

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Final Hanford Site Solid Waste EIS			
Purpose of Item or Document: HSW EIS			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	6, in Chapter 4 alone	• Recreational	1, in Chapter 4
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0, in Chapter 4
• Land use/Land-Use	36, in Chapter 4	• Preservation	0, in context, in Chapter 4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	1, in Chapter 4	• Conservation	0, in Chapter 4
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	2, in Chapter 4	• Zoning	0, in Chapter 4
• HCP EIS	6, in Chapter 4	• End state	0, in Chapter 4
• Industrial	9, in Chapter 4	• 435.1	0, in Chapter 4

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use EIS; pg. 1.27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS (DOE/EIS-0222F September 1999) <p>DOE prepared a Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS (HCP EIS, formerly named Hanford Remedial Action Environmental Impact Statement and Comprehensive Land-Use Plan) to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with implementing a comprehensive land-use plan for the Hanford Site for at least the next 50 years (DOE 1999c). Working with federal, state, and local agencies and tribal governments, DOE evaluated six land-use alternatives. In the ROD for the HCP EIS, DOE decided to designate the 200 Areas for Industrial-Exclusive use and Area C for Conservation-Mining (64 FR 61615). Radioactive and hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal activities, as described in this HSW EIS, are consistent with the Industrial-Exclusive land use selected for the 200 Areas and use of Area C as a borrow pit consistent with the Conservation-Mining land use selected for that area in the HCP EIS decision. (See Figure 4.2 in the HSW EIS for a landuse map.)</p>
2.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan; Page 4.3, Section 4.2	<p>4.2 Land Use</p> <p>DOE completed the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS; DOE 1999) in September 1999. A Record of Decision (ROD) was issued on November 2, 1999 (64 FR 61615), which adopted the Preferred Alternative as discussed in the EIS. The purpose of this land-use plan and its implementing policies and procedures is to facilitate decision-making about Hanford Site uses and facilities over at least the next 50 years. The Preferred Alternative map from the Final HCP EIS ROD shown in Figure 4.2 represents the DOE future land-management values, goals, and objectives. The land-use plan consists of several key elements that are included in the DOE Preferred Alternative in the Final HCP EIS (DOE 1999). These elements include a land-use map that addresses the Hanford Site as five geographic areas—Wahluke Slope, Columbia River Corridor, Central Plateau, all other areas of the site, and the Fitzner/Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve (ALE). The key elements of the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan include a map that depicts the planned future uses, a set of land-use designations defining the allowable uses for each area of the Hanford Site, and the planning and implementing policies and procedures that will govern the review and approval of future land uses. Together these four elements create the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan. Much of the land is undeveloped, providing a buffer area for the smaller operations areas. Public access to most facility areas is restricted.</p>
3.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan; Page 5.311	<p>5.18.8 Area and Resource Management and Mitigation Plans</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare and implement resource management plans and mitigation plans associated with the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan.
4.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. 5.8	<p>The 200 Areas occupy about 16 km² (6 mi²) on the Central Plateau. This area falls under the Industrial-Exclusive designation as defined in the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE 1999). In addition, materials for capping the LLBGs at closure would be obtained from borrow pits in Area C located south of State Route (SR) 240 outside of, but adjacent to, the Fitzner/Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve (ALE). The ALE boundary as adjusted in the HCP EIS is included within the Hanford Reach National Monument. Area C consists of about 926 ha (2287 ac) and was previously designated for Conservation (Mining) in the Record of Decision (ROD) for the HCP EIS (64 FR 61615).</p>

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE-Hanford programs?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EIS (& ROD)	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Record of Decision for the Solid Waste Program, Hanford Site, Richland, WA: Storage and Treatment of low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste; Disposal of low-Level Waste and Mixed low-Level Wste, and Storage, Processing, and Certification of Transuranic Waste for Shipment to the Waste isolation Pilot Plant (69 FR 39449, June 30, 2004)			
Purpose of Item or Document: ROD for HSW EIS			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan; pg. 39455	. Disturbed areas will be mitigated consistent with the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision (64 FR 61615, November 12, 1999).
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>	
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Proceed to B4a(i). Provide specific citation:
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Proceed to B4b. Provide specific citation:
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Proceed to B4b(i). Provide specific citation:
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Proceed to Section B5. Provide specific citation:
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied. NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied. Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review. Specify which process: NEPA ROD
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the Proceed to B7a.

	general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM	No.
------------------------	------------

Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Washing of Impure Plutonium-Bearing Materials Containing Chloride Salts at PFP (SA10)

Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions

Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky	Date: 02/08/08
--	-----------------------

Summary of Evaluation Results:

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]
 No Effect [B]
 Defer [C]
 Defer [D]

No Effect [E]
 No Effect [F]
 No Effect [G]
 No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING

Keywords Found: None. Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Hold-up Plutonium-Bearing Material, Mixed Oxide Materials, and Alloy/Oxide and Metal Materials Disposition at PFP (SA9)			
Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/08/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM	No.
------------------------	------------

Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Thermal Stabilization of Polycubes and Combustibles at PFP (SA8)

Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions

Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky	Date: 02/08/08
--	-----------------------

Summary of Evaluation Results:

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]
 No Effect [B]
 Defer [C]
 Defer [D]

No Effect [E]
 No Effect [F]
 No Effect [G]
 No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING

Keywords Found: None. Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Disposition of Plutonium-Bearing Solutions at PFP (SA7)			
Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Disposition of Plutonium-Bearing Alloys at PFP (SA6)			
Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Magnesium Hydroxide Precipitation Process at the Plutonium Finishing Plant, 200 West Area, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (SA5)			
Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis: Changes to the Immobilization Alternative, Plutonium Finishing Plant, 200 West Area, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (SA4)			
Purpose of Item or Document: SA for the PFP EIS relating to ongoing operations; NEPA review did not deal with land use decisions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Did not deal with land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Notice of Intent To Prepare the Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, WA (71 FR 5655, February 2, 2006)			
Purpose of Item or Document: Announce intent to prepare TC&WM EIS			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/08/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe. Deals with TC&WM EIS		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Notice of Intent To Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (72 FR 331)			
Purpose of Item or Document: NOI on GNEP			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	3	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Hanford, pg. 335	<p>At this time, the following DOE sites are under consideration for the location of a nuclear fuel recycling center and/or an advanced recycling reactor: Idaho National Laboratory (Idaho Falls, Idaho); Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Paducah, Kentucky); Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Piketon, Ohio); Savannah River Site (Aiken, South Carolina); Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Oak Ridge, Tennessee); and Hanford Site (Richland, Washington). In addition, non-DOE sites in the following locations also are under consideration for the location of a nuclear fuel recycling center and/or an advanced recycling reactor: Atomic City, Idaho; Morris, Illinois; Hobbs, New Mexico; Roswell, New Mexico; and Barnwell, South Carolina.</p> <p>DOE is proposing that the advanced fuel cycle research facility be located at a DOE site. The DOE sites under consideration include: Idaho National Laboratory (Idaho Falls, Idaho); Argonne National Laboratory (DuPage County, Illinois); Los Alamos National Laboratory (Los Alamos, New Mexico); Savannah River Site (Aiken, South Carolina); Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Oak Ridge, Tennessee); and Hanford Site (Richland, Washington).</p>
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.

	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Notice of Intent To Prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership (72 FR 331)			
Purpose of Item or Document: NOI on GNEP			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	3	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Hanford, pg. 335	<p>At this time, the following DOE sites are under consideration for the location of a nuclear fuel recycling center and/or an advanced recycling reactor: Idaho National Laboratory (Idaho Falls, Idaho); Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Paducah, Kentucky); Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Piketon, Ohio); Savannah River Site (Aiken, South Carolina); Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Oak Ridge, Tennessee); and Hanford Site (Richland, Washington). In addition, non-DOE sites in the following locations also are under consideration for the location of a nuclear fuel recycling center and/or an advanced recycling reactor: Atomic City, Idaho; Morris, Illinois; Hobbs, New Mexico; Roswell, New Mexico; and Barnwell, South Carolina.</p> <p>DOE is proposing that the advanced fuel cycle research facility be located at a DOE site. The DOE sites under consideration include: Idaho National Laboratory (Idaho Falls, Idaho); Argonne National Laboratory (DuPage County, Illinois); Los Alamos National Laboratory (Los Alamos, New Mexico); Savannah River Site (Aiken, South Carolina); Oak Ridge National Laboratory (Oak Ridge, Tennessee); and Hanford Site (Richland, Washington).</p>
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.

	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Hanford Reach National Monument Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (November 2006)			
Purpose of Item or Document: EIS by FWS for the Monument, draft			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	9	• Recreational	217
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	8
• Land use/Land-Use	63	• Preservation	72
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	<200
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	Public Use Zones defined
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	34	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Pg 1-18	All lands included in the Monument are federal lands under the primary jurisdiction of the DOE. The FWS manages the ALE and most of the Monument lands north of the river, including the Wahluke, Ringold and Saddle Mountain Units, under agreement with the DOE. The DOE manages the balance of the Monument, including the McGee Ranch-Riverlands and Vernita Bridge Units, the Benton County shore of the Columbia River, and the Hanford Dune Field. The DOE intends to manage its portion of the Monument consistent with existing regulatory agreements regarding cleanup of the Hanford Site (Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order), ⁸ the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan (DOE 1999, 64 Federal Register [FR] 61615), the Monument Proclamation (65 FR 37253).
2.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Pg 1-19	At the Hanford Site, the DOE completed the Final Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP) in 1999 with the assistance of nine cooperating agencies, including the FWS. The CLUP is subject to five-year reviews by the DOE, and it is still the active plan for DOE-controlled portions of the Hanford Site, including FWS-managed portions of the Monument. The CLUP will remain in effect until such time as jurisdiction is transferred to another entity or is superceded by the adoption of this CCP or another DOE plan.
3.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Appendix A-11	The area in the monument was identified for preservation by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) in its November of 1999 Record of Decision adopting the Preferred Alternative in the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS issued in September of 1999. Specific portions of this land are already subject to agreements that provide the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) with the responsibility to protect the wildlife and other natural resources. These lands are managed by the FWS under permits and agreements with the DOE. Currently, the FWS manages the 89,000 acre Wahluke Slope area under a 1971 permit from the DOE. The FWS also manages the 77,000 acre Arid Lands Ecology Reserve Unit under a 1997 permit from the DOE.
4.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Appendix D-8	4.14 FWS will consult with DOE-RL in regards to any new land use proposals which may affect the Hanford Site or land designated by the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Proclamation, or Memorandum as suitable for inclusion into the Monument and/or Refuge.
5.	Zone, Pg. 3-203	<p>3.20.10 Hanford Site Protective Safety Buffer Zones</p> <p>Existing and planned waste disposal sites, waste processing facilities, and hazardous or radiological materials storage facilities are found throughout the Hanford Site. To protect the public from routine or accidental releases of radiological contaminants and/or hazardous materials, protective measures for waste remediation, processing and disposal facilities are required by numerous laws, regulations, rules and DOE internal orders.</p> <p>One method of public protection, engineering control, uses the current Hanford Site boundary as the point-of-compliance to identify and design safety class systems, structures and components for operating facilities in both accidental and routine operation scenarios.</p> <p>Another method of public protection, institutional control, uses distance as the protective measure expressed as safety buffer zones. These buffer zones limit public exposure to radiological and hazardous chemicals from routine operations and accidents. Some of these safety buffers extend into the Monument.</p> <p>The DOE divides the buffer zones necessary to protect human health and safety from potential accidents into two components—an inner exclusive-use zone (EUZ) and an emergency planning zone (EPZ). Within portions of the EUZ, certain types of access would be restricted, while other types of public access within that same area might be acceptable.¹⁰⁸ The protective buffer zones for the</p>

	Hanford Site are established using boundaries calculated for individual limiting facilities (i.e., facilities, such as the water treatment plant, with accidents [e.g., a chlorine leak] of maximum potential public health impact). Information about the limiting facilities, controlling contaminants, and credible accidents for 1999, were presented in the CLUP.	
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.

<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement, Designation of Energy Corridors on Federal Land in the 11 Western States (DOE/EIS-0368); draft, October 2007			
Purpose of Item or Document: Considers land use by DOE for energy corridors in the State of Washington			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	5	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	99, ES	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	ES-1, information	The Department of Energy (DOE) and Department of the Interior (DOI), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), are the lead agencies in preparation of this Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS), ...
2.	land use, ES-1	3. incorporate the designated corridors into the relevant agency land use and resource management plans or equivalent plans.”
3.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Appendix A-11	The vast majority of the proposed corridors in each state fall on lands managed by BLM except in Washington where 53 of the 54 miles of proposed corridors would occur on lands managed by the FS; no proposed corridors would fall on lands managed by DOE.
4.	pg. 2-6, information	4.14 FWS will consult with DOE-RL in regards to any new land use proposals which may affect the Hanford Site or land designated by the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Proclamation, or Memorandum as suitable for inclusion into the Monument and/or Refuge.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up. lthough DOE, and State of Washington, this EIS does not address Hanford activities	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.

