

Date: 6 January 2000
 To: Bechtel Hanford, Inc. (technical representative)
 From: TechLaw, Inc.
 Project: 105-DR FSB - QC Sample Analysis
 Subject: Radiochemistry - Data Package No. H0472-TNU (SDG No. H0472)

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Summary Data Package No. H0472-TNU which was prepared by Thermo NUtech (TNU). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the requested analytes is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
BOW105	07/19/99	Water	C	See note 1

1 - Gamma spectroscopy; alpha spectroscopy (isotopic uranium, isotopic plutonium and americium-241); total strontium; nickel-63; tritium; carbon-14; technetium-99.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the BHI validation statement of work and "Sample and Analysis Plan for 105F and 105DR Phase III Below Grade Structures and Underlying Soils" (DOE/RL-99-35). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

- **Holding Times**

Holding times are calculated from Chain-of-Custody forms to determine the validity of the results. The maximum holding time for radiochemical analysis is 6 months with liquid scintillation requiring analysis within 7 days of distillation.

All holding times were acceptable.

RECEIVED
 APR 25 2000

EDMC

- **Blanks**

Laboratory Blanks

Blank samples are analyzed to determine if positive results are due to laboratory reagent, sample container, or detector contamination. If blank analysis results indicate the presence of an analyte above the MDA, the following qualifiers are applied: All positive sample results less than five times the highest blank concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J"; sample results below the MDA are qualified as undetected and flagged "U"; sample results above the MDA and greater than five times the highest blank concentration are not qualified.

All laboratory blank results were acceptable.

Equipment Blank

One equipment blank (BOW105) was submitted for analysis. All equipment blank results were acceptable.

- **Accuracy**

Accuracy is evaluated by analyzing distilled water or field samples spiked with known amounts of radionuclides. The sample activity as determined by analysis is compared to the known activity to assess accuracy. The acceptable laboratory control sample recovery is 70-130% and matrix spike recovery range is 60-140%. In addition, samples may be spiked with a radiochemical tracer to assist in isolating the radioisotope of interest with the yield of the tracer being used in calculating sample activity. The acceptable range for tracer recovery is 20% to 105%. Spike sample results outside the above ranges result in associated sample results being qualified as estimates, rejected, or not qualified, depending on the activity of the individual sample.

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all carbon-14 and tritium results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All accuracy results were acceptable.

- **Precision**

Analytical precision is expressed by the RPD between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. Precision may also be assessed using unspiked duplicate sample analyses. If both sample and replicate activities are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than

000002

30 percent, the results are acceptable. If either activities are less than five times the CRDL, a control limit of less than or equal to two times the CRDL is used for soil samples and less than or equal to the CRDL for water samples. If either the original or replicate value is below the CRDL, the applicable control limits are less than or equal to the CRDL for water samples and less than or equal to two times the CRDL for soil samples. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All duplicate results were acceptable.

- **Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the contract required minimum detectable activities (MDAs), to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All reported laboratory MDAs were at or below the analyte-specific TDL or contract specified MDA.

- **Completeness**

Data Package No. H0472 (SDG No. H0472) was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. The completion rate was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

Due to the lack of a matrix spike analysis, all carbon-14 and tritium results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" is an estimate, but under the BHI validation SOW, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-99-35, *Sample and Analysis Plan for 105F and 105DR Phase III Below Grade Structures and Underlying Soils*.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

000005

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the BHI statement of work are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. The value reported is the sample result corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory. The data is usable for decision making purposes.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected at concentrations above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate, but is usable for decision making purposes.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified QC deficiency.

Appendix 2

Summary of Data Qualification

000007

DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY

SDG: H0472	REVIEWER: TLI	DATE: 1/6/00	PAGE <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>
COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Carbon-14, tritium	J	All	No matrix spike

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

000009

Project: BECHTEL-HANFORD																					
Laboratory: TNU																					
Case											SDG: H0472										
Sample Number											BOW105										
Location																					
Remarks											Equip. Blank										
Sample Date											07/19/99										
Radiochemistry	CRDL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Tritium	400	-25.7	UJ																		
Carbon-14	200	-17.4	UJ																		
Technetium-99	15	1.12	U																		
Uranium-234	1	0.036	U																		
Uranium-235	1	0.015	U																		
Uranium-238	1	0	U																		
Plutonium-238	1	0.029	U																		
Plutonium-239/40	1	0.007	U																		
Nickel-63	15	0.089	U																		
Americium-241	1	0.015	U																		
Strontium (total)	2	0.011	U																		
Potassium-40			U	U																	
Barium-133			U	U																	
Cobalt 60	25		U	U																	
Cesium 137	15		U	U																	
Europium 152	50		U	U																	
Europium 154	50		U	U																	
Europium 155	50		U	U																	
Radium-226			U	U																	
Radium-228			U	U																	
Thorium-228			U	U																	
Thorium-232			U	U																	
Americium-241 (GEA)			U	U																	
Uranium-238 (GEA)			U	U																	
Uranium-235 (GEA)			U	U																	

