

**Inter-Agency Management Integration Team (IAMIT)  
Meeting Minutes  
June 15, 2006**

Approval: Jane A. Hedges  
J. Hedges (H0-57)  
Ecology IAMIT Representative

Date: 9/21/06

Approval: M.S. McCormick  
M.S. McCormick (A5-11)  
DOE IAMIT Representative

Date: 9/26/06

Approval: Not Present  
J.R. Eschenberg (H6-60)  
ORP IAMIT Representative

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 20 2006

Approval: Not Present  
T.Z. Smith (H6-60)  
ORP IAMIT Representative

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**EDMC**

Approval: Craig Cameron for  
N. Ceto (B1-46)  
EPA IAMIT Representative, Chairperson

Date: 9/22/06

Minutes Prepared by:

Sonya Moore  
S.L. Moore (H8-40)  
Fluor Hanford, Inc.

Date: 9-28-06

Bartus, D.	EPA	H0-57	Henry, D.	OOE*	
Bohnee, G	NPT		Horst, L.	OOE*	
Bilson, B.	FH	H8-20	Hyatt, J.E.	FH	H8-40
Ceto, N.	EPA	B1-46	Jackson, D.E.	RL	A4-52
Chalk, S.E.	RL	A7-75	Jim, R.	Yakama*	
Cimon, S.	ODE		Kline, K.A.	RL	A7-50
Clark, C.E.	RL	A5-15	McCormick, M.S.	RL	A5-11
Cusack, L.	Ecology	H0-57*	Morrison, R.D.	FH	H8-12*
Erickson, L.	RL	A3-04	Niles, K.	OOE*	
Evans, D.T.	RL	A3-04	Piippo, R.E.	FH	H8-12*
Faulk, D.A.	EPA	B5-01	Skinnarland, E.R.	Ecology	H0-57
Frey, J.A.	RL	A5-13	Weis, M.J.	RL	A7-50
Fritz, L.L.	FH	H8-12	Williamson, B.D.	RL	A4-52
Gadbois, L.E.	EPA	B1-46	Administrative Record		H6-08*
Harris, S.	CTUIR*		*w/Attachment		
Hebdon, J.B.	RL	A5-15			
Hedges, J.	Ecology	H0-57			

**Inter-Agency Management Integration Team (IAMIT)**  
**Meeting Minutes**  
**June 15, 2006**

---

**Baseline Risk Assessment and Work Plan**

EPA stated that the baseline Risk Assessment (RA) is a large part of the path toward a final Record Of Decision (ROD). There have been several interim RAs completed in the past. WCH is interested in doing a final ROD sooner but they need the baseline RA in order to get there. Ecology stated that we need to make a decision on which way to go. Normally the baseline RA is part of the Risk Investigation or Feasibility Study. The public needs to know the impacts of Hanford on the river, so it makes sense to have an RA completed for the river.

RL noted they could make a good argument that if we are already doing a final ROD on River Corridor, which includes the banks, they could write the final ROD based on just that portion. EPA stated this was not a good idea and not what we promised the people. To be credible to the public, we need to do the baseline RA. The information is out there and just needs to be pulled together to review. The first step is to collect all the data available and see if there are gaps.

EPA stated we have already taken the first step of data compilation. Studies have been completed from Hanford to the Pacific Ocean; that information is available. And the public has already bought into the information we have presented to them. If we want a final ROD, we need to continue the effort that was started last year. We also have to recognized that this does not have contractual coverage. RL noted that a preliminary review of the data indicated more is needed because all contaminants of concern are not covered.

**M-091-42, Interim Milestone to Treat CH TRUM**

The interim milestone to treat 1800 m<sup>3</sup> due end of 2005 was missed. Ecology stated that the next milestone to treat 3000 m<sup>3</sup> is due in six months, on 12-31-06, is in jeopardy, and will not be granted relief. Ecology wants to know what measures RL is taking to recover the milestone. RL stated they have been working to make up the schedule slippage and are at ~1950 today. The program has certified over 70 m<sup>3</sup> since May 1, and are trying to get an average of 100 m<sup>3</sup>/month. They transferred \$2M funding into the certification activity this spring. Sludge from the K Basin NLOP has been completed and that crew is now focused on certification repackaging.