	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study; Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (March 2007) [Scoping Summary Report] ** NOI 71 FR 78463			
Purpose of Item or Document: Scoping summary for Yakima River Basin EIS; deals with potential issues for Hanford Reach			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	3
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	8	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use		• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)		• Conservation	10 (not related to land use)
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	1	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Hanford, pg. 10-57	Conceptual Operation of the Black Rock Reservoir: Columbia River pump flows of 1,000, 2,000 and 4,000 cfs were considered for the operation of the Black Rock Reservoir. Pumping would likely occur during the high flow months of April through June, from the Priest Rapids pool. From 1990 to 2000, from March to June, flows at Priest Rapids averaged 150,868 cfs (sd = 40,557). At this stage of the feasibility study development, the 4,000 cfs size pumping plant is the most likely selection. At 4,000 cfs, the maximum withdrawal rate estimated would constitute 2.65% of the average flow. This withdrawal rate would not likely affect habitat in the Columbia River during the pumping period because of the high flows at this time and the small percentage of flow that would be removed. However, an evaluation of the loss or gain of potential habitat in the Hanford Reach from this withdrawal would be required, either through a focused instream flow incremental methodology (IFIM) study, or by some other means.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: NEPA EIS --DRAFT-- Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study Draft Planning Report/Environmental Impact Statement			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of the Storage Study is to develop and evaluate alternatives that could create additional water storage for the Yakima River basin and assess their potential to improve anadromous fish habitat, improve the reliability of the Yakima Project irrigation water supply during dry years, and provide water to meet future demand for municipal water supply.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Michael Jansky		Date: 02/03/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	57
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	155	• Residential	48
• Land use/Land-Use	148	• Preservation	29
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	623
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	22
• HCP EIS	3	• End state	0
• Industrial	51	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A; title page	Draft Planning Report/Environmental Impact Statement, Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study, Yakima Project, Washington (dated January 2008)
2.	N/A; preface	Cooperating Agencies: Yakima County Public Services U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Seattle District U.S. Department of the Army – Yakima Training Center U.S. Department of Energy – Hanford Site, Office of River Protection
3.	N/A; preface	<p>This draft planning report/environmental impact statement (Draft PR/EIS) examines the feasibility, acceptability, and environmental consequences of alternatives to create additional water storage for the Yakima River basin for the benefit of anadromous fish, irrigated agriculture, and future municipal water supply. A No Action Alternative, three alternatives proposed jointly by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Department of Ecology (Joint Alternatives), and three State of Washington alternatives (State Alternatives) were evaluated. The Joint Alternatives consider water storage options as directed under feasibility study authority (Public Law 108-7) while the State Alternatives consider both storage and nonstorage options. A preferred alternative has not been identified.</p> <p>This Draft PR/EIS was prepared in compliance with the Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies (P&Gs), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and the State of Washington Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). It also provides the public review required under Executive Orders 11988 (Floodplain Management) and 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) and the National Historic Preservation Act. Results of compliance with the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, and the Clean Water Act are included in the evaluations contained in this Draft PR/EIS.</p>
4.	Hanford; pg. xviii	Seepage modeling for the Black Rock Alternative indicates that an increase in groundwater flow (estimated up to 30 cfs) into the Hanford Site would be expected. The seepage would change groundwater conditions on the Hanford Site so that flow direction, contaminant concentrations, and rate of contaminant movement toward the Columbia River could be affected. Mitigation measures are being considered to reduce the seepage into the Hanford Site.

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action. draft EIS	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Amended Record of Decision: Storage of Surplus Plutonium Materials at the Savannah River Site (72 FR 51807)			
Purpose of Item or Document: Deals with Hanford plutonium material disposition; move materials from PFP to SRS			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	24	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use		• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)		• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Construction and Operation of a Physical Sciences Facility at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1562, January 2007) ***FONSI, January 29, 2007			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for PNSO's PSF			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	10
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	1
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	5	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, industrial; preservation; p. 23	<p>4.1 Land Use</p> <p>The proposed PSF construction site within the PNNL Site is vacant DOE-owned property that was reassigned in 2004 to DOE-SC from the Hanford Site. The site is a relatively level parcel of land covered with a mix of desert-adapted shrubs and grasses. The DOE property to the north and east of the current PNNL Site is also vacant and is currently being reassigned to DOE-SC for use as a controlled- access buffer area. Most of the property within the proposed PSF construction site and buffer area was designated as Industrial in a 1999 DOE Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS (64 FR 61615). The exception was a section in the eastern part of the buffer area along the Columbia River, which was designated as Preservation in the 1999 ROD to protect sensitive Tribal cultural sites. The PNNL Site and buffer area are designated as Business/Research Park in Benton County’s Comprehensive Plan. They are also within the City of Richland urban growth area and are designated as a mix of Business/Research Park (similar to the adjacent PNNL facilities), Commercial, and Low Density Residential land uses.</p>
2.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan; Section 4.5, p. 26	<p>In addition, two prehistoric sites are located in the eastern portion of the buffer area near the shore of the Columbia River. These sites are listed on the Washington State Heritage Register as part of the Hanford South Archaeological District. The sites are within the Preservation(6) designated area established by the DOE ROD for the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS (64 FR 61615). The sites are monitored annually to confirm that they remain undisturbed and that existing protective measures are effective.</p>
3.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan; industrial; p. 34	<p>The land where the PSF is proposed to be constructed is owned by DOE and was reassigned from the Hanford Site to DOE-SC in 2004. Prior to that time, the site was classified as Industrial in a DOE ROD for the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS (64 FR 61615). Although the PNNL Site is no longer within the Hanford Site, establishing R&D operations at the proposed site would be consistent with the intent of the Industrial designation for that land, as provided for in the earlier DOE ROD.</p>
4.	Industrial; p. S-2	<p>The proposed PSF construction site is on a relatively level parcel of vacant property, much of which has been previously disturbed. The site is located in Benton County and is within the City of Richland urban growth area. Land use has been designated as a mix of Business/Research Park (similar to the adjacent PNNL facilities), Commercial, and Low Density Residential. The existing PNNL facilities and the DOE Hanford Site, as well as a mix of light industrial, agricultural, business, school, and residential areas, are located in the vicinity of the proposed construction site.</p>

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Disposition of Surplus Hanford Site Uranium, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1319, June 2000) *** FONSI, June 15, 2000.			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for uranium disposition			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	1	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	2

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	No relevant text identified.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: K Basins Sludge Storage at 221-T Building, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1369, June 2001) ***FONSI, June 20, 2001.			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for storage of K Basin sludge at 221-T			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	5	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	1	• Conservation	2
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	3	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive land use plan, pg. 1-2	The proposed action is compatible with the land use designation of Industrial Exclusive Area as defined in the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222F).
2.	land use plan, pg. 4-1	Designations for land use at the site for the next 50 years have been established in DOE/EIS-0222-F. These designations at Hanford include preservation, conservation, industrial, and research and development. On June 9, 2000, the Hanford Reach National Monument was established (65 FR 37253) covering 78,900 hectares (195,000 acres) under the preservation land use category. The Hanford Reach National Monument incorporates a portion of the Columbia River corridor, the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve to the south and west, portions of the Hanford Site north of the Columbia River, and recognizes the unique character and biological diversity of the area, as well as its geological, paleontological, historic, and archaeological importance.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM	No.
------------------------	------------

Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Use of Existing Borrow Areas, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/ES-1403, October 2001) *** FONSI, October 10, 2001

Purpose of Item or Document: EA for existing borrow areas

Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky	Date: 02/06/08
--	-----------------------

Summary of Evaluation Results:

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]
 No Effect [B]
 Defer [C]
 Defer [D]

No Effect [E]
 No Effect [F]
 No Effect [G]
 No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING

Keywords Found: None. Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	18	• Preservation	8
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	6	• Conservation	12
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	20	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. 2-1	Subsequently, land use on the Hanford Site has been addressed in DOE/EIS-0222-F, Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement, and in Appendix D, several quarry sites were identified as preferred sources of cap materials. In November 1999, DOE issued the Record of Decision (ROD) (64 FR 61615) for DOE/EIS-0222-F. As stated therein, “...DOE intends to honor the commitment in the Tank Waste Remediation System EIS to perform a NEPA analysis addressing gravel quarries.”
2.	land use, pg. 5-2	5.1.1.3 Land Use In accordance with land-use designations in DOE/EIS-0222-F, the extraction of mineral resources is prohibited in the “Preservation” designation except for remediation activities taking place in the Columbia River corridor. Remediation activities would continue in the 100 Areas and would be considered a pre-existing, nonconforming use in the “Preservation” land-use designation within the Columbia River corridor. Extraction of mineral resources is permissible in “Industrial-Exclusive,” “Industrial,” “Research and Development,” and by “Special Use Permit” for areas within the “Conservation (Mining)” designation.
3.	and use, pg. 5-6	The use of existing borrow sites is compatible with current land use planning on the Hanford Site. That is, activities would be conducted in appropriate land-use designations described in DOE/EIS-0222-F. The calculated 10 percent expansion of borrow sites might disturb an additional surface area of 0.3 square kilometer (0.12 square mile). Mitigation of any impacts from expansion would be consistent with resource management plans developed for the Hanford Site, including DOE/RL-96-32 (Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan), DOE/RL-96-88 (Hanford Site Biological Resources Mitigation Strategy), and DOE/RL-98-10 (Hanford Cultural Resources Management Plan), as well as other plans under preparation (e.g., aesthetic and visual resources).
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Transuranic Waste Retrieval from the 218-W-4B and 218-W-4C Low-Level Burial Grounds, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1405, March 2002) *** FONSI, March 22, 2002.			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for TRU Retrieval			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	18	• Preservation	2
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	5	• Conservation	2
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive land use plan, pg. 4-1	Designations for land use on the Hanford Site for the next 50 years have been established in the Final Comprehensive Land-use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222-F). These designations on the Hanford Site include preservation, conservation, industrial, and research and development. On June 9, 2000, the Hanford Reach National Monument was established (65 FR 37253) covering approximately 78,900 hectares (195,000 acres) under the preservation land use category. The Hanford Reach National Monument incorporates a portion of the Columbia River corridor, the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve to the south and west, portions of the Hanford Site north of the Columbia River, and recognizes the unique character and biological diversity of the area, as well as its geological, paleontological, historic, and archaeological importance.
2.	land use, pg. 4-2	The proposed action is consistent with the land use designation of industrial exclusive use for such activities as described in DOE/EIS-0222-F.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Expansion of the Volpentest Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response Training and Education Center, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1412, November 2002) *** FONSI, November 6, 2002.			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for expansion of HAMMER			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	1
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	3 (also zoned)
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	4	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, pg. 4-1	Designations for land use on the Hanford Site for the next 50 years have been established in the Final Comprehensive Land-use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222-F). These designations on the Hanford Site include preservation, conservation, industrial, and research and development. On June 9, 2000, the Hanford Reach National Monument was established (65 FR 37253) covering approximately 78,900 hectares (195,000 acres) under the preservation land use category. The Hanford Reach National Monument incorporates a portion of the Columbia River corridor, the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve to the south and west, portions of the Hanford Site north of the Columbia River, and recognizes the unique character and biological diversity of the area, as well as its geological, paleontological, historic, and archaeological importance.
2.	industrial, pg. 3-1	Available land near the I-5 corridor was also considered, as was land near the HAMMER Facility that is zoned for an industrial park.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Reactivation and Use of Three Former Borrow Sites in the 100-F, 100-H, and 100-N Areas (DOE/EA-1454, March 2003) *** FONSI, March 7, 2003.			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for former borrow sites			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	6	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	22	• Preservation	7 (land use context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	3	• Conservation	5
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	6 (as 'buffer zone')
• HCP EIS	19	• End state	0
• Industrial	23	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. 2-1	Associated land-use commitments in general, and borrow sites specifically, have been and continue to be addressed when considering activities on the Hanford Site. Land use on the Hanford Site has been addressed in the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (herein referred to as the HCP EIS) (DOE 1999). Appendix D of the HCP EIS identifies preferred sources of borrow material on the Hanford Site. The preferred sources of borrow material are also documented in the Draft Industrial Mineral Resources Management Plan (DOE-RL 2000a). The Draft Industrial Mineral Resources Management Plan was intended to provide a framework for the planning, operations, and closure/restoration of borrow pits and quarries and was developed as part of a series of resource management plans needed to implement the HCP EIS.
2.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. C-8	RESPONSE: The Draft Industrial Mineral Resources Management Plan (DOE/RL-200-61) fulfills a commitment made in the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS). It is a proposed management tool that provides direction for planning, operation, and closure/restoration of borrow pits on the Hanford Site. It will provide guidance when NEPA evaluation would be required, such as the expansion of existing borrow sites or establishment of new borrow sites. The previous borrow pit EA "Use of Existing Borrow Areas Hanford Site" (DOE/EA-1403) evaluated impacts of continuing to use existing borrow sites. This EA fulfilled the commitment in the Record of Decision for the HCP EIS for NEPA review of borrow areas. The current EA (DOE/EA-1454) evaluates impacts of reopening borrow areas that were not addressed in the previous EA (DOE/EA-1403).
3.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. C-22	<p>Comment: Page 2-1, Section 2.0, paragraph 1: The sentence states that preferred sources of borrowed materials are listed in Appendix D of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) Environmental Impact Statement, which is an accurate statement only for 10 sites described there. None of the sites discussed in DOE/EA-1454 is listed or evaluated in the CLUP. It appears that previous evaluations of existing borrow pits in the CLUP and an EA "Use of Existing Borrow Areas, Hanford Site," that followed the CLUP, when combined with evaluation of the sites described in DOE/EA-1454 are related actions that should have been addressed in one environmental document.</p> <p>Response: Development of a single comprehensive document to address all borrow sites, including active, closed, former and abandoned sites is not within the scope of this EA. Borrow areas on the Hanford Site have been previously addressed in a series of documents, including the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP-EIS) (DOE/EIS-0222-F), "Environmental Assessment for Use of Existing Borrow Areas, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington" (DOE/EA-1403), and the Draft Industrial Mineral Resources Management Plan (DOE/RL-2001-61). The previous borrow pit EA (DOE/EA-1403) "Use of Existing Borrow Areas Hanford Site" evaluated impacts of continuing to use existing borrow sites. This EA fulfilled the commitment in the Record of Decision for the HCP-EIS for NEPA review of borrow areas. The current EA (DOE/EA-1454) evaluates impacts of reopening borrow areas that were not addressed in the previous EA (DOE/EA-1403). Subsequently, the EA for Existing Borrow Areas (DOE/EA-1403), and Draft Mineral Resource Management Plan (DOE/RL-2001-61) were developed to characterize and describe existing borrow sites, and to offer specific guidance for the use, expansion, closure, and restoration of existing or new borrow sites. The EA for Existing Borrow Areas (DOE/EA-1403) assumed that expansion of existing borrow sites would not exceed 10% of the current site footprint. Volumes required for remedial actions in the 100-F, 100-N, 100-K, and 100-H areas are in excess of the 10% expansion footprint described in DOE/EA-1403, therefore, additional NEPA evaluation was required. This additional NEPA evaluation is detailed in the current document (DOE/EA-1454), and considered the Proposed Action to reactivate former borrow sites in low-quality habitat in lieu of expansion because impacts to the environment could be greatly reduced.</p>