000010

TMA / RICHMOND
 SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H0472

N907128-01

BOW105

DATA SHEET

SDG 7154 Client/Case no Hanford SDG-H0472
 Contact L.A. Johnson Contract TRB-FNB-207925

Lab sample id N907128-01 Client sample id BOW105
 Dept sample id 7154-001 Location/Matrix 105DR WATER
 Received 07/22/99 Collected 07/19/99 12:50
 Custody/S&F No 899-082-01 899-082

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/L	EDL pCi/L	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Tritium	10028-17-8	-25.7	110	190	400	U	F H
Carbon 14	14762-78-8	-17.4	21	36		U	C
Technetium 99	14133-76-7	1.12	4.0	11	15	U	TC
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.036	0.040	0.092	1.0	U	U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.015	0.029	0.11	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0	0.024	0.092	1.0	U	U
Plutonium 238	13981-16-3	0.029	0.044	0.090	1.0	U	FU
Plutonium 239/240	PU-239/240	0.007	0.044	0.090	1.0	U	FU
Nickel 63	13981-37-8	0.089	1.1	1.9		U	NI_L
Americium 241	14596-10-2	0.018	0.023	0.042		U	AM
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.011	0.22	0.45	2.0	U	SR
Potassium 40	13966-80-2	U		250		U	GAM
Barium 133	13981-41-4	U		15		UX	GAM
Cobalt 60	10198-40-0	U		14	25	U	GAM
Cesium 137	10045-97-1	U		13	15	U	GAM
Europium 152	14683-23-9	U		35	50	U	GAM
Europium 154	15585-10-1	U		38	50	U	GAM
Europium 158	14391-16-3	U		38	50	U	GAM
Radium 226	13982-63-3	U		28		U	GAM
Radium 228	15262-20-1	U		64		U	GAM
Thorium 230	14274-82-9	U		21		U	GAM
Thorium 232	TH-232	U		64		U	GAM
Americium 241	14596-10-2	U		44		U	GAM
Uranium 238	U-238	U		1800		U	GAM
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	U		55		U	GAM

105-DR FSR-QC Sample Analysis

pu
1/4/00

DATA SHEETS
 Page 1
 SUMMARY DATA SECTION
 Page 10

Lab id THMC
 Protocol Hanford
 Version Var 1.0
 Form FVB-DS
 Version 1.05
 Report date 02/22/99

000011

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

000012

Case Narrative

1.0 GENERAL

Bechtel Hanford Inc. Sample Delivery Group H0472 is composed of one liquid (water) sample designated under SAF No. B99-082 with a Project Designation of : 105-DR FSB-QC Sample Analysis.

The sample was received as stated on the Chain-of-Custody document. Any discrepancies are noted on the TNU Sample Receipt Checklist. The results were transmitted to BHI via facsimile on August 11, 1999 with the exception of the carbon-14 and technetium-99 data, which was faxed to BHI on August 18, 1999.

2.0 ANALYSIS NOTES

2.1 Gamma Scan Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.2 Total Strontium Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.3 Americium-241 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.4 Isotopic Plutonium Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.5 Nickel-63 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.6 Isotopic Uranium Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.7 Carbon-14 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.8 Tritium Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.9 Technetium-99 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

Collector Fahlber4g/Porter	Company Contact J Adler	Telephone No. 373-4316	Project Coordinator TRENT, SJ	Price Code 7L	Data Turnaround 21 Days
Project Designation 105-DR FSB - QC Sample Analysis	Sampling Location 105 DR	Field Logbook No. EL 1281	SAF No. B99-082		
Ice Chest No. SML555	Offsite Property No.	Method of Shipment Fed Ex			
Shipped To TMA/REGRA RE 7-19-99	Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. 423579527742		COA R105D4 2870		

POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS	Preservation	None	None	HNO3 to pH <	HCl to pH <	HNO3 to pH <	Cool AC	HNO3 to pH <
	Type of Container	P	P	uG	P	P	uG	P
	No. of Container(s)	1	1	1	1	1	3	3
Special Handling and/or Storage	Volume	120mL	120mL	500mL	500mL	500mL	1000mL	1L

SAMPLE ANALYSIS				Carbon-14	Tritium - H3	Mercury - 7470 - (CV)	Technetium-99	ICP Metals - 6010A (Add-on) (Lead)	PCBs - 8080	See item (1) in Special Instructions.
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time							
BOW105	Water	7-19-99	1250	X	X		X			X

