: Perrone
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project Mgr. Stone/Johnson	<input type="checkbox"/>	GC/LC: Kiger
<input type="checkbox"/>	Data Management: Stilwell	<input type="checkbox"/>	MS: Rychlak/Daley
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger	<input type="checkbox"/>	Log-in: Perry
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Admin: _____
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: _____

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST					RC-051-107		Page 2 of 1			
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L		Data Turnaround 45 Days		
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8		SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>						
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596-1		COA BESRA56520		Method of Shipment FED EX						
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (LIONVILLE)		Offsite Property No. A060151		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSCP								
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS  NONE			Preservation	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Special Handling and/or Storage Use page 1 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, & page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.			Type of Container	G/P	G/P	aG	aG	aG	aG	G/P	G/P	
			No. of Container(s)	9	9	7	1	7	7	7	0	
			Volume	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	1^	
SAMPLE ANALYSIS			See Item (1) in Special Instructions	Chromium Hex - 7196	Semi-VOA - 8270A (TCL)	PAHs - 8310	Pesticides - 8081	PCBs - 8082	TC Anions - 300.0 (Nitrate)	NO2/NO3 - 353.3 (Nitrogen in Nitrate and Nitrite)		
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time									
J11JJ8	SOIL	3-28-06	14:00	3	1	1		1	1	1	1	
J11JJ7			16:19	1	3	3		1	1	1	1	
J11JJ8			15:20	1	1	1		3	3	1	1	
J11JJ9			15:00	1	1	1		1	1	3	3	
J11JK0			13:00	3	3	1		1	1	1	1	
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M Tepper		Date/Time 3-29-06 11:30		Received By/Stored In CH2M Hill		Date/Time 3-29-06 11:30		* These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89,90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction. ^ - These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.				Soil SIL-Sediment SCL-Sediment SL-Sediment W-Water Q-QA A-Air DN-Dust 5-4th DL-Dust Liquid TL-Tissue WL-Wipe L-Liquid V-Vegetation K-Other
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M Tepper		Date/Time 3-29-06 09:00		Received By/Stored In Fed Ex		Date/Time 3-29-06 09:00		(1) ICP Metals - 6010 (Full List)   Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Bismuth, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Lithium, Magnesium, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Phosphorus, Potassium, Selenium, Silicon, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Thallium, Tin, Uranium, Vanadium, Zinc				
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M Tepper		Date/Time 3-30-06 09:00		Received By/Stored In Elizabeth M Tepper		Date/Time 3-30-06 09:00						
Relinquished By/Removed From		Date/Time		Received By/Stored In		Date/Time						
Relinquished By/Removed From		Date/Time		Received By/Stored In		Date/Time						
LABORATORY SECTION		Received By		Title				Date/Time				
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION		Disposal Method		Disposed By				Date/Time				

**Appendix 5**

**Data Validation Supporting Documentation**

**000022**

**PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
PROJECT:	RCBRA		DATA PACKAGE: K0274		
VALIDATOR:	TLP	LAB:	LLI	DATE: 7/7/06	
			SDG:	K0274	
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
<b>SW-846 8081</b>	SW-846 8081 (TCLP)	<b>SW-846 8082</b>	SW-846 8081 (TCLP)		
SAMPLES/MATRIX					
JIIJJ6 JIIJJ7 JIIJJ8 JIIJJ9 JIIJKO					
Soil					

**1. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND CASE NARRATIVE**

Technical verification documentation present?..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**2. INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATIONS (Levels D and E)**

Initial calibrations acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Continuing calibrations acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Standards traceable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Standards expired? ..... Yes No  N/A

Calculation check acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

DDT and endrin breakdowns acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)

Calibration blanks analyzed? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Calibration blank results acceptable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Laboratory blanks analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
Laboratory blank results acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
Field/trip blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Field/trip blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A

Comments: NO FB  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)

Surrogates analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
Surrogate recoveries acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
Surrogates traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Surrogates expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD samples analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD results acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
LCS/BSS results acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?..... Yes No N/A  
Performance audit sample results acceptable?..... Yes No N/A

Comments: Surrogate - J11JJ6 - 4,4'-DDE - J NO Pts  
\_\_\_\_\_

toxaphene - NO MS or LCS  
\_\_\_\_\_

PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

5. PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)

- Duplicate RPD values acceptable? .....  Yes No N/A
- Duplicate results acceptable? .....  Yes No N/A
- MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A
- MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A
- Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?..... Yes No  N/A
- Field split RPD values acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE (Levels D and E)

- Chromatographic performance acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A
- Positive results resolved acceptably? ..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

7. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)

- Samples properly preserved?..... Yes No N/A
- Sample holding times acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Sample cooling not assessed per WCH

PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION, QUANTITATION, AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)

Compound identification acceptable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Compound quantitation acceptable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Results reported for all requested analyses?..... Yes No N/A  
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Detection limits meet RDL?..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A  
Comments: all toxaphene only

9. SAMPLE CLEANUP (Levels D and E)

Fluorocil ® (or other absorbent) cleanup performed?..... Yes No N/A  
Lot check performed?..... Yes No N/A  
Check recoveries acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
GPC cleanup performed?..... Yes No N/A  
GPC check performed?..... Yes No N/A  
GPC check recoveries acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
GPC calibration performed?..... Yes No N/A  
GPC calibration check performed?..... Yes No N/A  
GPC calibration check retention times acceptable?..... Yes No N/A  
Check/calibration materials traceable?..... Yes No N/A  
Check/calibration materials Expired?..... Yes No N/A  
Analytical batch QC given similar cleanup?..... Yes No N/A  
Transcription/Calculation Errors?..... Yes No N/A  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 14 July 2006  
To: Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)  
From: TechLaw, Inc.  
Project: 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental Soil Sampling  
Subject: Radiochemistry - Data Package No. K0274-EB

## **INTRODUCTION**

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0274 prepared by Eberline Services (EB). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

J11JJ6	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ7	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ8	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ9	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JK0	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1

1 - Total strontium, isotopic thorium, isotopic uranium, gamma spectroscopy.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Data Requested by Client

## **DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS**

### **• Holding Times**

Holding times are calculated from Chain-of-Custody forms to determine the validity of the results. The maximum holding time for radiochemical analysis is 6 months.