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Deactivation of the Plutonium Finishing Plant, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1469, October 2003) *** FONSI, October 20, 2003			
Purpose of Item or Document: EA for deactivation of PFP			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	4	• Preservation	1
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	4	• End state	2
• Industrial	4	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive land use plan, pg. 4-1	The PFP is not located within a wetland or a floodplain. The PFP Complex is an industrialized area with construction and processing activities being conducted. The final end state of the PFP Complex, to be developed through the aforementioned CERCLA process, would determine ultimate land use. Presently, the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision (64 FR 61615, November 12, 1999) states that the Central Plateau (i.e., the 200 Areas that include the PFP Complex) geographic area is designated Industrial-Exclusive.
2.	land use, pg. 5-1	5.1.1.3 Land Use It would be expected that the PFP Complex would continue to be managed as an industrialized area, pending the final endstate to be developed through the aforementioned CERCLA process.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>	
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation: Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation: Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation: Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation. No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation: Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied. NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied. Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA EA/FONSI NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction: Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public. Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Environmental Assessment: Sodium Residuals Reaction/Removal and Other Deactivation Work Activities, Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Project, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (DOE/EA-1547F, March 2007) *** FONSI, March 31, 2007			
Purpose of Item or Document: Environmental Assessment for continued deactivation activities at FFTF			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/16/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	3	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	7	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	5
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	4	• End state	8
• Industrial	2 (in context)	• 435.1	4

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. 3-1	<p>3.1 Land Use</p> <p>The FFTF, located in the 400 Area of the Hanford Site, is not located within a wetland or a floodplain. The final decommissioning end state of the FFTF (which will be addressed in the TC&WM EIS) would determine ultimate land use. Presently, the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) Record of Decision (ROD, 64 FR 61615, November 12, 1999), issued after the 1995 EA updated land used considerations and analyses for the FFTF, states that the 400 Area is designated Industrial.</p>
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Supplement Analysis for the Tank Waste Remediation System, DOE/EIS-0189-SA3			
Purpose of Item or Document: SA address change in DOE selected Phased WTP alternative in the TWRS EIS to not have a phased approach			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Paul Seeley		Date: 2-13-2008	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	8
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	7
• Land use/Land-Use	55	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	3
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	10	• 435.1	4

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Conservation, page 1-3	DOE is collecting environmental data through the vadose zone characterization program to evaluate the need for corrective actions under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) and to support future decisions on how to close the tank farms. All reference RCRA.
2.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, page 2-29	2.2.10 Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Three borrow sites were identified in the TWRS EIS for the purpose of analysis: Pit 30 which would supply sand and gravel, McGee Ranch which would supply silt, and Vernita Quarry which would supply rip rap. A decision on exactly which borrow site would be used and to what extent it would be used would be made through future NEPA analysis. The future development of and access to Hanford Site geologic resources would require review under the policies and implementing procedures cited in Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan (DOE/EIS 0222). Facilities to be constructed and operated under the TWRS EIS are included in the industrial exclusive land use area defined under DOE/EIS-0222. Neither EIS authorizes the use of the borrow sites.
3.	435.1	Requirements for managing radioactive or mixed waste facilities. 435.1. DOE O 435.1 is an order statement that replaced DOE Order 5820.2A, which establishes requirements for managing radioactive or mixed waste activities.
4.	Land use, page 3-30	3.8.2 New Information Since publication of the TWRS EIS, new information has been generated that could change the conclusions reached in the TWRS EIS for land use commitments. The new information includes the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estimated disturbed area for infrastructure (HNF 3239) • Estimated disturbed area for Phase I facilities (CCN: 012779) • Changes in the assumed location for construction borrow material for Phase I (CCN: 012779) • Larger Phase II waste vitrification facilities (HNF-SD-WM-SP-012, Rev. 1) • Changes in the disposal concept for the vitrified LAW from vaults to remote-handled trenches (Taylor 1999).
5.	Land use, page 3-32	There are no alternatives evaluated in the TWRS EIS that would bound the results of the land use impacts evaluated for the current planning baseline. However, when compared to the TWRS EIS the increased impacts calculated for the current planning baseline is small and represents an additional 5% temporary land disturbance and an additional 1% permanent land disturbance of the 200 Areas' 2,600 ha (6,400 ac). This is also within the committed land use designation as stated in DOE/EIS-0222. Therefore, none of the increased Phase I and Phase II impacts shown in Table 3.14 substantially change the understanding of impacts to land use presented in the TWRS EIS.
6.	Industrial	All but one reference are to an "industrial worker" in the risk assessment. Last reference is American Industrial Hygiene Agency
7.	Recreational, page 3-29	The TWRS EIS concluded that potential land use commitments would not conflict with land uses in the area of the Hanford Site immediately surrounding the 200 Areas and recreational resources. The remaining references are for a recreational user in the risk assessment.
8.	Residential, page 3-51	All references are to a "residential farmer" in the risk assessment.

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) Relicensing of the Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Project (P-2114-116) in Washington State Issued: November 17, 2006			
Purpose of Item or Document: EIS on relicensing of the Grant PUD Priest Rapids Hydroelectric Project			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Paul Seeley		Date: 2-13-2008	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	132
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	453	• Residential	23
• Land use/Land-Use	85	• Preservation	13
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	5	• Conservation	63
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	1	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	15	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford. Shoreline land use in Hanford Reach Monument	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study; Benton, Yakima, and Kittitas Counties, Washington AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a combined Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement [Federal Register: December 29, 2006 (Volume 71, Number 250)] [Notices] [Page 78463-78464]			
Purpose of Item or Document: NOI from Bureau of Reclamation to prepare a combined planning report and EIS on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Paul Seeley		Date: 2-13-2008	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: UPPER COLUMBIA ALTERNATIVE FLOOD CONTROL AND FISH OPERATIONS COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN FINAL EIS			
Purpose of Item or Document: Evaluate impacts of alternative flood control operations at Libby 'Dam and the implications of these operations on flow augmentation for fish population in Kootenai, Flathead and Columbia River			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Paul Seeley		Date: 2-13-2008	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	74
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	16
• Land use/Land-Use	18	• Preservation	34
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	51
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	18	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACCELERATED TANK CLOSURE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT DOE/EA-1462			
Purpose of Item or Document: Evaluate impacts of placing stabilizing grout layer in 100 series underground tank in C- Tank farm at Hanford as a closure demonstration project.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Paul Seeley		Date: 21 January 2008	
Summary of Evaluation Results:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	14	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	1	• Conservation	5
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	6	• 435.1	7

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, page 17	<p style="text-align: center;">4.6 LAND USE</p> <p>The ATCD Project would be consistent with current land use as defined by the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222F) and its associated Record of Decision (64 FR 61615). The Central Plateau (200 East and West Areas) was designated as an "industrial-exclusive" area capable of supporting waste treatment, storage, and/or disposal activities for hazardous, dangerous, radioactive, nonradioactive wastes and related activities.</p>
2.	Land Use, page 24	<p style="text-align: center;">5.6 LAND USE</p> <p>The Central Plateau (200 East and West Areas) are designated as an "industrial-exclusive" area capable of supporting waste treatment, storage, and/or disposal activities for hazardous, dangerous, radioactive, nonradioactive wastes and related activities. There would be no change in land use as a result of the ATCD Project. Because there are no lands designated as prime farmlands in the ATCD Project area, there would be no impacts to such lands by the ATCD Project. The ATCD Project is consistent with the current land use designation for the 200 Areas and would not affect the land use of the area.</p>
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM	No.
------------------------	------------

Item or Document Title and Revision: Settlement Agreement re: "Washington v. Bodman," Civil no. 2:03-cv-05018-AAM, January 6, 2006

Purpose of Item or Document: Settled lawsuit on HSW EIS

Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky	Date: 02/06/08
--	-----------------------

Summary of Evaluation Results:

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]
 No Effect [B]
 Defer [C]
 Defer [D]

No Effect [E]
 No Effect [F]
 No Effect [G]
 No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING

Keywords Found: None. Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	>5	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use		• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)		• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	1. The parties agree that the existing scope of the TC EIS will be expanded to the TC&WM EIS. 2. When completed, the TC&WM EIS will supercede the HSW EIS. Ecology is a Cooperating Agency
2.	N/A, pg. 4	Signed by Ines Triay, EM, 01/06/06 Signed by Jay Manning, Ecology, 01/06/06
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>	
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change. No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation: Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map. No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation: Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified. No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation: Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation. No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation: Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied. NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied. Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction: Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public. Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): in 2004, after issuance of the HSW EIS, the State of Washington amended its 2003 lawsuit</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Sitewide Institutional Controls Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions, (DOE/RL-2001-41, Revision 1, December 2006)			
Purpose of Item or Document: Provides IC Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	3
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	2
• Land use/Land-Use	97	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	4	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	1	• Zoning	3
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	10	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	comprehensive land use plan, pg. 3-12	<p>The land-use policies, real property management process, and implementing procedure requirements are integrated into the RL Integrated Management System and contractor procedures. The comprehensive land-use plan for the Site is presented in DOE/EIS-0222-F, Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement, and contains the land-use map, land-use definitions, and the land-use policies that the DOE uses to manage land use and its interactions with the local governments.</p> <p>The DOE manages changes to land use and the use requests through a process involving the local stakeholders, Tribal Nations, and affected local governments. Chapter 6.0 of DOE/EIS-0222-F describes how the cooperating agencies with land-use authority, and affected Tribal governments, advise the DOE on land-use and resource-management issues such as considering proposals for changes to land use and land-use requests that are not in conformance with DOE/EIS-0222-F.</p> <p>The review process for site-specific land use and use requests is defined in Chapter 6.0 of DOE/EIS-0222-F. To ensure compatibility with DOE/EIS-0222-F, any proposed changes in land use must be submitted to the DOE Real Estate Office.</p> <p>The RL Site Realty Office reviews and approves the disposition of land. Before the transfer, sale, or lease of any property subject to cleanup under CERCLA is conducted, the DOE assesses whether the property is subject to institutional controls requirements based on the corresponding CERCLA decision documents. The DOE will notify the EPA and the state before any such transaction in accordance with the Sitewide institutional controls requirements and applicable requirements in the CERCLA decision documents and work plans. Notification of a land-use action or a real property action occurs in accordance with Tri-Party Agreement requirements.</p>
2.	N/A	Revision 0, December 2006, superceded by Revision 1. Identical language regarding comprehensive land use plan.