CHAIN OF POSSESSION	Sign/Print Names	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS	Matrix *
Relinquished By Brent Porter	Date/Time 7/20/99 14:30	Received By Ref # 2A	Date/Time 7/20/99 14:30
Relinquished By Brent Porter	Date/Time 7/21/99 09:00	Received By Brent Porter	Date/Time 7/21/99 09:00
Relinquished By Brent Porter	Date/Time 7/21/99 12:00	Received By Fed Express	Date/Time 7/21/99
Relinquished By FedEx	Date/Time 9:40 7/22/99	Received By TNU M. Goldenberg	Date/Time 9:40 7/22/99

(1) Gamma Spectroscopy (Water) (Cesium-137, Cobalt-60, Europium-152, Europium-154, Europium-155); Isotopic Plutonium; Isotopic Uranium; Americium-241; Strontium-89,90 - Total Sr; Nickel-63

Matrix *
Soil
Water
Vapor
Other Solid
Other Liquid

LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title	Date/Time
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By	Date/Time

000014

Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

000015

RADIOCHEMICAL DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	C	D	E
PROJECT: 105-DR FSD- QC sampler			DATA PACKAGE: H0472		
VALIDATOR: JY		LAB: TNU		DATE: 10/6/99	
CASE:			SDG: H0472		
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
<input type="checkbox"/> Gross Alpha/Beta	<input type="checkbox"/> Strontium-90	<input type="checkbox"/> Technetium-99	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alpha Spectroscopy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gamma Spectroscopy	
<input type="checkbox"/> Total Uranium	<input type="checkbox"/> Radium-22	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tritium	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C14	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M-U	
SAMPLES/MATRIX BOW105					
water					

1. Completeness N/A
 Technical verification forms present? Yes No **N/A**

Comments: _____

2. Initial Calibration N/A
 Instruments/detectors calibrated within one year of sample analysis? Yes No N/A
 Initial calibration acceptable? Yes No N/A
 Standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A
 Standards Expired? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

3. Continuing Calibration N/A

Calibration checked within one week of sample analysis? . . . Yes No N/A

Calibration check acceptable? Yes No N/A

Calibration check standards NIST traceable? Yes No N/A

Calibration check standards expired? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

4. Blanks N/A

Method blank analyzed? Yes No N/A

Method blank results acceptable? Yes No N/A

Analytes detected in method blank? Yes No N/A

Field blank(s) analyzed? Yes No N/A

Field blank results acceptable? Yes No N/A

Analytes detected in field blank(s)? Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

5. Matrix Spikes N/A

Matrix spike analyzed? Yes No N/A

Spike recoveries acceptable? Yes No N/A

Spike source traceable? Yes No N/A

Spike source expired? Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? Yes No N/A

Comments: IR J 3H-C14

6. Laboratory Control Samples N/A

LCS analyzed? Yes No N/A

LCS recoveries acceptable? Yes No N/A

LCS traceable? Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

7. Chemical Recovery N/A

Chemical carrier added? Yes No N/A

Chemical recovery acceptable? Yes No N/A

Chemical carrier traceable? Yes No N/A

Chemical carrier expired? Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation errors? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

8. Duplicates N/A

Duplicates Analyzed? Yes No N/A

RPD Values Acceptable? Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation Errors? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

A-3/2

9. Field QC Samples N/A

Field duplicate sample(s) analyzed? Yes No N/A

Field duplicate RPD values acceptable? Yes No N/A

Field split sample(s) analyzed? Yes No N/A

Field split RPD values acceptable? Yes No N/A

Performance audit sample(s) analyzed? Yes No N/A

Performance audit sample results acceptable? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

10. Holding Times

Are sample holding times acceptable? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

11. Results and Detection Limits (Levels D & E) N/A

Results reported for all required sample analyses? Yes No N/A

Results supported in raw data? Yes No N/A

Results Acceptable? Yes No N/A

Transcription/Calculation errors? Yes No N/A

MDA's meet required detection limits? Yes No N/A

Transcription/calculation errors? Yes No N/A

Comments: U235 (Aspm) Cobalt-60, Cs137 all europiums Am241

U238 (gen) U235 (gen)

3H 644 1.97 - check 100 area

Date: 6 January 2000
To: Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)
From: TechLaw, Inc.
Project: 105-DR FSB - QC Sample Analysis
Subject: PCB - Data Package No. H0472-RLN (SDG No. H0472)

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Summary Data Package No. H0472-RLN prepared by Recra LabNet (RLN). A list of the samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
B0W105	07/19/99	Water	C	EPA 8082*

*Equivalent to the requested method (EPA 8080)

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the "Sample and Analysis Plan for 105F and 105DR Phase III Below Grade Structures and Underlying Soils" (DOE/RL-99-35). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

- **Holding Times**

Sample data were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Samples must be extracted within 7 days of the date of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days from the date of extraction.

If holding times are exceeded by less than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the

000001

limit, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR".

Holding times were met for all samples.