All holding times were acceptable.

**000001**

- **Preparation (Method) Blanks**

#### Laboratory Blanks

Blank samples are analyzed to determine if positive results are due to laboratory reagent, sample container, or detector contamination. If blank analysis results indicate the presence of an analyte above the minimum detectable activity (MDA), the following qualifiers are applied: All positive sample results less than five times the highest blank concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J"; sample results below the MDA are qualified as undetected and flagged "U"; sample results above the MDA and greater than five times the highest blank concentration are not qualified.

All blank results were acceptable.

#### Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

- **Accuracy**

Accuracy is evaluated from laboratory control sample (LCS) or blank spike sample (BSS) batch samples and spiked samples from the analytical batch. Measured activities are compared to the known added amounts. The acceptable LCS or BSS and matrix spike (MS) recovery range is 80-120%. In addition, samples may be spiked with a radiochemical tracer to assist in isolating the radioisotope of interest with the yield of the tracer being used in calculating sample activity. The acceptable range for tracer recovery is 20% to 105%. Spike sample results outside the above ranges result in associated sample results being qualified as estimates, or not qualified, depending on the activity of the individual sample. Results are rejected for LCS/BSS recoveries of less than 30% and tracer recoveries of less than 20%, and tracer recoveries of greater than 115% for detected results.

Due to the lack of an LCS analysis, all thorium-228 and thorium-232 results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

- **Laboratory Duplicates**

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate

000002

analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the contract required detection limit (CRDL) and the RPD is less than 20%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All duplicate results were acceptable.

#### Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

#### • **Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels for undetected analytes are compared against the 100 & 300 Area RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. Fifteen analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

#### • **Completeness**

Data package No. K0274 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

#### **MAJOR DEFICIENCIES**

None found.

#### **MINOR DEFICIENCIES**

Due to the lack of an LCS analysis, all thorium-228 and thorium-232 results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

Fifteen analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the WCH statement of work, no qualification is required.

000003

## **REFERENCES**

WCH, Contract #20266, *Validation Statement of Work*, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005, *100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan*.

**Appendix 1**

**Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers**

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the WCH statement of work are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. The value reported is the sample result corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory. The data is usable for decision making purposes.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected at concentrations above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate, but is usable for decision making purposes.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.

**Appendix 2**  
**Summary of Data Qualification**

000007

RADIOCHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY\*

COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Thorium-228 Thorium-232	J	All	No LCS

\* - The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

**Appendix 3**

**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports**

000009

Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD											
Laboratory: EB											
Case		SDG: K0274									
Sample Number		J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0	
Remarks											
Sample Date		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06	
Radiochemistry	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Total Strontium	1	0.018	U	0.010	U	0.027	U	0.018	U	-0.061	U
Thorium-228	1	0.662	UJ	0.981	J	0.445	UJ	0.390	UJ	0.347	J
Thorium-230		0.377	U	0	U	0.266	U	0.389	U	0.173	U
Thorium-232	1	0.189	UJ	0.293	UJ	0.266	UJ	0.681	UJ	0.389	J
Uranium-233/234	1	0.682		0.524		0.825		0.449		0.511	
Uranium-235	1	0.188	U	0.058	U	0.032	U	0.029	U	0.052	U
Uranium-238	1	0.488		0.334		0.772		0.544		0.553	
Potassium-40		10.6		8.69		11.4		14.2		13.7	
Cobalt 60	0.05	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*
Cesium 137	0.1	0.220		0.232		0.354		0.250		0.262	
Radium-226	0.1	0.662		0.547		0.598		0.772		0.594	
Radium-228	0.2	1.38		0.911		1.07		1.03		1.18	
Europium 152	0.1	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*
Europium 154	0.1	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*	U	U*
Europium 155		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Thorium-228		1.06		0.654		0.943		0.784		0.816	
Thorium-232		1.38		0.911		1.07		1.03		1.18	
Uranium-235(gea)		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Uranium-238(gea)		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Americium-241		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Ruthenium-106		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Antimony-125		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Cesium-134		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U

000010

\* - RQL exceeded

Laboratory applied non-detect qualifiers "U" have been included in this table to minimize potential miss-interpretation of results. All other qualifiers shown were applied during validation.

**EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND**  
**SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274**

R603166-01

J11JJ6

**DATA SHEET**

SDG <u>7408</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>	SDG <u>K0274</u>
Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Contract No. <u>630</u>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-01</u>	Client sample id <u>J11JJ6</u>	
Dept sample id <u>7408-001</u>	Location/Matrix <u>100-H RIPARIAN #8</u>	<u>SOLID</u>
Received <u>03/30/06</u>	Collected/Weight <u>03/28/06 14:00</u>	<u>490 g</u>
% solids <u>100.0</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>RC-051-107</u>	<u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALIFIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.018	0.10	0.20	1.0	U	SR
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.662	0.57	0.72	1.0	U J	TH
Thorium 230	14269-63-7	0.377	0.38	0.72	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.189	0.19	0.72	1.0	U J	TH
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.682	0.25	0.19	1.0		U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.118	0.12	0.23	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.488	0.25	0.19	1.0		U
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	10.6	1.5	1.1			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		0.088	0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	0.220	0.10	0.11	0.10		GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.662	0.16	0.16	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	1.38	0.35	0.32	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.26	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.26	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	U		0.22	0.10	U	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	1.06	0.12	0.11			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	1.38	0.35	0.32			GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.35		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		10		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.35		U	GAM
Antimony 125	14234-35-6	U		0.19		U	GAM
Cesium 134	13967-70-9	U		0.10		U	GAM

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

*Handwritten:* 7/13/06

000011

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-DS</u>
Version <u>3.06</u>
Report date <u>05/22/06</u>

**EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND**  
**SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274**