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: TRANSMITTAL OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT (CERCLA) NON-TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR PLUTONIUM FINISHING PLANT, ABOVE-GRADE STRUCTURES (RL letter 05-AMCP-0242, MAY 2, 2005) [Approved by Ecology May 1, 2005]			
Purpose of Item or Document: Action Memo for NTCRA for PFP Above-grade Structures			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	1 (structural)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	0 (in context)	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	This Action Memorandum documents approval of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) proposed Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980 removal action as described herein for the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) above-grade structures. The PFP above-grade structures consist of processing, support and administrative buildings located within the PFP Facility on the Hanford Site in the 200 West Area, approximately 51 kilometers (32 miles) northwest of Richland, Washington.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: Action Memorandum for the Non-Time-Critical Removal Action for the 224-T Plutonium Concentration Facility (DOE/RL-2004-68, June 2005) [Approved by Ecology, June 30, 2005].			
Purpose of Item or Document: Action Memo for NTCRA for 224-T			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	1 (structural)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	3, referring to clean-up standards	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	This Action Memorandum documents approval of the proposed non-time-critical removal action described herein for the 224-T Plutonium Concentration Facility (224-T Facility), located on the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington. The 224-T Facility is located adjacent to the T Plant Complex in the 200 West Area, but is not within the T Plant Complex treatment, storage and/or disposal (TSD) boundary: Highway 240 is to the southwest of the T Plant Complex, and the Columbia River is north-northwest. The 224-T Facility is a deactivated plutonium concentration facility that formerly was associated with the T Plant Complex. In addition, a portion of the facility was later utilized as a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 TSD container storage unit known as the 224-T Transuranic Waste Storage and Assay Facility (TRUSAF).
2.	industrial, pg. 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparing analytical results with industrial clean-up standards. These standards will be the same as the standards used for the 200 Area remedial actions. • If the results are below the industrial clean-up standards, then no further action is necessary under this removal action. Results will be documented in the administrative record through appropriate closure documentation. • If the results are above industrial clean-up standards, then a work plan addendum to identify follow-on actions will be negotiated between DOE, Ecology and EPA. These actions may include no further action, performing additional removal, or deferring to a later remedial action.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM #2 FOR THE 300 AREA FACILITIES [Approved by EPA, May 10, 2006]			
Purpose of Item or Document: NTCRA for 324&327 Buildings			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	1 (context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	1 (context)	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	The purpose of this action memorandum is to document approval of the non-time critical removal action described herein for the 324 Building, 327 Building, and associated ancillary facilities, located in the 300 Area of the Hanford Site.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES FOR THE 300-FF-2 OPERABLE UNIT RECORD OF DECISION, June 2003 [see Letter, 03-RCA-0302, dated July 9, 2003, transmitting to EPA and Ecology)			
Purpose of Item or Document: ESD for 300-FF-2 OU, 2003			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2, referring to 300 Area Industrial Complex	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA-the lead regulatory agency), the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology-the support regulatory agency), and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE-the responsible agency), hereafter referred to as the Tri-Parties, are issuing this Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) to provide notice of a change to the uranium cleanup level identified in the Record of Decision for the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit, Hanford Site' (hereafter referred to as the 300-FF-2 Record of Decision [ROD]). Additionally, this ESD serves to clarify three key points: (1) Revisions of the Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan (RDR/RAWP) will be performed annually, or as appropriate, but not more frequently than once per calendar year; (2) The annual institutional controls reporting date shall be consistent with and included in the Site-wide Institutional Controls Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions (DOE/RL-2001-41, Rev. 0, July 2002); and, (3) Language regarding the use of noncontiguous facilities at the Hanford Site for waste treatment and disposal will be clarified in this ESD.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: Tri-Parties	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES FOR THE 100 AREA REMAINING SITES INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION RECORD OF DECISION, February 2004			
Purpose of Item or Document: Provide notice of three significant changes to the 100 Area remaining sites interim remedial action ROD			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	<p>provide notice of three significant changes to the 100 Area remaining sites interim remedial action ROD (EPA 1999). These changes are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add 28 waste sites. 2. Add 10 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) 1022 and 40 CFR Part 6, Appendix A as applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs). 3. Revise the annual institutional controls report submittal date to be consistent with the requirements contained in the Hanford sitewide institutional controls report, titled Sitewide Institutional Controls Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions (DOE-RL 2001).
2.	N/A, pg. 1	<p>Additionally, there are two clarifications to the ROD, which do not require issuance of an ESD, but are included in this ESA These are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clarify that when any new waste sites are discovered, in areas where remediation activities are being conducted pursuant to the ROD, remedial actions on the new waste site(s) could take place before the ESD is approved in circumstances when the waste site characteristics qualify for the plug-in approach of the selected remedy of remove/treat/dispose (RTD). In such circumstances, an ESD will be issued as soon as practicable to notify the public of the additional waste site(s) to be addressed under the ROD. 2. Clarify that waste disposal at the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF) shall comply with the revision of the ERDF waste acceptance criteria (BIR 2003) in effect at the time of disposal.
3.	N/A	Ecology, 02/09/04; DOE, 4/26/04; EPA, 3/31/04

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/Ecology/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA/ESD	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES FOR THE 300-FF-2 OPERABLE UNIT RECORD OF DECISION, May 2004 (Approved by EPA April 19, 2004; Approved by RL May 6, 2004; Approved by Ecology May 5, 2004)			
Purpose of Item or Document: ESD for 300-FF-2 OU			
Reviewer (print and sign name): MT Jansky		Date: 02/06/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	3
• Land use/Land-Use	10	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	3 (context)
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	23	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	<p>INTRODUCTION TO THE SITE AND STATEMENT OF PURPOSE</p> <p>The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA—the lead regulatory agency), the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology—the support regulatory agency), and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE—the responsible agency), hereafter referred to as the Tri-Parties, are issuing this Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) pursuant to Section 117(c) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 300.435(c)(2)(1). This ESD provides notice of a change to the uranium cleanup level identified in the Record of Decision for the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit, Hanford Site issued April 2001 (EPA 2001) (hereafter referred to as the 300-FF-2 Record of Decision [ROD]), and modifies the cleanup levels for eight waste sites from industrial to "unrestricted" based on a change in the reasonably anticipated future land use. The 300 Area unrestricted exposure scenario is based on the 100 Area rural residential exposure scenario.</p> <p>.In summary, this ESD provides notice of two changes to the 300-FF-2 ROD.</p> <p>Change 1: Modify the soil cleanup level for uranium to 267 pico curies/gram (pCi/g).</p> <p>Change 2: Modify the soil cleanup levels for eight outlying waste sites. The 300-FF-2 ROD concluded that the reasonably anticipated future land use would be industrial for the entire 300-FF-2 Operable Unit (OU), 300-FF-2 Operable Unit (OU), which was based on available land-use planning documents and was the basis for the industrial cleanup levels. Further evaluation by the Tri-Parties concluded that a change in cleanup levels would be appropriate for eight specific outlying waste sites in order to reduce the long term costs of institutional controls and allow other beneficial uses of these outlying areas.</p>
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: Tri-Parties	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: USDOE HANFORD SITE FIRST FIVE YEAR REVIEW			
Purpose of Item or Document: EPA Region 10 has conducted the first five-year reviews of the remedial actions implemented at the four NPL sites at the Hanford Site. The purpose of a five-year review is to determine whether the remedy at a site is protective of human health and the environment. The methods, findings, and conclusions of those five-year reviews are documented in this five-year review report. This five-year review report also identifies deficiencies found during the review, if any, and identifies recommendations to address them.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/17/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2, refers to RCRA
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: CERCLA FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REPORT FOR THE HANFORD SITE, REV. 0			
Purpose of Item or Document: The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and Executive Order (EO) 12580 mandate that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), as the federal lead agency, conduct response actions (removal and remedial) at the Hanford Site. CERCLA requires that a review of the status of response actions for waste sites where contamination remains which prohibits unrestricted use is required to be conducted no less frequently than once every five years to determine whether the selected remedy(ies) at a site remain protective of human health and the environment.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/17/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	18	• Recreational	6
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	8
• Land use/Land-Use	85	• Preservation	2
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	11
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	4
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	25
• Industrial	56	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, industrial, pg 131	Lands under the control of the federal government are not subject to the Washington Growth Management Act. Consequently, local and regional land use plans, such as the City of Richland Land Use Plan, do not apply on federal lands. However, to ensure that local city and county governments understood the way DOE planned to use the federal lands in proximity to private lands, several years ago the DOE prepared an environmental impact statement and issued a National Environmental Policy Act ROD on the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan (DOE 1999). As long as the land remains under federal control, this document provides the legal basis and underlying logic for the land use for the Hanford Site, including the 300 Area. The Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan designates the land use for the 300 Area to be industrial with restricted surface use. As long as the lands in the 300 Area remain under federal control, the appropriate land use will be determined by the responsible federal agency.
2.	land use, pg 68	When considering whether the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels, and remedial action objectives are still valid, the review focused on all of the risk parameters on which the original remedy decision was based. Changes to target populations, exposure pathways, site characteristics, land use and applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements were reviewed.
3.	land use, industrial, pg 77	Land use in the Central Plateau is designated as industrial exclusive.
4.	residential, pg 56	Modeling of deep zone contamination, beneath the 116-N-1 site indicated potential impacts to groundwater if the rural residential exposure scenario with 76 centimeters (30 inches) of annual irrigation was used. After public meetings, an explanation of significant differences was issued by the Tri-Parties to evaluate risk assuring no irrigation at this site and require an additional intuitional control restricting irrigation.
5.	land use, pg 132	DOE's position on land use for the 300 Area has not changed in that DOE may have a future mission for the 300 Area. No decision has been made, nor are any decisions pending, to transfer this land out of federal control in the foreseeable future.
6.	land use, pg 132	The exposure assumptions for contaminants of poten tial concern beneath the 300 Area remain essentially the same as at the time of the ROD in 1996, because land use has not changed and is not anticipated to change as long as the 300 Area remains under federal government control.
7.	land use, pg 133	Use by the public of the 300 Area river shore continues, as during previous years, for water sports and hunting. While public use of the river for recreation purposes is expected to increase as cleanup of the Hanford Site is completed, no new information has evolved that would question the protectiveness under current land use.
8.	industrial, pg 131	The industrial use scenario is consistent with the US Department of Energy Environment Impact Statement Record of Decision on the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan.
9.	industrial, pg 132	Based on the DOE review of the results of the 300 Area End States workshop and its own land use plan, DOE has concluded that the industrial exposure assumptions are still appropriate at this time.
10.	recreational, end state, pg 132	Separate from the need to review the established land use as part of the CERCLA five-year review, DOE Policy 455. 1, Use of Risk Based End State required DOE to produce an end-state vision document. During this process, several public workshops were held to gather input from the public on end states for each of the major areas of Hanford, including the 300 Area. The 300 Area workshop

		was held on May 19, 2005. Subsequent to the workshop the Hanford End State Vision document (DOE 2005b) was finalized. Future land use possibilities identified by the public during the workshop included a number of industrial uses, recreational and other ideas.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <i>Provide specific citation:</i>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <i>Provide specific citation:</i>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.

	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM	No.
------------------------	------------

Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM USDOE 331-A VIROLOGY LABORATORY BUILDING HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY

Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to document approval of the proposed non-time critical removal action described herein for the 331-A Virology Laboratory Building (331-A building), U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) 300 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.

Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons	Date: 01/21/08
---	-----------------------

Summary of Evaluation Results:

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]
 No Effect [B]
 Defer [C]
 Defer [D]

No Effect [E]
 No Effect [F]
 No Effect [G]
 No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING

Keywords Found: None. Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	1	• Preservation	2
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	8	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, industrial, pg 4	<p>The building would present an unacceptable risk to the general worker and, thus, could not be released for general industrial use in its present condition.</p> <p>The current threat of a release of contaminants from the 331-A building is relatively low when compared to other major decontamination and decommissioning removal actions, such as reactor interim safe storage or the 233-S Decommissioning Project. However, as the building continues to age, the threat of a potential release increases. In addition, under DOE's future land-use planning, the 300 Area is intended to be developed for general industrial purposes. Exposure to the interior surfaces of the building poses a threat to general industrial workers and any future potential users of the 331-A building.</p>
2.	industrial, pp 5, 8, 9	Refers to industrial uses of this building
3.	preservation, pg 8	Refers to the Historic Preservation Act
4.	conservation, pg 5	Refers to RCRA
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM USDOE 105-D 105-H REACTOR FACILITIES AND ANCILLARY FACILITIES HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to document approval of the non-time critical removal action described herein for the 105-D and 105-H Reactor Facilities and Ancillary Facilities, United States Department of Energy's (USDOE's) 100 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	1, refers to residential exposure scenario
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM HANFORD 100 AREA NPL 105-B REACTOR B-REACTOR FACILITY HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this non-time-critical removal action is to take appropriate action to mitigate the threat to Site workers, public health or welfare or the environment by removing hazardous substances from the facility.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	6, refers to preservation of the facility and HPA
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	1	• End state	2, refers to end state of the facility - not land use
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, land use, designated land use, HCP EIS, recreation, pg 2	In recognition of the need to preserve the physical legacy of the Manhattan Project, the DOE has declared in the "Record of Decision: Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS)" (64 FR 61615) designated land use for the 105-B Facility as high intensity recreation to support visitor-serving activities and facilities development
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM HANFORD 200 WEST AREA CENTRAL WASTE COMPLEX 183-H SOLAR EVAPORATION BASIN WASTE HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to document approval of the proposed non-timecritical removal action described herein for the 183-H Solar Evaporation Basin (183-H Basin) waste located at the Central Waste Complex (CWC), U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) 200 West Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	1
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	1	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, residential, conservation	The use of "industrial" refers to industrial hazards; "residential" refers to residential soils; "conservation" refers to RCRA. No mention of land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM DOE 200 AREA BURIAL GROUND 218-W-4C WASTE RETRIEVAL HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to document approval of a time-critical removal action described herein for disposal at the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF) of low level and mixed low level waste retrieved from Burial Ground 218-W-4C located in the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE'S) 200 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: CERCLA TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OF SLUDGE FROM 105-KE BASIN NORTH LOADOUT PIT			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to document approval of a Time Critical Removal Action (TCRA) described herein for treatment and disposal of sludge from the 105-K East Basin North Loadout Pit (NLOP) located at the 100-KR-2 Operable Unit at the Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington. This document was never issued - EPA did not sign or approve.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	4
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	2
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	5	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Industrial, recreational, residential	Key words refer to exposure scenarios, not land use.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action. Unsigned	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR NONTIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION FOR 224-B PLUTONIUM CONCENTRATION FACILITY			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this Action Memorandum is to document approval of the proposed non-time-critical removal action described herein for the 224-B Plutonium Concentration Facility, located on the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	1
• Industrial	9	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, pg 28	<p>If the results are below the industrial clean-up standards, then no further action is necessary under this removal action. Results will be documented in the administrative record through appropriate closure documentation.</p> <p>If the results are above industrial clean-up standards, then a work plan addendum to identify follow-on actions will be developed by the U.S. Department of Energy and approved by EPA. These actions may include no further action, performing additional removal, or deferring to a later remedial action.</p>
2.	industrial, pp 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 23, 27	Refers to industrial waste, not land use
3.	conservation, pp 6, 17	Refers to RCRA
4.	end state, pg 27	<p>Alternatives Three and Four are judged to be comparable in terms of long-term protectiveness. Alternative Four potentially could provide additional long-term protection relative to Alternative Three if significant radiological inventory actually is located in the foundation. Alternative Three is comparable because this alternative leaves the stabilized facility foundation in place, thereby isolating any potential subsurface contamination remaining after removal of the main structure. Both Alternatives Three and Four would provide a removal end-state that does not preclude future actions beneath the 224-B Facility. Additionally, Alternative Three incurs significantly lower costs, and future remedial actions, if needed, would require the removal of significantly smaller quantities of backfill material placed as a result of this removal action.</p>
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM DOE 200-W AREA PLUTONIUM FINISHING PLANT 232-Z CONTAMINATED WASTE RECOVERY FACILITY HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Action Memorandum documents approval of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) proposed removal action to demolish and dispose of the 232-Z Contaminated Waste Recovery Facility (Incinerator), as described herein, to mitigate the potential hazards associated with that facility.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, pg 4	Refers to industrial demolition and industrial safety
2.	preservation, pp 2, 9	Refers to Historic Preservation Act and Office of Historic Preservation
3.	435.1, pg 4	Reference to the definition of low-level waste
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR NONTIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION FOR U-PLANT ANCILLARY FACILITIES			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Action Memorandum documents approval of the proposed non-time-critical removal action described herein for the U Plant Ancillary Facilities, located on the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	21	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, pp 10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 29	Refers to industrial contaminants, waste and hazards Comparing analytical results with industrial clean-up standards. These standards will be the same as the standards used for the 200 Area remedial actions. If the results are below the industrial clean-up standards, then no further action is necessary under this removal action. Results will be documented in the administrative record through appropriate closure documentation. • If the results are above industrial clean-up standards, then a work plan addendum to identify follow-on actions will be negotiated between DOE and EPA. These actions may include no further action, performing additional removal, or deferring to a later remedial action.
2.	conservation, pg 6	Refers to RCRA
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM 105-N REACTOR BUILDING AND 109-N HEAT EXCHANGER BUILDING			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Action Memorandum documents approval of the United States Department of Energy's (USDOE) proposed removal action of interim safe storage (ISS) of the 105-N Reactor Facility and 109-N Heat Exchanger Building, as describe herein, to mitigate the potential hazards associated with that facility.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	1	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	6
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, land use, conservation, pg 16	<p>Comment (Richard Smith): Page 2-1, last, line 6: The term 'conservation (mining)' seems like an oxymoron. Mining rarely has anything to do with conservation.</p> <p>Response: Conservation "mining" is a term used in the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222-F), and is defined as ". . . an area reserved for the management and protection of archeological, cultural, ecological, and natural resources. Limited and managed mining could occur as a special use (e.g., a permit would be required) within appropriate areas. Limited public access would be consistent with resource conservation ... "</p>
2.	preservation, pp 2, 12	Refers to the preservation of the facility and the Historic Preservation Act
3.	conservation, pp 12, 16	The Endangered Species Act of 1973, which is implemented by 50 CFR 402, requires the conservation of critical habitat on which endangered or threatened species depend, and prohibits activities that threaten the continued existence of listed species or destruction of critical habitat. The Historic Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 makes it illegal to remove, capture, or kill any migratory bird or any part of nests or the eggs of any such birds. Although adverse impacts to endangered or threatened species or migratory birds are not expected, activity specific ecological reviews will be conducted to identify any potentially adverse impacts prior to beginning field work.
4.	conservation, pg 10	Refers to RCRA
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR NON TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION FOR 100-K AREA ANCILLARY FACILITIES			
Purpose of Item or Document: The purpose of this action memorandum is to document approval of the non-time-critical removal action described herein for 27 buildings and structures located in the northern section of the 100-K Area of the Hanford Site. This document is not electronically searchable. Note: Keywords were visually located and appropriate text is presented.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/28/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	X	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	X	• Preservation	X
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	X
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, land use, preservation, conservation, pg 3	The Final Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE 1999) identifies the probable future land use for the 100 Areas as preservation/conservation.
2.	preservation, pg 5, 19, 20	Refers to Historic Preservation Act, the Archeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974, the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966
3.	conservation, pg 15, 20	Refers to RCRA Refers to the conservation of critical habitat on which endangered or threatened species depend
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: DOE/CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION FOR SUPPORT ACTIVITIES TO 200-UW-1 OU			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Action Memorandum documents approval of the time-critical removal action to support the proposed barrier installations for 216-U-8 and 216-U-12 Cribs, located on the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	1	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	4
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	4	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, industrial, pg 13	Remedial Action Objective 2- Provide conditions suitable for future industrial land use and protect ecological receptors ...
2.	industrial, pg 13	Remedial Action Objective 1-Prevent unacceptable risk to human health and ecological receptors by exposure to nonradiological constituents in soils and debris at concentrations above the industrial use criteria, as defined in WAC 173-340-745(5). Remedial Action Objective 2- Provide conditions suitable for future industrial land use and protect ecological receptors, respectively, by - Preventing exposure to radiological constituents at concentrations that will cause a dose rate limit of 15 mrem/yr above background for industrial workers (OSWER Directive 9200.4-31P; EPA/540/R-99/006, Radiation Risk Assessment At CERCLA Sites: Q& A). A dose rate limit of 15 mrem/yr above background generally achieves the EPA excess lifetime cancer risk threshold, which ranges from 1x10-6 to 1x10-4.
3.	industrial, pg 22	Refers to industrial properties
4.	Conservation, pp 6, 9, 24	Refers to RCRA
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: RECORD OF DECISION, HANFORD 300-AREA (USDOE), OU 03			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for portions of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford 300 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/22/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	10	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	3
• Land use/Land-Use	124	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	4	• Conservation	3
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, land use, land-use designation, HCP EIS, industrial, pp 30, 106	The Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (September 1999) and ROD (64 Federal Register 61615) includes all sites in the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit (including outlying sites and burial grounds) in an “industrial” land use designation to support “new DOE missions or economic development.”
2.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, pg 113, 114	Public comment and input on future land use assumptions for the 300 Area has been solicited via the Future Site Uses Working Group, the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, the Proposed Plan for the 300-FF-1 and 300-FF-5 Operable Units, and the Proposed Plan for the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit. The Department of Energy has not formally, or informally, proposed that the 300 Area be utilized for anything other than industrial purposes in the future. Based on this information, the Tri-Parties believe that the reasonably anticipated future land use for this portion of the Hanford site is industrial. Therefore, it is appropriate to use the industrial scenario as the reasonable maximum exposure scenario. If the land use changes in the future, additional cleanup work or institutional controls may be required.
3.	land use, pp 3, 16	Residual human health risks after meeting remedial action objectives (RAOs) are based on an industrial land use scenario for soils. Institutional controls to ensure that unanticipated changes in land use do not occur that could result in unacceptable exposures to residual contamination; The reasonably anticipated future land use for the 300 Area and surrounding vicinity is industrial and the 300-FF-2 cleanup will result in protection of human health and the environment based on the exposure assumptions contained in the 300 Area industrial use scenario. Other land uses may also be appropriate for noncontaminated portions of the 300 Area National Priorities List (NPL) site.
4.	land use, residential, pg 29	The reasonably anticipated future land use for the 300 Area Industrial Complex, the areas adjacent to the 300 Area Industrial Complex to the north and west, and the outlying sites/burial grounds 5-8 miles north of the 300 Area Industrial Complex is “industrial.” This assumption is consistent with the relevant land use planning documents. While none of these documents can formally zone the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit as “industrial,” the plans document what a working group comprised of Hanford stakeholders, DOE, and local land use planning authorities expect in the way of future land use and are sufficient to conclude that “industrial” or “general urban uses other than residential,” are reasonably anticipated future land uses for the areas covered by the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit. This means that institutional controls must be a required part of the remedy in order to ensure that land uses are limited to those defined in the 300 Area industrial use exposure scenario. Any changes to the land use that are inconsistent with the land use assumptions upon which the ROD is based will be evaluated regularly and used in support of the CERCLA five-year review.
5.	land use, pg 53	Community Acceptance. In general, comments received on the Proposed Plan were supportive of the Remove/Treat/Dispose alternative. Some concerns were voiced regarding land use assumptions, the protectiveness of cleanup levels with regard to groundwater quality and ecological receptors, and the integration of source control actions with groundwater actions in the 300 Area. A number of clarifications to the selected remedy have been made in the ROD as a result of public comments submitted on the Proposed Plan, however, there were no significant changes made to the remedy as it was originally described in the Proposed Plan.
6.	land use, pg 65	Once a site has been demonstrated to have achieved all RAOs ¹⁰ using post-cleanup site conditions (not generic site profiles) and the key modeling assumptions that describe the industrial land use scenario (which can be found in Section XII (4) of this ROD), and has obtained EPA approval on the cleanup verification package, it will be backfilled with clean materials (i.e., clean fill material from a borrow pit or material that has been determined to be below cleanup levels). The remediated area will then be regraded to match local area contours.
7.	land use, pg 68	DOE shall report the location of residual contamination in deed notices and other informational devices (e.g., a copy of any material documenting the location and quantity of residual contamination will be given to any prospective purchaser/transferee before any transfer or lease). Measures that

		are necessary to ensure the continuation of land use restrictions or other institutional controls (e.g., proprietary controls such as property easements or covenants), will be taken before any transfer or lease of the property.
8.	land use, pg 105	General Comment 1: The industrial land use assumption is not valid for the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit. Response GC1: The approach toward assessing and factoring land use assumptions into the remedial actions for the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit are consistent with USEPA's "Land Use in the CERCLA Remedy Selection Process" policy (OSWER Directive No. 9355.7-04). This directive states that "remedial action objectives developed during the RI/FS should reflect the reasonably anticipated future land use or uses." The approach toward the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit has been consistent with this policy. The reasonably anticipated land use of "industrial" for the 300 Area Industrial Complex, the areas adjacent to the 300 Area Industrial Complex to the north and west, and the outlying sites/burial grounds 5-8 miles north of the 300 Area Industrial Complex are consistent with the relevant land use planning documents.
9.	land use, pg 106 -107	In conclusion, a number of key factors support the Tri-Parties determination that it is appropriate to use industrial cleanup standards for the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit. These include: • The reasonably anticipated future land use is industrial pursuant to EPA policy and guidance (see discussion in Section VI) • The area meets the criteria of "traditional industrial use," as provided in WAC 173-340- 745, because it has the following characteristics: a) Humans do not live on the site and the reasonable maximum exposure scenario is based on an adult employee located on an industrial property; b) Access to the property by the general public is generally not allowed. When permitted, it is highly limited and controlled. c) Food is not grown or raised on the property. d) Industrial operations generally involve the storage of chemicals, noise, odors, and truck traffic. e) Industrial properties are generally covered by buildings and structures, paved parking lots, paved access roads and material storage areas, and other surface barriers to contaminated soil and debris. f) Industrial properties generally contain support facilities that are intended to serve the industrial facility employees and not the general public. • Institutional controls are required as part of the selected remedy to ensure that these land use characteristics are maintained in the future. Institutional controls required before and during cleanup activity as well as those required after the cleanup is complete are specified in Section XII (2)(b) of the ROD. The land use restrictions required as part of the ROD after the cleanup is completed must be enforceable and must continue, independent of who is the property owner (e.g., proprietary controls such as property easements and covenants).
10.	recreational, conservation, end state	Recreational refers to Columbia River recreational uses; Conservation refers to RCRA; end state comment in Category J: Cleanup Schedule/Budget Section

Initial Screening Result: Eliminate item/document from further review. Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.