- **Blanks**

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation or analysis. At least one method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. Method blanks should not contain target compounds at a concentration greater than CRQL. If target compounds are present, sample results less than five times the blank concentration are qualified as undetected and flagged "U". If the sample result is less than five times the blank concentration and less than CRQL, the result is qualified as undetected and elevated to the CRQL.

All method blank target compound results were acceptable.

Equipment Blank

One equipment blank (BOW105) was submitted for analysis. All equipment blank results were acceptable.

- **Accuracy**

Matrix Spike

Matrix spike analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike analyses are performed in duplicate and must be within either control limits of 50% to 150% or within the laboratories control limits. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Nondetected sample results with spike recoveries outside control limits are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

Surrogate Recovery

The analysis of surrogate compounds provides a measure of performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control

000002

windows have been established by the laboratory. When a surrogate compound recovery is outside the control window, all positively identified target compounds associated with the unacceptable surrogate recoveries are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Nondetected compounds with surrogate recoveries less than the lower control limit are qualified as having an estimated detection limit and flagged "UJ". Nondetected compounds with surrogate recoveries above the upper control limit require no qualification.

All surrogate recovery results were acceptable.

- **Precision**

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes. Precision is expressed as the RPD between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. For all samples, results must be within RPD limits of plus/minus 20%. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

All precision results were acceptable.

- **Analytical Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the 105DR PQLs or the CRDL if no PQL was specified, to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All reported laboratory detection levels met the analyte specific PQL or CRDL.

- **Completeness**

Data Package No. H0472-RLN (SDG No. H0472) was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. The completion percentage was 100%.

000003

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-99-35, *Sample and Analysis Plan for 105F and 105DR Phase III Below Grade Structures and Underlying Soils*.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

000005

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the procedures herein are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. The associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

000007

DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY

SDG: H0472	REVIEWER: TLI	DATE: 1/6/00	PAGE <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>
COMMENTS: No qualifiers assigned			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON

000008

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

000009

Recra LabNet - Lionville Laboratory

PCBs by GC

Report Date: 08/05/99 12:04

RFW Batch Number: 9907L481

Client: TNU-HANFORD B99-082

Work Order: 10985001001 Page: 1

004

Sample Information	Cust ID:	BOW105	BOW105	BOW105	PBLKPK	PBLKPK BS
	RFW#:	001	001 MS	001 MSD	99LE0861-MB1	99LE0861-MB1
	Matrix:	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER	WATER
	D.F.:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Units:	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L
Surrogate:	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	58 ‡	62 ‡	58 ‡	32 ‡	45 ‡
	Decachlorobiphenyl	74 ‡	90 ‡	94 ‡	93 ‡	81 ‡
		-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----	-----fl-----
Aroclor-1016		1.0 U				
Aroclor-1221		2.0 U				
Aroclor-1232		1.0 U				
Aroclor-1242		1.0 U				
Aroclor-1248		1.0 U				
Aroclor-1254		1.0 U	100 ‡	101 ‡	1.0 U	99 ‡
Aroclor-1260		1.0 U				

12/12/95


AL

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked.
 ‡= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

000000

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



**Recra LabNet Philadelphia
Analytical Report**

Client: TNU-HANFORD B99-082

RFW#: 9907L481

SDG/SAF#: H0472/B99-082

W.O.#: 10985-001-001-9999-00

Date Received: 07-22-99

PCB

One (1) water sample was collected on 07-19-99.

The sample and its associated QC samples were extracted on 07-23-99 and analyzed according to Recra OPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 08-02,03-99. The extraction procedure was based on method 3520 and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8082 for Aroclors only.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

1. The cooler temperature has been recorded on the chain-of-custody.
2. All required holding times for extraction and analysis have been met.
3. The samples and their associated QC samples received a sulfuric acid cleanup.
4. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
5. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
6. The blank spike recovery was within acceptance criteria.
7. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
8. All initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
9. All continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.

J. Michael Taylor

J. Michael Taylor
Vice President
Philadelphia Analytical Laboratory
pefr:\group\data\pest\07L-481.pcb

08-11-99

Date

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 7 pages.

file

Bechtel Hanford Inc.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST

B99-082-01

Page 1 of 1

Collector Fahlbr4g/Porter	Company Contact J Adler	Telephone No. 373-4316	Project Coordinator TRENT, SJ	Price Code 7L	Data Turnaround 21 Days
Project Designation 105-DR FSII - QC Sample Analysis	Sampling Location 105 DR	Field Logbook No. EL 1281	SAF No. B99-082		
Ice Chest No. SMU552	Offsite Property No.	Method of Shipment Fed. Ex			
Shipped To TMA/RECRA EE 7-19-99		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. 423579527753			
COA R105 D42870					

POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS	Preservation	None	None	HNO3 to pH <2	HCl to pH <2	HNO3 to pH <2	Cool 4C	HNO3 to pH <2			
		Type of Container	P	P	aG	P	P	aG	P		
Special Handling and/or Storage	No. of Container(s)	1	1	1	1	1	3	5			
	Volume	120mL	120mL	500mL	500mL	500mL	1000mL	1L			

SAMPLE ANALYSIS	Carbon-14	Tritium - H3	Mercury - 2470 - (CV)	Technetium-99	ICP Metals - 6010A (Add-on) (Lead)	PCBs - 8080	See item (1) in Special Instructions			

Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time								
BOW105	Water	7-19-99	1250		X		X	X			

CHAIN OF POSSESSION	Sign/Print Names	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS	Matrix *
Relinquished By Brent Porter 7/29/99 17:30	Received By Ref #1A 7/29/99 17:30	(1) Gamma Spectroscopy(Water) (Cesium-137, Cobalt-60, Europium-152, Europium-154, Europium-155); Isotopic Plutonium; Isotopic Uranium; Americium-241; Strontium-89,90 - Total Sr; Nickel-63	Soil Water Vapor Other Solid Other Liquid
Relinquished By Brent Porter 7/21/99 09:00	Received By Brent Porter 7/21/99 09:00		
Relinquished By Brent Porter 7/21/99 12:00	Received By Fed Express 7/21/99		
Relinquished By Fed Ex 7/21/99 08:30	Received By Dr. J. Adler 7/21/99 08:30		

LABORATORY SECTION	Received By	Title	Date/Time
FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION	Disposal Method	Disposed By	Date/Time

Appendix 5
Data Validation Supporting Documentation

000015

PESTICIDE/PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	C	D	E
PROJECT: 105 DR FSB QC Samples			DATA PACKAGE: H0472		
VALIDATOR:		LAB: RECREA		DATE: 10/7/89	
CASE:			SDG: H0472		
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
<input type="checkbox"/> CLP3/90	<input type="checkbox"/> SW-846 8080	<input type="checkbox"/> SW-846 8081	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8082	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SAMPLES/MATRIX: BOWIUS					
Water					

1. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND CASE NARRATIVE

Is technical verification documentation present? Yes No **N/A**
 Is a case narrative present? **Yes** No N/A

Comments: _____

2. HOLDING TIMES

Are sample holding times acceptable? **Yes** No N/A

Comments: _____

3. INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATIONS

3.1 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE (METHOD 8080 AND 8081)

Are DDT retention times acceptable Yes No **N/A**
 Are calibration standard retention times acceptable? Yes No **N/A**
 Are DDT and endrin breakdowns acceptable? Yes No **N/A**

A-11

PESTICIDE/PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Are DBC retention times acceptable? Yes No
Is the GC/MS tuning/performance check acceptable? Yes No

N/A
N/A

Comments: _____

3.2 CALIBRATIONS (METHOD 8080 AND 8081)

Are EVAL standard calibration factors and %RSD values acceptable? Yes No
Are quantitation column calibration factor %RSD values acceptable? Yes No
Were the analytical sequence requirements met? Yes No
Are continuing calibration %D values acceptable? Yes No

N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A

Comments: _____

3.3 INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE AND INITIAL CALIBRATION (3/90 SOW)

Was the initial calibration sequence performed? Yes No
Was the resolution acceptable in the resolution check mix? Yes No
Is resolution acceptable in the PEM, INDA and INDB? Yes No
Are DDT and Endrin breakdowns acceptable? Yes No
Are retention times in PEMs and calibration mixes acceptable? Yes No
Are RPD values in the PEMs acceptable? Yes No
Are %RSD values acceptable? Yes No

N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A

Comments: _____

3.4 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION (3/90 SOW)

Were the analytical sequence requirements met? Yes No
Is resolution acceptable in the PEMs? Yes No
Are initial calibrations acceptable? Yes No

N/A
N/A
N/A

PESTICIDE/PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Are retention times acceptable in the PEMs, INDA and INDB mixes?	Yes	No	N/A
Are RPD values in the PEMs acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Are the DDT and endrin breakdowns acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Was GPC cleanup performed?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the GPC calibration check acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Was Florisil cleanup performed?	Yes	No	N/A
Is the Florisil performance check acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

4. BLANKS

Were laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are laboratory blank results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were field/trip blanks analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are field/trip blank results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

5. ACCURACY

Were surrogates analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are surrogate recoveries acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were MS/MSD samples analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are MS/MSD results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were LCS samples analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are LCS results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

PESTICIDE/PCB DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

6. PRECISION

- Are MS/MSD RPD values acceptable? Yes No N/A
- Are laboratory duplicate results acceptable? Yes No N/A
- Are field duplicate RPD values acceptable? Yes No N/A
- Are field split RPD values acceptable? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

7. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

- Is chromatographic performance acceptable? Yes No N/A
- Are positive results resolved acceptably? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION AND QUANTITATION

- Is compound identification acceptable? Yes No N/A
- Is compound quantitation acceptable? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

9. REPORTED RESULTS AND QUANTITATION LIMITS

- Are results reported for all requested analyses? Yes No N/A
- Are all results supported in the raw data? Yes No N/A
- Do results meet the CRQLs? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

Date: 6 January 2000
To: Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)
From: TechLaw, Inc.
Project: 105-DR FSB - QC Sample Analysis
Subject: Inorganics - Data Package No. H0472-RLN (SDG No. H0472)

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. H0472-RLN prepared by RECRA LabNet (RLN). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
BOW105	07/19/99	Water	C	See note 1

1 - ICP metals by 6010B (lead); mercury by 7470A.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the BHI validation statement of work and "Sample and Analysis Plan for 105F and 105DR Phase III Below Grade Structures and Underlying Soils" (DOE/RL-99-35). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

• Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Samples must be analyzed within six (6) months for lead and 28 days for mercury.

All holding times were acceptable.

000001

- **Blanks**

Preparation Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water processed through each sample preparation and analysis procedure, must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with digestate concentrations less than five times the preparation blank value have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

In the case of negative blank results, if the absolute value exceeds the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) or if no PQL is specified the Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL), all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR" and all detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the associated preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the IDL and less than or equal to the CRDL, all nondetects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ" and all detects less than ten times the absolute value of the blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary.

All preparation blank results were acceptable.

Equipment Blank

One equipment blank (BOW105) was submitted for analysis. All equipment blank results were acceptable.

- **Accuracy**

Matrix Spike

Matrix spike analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike recoveries must fall within the range of 75% to 125%. Samples with a spike recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a spike recovery of 30% to 74% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a spike recovery of greater than 125% or less than 70% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a spike recovery greater than 125% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

000002

All matrix spike recovery results were acceptable.

- **Precision**

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Laboratory duplicate sample analyses are used to measure laboratory precision and sample homogeneity. Results must be within RPD limits of plus or minus 20% for liquid samples. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the CRDL, all associated sample results are qualified as estimated and flagged "J". If RPD values are plus or minus two times the CRDL and the sample concentration is less than five times the CRDL, all associated sample results are qualified as estimated and flagged "J/UJ". The performance criteria for aqueous laboratory duplicates are an RPD less than 30% for positive sample results greater than five times the CRDL or plus or minus the CRDL for positive sample results less than five times the CRDL. Sample results outside the criteria are qualified as estimates and flagged "J/UJ".

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

- **Analytical Detection Levels**

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the 105DR PQLs or the CRDL if no PQL was specified, to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All reported laboratory detection levels met the analyte specific PQL or CRDL.

- **Completeness**

Data package No. H0472-RLN (SDG No. H0472) was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

000003

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-99-35, *Sample and Analysis Plan for 105F and 105DR Phase III Below Grade Structures and Underlying Soils*.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

000005

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- U - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ - Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR - Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified QC deficiency.
- NJ - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

000007

DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY

SDG: H0472	REVIEWER: TLI	DATE: 1/6/00	PAGE <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>
COMMENTS: No qualifiers assigned			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON

000008

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

000009

~~500~~

000011

10/12/99
m

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	REPORTING	DILUTION
001	BOM105	Mercury, Total	0.10	ug/L	0.10	1.0
		Lead, Total	30.9	ug/L	30.9	1.0

CLIENT: TRU-HANFORD B99-082
 WORK ORDER: 10985-001-001-9999-00

RECRA LOT #: 99071481


INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 08/04/99

Regis Labor - Lyonville

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

000012



**Recra LabNet Philadelphia
Analytical Report**

Client : TNU-HANFORD B99-082
RFW# : 9907L481
SDG/SAF# : H0472/B99-082


W.O.# : 10985-001-001-9999-00
Date Received: 07-22-99

METALS CASE NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 1 water sample.
2. The sample was prepared and analyzed in accordance with methods checked on the attached glossary.
3. All analyses were performed within the required holding times.
4. The cooler temperature has been recorded on the Chain of Custody.
5. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifications (ICV/CCVs) were within the 90-110% control limits (80-120% for Mercury).
6. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCBs) were within control limits (less than the PQL).
7. All preparation/method blanks (MB) were within method criteria {less than the Practical Quantitation Limit (3X the IDL or samples greater than 20X MB value)}. Refer to the Inorganics Method Blank Data Summary.
8. All ICP Interference Check Standards were within control limits.
9. All laboratory control sample (LCS) were within the laboratory control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Laboratory Control Standards Report.
10. All matrix spike (MS) recoveries were within the 75-125% control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Accuracy Report.
11. All duplicate analyses were within the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Precision Report.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 12 pages.