R603166-02

J11JJ7

**DATA SHEET**

SDG <u>7408</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>	SDG <u>K0274</u>
Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Contract No. <u>630</u>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-02</u>	Client sample id <u>J11JJ7</u>	
Dept sample id <u>7408-002</u>	Location/Matrix <u>100-H RIPARIAN #8</u>	<u>SOLID</u>
Received <u>03/30/06</u>	Collected/Weight <u>03/28/06 16:19</u>	<u>432 g</u>
% solids <u>100.0</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>RC-051-107</u>	<u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.010	0.11	0.22	1.0	U	SR
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.981	0.60	0.75	1.0	J	TH
Thorium 230	14269-63-7	0	0.20	0.75	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.293	0.39	0.75	1.0	U J	TH
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.524	0.24	0.18	1.0		U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.058	0.058	0.22	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.334	0.19	0.18	1.0		U
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	8.69	3.5	1.1			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		0.11	0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	0.232	0.10	0.11	0.10		GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.547	0.21	0.19	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	0.911	0.44	0.44	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.27	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.29	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	U		0.28	0.10	U	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.654	0.12	0.12			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.911	0.44	0.44			GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.35		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		24		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.37		U	GAM
Antimony 125	14234-35-6	U		0.22		U	GAM
Cesium 134	13967-70-9	U		0.12		U	GAM

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

*Handwritten:* 7/15/06

Lab id	<u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol	<u>Hanford</u>
Version	<u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form	<u>DVD-DS</u>
Version	<u>3.06</u>
Report date	<u>05/22/06</u>

000012

**EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND**  
**SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274**

R603166-03

J11JJ8

**DATA SHEET**

SDG <u>7408</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>	SDG <u>K0274</u>
Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Contract No. <u>630</u>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-03</u>	Client sample id <u>J11JJ8</u>	
Dept sample id <u>7408-003</u>	Location/Matrix <u>100-H RIPARIAN #8</u>	<u>SOLID</u>
Received <u>03/30/06</u>	Collected/Weight <u>03/28/06 15:20</u>	<u>430 g</u>
% solids <u>100.0</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>RC-051-107</u>	<u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.027	0.12	0.23	1.0	U	SR
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.445	0.54	0.68	1.0	UJ	TH
Thorium 230	14269-63-7	0.266	0.36	0.68	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.266	0.36	0.68	1.0	UJ	TH
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.825	0.33	0.20	1.0		U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.032	0.064	0.25	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.772	0.27	0.20	1.0		U
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	11.4	1.4	0.63			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		0.10	0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	0.354	0.11	0.098	0.10		GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.598	0.14	0.14	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	1.07	0.35	0.35	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.28	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.26	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	U		0.16	0.10	U	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.943	0.16	0.17			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	1.07	0.35	0.35			GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.29		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		12		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.090		U	GAM
Antimony 125	14234-35-6	U		0.19		U	GAM
Cesium 134	13967-70-9	U		0.11		U	GAM

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

*Handwritten signature/initials*

000013

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-DS</u>
Version <u>3.06</u>
Report date <u>05/22/06</u>

**EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND**  
**SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274**

R603166-04

J11JJ9

**DATA SHEET**

SDG <u>7408</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>	SDG <u>K0274</u>
Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Contract No. <u>630</u>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-04</u>	Client sample id <u>J11JJ9</u>	
Dept sample id <u>7408-004</u>	Location/Matrix <u>100-H RIPARIAN #8</u>	<u>SOLID</u>
Received <u>03/30/06</u>	Collected/Weight <u>03/28/06 15:00</u>	<u>432 g</u>
% solids <u>100.0</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>RC-051-107</u>	<u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.018	0.11	0.21	1.0	U	SR
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.390	0.39	0.75	1.0	UJ	TH
Thorium 230	14269-63-7	0.389	0.39	0.74	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.681	0.59	0.74	1.0	UJ	TH
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.449	0.19	0.18	1.0		U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.029	0.057	0.22	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.544	0.24	0.18	1.0		U
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	14.2	2.5	1.0			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		0.13	0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	0.250	0.11	0.12	0.10		GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.772	0.18	0.17	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	1.03	0.46	0.45	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.27	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.33	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	U		0.29	0.10	U	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.784	0.11	0.12			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	1.03	0.46	0.45			GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.35		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		12		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.35		U	GAM
Antimony 125	14234-35-6	U		0.21		U	GAM
Cesium 134	13967-70-9	U		0.13		U	GAM

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

*M*  
*7/13/06*

000014

Lab id	<u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol	<u>Hanford</u>
Version	<u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form	<u>DVD-DS</u>
Version	<u>3.06</u>
Report date	<u>05/22/06</u>

**EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND**  
**SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274**

R603166-05

J11JK0

**DATA SHEET**

SDG <u>7408</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>	SDG <u>K0274</u>
Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Contract No. <u>630</u>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-05</u>	Client sample id <u>J11JK0</u>	
Dept sample id <u>7408-005</u>	Location/Matrix <u>100-H RIPARIAN #8</u>	<u>SOLID</u>
Received <u>03/30/06</u>	Collected/Weight <u>03/28/06 13:00</u>	<u>433 g</u>
% solids <u>100.0</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>RC-051-107</u>	<u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	-0.061	0.095	0.22	1.0	U	SR
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.347	0.26	0.33	1.0	J	TH
Thorium 230	14269-63-7	0.173	0.26	0.33	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 232	TH-232	0.389	0.26	0.33	1.0	J	TH
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.511	0.22	0.16	1.0		U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.052	0.052	0.20	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.553	0.22	0.16	1.0		U
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	13.7	2.3	0.88			GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		0.10	0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	0.262	0.098	0.10	0.10		GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	0.594	0.17	0.16	0.10		GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	1.18	0.39	0.37	0.20		GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.22	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.24	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	U		0.23	0.10	U	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.816	0.099	0.098			GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	1.18	0.39	0.37			GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.29		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		9.5		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.29		U	GAM
Antimony 125	14234-35-6	U		0.17		U	GAM
Cesium 134	13967-70-9	U		0.18		U	GAM

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmnt1 So

*Handwritten signature/initials*  
 7/13/06

DATA SHEETS

Page 5

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 15

000015

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-DS</u>
Version <u>3.06</u>
Report date <u>05/22/06</u>

**Appendix 4**

**Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation**

## 1.0 GENERAL

Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) Sample Delivery Group K0274 was composed of five solid (soil) samples designated under SAF No. RC-051 with a Project Designation of: 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA-Incremental So.