<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE-Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

HNF-36772 REV 0

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: RECORD OF DECISION, HANFORD 100-AREA (USDOE), OU 28			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for portions of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford 100 Area (100 Area Burial Grounds), Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/23/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	6
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	17
• Land use/Land-Use	47	• Preservation	7
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	3	• Conservation	6
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	1	• End state	0
• Industrial	5	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan [pp 12, 26 (VI), 45], HCP EIS, land-use, land-use designation, preservation, conservation, pg 26 (VI)	The Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE/EIS-0222F), which became final after the ROD was signed by DOE in November 1999 (64 FR 61615), designates area use for the land encompassing the burial grounds as the preservation and conservation of natural and cultural resources. Actions selected in this ROD are not inconsistent with, the land-use designation of preservation and conservation.
2.	land use, industrial, pg 26	<p>Currently the land use in the 100 Areas is for industrial purposes and includes maintenance shops, water supply systems, and environmental cleanup.</p> <p>A key component of the remedy selection process is the determination of potential future land use-at the site. These long range land use assumptions are not predictors of long-term land use (beyond 20 to 30 years) and should not be used as predictors of land use beyond reasonable lengths of time, nor for land use changes resulting from longer term events. The Hanford Future Site Users Working Group (the Working Group) was convened in April of 1992 to develop recommendations concerning the potential use of lands after cleanup. The Working Group issued their report in December 1992 and proposed that the cleanup options at the 100 Area be based on eventual unrestricted land use.</p> <p>Factors that were considered in conjunction with the Working Group proposals include: (1) that contaminated sites which would exist indefinitely (beyond any reasonable time for assured institutional control) would be cleaned up for unrestricted use where practicable, and (2) that institutional controls (such as land and groundwater restrictions) be implemented for sites associated with low risks where it can be shown that the contaminant would degrade or attenuate within a reasonable period of time or, for sites where contaminants would remain in place above unrestricted use cleanup goals, where it can be shown that meeting the more stringent cleanup goal is not practicable. For the 100 Area, a reasonable period of time was identified by the Working Group as “as soon as possible (by 2018)”. This time frame coincides with the Tri-Party Agreement date for completion of cleanup actions in the 100 Area.</p> <p>The Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE/EIS-0222F), which became final after the ROD was signed by DOE in November 1999 (64 FR 61615), designates area use for the land encompassing the burial grounds as the preservation and conservation of natural and cultural resources. Actions selected in this ROD are not inconsistent with, the land-use designation of preservation and conservation.</p> <p>Currently the groundwater use in the 100 Areas is restricted and not used for human consumption. Areas of the aquifer are undergoing remedial actions that have been specified in separate decision documents. The Columbia River is a rich ecological resource that is the home to a variety of fish, waterfowl, and mammals. In addition, the river is used for recreation, fishing, and as a drinking water source.</p> <p>As a result of implementing the remedy at the waste sites listed in this ROD, it is anticipated that in the future the surface soils to a depth of at least 15 feet will be available for unrestricted use. Although outside the scope of this ROD, it is the goal of the Tri-Parties to return the groundwater in the 100 Areas to a condition so that in the future it would be available as a drinking water source.</p>

3.	recreational, residential, conservation	Recreational and residential keywords refer to exposure scenarios, not land use; conservation keyword refers to RCRA.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.

	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: RECORD OF DECISION 221-U FACILITY CANYON DISPOSITION INITIATIVE HANFORD SITE WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected final remedial action for the 221-U Facility as a portion of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford 200 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/28/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	6/3	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	4
• Land use/Land-Use	68	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	4	• Conservation	1, refers to RCRA
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	4	• End state	6, refers to end state of canyon buildings
• Industrial	76	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, industrial, pg 8	<p>...Institutional controls to ensure that the remedy is protected and changes in land use do not occur that could result in unacceptable exposures to residual contamination;</p> <p>The reasonably anticipated future land use for the 200 Area is industrial, and the 221-U Facility remedy will result in protection of human health and the environment based on the exposure assumptions contained in the 200 Area industrial use scenario.</p> <p>The DOE is responsible for implementing, maintaining, reporting on and enforcing the land use controls required under this ROD. The current implementation, maintenance, and periodic inspection requirements for the institutional controls at Hanford are described in approved workplans and in the Site-wide Institutional Controls Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions that was prepared by DOE and approved by EPA and Ecology in 2002, and includes the commitment to notify EPA and Ecology immediately upon discovery of any activity that is inconsistent with the land use designation of a site.</p>
2.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, pg 19	... DOE issued the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222-F) and associated Record of Decision in 1999.
3.	land use, industrial, HCP EIS, pg 29	<p>All current land use activities associated with the 200 Area are under federal control and are industrial in nature.</p> <p>The reasonably anticipated future land use for the 200 Area is continued industrial-exclusive activities for at least 50 years and industrial (non-DOE-worker) after that.</p> <p>The HCP EIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts of alternative land use plans for the Hanford Site and considers the land use implication of ongoing and proposed activities. Under the preferred land use alternative selected in the ROD, the Central Plateau was designated for industrial-exclusive use, defined as areas suitable and desirable for treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous, dangerous, radioactive, and nonradioactive wastes, and related activities.</p>
4.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, land use, industrial, pg 107	CERCLA bases cleanup standards on future anticipated land use. The 1999 Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0222-F) and associated ROD, "Record of Decision: Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement" (64 FR 61615), and The Future for Hanford: Uses and Cleanup, the Final Report of the Hanford Future Site Uses Working Group (issued in 1992), identified the area encompassed by the 221-U Facility as an industrial land use area. In the land-use EIS, this area is designated "industrial-exclusive" and is defined as "land areas suitable and desirable for treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous, dangerous, radioactive, non-radioactive wastes, and related activities." A portion of the 200 Area (i.e., Central Plateau) for the foreseeable future will be a waste-management area.
5.	land use, land use designation, pg 58	However, the land use will be restricted indefinitely due to an industrial land use designation for Hanford's 200 Area and the probability of residual contamination remaining after remedial actions above levels that would allow for unrestricted use. In addition, groundwater use will be restricted for the foreseeable future until drinking water standards are achieved.
6.	preservation	Refers to preservation of historic artifacts, Historic Preservation Act, preservation of data, and the National Historic Preservation Act.
7.	residential	Refers to residential exposure scenarios

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: RECORD OF DECISION, HANFORD 100-AREA (USDOE), OU 30			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for a portion of the U.S. Department of Energy’s (DOE) 100 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/23/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	3
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	32
• Land use/Land-Use	42	• Preservation	6
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	4
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	1
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	0
• Industrial	7	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, preservation, conservation, recreation, pg 15	<p>Future land use of the Hanford Site and surrounding areas is a topic that has undergone significant evaluation and is of interest to a variety of stakeholders, including federal, state, and tribal agencies, and the general public. Assumptions about the future land use are important in the decision-making process for determining remedial action objectives (RAOs) and establishing cleanup standards. The DOE conducted an environmental impact study to establish future land-use objectives for the Hanford Site to guide the process of remediation. As part of the scoping process for the environmental impact statement, and in attempt to foster participation by interested stakeholders, the Hanford Future Site Uses Working Group (Working Group) was established in 1992. The Working Group included representatives from labor, environmental, governmental, tribal, agricultural, economic development, and citizen-interest groups. The Working Group recommended that the 100 Area be considered for the following four future land-use options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Native American uses • Limited recreation, recreation-related commercial use, and wildlife use • B Reactor as a museum and visitor center • Wildlife and recreational use. <p>The working group report was submitted to DOE as a formal scoping statement for development of DOE’s Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP-EIS). This document evaluated five “action alternatives,” each of which represented federal, state, local agency, or tribe’s preferred land-use alternative. Preferred land-uses for the 100 Area included varying degrees and combinations of preservation, conservation, research and development, and recreation. The final selected land-use by DOE for the 100 Areas documented in the HCP-EIS and subsequent ROD are recreation, conservation, and preservation.</p>
2.	land use, zoning, pg 41	<p>Institutional Controls. Institutional controls are physical and legal barriers to prevent access to contaminants. Physical institutional control technologies may or may not include fences, but do include warning signs and security personnel. Legal institutional controls include restrictions on land use through permits, zoning ordinances, and/or restrictive covenants. Institutional controls considered in the CMS include access control and land-use restrictions. Controlling site access involves temporary or permanent physical restrictions to prevent or reduce exposure to site contaminants. Land-use restrictions are administrative actions to prevent or reduce future human exposure to contaminants remaining on site.</p>
3.	industrial, residential, preservation, conservation	<p>industrial keyword refers to industrial development in the surrounding areas; residential refers to rural-residential exposure scenarios; four preservation keywords refer to the Historic Preservation Act; two conservation keywords refer to RCRA.</p>

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: DECLARATION OF RECORD OF DECISION FOR 100-BC-1 100-BC-2 100-DR-1 100-DR-2 100-FR-2 100-HR-2 100-KR-2 100 AREA BURIAL GROUNDS HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for portions of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford 100 Area (100 Area Burial Grounds), Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington,			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/24/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4/0	• Recreational	6
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	18
• Land use/Land-Use	47	• Preservation	7
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	3	• Conservation	6
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	1	• End state	0
• Industrial	5	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, preservation, conservation, land-use designation, pg 24	The Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE/EIS-0222F), which became final after the ROD was signed by DOE in November 1999 (64 FR 61615), designates area use for the land encompassing the burial grounds as the preservation and conservation of natural and cultural resources. Actions selected in this ROD are not inconsistent with the land-use designation of preservation and conservation.
2.	land use, industrial, pg 24	Currently the land use in the 100 Areas is for industrial purposes and includes maintenance shops, water supply systems, and environmental cleanup.
3.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, land use, recreational, conservation, preservation, pg 10	<p>The Hanford Future Site Uses Working Group (the Working Group) in 1992 recommended that the 100 Area be considered for the following four future use options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Native American uses • Limited recreation, recreation-related commercial use, and wildlife use • B Reactor as a museum and visitor center • Wildlife and recreational use <p>The working group report was submitted to DOE as a formal scoping document for the development of DOE's Hanford Remedial Action Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Comprehensive Land Use Plan. Based on public comment, DOE changed the scope of the EIS to focus on land use alternatives only and issued the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan ROD in November 1999 (64 FR 61615). The DOE selected land uses for the 100 Area include recreation, conservation, and preservation.</p>
4.	recreational, residential, pg 61	Refers to recreational/residential exposure risk scenarios.
5.	conservation	Two conservation keywords refer to RCRA.

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: 100 AREA BURIAL GROUND RECORD OF DECISION			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for portions of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford 100 Area (100 Area Burial Grounds), Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/24/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4/0	• Recreational	6
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	18
• Land use/Land-Use	47	• Preservation	7
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	6
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	1	• End state	0
• Industrial	5	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, land-use designation, industrial, preservation, conservation, pg 25	<p>The Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE/EIS-0222F), which became final after the ROD was signed by DOE in November 1999 (64 FR 61615), designates area use for the land encompassing the burial grounds as the preservation and conservation of natural and cultural resources. Actions selected in this ROD are not inconsistent with the land-use designation of preservation and conservation.</p> <p>Currently the land use in the 100 Areas is for industrial purposes and includes maintenance shops, water supply systems, and environmental cleanup.</p>
2.	land use, pg 34	Institutional Controls. The Containment alternative would include physical and legal institutional controls. Access control, surveillance, and land-use restrictions (i.e., development limitations) would be implemented in conjunction with the surface barrier.
3.	recreational, residential, pg 26	Refers to exposure risk: Contamination detected or known to exist at waste sites poses the potential for increased human health risk to future site users. The level of potential health risk posed by contaminants differs depending upon the future site use. Two future site use scenarios were evaluated in the qualitative risk assessments, a recreational use and a residential use. In either case, future users could be exposed to contaminants in soil through ingestion of soil, inhalation of wind-blown dust, or external exposure to radiation. The residential use scenario would additionally include drinking well water and ingestion of milk and fish raised on site. Exposure duration for recreational land use is set at 7 days/year for 30 years. The residential scenario exposure duration is set at 292 days/year for 30 years.
4.	preservation, pg 44	Refers to Historic Preservation Act
5.	conservation, pg 2	Refers to RCRA