It has taken a lot longer to certify waste than was anticipated as a lot more drums have to be dumped and repackaged than was planned. We have installed more glove boxes to mitigate this issue this calendar year, which will increase throughput for each crew. There are now two crews working each unit, but the waste is much more unpredictable.

Ecology asked when the glove boxes were installed, what their capacity is, and how many crews will be working how many shifts.

**Action: RL will provide Ecology with information on the glove boxes and crews.**

Ecology asked if we are still on track for having all TRU waste certified within two years after it is retrieved. RL stated they have increased capacity from what was planned in 2002. They also have increased units to do head space sampling and added two new glove boxes in T-Plant as well as the one in WRAP. WIPP is contemplating allowing statistical sampling of the waste stream. A modification to the permit is being pursued to do a statistical sampling versus currently having to sample every drum. The project has increased staff, adjusted the baseline, and is on an accelerated path to certify waste. Of significant impact has been lower volumes of newly generated waste from PFP based on the delay of demolition from 2009 to 2016. The waste being retrieved is now much more unpredictable than the newly generated waste that would have come from PFP.

Ecology expressed concern that RL is not operating to meet the milestone but rather are banking on renegotiating the requirements. Ecology has asked for a written recovery plan since January 2006 and is still requesting one. RL believes things have changed since the milestone rates were established in 2002 and have been in discussions with Ecology to gain agreement. Ecology believes RL has not done enough to meet the milestones and had enough easily certifiable waste to meet the milestone in December 2005 but RL did not use it.

EPA noted that RL has stated they would not miss milestones as the budget was sufficient, but later asked if the Regulators wanted RL to take money away from something else in order to perform this work. Ecology emphasized that the recovery plan is very important and it needs to address what is going to be covered by the M-91 milestone and what is going to be covered under the contract. RL stated the recovery plan would be focused on TRU retrieval and noted that they are making two shipments every week and certification is going on every day.

RL will send notification to the Regulators that they have met the 1800 m3 milestone and will include the actions the program has taken to improve throughput.

**Action: RL will provide the Regulators with status on this issue on a monthly basis.**

RL noted that when they planned for the 2008 budget, it appeared to be sufficient. However, issues that happen on a daily basis are not what was planned for two years ago. The program has added hundreds of millions in funding for retrieval and believe they are doing a good job in taking unknown material and working with it. EPA noted that it is interested in the big picture and concerned that retrieval will not be completed in 2024. We need to let the public know we are making progress, but if we need money to complete the job then the public needs to know that as well.





June 15, 2006

### **River Corridor Milestone Review**

Place : EPA Conference Room, 309 Bradley Boulevard, Suite 115, Richland, WA.  
Time: 10:00 am - 11:00 am  
Chairperson: Nick Ceto

- 10:00 am M-16-00 Complete Remedial Actions
- M-93-00 Disposition of Surplus Reactors
- M-94-00 300 Area Surplus Facilities
- M-89-00 324 Bldg. Closure of MW Units
- M-92-00 Facilities for Sodium and Special  
Case Waste
  
- 10:45 am M-81-00 Fast Flux Test Facility Transition
  
- 11:00 am Adjourn River Corridor Milestone Review

### **Inter Agency Management Integration Team**

Place: EPA Conference Room, 309 Bradley Boulevard, Suite 115, Richland, WA.  
Time: 11:00 am - 11:30 am  
Chairperson: Nick Ceto

- 11:00 am Discussion on the need for Risk Assessments Prior to Selecting  
the Final Remedial Actions.
  
- 11:15 am Update on Status of M-91 Milestones to Certify TRU/M Waste.
  
- 11:30 am Adjourn IAMIT