12. For the purposes of this report, the data has been reported to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL). Values between the IDL and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) are acquired in a region of less-certain quantification.



J. Michael Taylor
Vice President
Philadelphia Analytical Laboratory

mid/m07-481

8-4-99
Date



000014



Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	B	C	D	E
PROJECT: 10SDR FSB QC <i>scripts</i>			DATA PACKAGE: H0472		
VALIDATOR: TLI		LAB: QES		DATE: 10/12/99	
CASE:			SDG: H0472		
ANALYSES PERFORMED					
<input type="checkbox"/> CLP/ICP	<input type="checkbox"/> CLP/GFAA	<input type="checkbox"/> CLP/Hg	<input type="checkbox"/> CLP/Cyanide	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SW-846/ICP	<input type="checkbox"/> SW-846/GFAA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SW-846/Hg	<input type="checkbox"/> SW-846 Cyanide	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
SAMPLES/MATRIX: <i>BOWIOS</i>					
<i>Walt</i>					

1. DATA PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND CASE NARRATIVE

Is technical verification documentation present? Yes No N/A

Is a case narrative present? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

2. HOLDING TIMES

Are sample holding times acceptable? Yes No N/A

Comments: _____

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

3. INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATIONS

Were initial calibrations performed on all instruments?	Yes	No	N/A
Are initial calibrations acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Are ICP interference checks acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were ICV and CCV checks performed on all instruments?	Yes	No	N/A
Are ICV and CCV checks acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

4. BLANKS

Were ICB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses?	Yes	No	N/A
Are ICB and CCB results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were preparation blanks analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are preparation blank results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were field/trip blanks analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are field/trip blank results acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

5. ACCURACY

Were spike samples analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are spike sample recoveries acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Were laboratory control samples (LCS) analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
Are LCS recoveries acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

6. PRECISION

Were laboratory duplicates analyzed?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	No	N/A
Are laboratory duplicate samples RPD values acceptable?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	No	N/A
Were ICP serial dilution samples analyzed?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Are ICP serial dilution %D values acceptable?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Are field duplicate RPD values acceptable?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="radio"/> No	N/A
Are field split RPD values acceptable?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A

Comments: _____

7. FURNACE AA QUALITY CONTROL

Were duplicate injections performed as required?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Are duplicate injection %RSD values acceptable?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Were analytical spikes performed as required?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Are analytical spike recoveries acceptable?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Was MSA performed as required?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Are MSA results acceptable?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A

Comments: _____

8. REPORTED RESULTS AND DETECTION LIMITS

Are results reported for all requested analyses?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	No	N/A
Are all results supported in the raw data?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Are results calculated properly?	<input type="radio"/> Yes	No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A
Do results meet the CRDLs?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	No	N/A

Comments: _____

REVIEW OF VALIDATION PACKAGES – R.L. WEISS - JAN. 13, 2000

105-DR FSB

- SDG H0551 – Inorganic & PCB packages: no comment, OK
Radiochemistry package: Pages 3 & 4 (Detection Levels) – comment regarding missed DL requirement for Cs-137 in sample B0WCJ8 not appropriate, laboratory reported detected for this isotope.
- SDG H0542 – Inorganic & PCB packages: no comment, OK
Radiochemistry package: Page 2 (Laboratory Blanks, 2nd paragraph); Incorrect isotopes (“uranium”-152, “uranium”-154, “uranium”-155) identified, probably should be Europium isotopes.
- SDG H0538 – Inorganic & PCB packages: no comment, OK
Radiochemistry package: Page 2 (Laboratory Blanks, 2nd paragraph); Delete this section, this project has no PQL for U-238 by GEA.
- SDG H0483 – Inorganic & radiochemistry packages: no comment, OK
PCB package: additional information requested from laboratory for surrogate results for B0V3Y6. If data available, revision of package will be requested.
- SDG H0472 Inorganic, PCB, & Radiochemistry packages: no comments, OK

100-D AREAS

- SDG H0514 – Inorganic package: no comment, OK
- SDG H0505 – Inorganic package: no comment, OK
Radiochemistry package: Page 3 & 4: Detection Levels; missed TDLs for U-238, U-235 for samples B0W653, B0W654, B0W657 should be identified as “(GEA)”.
- SDG H0490 – Radiochemistry package: no comment, OK
- SDG H0553 – Inorganic & Radiochemistry packages: no comment, OK
- SDG H0533 – Inorganic & Radiochemistry packages: no comment, OK
PCB package: additional information requested from laboratory for surrogate results for B0WBX6. If data available, revision of package will be requested.

.....

facsimile-transmittal

To: Bruce Christen Fax: 375-5151
From: Rich Weiss Date: 10-20-79
Re: Coast data Pages: 3
CC:

Quick Turn / Priority Data Final Data Package

Bruce

Look this over for places in the procedure that I've missed and for areas that make validation either "blow up" or would imply more restrictive qualifiers than currently

Rich



.....