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: DECLARATION OF RECORD OF DECISION FOR 300-FF-2 OU HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for the 300-FF-2 Operable Units (56 waste sites).			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/24/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	8/0	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	4
• Land use/Land-Use	124	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	4	• Conservation	3
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	1
• Industrial	172	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, land-use designation, industrial, pp 30, 106	The Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (September 1999) and ROD (64 Federal Register 61615) includes all sites in the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit (including outlying sites and burial grounds) in an "industrial" land use designation to support "new DOE missions or economic development."
2.	land use, industrial, pg 2	Institutional controls to ensure that unanticipated changes in land use do not occur that could result in unacceptable exposures to residual contamination; The reasonably anticipated future land use for the 300 Area and surrounding vicinity is industrial and the 300-FF-2 cleanup will result in protection of human health and the environment based on the exposure assumptions contained in the 300 Area industrial use scenario.' Other land uses may also be appropriate for noncontaminated portions of the 300 Area National Priorities List (NPL) site.
3.	industrial land use, pg 16	A ROD for 300-FF-1 was approved in July 1996 (Record of Decision for the 300-FF-I and 300-FF-5 Operable Units). The remedy selected in the 300-FF-1 ROD was to remove contaminated soil and debris, treat as necessary, and dispose of the waste in the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF). Soil cleanup levels established in the ROD are based on a reasonably anticipated future industrial land use. Institutional controls were required as part of the remedy because the cleanup did not result in conditions that would permit unrestricted use and unlimited exposure.
4.	industrial, pg 29	The 300 Area Complex is currently an active industrial area. Some of the specific activities in the 300 Area Complex include active laboratories, research and development, waste disposal facilities, D&D activities, and other miscellaneous operations.
5.	industrial, land use, pg 29	The reasonably anticipated future land use for the 300 Area Industrial Complex, the areas adjacent to the 300 Area Industrial Complex to the north and west, and the outlying sites/burial grounds 5-8 miles north of the 300 Area Industrial Complex is "industrial." This assumption is consistent with the relevant land use planning documents.
6.	industrial land use pg 30	The City of Richland's Comprehensive Land Use Plan identifies the 300 Area (as well as areas North and South of the 300 Area) as an "Urban Growth Area" pursuant to Washington's Growth Management Act. Land uses identified in the plan include "industrial" and "business/research park."
7.	industrial land use, residential, pg 106	...While none of these documents can formally zone the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit as "industrial," the plans document what a working group comprised of Hanford stakeholders, DOE, and local land use planning authorities expect in the way of future land use and are sufficient for the Tri-Parties to conclude that "industrial" or "general urban uses other than residential," are reasonably anticipated future land uses for the areas covered by the 300-FF -2 Operable Unit. This means that institutional controls must be a required part of the remedy in order to ensure that land uses are limited to those defined in the 300 Area industrial use exposure scenario. Any changes to the land use that are inconsistent with the land use assumptions upon which the ROD is based will be evaluated regularly and used in support of the CERCLA five-year review process. (NOTE: Other land uses may also be appropriate as long as institutional controls limit human activities to those described in the 300 Area industrial use exposure scenario.)
8.	recreational, preservation, conservation, end state	Recreational refers to activities on the Columbia River; Preservation refers to Historic Preservation Act; Conservation refers to RCRA; end state refers to the outcome of the Hanford Site cleanup in the Comment J.4.

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: SCHULTZ-HANFORD AREA TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT 7 RECORD OF DECISION MITIGATION MEASURES TABLE			
Purpose of Item or Document: In the event of an outage, additional power cannot be moved through the existing transmission system because the lines would overheat and sag below acceptable levels, potentially causing fires and further equipment failure. This can lead to brownouts or, under certain conditions, a blackout. Therefore, BPA needs to increase transmission capacity north of Hanford to move additional power through this area.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/18/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	1	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, pg 15	Land Use. BPA will work closely with the various land managers and landowners to minimize conflicts and inconvenience from construction and maintenance activities. BPA will locate structures outside of agricultural fields and on the edges of existing roads where possible or next to existing structures. Where possible, BPA will construct new permanent access roads around agricultural fields and in locations that may benefit the landowner. When possible, BPA will schedule activities to avoid or minimize crop damage. Gates and fences will be kept closed and in good repair to contain livestock. BPA will compensate farmers for crop damage, help them control weeds and restore compacted soils. BPA will work with landowners at landowners request to allow the growing of ornamental or orchard trees as well as other structure-supported crops under the transmission lines, BPA will strive to meet substantive requirements of Benton, Grant, Kittitas, Yakima and Douglas County development regulations.
2.	recreational, pg 16	Recreational Resources. Coordinate with agencies to inform the public about construction closures. Inform the YTC Environment and Natural Resources Division, Operations Center, and the guards at the entry points of any planned construction-related closures to the John Wayne Trail so they may inform potential users. Coordinate locations of new structures, conductor lines, and access roads with land managers and owners in order to avoid sensitive recreation areas. After consultation with land owners/agencies, install gates and fencing where needed to discourage unauthorized public use of access roads on private lands.
3.	preservation, pg 17	Cultural Resources and Historic Properties (continued) As required for compliance with Sections 106 and 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA), the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Executive Order 13007, BPA will consult with the following groups concerning discovered historic properties, their management, and potential impacts that the proposed project could have on them: The Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) through the Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (OAHP); affected Native American tribes; the owning federal agency, if discoveries are made on federal lands.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/BPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.

B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: NEPA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION DECLARATION OF RECORD OF DECISION FOR USDOE 100 AREA 100-NR-1 OU HANFORD SITE BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This decision document presents the selected interim remedial actions for a portion of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) 100 Area, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/24/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2/0	• Recreational	3
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	32
• Land use/Land-Use	42	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	1
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	0
• Industrial	7	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, CLUP, land use, HCP EIS, preservation, conservation, recreation, pg 13	<p>...Future land use of the Hanford Site and surrounding areas is a topic that has undergone significant evaluation and is of interest to a variety of stakeholders, including federal, state, and tribal agencies, and the general public. Assumptions about the future land use are important in the decision-making process for determining remedial action objectives (RAOs) and establishing cleanup standards. The DOE conducted an environmental impact study to establish future land-use objectives for the Hanford Site to guide the process of remediation. As part of the scoping process for the environmental impact statement, and in attempt to foster participation by interested stakeholders, the Hanford Future Site Uses Working Group (Working Group) was established in 1992. The Working Group included representatives from labor, environmental, governmental, tribal, agricultural, economic development, and citizen-interest groups. The Working Group recommended that the 100 Area be considered for the following four future land-use options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Native American uses • Limited recreation, recreation-related commercial use, and wildlife use • B Reactor as a museum and visitor center • Wildlife and recreational use. <p>The working group report was submitted to DOE as a formal scoping statement for development of DOE's Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP-EIS). This document evaluated five "action alternatives," each of which represented federal, state, local agency, or tribe's preferred land-use alternative. Preferred land-uses for the 100 Area included varying degrees and combinations of preservation, conservation, research and development, and recreation. The final selected land-use by DOE, for the 100 Areas documented in the HCP-EIS and subsequent ROD are recreation, conservation, and preservation.</p>
2.	land use, pg 4	Institutional controls and long-term monitoring will be required for sites where wastes are left in place and preclude an unrestricted land use. Institutional controls selected as part of this remedy are designed to be consistent with the interim action nature of this ROD. Additional measures may be necessary to ensure long-term viability of institutional controls if the final remedial actions selected for the 100 Area does not allow for unrestricted land use. Any additional controls will be specified as part of the final remedy. The following institutional controls are required as part of this interim action.
3.	land use, pg 21	The principal risks posed by the TSD units and associated sites are the potential for human and ecological receptor exposure from waste site contaminants (both radiological and chemical) and the potential for contaminants to migrate to the groundwater and, eventually, to the Columbia River. The objectives of the interim remedial action authorized in this ROD are to reduce potential threats to human health and the environment from these waste sites and not preclude any future land use in the 100 Area. As such, the interim remedial actions described in this ROD address all known current and potential unacceptable risks to human health and the environment from the three sites being addressed in the 100-NR-1 OU. Groundwater will continue to be monitored during the interim remedial action for the 100-NR-2 Otl. Any remaining risks will be addressed in a future ROD for the 100 Area NPL site.
4.	industrial, pg 11	Land uses in the areas surrounding the Hanford Site include urban and industrial development, irrigated and dry-land farming, grazing, and designated wildlife refuges. Wheat, corn, alfalfa, hay, barley, and grapes are the major crops in Benton, Franklin, and Grant Counties.
5.	residential, pg 25	Refer to rural-residential exposure scenarios: 116-N-3 Crib, Trench, and Associated Pipelines. Contaminants of concern in the surface soils at the 116-N-3 site (defined as the top 4.6 m [15 ft] below surrounding grade under a rural-residential scenario) were derived from data presented in the CMS (DOE/RL-96-39, Rev. 0).
6.	preservation, pg 52	Refers to Historic Preservation Act and the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.
7.	zoning, pg 39	Institutional Controls. Institutional controls are physical and legal barriers to prevent access to contaminants. Physical institutional control technologies may or may not include fences, but do include warning signs and security personnel. Legal institutional controls include restrictions on land use through permits, zoning ordinances, and/or restrictive covenants.

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA, RCRA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION RECORD OF DECISION AMENDMENT DOE 100-K AREA K-BASINS HANFORD SITE 100 AREA BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: The response action selected in this ROD Amendment is necessary to protect the public health or welfare or the environment from actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances into the environment.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/24/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2, refers to RCRA.
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: PROPOSED PLAN FOR AMENDMENT TO ERDF RECORD OF DECISION HANFORD SITE RICHLAND WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Proposed Plan includes two elements intended to promote Hanford Site cleanup activities by broadening the utilization and operation of ERDF as follows: construct ERDF's planned Phase 111 using the current disposal cell design and enable centralized interim staging of remediation waste at ERDF prior to treatment and disposal, as appropriate.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/24/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2, refers to RCRA
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: AMENDED RECORD OF DECISION DECISION SUMMARY AND RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY ERDF BENTON COUNTY WASHINGTON			
Purpose of Item or Document: This ROD Amendment allows the staging of remediation waste at ERDF while awaiting treatment. Keywords do not refer to land use.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2, refers to RCRA
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2, refers to industrial hazard and Washington Industrial Safety and Health	• 435.1	6, refers to provisions specific to storage and disposal of low-level or mixed waste and exposure levels

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: RECORD OF DECISION AMENDMENT, HANFORD 200-AREA (USDOE), OU 14			
Purpose of Item or Document: This ROD Amendment allows the staging of remediation waste at ERDF while awaiting treatment. Treatment would be performed to satisfy the ERDF waste acceptance criteria and comply with land disposal restrictions. The decision whether to perform remediation waste treatment and the specific treatment needed will be documented as part of the remedy selection and remedial design process for the operable unit or waste site of origination.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/18/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	8

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, pg 13	Appropriate measures to protect facility workers and the public will continue to be employed during ERDF operations, including contamination control, dust mitigation, and protection of personnel from industrial hazards presented by ERDF operations.
2.	industrial, pg 13	Occupational Safety and Health Act, Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act, and other safety regulations
3.	conservation, pg 3, 12	...waste from Resource Conservation and Recovery Act 1976 (RCRA) past-practice operable units and closure waste, and...
4.	435.1, pg 16	Low-level radioactive waste management standards, including DOE Order 435.1, will be addressed as to-be-considered (TBC) provisions for staging of radioactive waste.
5.	435.1, pg 21	The original ERDF ROD also identified DOE Order 5820.2A as a To-Be-Considered provision for disposal (but not storage) of radioactive waste. This Order has since been replaced by DOE Order 435.1. The new Order and associated Manual 435.1-1 contain several To-Be-Considered provisions specific to storage and disposal of low-level or mixed waste.
6.	435.1, pg 22	The original ERDF ROD provides a discussion of most of these latter provisions (with the exception of DOE O 435.1, which establishes a variety of provisions for storage of low-level waste). DOE O 435.1 establishes provisions pertaining to low-level waste storage, including requirements for waste characterization, packaging, provision of confinement systems, container inspections and maintenance, and hazard mitigation.
7.	435.1, pg 25	The ARARs for this amended remedy are unchanged from those specified in the 1995 ERDF ROD. The 1995 ROD also identified DOE Order 5820.2A as a to-be-considered (TBC) standard. DOE O 435.1 has since superseded DOE Order 5820.2A. Like DOE Order 5820.2A, DOE O 435.1 requires that low-level waste management practices limit external exposure to radioactive material released to the environment to levels that will not result in an effective dose equivalent to any member of the public in excess of 25 mrem/year and that any air releases (excluding radon) not result in an effective dose equivalent to the public in excess of 10 mrem/yr. DOE O 435.1 also specifies that radiation exposure be limited to ALARA. Performance objectives for low-level waste disposal practices and systems include limiting the effective dose equivalent received by inadvertent intruders, after institutional controls cease, to not more than 100 mrem/year or 500 mrem for a single acute exposure.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: DOE 100-K AREA K BASINS HANFORD SITE 100 AREA BENTON COUNTY AMENDED RECORD OF DECISION DECISION SUMMARY AND RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY			
Purpose of Item or Document: The amended remedy changes the sludge disposition and how underwater debris is retrieved, treated, and disposed from both the 105-K East and 105-K West Spent Nuclear Fuel (SNF) Basins. These changes will result in increased protection to human health and the environment. Keywords do not refer to land use.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	1, refers to job preservation
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1, refers to RCRA
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	1, refers to end-state uranium
• Industrial	2, refers to industrial safety	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 100-HR-3 OU RECORD OF DECISION			
Purpose of Item or Document: The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) are jointly issuing this Explanation of Significant Difference (ESD) to provide notice of revisions to the project schedule and cost estimate associated with the In Situ Redox Manipulation (ISRM) groundwater remedial action at the Hanford Site's 100-HR-3 Operable Unit.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford. PROJECT SCHEDULE & COST EST CHANGES	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 100-NR-1 OU TSD INTERIM ACTION RECORD OF DECISION AND 100-NR-1 100-NR-2 OU INTERIM ACTION RECORD OF DECISION			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Explanation of Significant Difference (ESD) provides public notice on changes to two Records of Decision (RODs) issued for the 100-N Operable Unit (OU), located on the Hanford Site.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4/8	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	2
• Land use/Land-Use	11	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, CLUP, land use, land-use designation, HCP EIS, preservation	<p>The prohibition on irrigation for the 116-N-1 waste site remains consistent with the reasonably expected future land use based on the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP)5 and the Hanford Reach National Monument. In order to reserve the Hanford Reach for the purpose of protecting the ecological, cultural, natural resources, and lands, President William Jefferson Clinton established the Hanford Reach National Monument. This action occurred after the issuance of the TSD ROD.</p> <p>The purpose of the CLUP is to facilitate the decision-making process regarding the Hanford Site's uses and facilities over at least the next 50 years. Additionally, the overall goal of the CLUP is to balance the continuing land-use needs at the Hanford Site with the desire to preserve important ecological and cultural values of the Site and allow for economic development. The Record of Decision: Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) 6 (CLUP ROD) identifies the 100-NR-1 OU within the geographic area of the Columbia River Corridor. The remediation and restoration efforts in the Columbia River Corridor are expected to return the lands to undeveloped, natural conditions over the next 75 years. Restrictions on certain activities may continue to be required to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 m (15 ft). The CLUP identifies the 100-N Area as a "preservation" land-use designation. The preservation land-use designation specifies the management of the land for the preservation of archeological, cultural, ecological, and natural resources, while prohibiting new consumptive uses (mining) and limiting public</p>
2.	CLUP, land use, preservation, pg 10	The use of the balancing factors analysis is also consistent with the reasonably expected future land use identified in the CLUP ROD. The CLUP ROD identifies the 100-N location as a preservation area and also states that it may be necessary to restrict certain activities to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 in ft). Therefore, prohibiting irrigation at the 116-N-1 waste site is consistent with the CLUP ROD. Furthermore, preserving the Mooli-Mooli cultural resource is also consistent with the Executive Order for the Hanford Reach National Monument.
3.	land use, pg 5	Institutional controls and long-term monitoring will be required where wastes are left in place and preclude an unrestricted land use. Institutional controls selected as part of this remedy are designed to be consistent with the interim action nature of this ROD.
4.	industrial, pg 12	Refers to industrial safety
5.	residential, pg 5	Refers to rural-residential exposure scenarios