The strontium, thorium, and uranium aliquots were taken from 30-gram leachates of the respective samples and not from full dissolutions. The gamma aliquots were taken from the samples as received.

The samples were received as stated on the Chain-of-Custody document. Any discrepancies are noted on the Eberline Services Sample Receipt Checklist. All results were transmitted to WCH via e-mail on May 22, 2006.

## 2.0 ANALYSIS NOTES

### 2.1 Total Strontium Analysis

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

### 2.2 Isotopic Thorium Analysis

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

### 2.3 Isotopic Uranium Analysis


No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

### 2.4 Gamma Spectroscopy

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

## Case Narrative Certification Statement

**"I certify that this data package is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data obtained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature."**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Melissa C. Mannion  
Senior Program Manager

5/23/6  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Washington Closure Hanford		CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST				RC-051-107		Page 1 of 3	
Collector STANKOVICH, M.		Company Contact JOAN KESSNER		Telephone No. 375-4688		Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L Data Turnaround	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So		Sampling Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8		K0274 (7-108)		SAF No. RC-051		Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/> 45 Days	
Ice Chest No.		Field Logbook No. EL-1596-1		COA BESRAS6520		Method of Shipment FED EX			
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES LIONVILLE		Offsite Property No. A060151		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC					

POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS NONE  Special Handling and/or Storage Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, & page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.	Preservation	None									
	Type of Container	G/P	G/P				A	A	A	A	A
	No. of Container(s)	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Volume	400g	30g	1"	1"	1"	1"	1"	1"	1"	1"

000018	SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See item (1) in Special Instructions.	Strontium-89.90 - Total Sr	Isotopic Thorium (Thorium-232)	Isotopic Uranium (Uranium-233/234, Uranium-235, Uranium-238)	Isotopic Plutonium (Plutonium-238, Plutonium-239/240)					
	Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time										
	J11JJ6	SOIL	3-28-06	14:00	1	3								
	J11JJ7			16:19	1	1								
	J11JJ8			15:20	1	1								
	J11JJ9			15:00	1	1								
J11JK0			13:00	1	1									

CHAIN OF POSSESSION		Sign/Print Names		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS				Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time	* These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89.90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction. ~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.  (1) Gamma Spec - (Full List) (Cesium-134, Cesium-137, Cobalt-60, Europium-152, Europium-154, Europium-155, Radium 226, Radium-228)				S=Soil SE=Settlement SOW=Soil SLS=Sludge W=Water O=Oil A=Air DS=Dust & Ash FL=From Liquid T=Toxic W1=Wipe L=Liquid V=Vegetation N=Other
Elizabeth M Tepper		CH2M Hill						
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Elizabeth M Tepper	11:30	Fed Ex	11:30					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Fed Ex	3-29-06	MFW	3/30/06 9:30					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stored In	Date/Time					

LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title	Date/Time
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By	Date/Time

**Appendix 5**  
**Data Validation Supporting Documentation**

**000019**

**APPENDIX A  
RADIOCHEMICAL DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
PROJECT:	RCBRA - soil		DATA PACKAGE: K0274		
VALIDATOR:	TLI	LAB: EB	DATE: 7/10/06		
			SDG: K0274		
<b>ANALYSES PERFORMED</b>					
Gross Alpha/Beta	Strontium-90	Technetium-99	Alpha Spectroscopy	Gamma Spectroscopy	
Total Uranium	Radium-22	Tritium			
<b>SAMPLES/MATRIX</b>					
	J1026	J1027	J1028	J1029	J1030
					soil

1. Completeness .....  N/A

Technical verification forms present? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. Initial Calibration (Levels D, E) .....  N/A

Instruments/detectors calibrated? ..... Yes No N/A

Initial calibration acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Standards NIST traceable? ..... Yes No N/A

Standards Expired? ..... Yes No N/A

Calculation check acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

3. Continuing Calibration (Levels D, E)

~~□~~ N/A

Calibration checked within required frequency? ..... Yes No N/A

Calibration check acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Calibration check standards traceable? ..... Yes No N/A

Calibration check standards expired? ..... Yes No N/A

Calculation check acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

4. Background Counts (Levels D, E)

~~□~~ N/A

Background Counts checked within required frequency? ..... Yes No N/A

Background Counts acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Calculation check acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

5. Blanks (Levels B, C, D, E) .....  N/A

Method blank analyzed within required frequency? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Method blank results acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Analytes detected in method blank? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Field blank(s) analyzed? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Field blank results acceptable? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Analytes detected in field blank(s)? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

6. Laboratory Control Samples or Blank Spike Samples (Levels C, D, E) .....  N/A

LCS /BSS analyzed within required frequency? .....  Yes  No  N/A

LCS/BSS recoveries acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

LCS/BSS traceable? (Levels D,E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

LCS/BSS expired? (Levels D,E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

LCS/BSS levels correct? (Levels D,E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: no in 228 or 232 - J all

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

7. Chemical Carrier Recovery (Levels C, D, E) .....  N/A

Chemical carrier added? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Chemical recovery acceptable? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Chemical carrier traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

Chemical carrier expired? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

8. Tracer Recovery (Levels C, D, E) .....  N/A

Tracer added? .....  Yes No N/A

Tracer recovery acceptable? .....  Yes No N/A

Tracer traceable? (Levels D, E ) ..... Yes No  N/A

Tracer expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A

Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

9. Matrix Spikes (Levels C, D, E).....  N/A

Matrix spike analyzed? ..... Yes No N/A

Spike recoveries acceptable? ..... Yes No N/A

Spike source traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No N/A

Spike source expired? Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

10. Duplicates (Levels C, D, E) .....  N/A

Duplicates Analyzed at required frequency? .....  Yes  No  N/A

RPD Values Acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E) .....  Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