Inconsistencies and inadequately defined criteria have been identified in "Data Validation Procedures for Radiochemical Analysis", WHC-SD-EN-SPP-001, Rev.1. The following identifies the affected sections, provides a consistent replacement, and clarifies interpretation for these issues.

Laboratory Blanks

Current Wording (by section):

- 4.3.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed with the samples using the same procedure.
- 5.3.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed with the samples using the same procedure.
- 6.3.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed with the samples using the same procedure, aliquot size, and counting time.
- 5.3.1 – Analyzed using a similar aliquot size, counted in the same geometry and count time as the samples.
- 7.3.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed with the samples using the same procedure.
- 8.3.1 – Laboratory blanks have been prepared, distilled and analyzed using the same procedure and aliquot size as the samples.
- 9.3.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.

Laboratory Control or Blank Spike Samples

Current Wording (by section):

- 4.4.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.
- 5.4.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.
- 6.4.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.
- 7.4.1 – LCS of BSS was analyzed in the same geometry, count duration, and aliquot size as the samples.
- 8.4.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.
- 9.4.1 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.

Matrix Spike Samples

Current Wording (by section):

Section 4 - no matrix spike requirements

5.4.3 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.

6.4.3 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.

Section 7 – no matrix spike requirements.

8.4.3 - Prepared at the same time and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure, as the associated samples.

Section 9 – no matrix spike requirements.

Laboratory Duplicates

Current Wording (by section):

4.5.1 – The duplicate analysis was prepared and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure as the associated samples.

5.5.1 – The duplicate analysis was prepared and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure as the associated samples.

6.5.1 – The duplicate analysis was prepared and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure as the associated samples.

7.5.1 – The duplicate analysis was prepared and analyzed at the same time, using the same geometry, aliquot size and count duration as the samples.

8.5.1 – Prepared and analyzed using the same aliquot size as the samples.

9.5.1 – The duplicate analysis was prepared and analyzed in the same batch, using the same procedure as the associated samples.

Replacement Wording (all sections above):

Preparation performed as part of an analytical batch, at the same time, using the same procedures and aliquot sizes as the associated samples. All components of the analytical batch (QC and sample) counted using the same or comparable geometry and count duration within a two week time period.

Laboratory failure to meet the criteria (in any section) – qualify all associated sample results as estimated (J for detects, UJ for non-detects).

1-ndb this over for please in the

Biacce

Quick Turn / Priority Data Final Data Package

CC:

Re: (cont of) etc Pages: 3

From: Rich O'Leary Date: 10-20-79

To: Biacce Christian Fax: 375-5151



BHI Sample Management
Phone: (509) 372-9346
FAX: (509) 372-9487

.....

1) HANG UP OR LINE FAIL 2) BUSY 3) NO ANSWER 4) NO FACSIMILE CONNECTION

ERRORS




THE FOLLOWING FILE(S) ERASED

FILE TYPE OPTION TEL NO. PAGE RESULT
057 MEMORY TX 3755151 03/03 OK



BHI S&D MANAGEMENT 509 372 9487



TRANSMISSION RESULT REPORT (OCT 20 '99 11:32AM)

Data Package	IR	
H0472	Rad MS *	
H0475	Rad MS *	
H0473	Rad MS *	
H0538	Rad MS *	
	Rad - New Form 1s list liquid versus solid matrix	
H0542	Rad MS *	
H0544	Rad MS *	
	Metals - Case narrative states that only 1 sample was analyzed (two were analyzed)	
H0551	Rad MS *	
H0514	CR VI - Method of analysis not identified	
H0506	Samples not listed in VSR	
	Rad MS *	
	Alcohols - Surrogate not run? <input type="checkbox"/>	
H0534	Samples not listed in VSR	
	Was nickel, 3H and TC-99 analysis to be conducted on samples BR0, BR1, BR2, BR4?	
	Rad MS *	
	PCBs - What do you want for CRDLs	
	alcohols - no surrogate?	
	MS/MSD for UOA	

L BR0, BR1, BR2 + BR4 - Case narrative ~~give~~ states that the associated MS/MSD is the one for the other samples in the SDG - But they were not run together.

Bruce

Proceed with validation for all "Rad MS" issues identified above (*) and with missing alcohol surrogates, (D) identified above

Richard Weiss

1-4-20

FAX

TECHLAW, INC.

451 Hills, Suite 23
Richland, WA 99352
509-375-5667
509-375-5151 (fax)

To: Jeanette Duncan

From: Bruce Christian

Pages: 1

Date: 7 October 1999

Information Request

H0472 - Rad

There is no indication of a matrix spike for 3H, C-14

Lab is reanalyzing H³ & C-14

will provide replacement results

RLW 10/11/99