




M-026-01 Project Manager Meeting Minutes

November 16, 2020, 3:00-4:00 p.m.

Microsoft Teams Meeting

The undersigned Tri-Party Agreement Project Managers indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with content only and do not imply agreement or commitments.

Approval:	<p>INGRID COLTON (Affiliate)</p>  <p>Digitally signed by INGRID COLTON (Affiliate) Date: 2021.02.25 11:51:55 -08'00'</p> <hr/>	Date: _____
	<p>Ingrid Colton, General Support Services Contractor for the U.S Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office</p>	
Approval:	<p>Mark S. French</p>  <p>Digitally signed by Mark S. French Date: 2021.05.17 13:04:53 -07'00'</p> <hr/>	Date: _____
	<p>Mark French, Project Manager U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office</p>	
Approval:	 <p>Digitally signed by Mathey, Jared W. (ECY) Date: 2021.02.25 11:08:41 -08'00'</p> <hr/>	Date: _____
	<p>Jared Mathey, Project Manager Washington State Department of Ecology</p>	

Attendees: Stephanie Brasher (MSA)
Ingrid Colton (DOE)
Kathy Conaway (Ecology)
Scott Davis (MSA)
Kelly Ebert (DOE)
Kelly Elsethagen (Ecology)
Leonard Habel (CHPRC)
Jared Mathey (Ecology)
Jonathan Rogers (Ecology)
Rylie Sisk (MSA)
Bryan Trimberger (DOE)
Wayne Toebe (CHPRC)
Ed Soto (Ecology)

Agenda: Attachment

Minutes:

1. Status/approval of previous meeting minutes

MSA explained that the June and September meeting minutes are in the approval process requiring one signature each. MSA explained they will be submitted to the Administrative Record (AR) once they are received. The July minutes have been submitted to the AR.

2. Milestone Status

Ecology explained they do not see the milestone, M-026-01AD, as met.

3. Upcoming milestone delivery (M-026-01AE)

MSA explained they need to report the M-026-01AE milestone "at risk". DOE mentioned the possibility of deletion of the summary report milestone (M-026-01AE) as the previous years have done and Ecology disagreed and explained they could potentially be open to an extension because of later comment delivery and extensive comments on the 2019 LDR Report. Ecology explained we needed to be thinking about this process differently. The process of developing a highly complicated report and taking years to work through resolution does not seem to be working. Too much time appears to be spent going through the reports and comments. We need to eventually be working together on the draft so when it is submitted, it can be approved with little or no modification necessary. MSA agreed that working together is better.

4. Report Comments

Ecology explained they had met with their project managers and are in the final steps of the comment process. Ecology is hoping to meet the existing deadline of December 18, 2020, but could be delayed due to timing with legal. DOE asked about a potential black out period, and Ecology explained that only applied to the RCRA Permit. Listed below are comments given by Ecology during the meeting as some of the major issues regarding the 2019 Full Report:

"After reviewing the 2019 LDR Report, Ecology observed that the submittal did not meet all of the M-026-01AD TPA Milestone requirements.

- In most cases, where language was brought over from the 2014 Report that was negotiated to be changed, the language was reverted back to the original language.
- Some of the requirements for approval of the 2014 LDR Report in Letter 19-NWP-204 that were supposed to be resolved in the 2019 LDR Report were not completed.
 - Many treatability groups are still listed with treatment options still being assessed and treatment capacity is marked "Not Yet Determined" throughout the LDR Report.
 - Many Dangerous Waste Management Units are not specifically referenced in Appendix B and the physical locations are thus not reported.
 - Schedules for all mixed waste streams requiring treatment were not addressed.
 - Schedules for all mixed waste streams requiring characterization were not addressed.
- Storage capacity is not reported throughout the LDR Report and some treatment capacities are not provided.
- There is miss information about the Canyon Disposition Initiative with regard to T-Plant throughout the report.
- No process information necessary for waste identification and LDR determinations was provided in the report. Only references to waste profiles that are not publically available are included.

In most cases, waste minimization reduction amounts for 2019 are not provided and future waste minimization projections are not reported."

5. Status of previous agreements and commitments

MSA confirmed sending out meeting minutes within a week of the project managers meeting.

- **MSA** – Provide LDR database demo following parking lot discussions (still on hold).

6. Any new agreements and commitments

None

7. Any approved changes

None

8. Documents to be submitted to the Administrative Record

MSA explained the June and September minutes still need to be submitted to the AR pending signature.

9. Next meeting

All parties agreed to schedule the next PMM for the third week of January.