11. Field QC Samples (Levels C, D E) .....  N/A

Field duplicate sample(s) analyzed? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Field duplicate RPD values acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Field split sample(s) analyzed? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Field split RPD values acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Performance audit sample(s) analyzed? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Performance audit sample results acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Comments: NO Field QC

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

12. Holding Times (All levels)

Are sample holding times acceptable? .....  Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

13. Results and Detection Limits (All Levels).....  N/A

Results reported for all required sample analyses?.....  Yes  No  N/A

Results supported in raw data?(Levels D, E)..... Yes  No  N/A

Results Acceptable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes  No  N/A

Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes  No  N/A

MDA's meet required detection limits? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: 15 over

---

---

---

---

---

**Appendix 6**  
**Additional Documentation Requested by Client**

000026

**EBERLINE SERVICES / RICHMOND**

**SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274**

R603166-07

Method Blank

**METHOD BLANK**

SDG <u>7408</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>	SDG <u>K0274</u>
Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Contract No. <u>630</u>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-07</u>	Client sample id <u>Method Blank</u>	
Dept sample id <u>7408-007</u>	Material/Matrix <u>SOLID</u>	
	SAF No <u>RC-051</u>	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	-0.097	0.096	0.22	1.0	U	SR
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	0.114	0.23	0.43	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 230	14269-63-7	0.113	0.23	0.43	1.0	U	TH
Thorium 232	TH-232	0	0.11	0.43	1.0	U	TH
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0	0.050	0.19	1.0	U	U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0	0.060	0.23	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0	0.050	0.19	1.0	U	U
Potassium 40	13966-00-2	U		0.41		U	GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		0.048	0.050	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-3	U		0.041	0.10	U	GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	U		0.080	0.10	U	GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	U		0.16	0.20	U	GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		0.10	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		0.13	0.10	U	GAM
Europium 155	14391-16-3	U		0.067	0.10	U	GAM
Thorium 228	14274-82-9	U		0.056		U	GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	U		0.16		U	GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		0.13		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		4.8		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		0.034		U	GAM
Antimony 125	14234-35-6	U		0.095		U	GAM
Cesium 134	13967-70-9	U		0.050		U	GAM

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

QC-BLANK #56675

000027

Lab id <u>EBERLINE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-DS</u>
Version <u>3.06</u>
Report date <u>05/22/06</u>

**EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND**

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274

R603166-06

Lab Control Sample

**LAB CONTROL SAMPLE**

SDG <u>7408</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u> SDG <u>K0274</u> Contract No. <u>630</u>
Lab sample id <u>R603166-06</u> Dept sample id <u>7408-006</u>	Client sample id <u>Lab Control Sample</u> Material/Matrix _____ <u>SOLID</u> SAF No <u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	RESULT	2σ ERR	MDA	RDL	QUALI-	ADDED	2σ ERR	REC	3σ	LMTS	PROTOCOL
	pCi/g	(COUNT)	pCi/g	pCi/g	FIERS TEST	pCi/g	pCi/g	%	(TOTAL)	LIMITS	
Total Strontium	11.0	0.51	0.20	1.0	SR	10.8	0.43	102	82-118	80-120	
Thorium 230	38.6	4.1	0.38	1.0	TH	40.4	1.6	96	82-118	80-120	
Uranium 233/234	18.5	1.8	0.77	1.0	U	18.6	0.74	99	83-117	80-120	
Uranium 235	13.9	1.5	0.17	1.0	U	15.1	0.60	92	83-117	80-120	
Uranium 238	18.5	1.8	0.74	1.0	U	20.2	0.81	92	84-116	80-120	
Cobalt 60	2.85	0.25	<u>0.066</u>	0.050	GAM	2.81	0.11	101	73-127	80-120	
Cesium 137	3.18	0.23	<u>0.16</u>	0.10	GAM	2.92	0.12	109	72-128	80-120	

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

QC-LCS #56674

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-LCS</u>
Version <u>3.06</u>
Report date <u>05/22/06</u>

000028

**EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND**

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP K0274

R603166-08

J11JJ6

**DUPLICATE**

<u>SDG 7408</u>	<u>Client/Case no Hanford</u>	<u>SDG K0274</u>
<u>Contact Melissa C. Mannion</u>	<u>Contract No. 630</u>	
<b>DUPLICATE</b>	<b>ORIGINAL</b>	
Lab sample id <u>R603166-08</u>	Lab sample id <u>R603166-01</u>	Client sample id <u>J11JJ6</u>
Dept sample id <u>7408-008</u>	Dept sample id <u>7408-001</u>	Location/Matrix <u>100-H RIPARIAN #8</u> <u>SOLID</u>
	Received <u>03/30/06</u>	Collected/Weight <u>03/28/06 14:00</u> <u>490 g</u>
* solids <u>100.0</u>	* solids <u>100.0</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>RC-051-107</u> <u>RC-051</u>

ANALYTE	DUPLICATE	2σ ERR	MDA	RDL	QUALI-	ORIGINAL	2σ ERR	MDA	QUALI-	RPD	3σ	DER
	pCi/g	(COUNT)	pCi/g	pCi/g	FIERS TEST		pCi/g	(COUNT)	pCi/g	FIERS	%	TOT
Total Strontium	0.007	0.097	0.20	1.0	U	SR	0.018	0.10	0.20	U	-	0.2
Thorium 228	0.513	0.30	0.35	1.0		TH	0.662	0.57	0.72	U	25	165 0.5
Thorium 230	0.255	0.29	0.28	1.0	U	TH	0.377	0.38	0.72	U	-	0.5
Thorium 232	0.583	0.30	0.28	1.0		TH	0.189	0.19	0.72	U	102	138 2.2
Uranium 233/234	0.632	0.22	0.14	1.0		U	0.682	0.25	0.19		8	77 0.3
Uranium 235	0	0.044	0.17	1.0	U	U	0.118	0.12	0.23	U	-	1.8
Uranium 238	0.578	0.22	0.14	1.0		U	0.488	0.25	0.19		17	94 0.5
Potassium 40	12.1	1.4	0.77			GAM	10.6	1.5	1.1		13	42 0.9
Cobalt 60	U		<u>0.092</u>	0.050	U	GAM	U		<u>0.088</u>	U	-	0.1
Cesium 137	0.336	0.094	0.091	0.10		GAM	0.220	0.10	<u>0.11</u>		42	81 1.5
Radium 226	0.727	0.17	<u>0.17</u>	0.10		GAM	0.662	0.16	<u>0.16</u>		9	60 0.5
Radium 228	1.24	0.33	<u>0.33</u>	0.20		GAM	1.38	0.35	<u>0.32</u>		11	64 0.5
Europium 152	U		<u>0.30</u>	0.10	U	GAM	U		<u>0.26</u>	U	-	0.2
Europium 154	U		<u>0.26</u>	0.10	U	GAM	U		<u>0.26</u>	U	-	0
Europium 155	U		<u>0.22</u>	0.10	U	GAM	U		<u>0.22</u>	U	-	0
Thorium 228	1.09	0.11	0.11			GAM	1.06	0.12	0.11		3	39 0.2
Thorium 232	1.24	0.33	0.33			GAM	1.38	0.35	0.32		11	64 0.5
Uranium 235	U		0.32		U	GAM	U		0.35	U	-	0.1
Uranium 238	U		8.0		U	GAM	U		10	U	-	0.3
Americium 241	U		0.34		U	GAM	U		0.35	U	-	0
Antimony 125	U		0.18		U	GAM	U		0.19	U	-	0.1
Cesium 134	U		0.099		U	GAM	U		0.10	U	-	0

100&300Area Compnt RCBRA-Incrmntl So

QC-DUP#1 56676

DUPLICATES

Page 1

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 10

**000029**

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-DUP</u>
Version <u>3.06</u>
Report date <u>05/22/06</u>

Date: 14 July 2006  
To: Washington Closure Hanford (technical representative)  
From: TechLaw, Inc.  
Project: 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA – Incremental Soil Sampling  
Subject: Wet Chemistry - Data Package No. K0274-LLI

## INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. K0274 prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

J11JJ6	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ7	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ8	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JJ9	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1
J11JK0	3/28/06	Soil	C	See note 1

1– IC anions by 300.0 (nitrate), chromium VI by 7196A and nitrate/nitrite by 353.2.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

## DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

### • Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within: 30 days for chromium VI; 28 days for nitrate/nitrite; and 48 hours for nitrate.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the

**000001**

limit, all associated detectable sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

The nature of the incremental sampling process precludes sample preservation by cooling. Per WCH instruction, this validation does not include examining the sample preservation cooling parameters of the WCH validation procedures.

Due to the holding time being exceeded by greater than twice the limit, all nitrate results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other holding times were acceptable.

#### • **Method Blanks**

##### Method Blanks

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. All blank results must fall below the contract required detection limit (CRQL) to be acceptable.

All method blank results were acceptable.

##### Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

#### • **Accuracy**

##### Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 80% to 120%. Samples with a recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 79% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 130% or less than 70% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Finally, for samples with a recovery greater than 120% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

000002

Due to a soluble chromium VI matrix spike recovery outside QC limits (47%), all chromium VI results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

• **Precision**

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 20%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

• **Analytical Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required quantitation limits (RQLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All analytes met the RQL.

• **Completeness**

Data package No. K0274 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

## **MINOR DEFICIENCIES**

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

- Due to the holding time being exceeded by greater than twice the limit, all nitrate results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".
- Due to a soluble chromium VI matrix spike recovery outside QC limits (47%), all chromium VI results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the WCH statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

## **REFERENCES**

WCH, Contract #20266, *Validation Statement of Work*, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-2005-42, Rev. 0, October 2005, *100 Area and 300 Area Component of the RCBRA Sampling & Analysis Plan*.

**Appendix 1**  
**Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers**

000005

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with WCH validation SOW are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ - Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

000006

**Appendix 2**  
**Summary of Data Qualification**

**000007**

# WET CHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY\*

COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Nitrate	J	All	Hold time
Chromium VI	J	All	MS recovery

\* - The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

000008

**Appendix 3**

**Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports**

**000009**

<b>Project: WASHINGTON CLOSURE HANFORD</b>												
<b>Laboratory: Lionville Laboratory Inc.      SDG: K0274</b>												
<b>Sample Number</b>		J11JJ6		J11JJ7		J11JJ8		J11JJ9		J11JK0		
<b>Remarks</b>												
<b>Sample Date</b>		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		3/28/06		
<b>General Chemistry</b>		<b>CRDL</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Q</b>								
<b>Nitrate</b>		2.5	15.2	J	17.0	J	17.8	J	14.2	J	13.4	J
<b>Chromium VI</b>		0.5	0.20	UJ								
<b>Nitrate/Nitrite</b>			3.4		3.7		4.0		3.4		3.3	

000010

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 05/05/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
-001	J11JJ6	Nitrate by IC	15.2 J	MG/KG	2.50	1.0
		Chromium VI	0.20 uJ	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	3.4	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
-002	J11JJ7	Nitrate by IC	17.0 J	MG/KG	2.50	1.0
		Chromium VI	0.20 uJ	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	3.7	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
-003	J11JJ8	Nitrate by IC	17.8 J	MG/KG	2.49	1.0
		Chromium VI	0.20 uJ	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	4.0	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
-004	J11JJ9	Nitrate by IC	14.2 J	MG/KG	2.44	1.0
		Chromium VI	0.20 uJ	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	3.4	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
-005	J11JK0	Nitrate by IC	13.4 J	MG/KG	2.48	1.0
		Chromium VI	0.20 uJ	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	3.3	MG/KG	0.20	1.0

*Handwritten:* J  
7/13/06

**Appendix 4**  
**Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation**

**000012**



## Analytical Report

Client: TNU-HANFORD RC-051 K0274  
LVL#: 0603L638

W.O.#: 11343-606-001-9999-00  
Date Received: 03-30-06

### INORGANIC NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 5 soil samples.
2. The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with the methods checked on the attached glossary with the exception of the sample digestate compilation modification requested by the client for Chromium VI. The total sample mass submitted for each sample number was determined and then portioned for the digestion preparation step and the subsequent digestates were composited prior to the colorimetric analysis. For Nitrate Nitrite and IC analyses, the sample extraction ratios were 1:10 using the total sample masses submitted. The Nitrate Nitrite extracts were preserved with sulfuric acid prior to analysis. The sample weights were as follows:

<u>LvLI Sample</u>	<u>Site ID</u>	<u>Cr6+ sample wt,g</u>	<u>Nitrate-Nitrite IC Nitrate sample wt,g</u>
0603L638-001	J11JJ6	30.041	29.984
0603L638-002	J11JJ7	30.456	NA
0603L638-002 dup	J11JJ7	30.213	NA
0603L638-002 spk	J11JJ7	30.064	29.990
0603L638-003	J11JJ8	30.319	30.082
0603L638-004	J11JJ9	30.136	30.735
0603L638-004 dup	J11JJ9	NA	30.483
0603L638-004 spk	J11JJ9	NA	29.981
0603L638-005	J11JK0	30.123	30.227

Elevated reporting limits for Chromium VI are the result of the necessity to dilute the samples to diminish background color of the digestates.

LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete list of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.

3. Sample holding times as required by the method and/or contract were met.
4. The results presented in this report are derived from samples that did not meet LvLI's sample acceptance policy as noted on the Sample Receipt Checklist.
5. The method blanks were within the method criteria.
6. The Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) were within the laboratory control limits.
7. The matrix spike recoveries for Nitrate and Nitrate Nitrite were within the 75-125% control limits however replicate recovery for Soluble Chromium VI was below the control limits.
8. The replicate analyses for Chromium VI and Nitrate were within the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limit however replicate analysis for Nitrate Nitrite was outside the control limits at 21.2%.
9. Results for solid samples were reported on an "as-received" dry weight basis.
10. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Iain Daniels  
Laboratory Manager  
Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

5/8/06  
Date

njp03-638



000014

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.  
 INORGANIC ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE FOR  
 TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274



DATE RECEIVED: 03/30/06

LVL LOT # :0603L638

CLIENT ID /ANALYSIS	LVL #	MTX	PREP #	COLLECTION	EXTR/PREP	ANALYSIS
<b>J11JJ6</b>						
NITRATE BY IC	001	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
CHROMIUM VI	001	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
NITRATE NITRITE	001	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06
<b>J11JJ7</b>						
NITRATE BY IC	002	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
CHROMIUM VI	002	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
CHROMIUM VI	002 REP	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
CHROMIUM VI	002 MS	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
NITRATE NITRITE	002	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06
<b>J11JJ8</b>						
NITRATE BY IC	003	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
CHROMIUM VI	003	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
NITRATE NITRITE	003	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06
<b>J11JJ9</b>						
NITRATE BY IC	004	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
NITRATE BY IC	004 REP	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
NITRATE BY IC	004 MS	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
CHROMIUM VI	004	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
NITRATE NITRITE	004	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06
NITRATE NITRITE	004 REP	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06
NITRATE NITRITE	004 MS	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06
<b>J11JK0</b>						
NITRATE BY IC	005	S	06LICCC39	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/07/06
CHROMIUM VI	005	S	06LVI019	03/28/06	04/03/06	04/03/06
NITRATE NITRITE	005	S	06LN3022	03/28/06	04/06/06	04/10/06

LAB QC:

NITRATE BY IC MB1 S 06LICCC39 N/A 04/06/06 04/06/06

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.  
INORGANIC ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE FOR  
TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274

DATE RECEIVED: 03/30/06

LVL LOT # :0603L638

CLIENT ID /ANALYSIS	LVL #	MTX	PREP #	COLLECTION	EXTR/PREP	ANALYSIS
NITRATE BY IC	MB1 BS	S	06LICCC39	N/A	04/06/06	04/06/06
CHROMIUM VI	MB1	S	06LVI019	N/A	04/03/06	04/03/06
CHROMIUM VI	MB1 BS	S	06LVI019	N/A	04/03/06	04/03/06
CHROMIUM VI	MB1 BSD	S	06LVI019	N/A	04/03/06	04/03/06
NITRATE NITRITE	MB1	S	06LN3022	N/A	04/04/06	04/10/06
NITRATE NITRITE	MB1 BS	S	06LN3022	N/A	04/04/06	04/10/06

000016

02

Washington Closure Hanford				CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST								RC-051-107		Page 2 of 3			
Collector STANKOVICH, M.				Company Contact JOAN KESSNER				Telephone No. 375-4688				Project Coordinator KESSNER, JH		Price Code 8L		Data Turnaround 45 Days	
Project Designation 100 & 300 Area Component of the RCBRA - Incremental So				Sample Location 100-H RIPARIAN #8				SAF No. RC-051				Air Quality <input type="checkbox"/>					
Ice Chest No.				Field Logbook No. EL-1596-1				COA BESRAS6520				Method of Shipment FED EX					
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (LIONVILLE)				Offsite Property No. A060151				Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. SEE OSPC									
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS  NONE  Special Handling and/or Storage Use page 3 for original material to Corvallis for MIS preparation and aliquoting, page 1 for radioanalytical fractions to Eberline, & page 2 for chemical analytical fractions to Lionville.				Preservation		None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
				Type of Container		G/P	G/P	aG	aG	aG	aG	G/P	G/P	^	^		
				No. of Container(s)		9	9	7	1	7	7	7	7	0	0		
				Volume		30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	30g	1^	1^		
SAMPLE ANALYSIS				See item (1) in Special Instructions		Chromium Hex - 7196	Semi-VOA - 8270A (TCL)	PAHs - 3310	Pesticides - 8081	PCBs - 8082	IC Anions - 300.0 (Nitrate)	NO2/NO3 - 333.2 (Nitrogen in Nitrite and Nitrate)					
Sample No.		Matrix *		Sample Date		Sample Time											
J11JJ6		SOIL		3-28-06		14:00		3	1	1	1	1	1				
J11JJ7						16:19		1	3	3	1	1	1				
J11JJ8						15:20		1	1	1	3	3	1	1			
J11JJ9						15:00		1	1	1	1	1	3	3			
J11JK0						13:00		3	3	1	1	1	1				
CHAIN OF POSSESSION				Sign/Print Names				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS								Matrix *	
Relinquished By/Removed From Elizabeth M Tepper				Date/Time 3-29-06 11:30				Received By/Stored In CHZM Hill				Date/Time 3-29-06 11:30				* These marks indicate that unless lined out, analytes to be included with Strontium-89,90 -- Total Sr analysis fraction. ~ These marks indicate that this is a non-analysis used to properly format COC form. Contact Joan Kessner for any questions.  (1) ICP Metals - 6010 (Full List) (Aluminum, Antimony, Arsenic, Barium, Beryllium, Bismuth, Boron, Cadmium, Calcium, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Iron, Lead, Lithium, Magnesium, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Phosphorus, Potassium, Selenium, Silicon, Silver, Sodium, Strontium, Thallium, Tin, Uranium, Vanadium, Zinc)	
Relinquished By/Removed From Debra M Tepper				Date/Time 3-29-06				Received By/Stored In Fed Ex				Date/Time 3-29-06					
Relinquished By/Removed From Fed Ex				Date/Time 3-30-06 09:20				Received By/Stored In Debra M Tepper				Date/Time 3-30-06 09:20					
Relinquished By/Removed From Fed Ex				Date/Time 3-30-06 09:20				Received By/Stored In Debra M Tepper				Date/Time 3-30-06 09:20					
Relinquished By/Removed From				Date/Time				Received By/Stored In				Date/Time					
Relinquished By/Removed From				Date/Time				Received By/Stored In				Date/Time					
LABORATORY SECTION		Received By		Title				Date/Time									
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION		Disposal Method		Disposed By				Date/Time									

000017

**Appendix 5**  
**Data Validation Supporting Documentation**

**GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
PROJECT:	RCBRA		DATA PACKAGE: K0274		
VALIDATOR:	TLI	LAB:	LLI	DATE: 7/6/06	
			SDG: K0274		
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
<b>Anions/IC</b>	TOC	TOX	TPH-418.1	Oil and Grease	Alkalinity
Ammonia	BOD/COD	Chloride	<b>Chromium-VI</b>	pH	<b>NO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub></b>
Sulfate	TDS	TKN	Phosphate		
SAMPLES/MATRIX					
J11JJ6 J11JJ7 J11JJ8 J11JJ9 J11JK0					
Soil					

**1. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND CASE NARRATIVE**

Technical verification documentation present? ..... Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**2. INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATIONS (Levels D and E)**

Initial calibrations performed on all instruments? ..... Yes No **N/A**

Initial calibrations acceptable? ..... Yes No **N/A**

ICV and CCV checks performed on all instruments? ..... Yes No **N/A**

ICV and CCV checks acceptable? ..... Yes No **N/A**

Standards traceable? ..... Yes No **N/A**

Standards expired? ..... Yes No **N/A**

Calculation check acceptable? ..... Yes No **N/A**

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

**3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)**

- ICB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- ICB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- Laboratory blanks analyzed? .....  Yes No N/A
- Laboratory blank results acceptable? .....  Yes No N/A
- Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E) ..... Yes  No N/A
- Field blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: no FB

---

---

---

**4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)**

- Spike samples analyzed? .....  Yes No N/A
- Spike recoveries acceptable? ..... Yes  No N/A
- Spike standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- Spike standards expired? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- LCS/BSS samples analyzed? .....  Yes No N/A
- LCS/BSS results acceptable? .....  Yes No N/A
- Standards traceable? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- Standards expired? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ..... Yes No  N/A
- Performance audit sample(s) analyzed? ..... Yes  No N/A
- Performance audit sample results acceptable? ..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: chr VI - ug - 4790 - J all no PT

---

---

---

**GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

**5. PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)**

- Duplicate RPD values acceptable?.....  Yes No N/A
- Duplicate results acceptable?.....  Yes No N/A
- MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A
- MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A
- Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?..... Yes No  N/A
- Field split RPD values acceptable?..... Yes No  N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)..... Yes No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**6. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)**

- Samples properly preserved?.....  Yes No N/A
- Sample holding times acceptable?..... Yes  No N/A

Comments: nitrate 72x - J all  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sample temp not assessed per WCH

**GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST**

**7. RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)**

- Results reported for all requested analyses?.....  Yes  No  N/A
- Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E).....  Yes  No  N/A
- Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E).....  Yes  No  N/A
- Detection limits meet RDL?.....  Yes  No  N/A
- Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E).....  Yes  No  N/A

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Appendix 6**

**Additional Documentation Requested by Client**

**000023**

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 05/05/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING LIMIT	DILUTION FACTOR
BLANK10	06LIC39-MB1	Nitrate by IC	2.50 u	MG/KG	2.50	1.0
BLANK10	06LVI019-MB1	Chromium VI	0.20 u	MG/KG	0.20	1.0
BLANK10	06LN3022-MB1	Nitrate Nitrite	0.20 u	MG/KG	0.20	1.0

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 05/05/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SPIKED SAMPLE	INITIAL RESULT	SPIKED AMOUNT	%RECOV	DILUTION FACTOR (SPK)
-002	J11JJ7	Soluble Chromium VI	1.7	0.20u	4.0	47.1	1.0
-004	J11JJ9	Nitrate by IC	63.7	14.2	50.0	98.9	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	7.5	3.4	5.0	81.5	1.0
BLANK10	06LICC39-MB1	Nitrate by IC	49.3	2.50u	50.0	98.6	1.0
BLANK10	06LVI019-MB1	Soluble Chromium VI	4.0	0.20u	4.0	99.9	1.0
		Insoluble Chromium VI	1120	0.20u	1070	105.2	100
BLANK10	06LN3022-MB1	Nitrate Nitrite	5.0	0.20u	5.0	99.8	1.0

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 05/05/06

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD RC-051 K0274  
 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0603L638

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	INITIAL			DILUTION FACTOR (REP)
			RESULT	REPLICATE	RPD	
-002REP	J11JJ7	Chromium VI	0.20u	0.20u	NC	1.0
-004REP	J11JJ9	Nitrate by IC	14.2	12.1	16.6	1.0
		Nitrate Nitrite	3.4	2.7	21.2	1.0