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 100-NR-1 OU TREATMENT STORAGE AND DISPOSAL INTERIM ACTION RECORD OF DECISION AND 100-NR-1 100-NR-2 OU INTERIM ACTION ROD			
Purpose of Item or Document: This ESD is required for the following reasons: 1. The selected remedy in the TSD ROD allows for consideration of eight "balancing factors" to determine the extent of additional excavation needed in situations where residual contamination exists below the engineered structure and at a depth greater than 4.6 m(15 ft). The TSD ROD selected remedy also states, "The application of the criteria for the balancing factors will be made by EPA and Ecology on a site-by-site basis." The Tri-Parties agreed to invoke the balancing factor analysis: at the 116-N-1 waste site only to determine the extent of additional excavation at a depth greater than 4.6 in ft) through preparation of this ESD. 2. To revise the annual institutional control (IC) reporting requirement in both the TSD ROD and 100-NR-1/100-NR-2 ROD selected remedies consistent with the reporting requirements contained in the Sitewide Institutional Controls Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 02/14/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1/7	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	4
• Land use/Land-Use	7	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	3
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, CLUP, HCP EIS, land use, land use designation, preservation, pg 5	<p>The prohibition on irrigation for the 116-N-1 waste site remains consistent with the reasonably expected future land use based on the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP) and the Hanford Reach National Monument. In order to reserve the Hanford Reach for the purpose of protecting the ecological, cultural, natural resources; and lands, President William Jefferson Clinton established the Hanford Reach National Monument. This action occurred after the issuance of the TSD ROD.</p> <p>The purpose of the CLUP is to facilitate the decision-making process regarding the Hanford Site's uses: and facilities over at least the next 50 years. Additionally, the overall goal of the CLUP is to balance the continuing land-use needs at the Hanford Site with the desire to preserve important ecological and cultural values of the Site and allow for economic development. The Record of Decision: Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (CLUP ROD) identifies the 100-NR-1 OU within the geographic area of the Columbia River Corridor. The remediation and restoration efforts in the Columbia River Corridor are expected to return the lands to undeveloped, natural conditions over the next 75 years. Restrictions on certain activities may continue to be required to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 m (15 ft). The CLUP identifies the 100-N Area as a "preservation" land-use designation. The preservation land-use designation specifies the management of the land for the preservation of archeological, cultural, ecological, and natural resources, while prohibiting new consumptive uses (mining) and limiting public access.</p>
2.	land use, CLUP, preservation, pg 8	The balancing factors analysis is also consistent with the reasonably expected future land use identified in the CLUP ROD. The CLUP ROD identifies the 100-N location as a preservation area and also states that it may be necessary to restrict certain activities to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below, 46 in (15 ft). Therefore, prohibiting irrigation at the 116-N-1 waste site is consistent with the CLUP ROD. Furthermore, preserving the Mooli-Mooli cultural resource is also consistent with the Executive Order for the Hanford Reach National Monument.
3.	land use, pg 3	Institutional controls and long-term monitoring will be required where wastes are left in place and preclude an unrestricted land use. Institutional controls selected as part of this remedy are designed to be consistent with the interim action nature of this ROD.
4.	industrial, pg 10	refers to industrial safety
5.	residential, pp 3, 5	refers to rural-residential exposure scenario
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.
		NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.

<i>programs?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: Not signed by DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.

B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 100-HR-3 OU RECORD OF DECISION APRIL 2003			
Purpose of Item or Document: This ESD identifies revisions to the cost estimates associated with ISRM and explains that the addition of an evaporation pond also invokes an additional Applicable or Relevant or Appropriate Requirement (ARAR).			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 02/14/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford. Cost Estimate and project schedule revisions	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker:	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: 116-N-1 EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE 100-NR-1 OU			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Explanation of Significant Difference provides public notice on changes to two RODs issued for the 100-N Operable Unit, located on the Hanford Site.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4/9	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	2
• Land use/Land-Use	11	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	CLUP, pg 2	The ESD actions are consistent with the DOE CLUP ROD and Hanford Reach Monument.
2.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, CLUP, land use, land-use designation, HCP EIS, preservation, pg 8	<p>The prohibition on irrigation for the 116-N-1 waste site remains consistent with the reasonably expected future land use based on the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP)5 and the Hanford Reach National Monument. In order to reserve the Hanford Reach for the purpose of protecting the ecological, cultural, natural resources, and lands, President William Jefferson Clinton established the Hanford Reach National Monument. This action occurred after the issuance of the TSD ROD.</p> <p>The purpose of the CLUP is to facilitate the decision-making process regarding the Hanford Site's uses and facilities over at least the next 50 years. Additionally, the overall goal of the CLUP is to balance the continuing land-use needs at the Hanford Site with the desire to preserve important ecological and cultural values of the Site and allow for economic development. The Record of Decision: Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) 6 (CLUP ROD) identifies the 100-NR-1 OU within the geographic area of the Columbia River Corridor. The remediation and restoration efforts in the Columbia River Corridor are expected to return the lands to undeveloped, natural conditions over the next 75 years. Restrictions on certain activities may continue to be required to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 m (15 ft). The CLUP identifies the 100-N Area as a "preservation" land-use designation. The preservation land-use designation specifies the management of the land for the preservation of archeological, cultural, ecological, and natural resources, while prohibiting new consumptive uses (mining) and limiting public</p>
3.	CLUP, land use, preservation, pg 11	The balancing factors analysis is also consistent with the reasonably expected future land use identified in the CLUP ROD. The CLUP ROD identifies the 100-N location as a preservation area and also states that it may be necessary to restrict certain activities to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 in ft). Therefore, prohibiting irrigation at the 1 I6-N-I waste site is consistent with the CLUP ROD. Furthermore, preserving the Mooli-Mooli cultural resource is also consistent with the Executive Order for the Hanford Reach National Monument.
4.	land use, pg 6	Institutional controls and long-term monitoring will be required where wastes are left in place and preclude an unrestricted land use. Institutional controls selected as part of this remedy are designed to be consistent with the interim action nature of this ROD.
5.	industrial, pg 13	Refers to industrial safety
6.	residential, pg 6	Refers to rural-residential exposure scenarios

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 100-NR-1 OU TSD INTERIM ACTION RECORD OF DECISION AND 100-NR-1 100-NR-2 OU INTERIM ACTION RECORD OF DECISION			
Purpose of Item or Document: This ESD is required for the following reasons: 1. The selected remedy in the TSD ROD allows for consideration of eight "balancing factors" to determine the extent of additional excavation needed in situations where residual contamination exists below the engineered structure and at a depth greater than 4.6 m (15 ft). The TSD ROD selected remedy also states, "The application of the criteria for the balancing factors will be made by EPA and Ecology on a site-by-site basis." The Tri-Parties agreed to invoke the balancing factor analysis at the 116-N-1 waste site only to determine the extent of additional excavation at a depth greater than 4.6 in ft) through preparation of this ESD. 2. To revise the annual institutional control (IC) reporting requirement in both the TSD ROD and 100-NR-1/100-NR-2 ROD selected remedies consistent with the reporting requirements contained in the Sitewide Institutional Controls Plan for Hanford CERCLA Response Actions.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4/8	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	2
• Land use/Land-Use	11	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	2	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	2	• End state	2
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, CLUP, HCP EIS, land use, preservation, land-use designation, pg 7	<p>The prohibition on irrigation for the 116-N-1 waste site remains consistent with the reasonably expected future land use based on the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP)5 and the Hanford Reach National Monument. In order to reserve the Hanford Reach for the purpose of protecting the ecological, cultural, natural resources, and lands, President William Jefferson Clinton established the Hanford Reach National Monument. This action occurred after the issuance of the TSD ROD.</p> <p>The purpose of the CLUP is to facilitate the decision-making process regarding the Hanford Site's uses and facilities over at least the next 50 years. Additionally, the overall goal of the CLUP is to balance the continuing land-use needs at the Hanford Site with the desire to preserve important ecological and cultural values of the Site and allow for economic development. The Record of Decision: Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) 6 (CLUP ROD) identifies the 100-NR-1 OU within the geographic area of the Columbia River Corridor. The remediation and restoration efforts in the Columbia River Corridor are expected to return the lands to undeveloped, natural conditions over the next 75 years. Restrictions on certain activities may continue to be required to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 m (15 ft). The CLUP identifies the 100-N Area as a "preservation" land-use designation. The preservation land-use designation specifies the management of the land for the preservation of archeological, cultural, ecological, and natural resources, while prohibiting new consumptive uses (mining) and limiting public access.</p>
2.	CLUP, land use, preservation, pg 10	The use of the balancing factors analysis is also consistent with the reasonably expected future land use identified in the CLUP ROD. The CLUP ROD identifies the 100-N location as a preservation area and also states that it may be necessary to restrict certain activities to prevent the mobilization of contaminants, the most likely example of which is the restriction of activities that discharge water to the soil or involve excavating below 4.6 in ft).
3.	land use, pg 5	Institutional controls and long-term monitoring will be required where wastes are left in place and preclude an unrestricted land use. Institutional controls selected as part of this remedy are designed to be consistent with the interim action nature of this ROD.
4.	industrial, pg 12	Refers to industrial safety
5.	residential, pp 5, 7	Refers to rural-residential exposure scenarios

Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process:	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 100 AREA REMAINING SITES RECORD OF DECISION USDOE HANFORD 100 AREA 100-IU-6 OU			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Explanation of Significant Difference (ESD) provides notice of the decision to remediate two sites, the 600-23 and JA Jones #1 waste sites, located on the Hanford Site.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	4	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	3	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, industrial, pg 2	The 600-23 and JA Jones #1 waste sites were formerly identified in the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit of the Hanford Site, but have been relocated to the 100-IU-6 Operable Unit through modification of the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (the Tri-Party Agreement). This relocation was initiated by the Tri-Parties due to the differences in anticipated land use (and therefore the level of remediation required) between the 600-23 and JA Jones #1 waste sites and the rest of the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit waste sites. The reasonably anticipated future land use of the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit is industrial. The 600-23 and JA Jones #1 waste sites are within areas of the Hanford Site that are not anticipated to be used for industrial purposes. The potential for less restricted future land use requires different remedial action objectives for these two waste sites than those required for industrial land use. This is consistent with the unrestricted use scenario used for the 100-IU-6 Operable Unit and the goal of the 100 Area Remaining Sites ROD.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

FURTHER EVALUATION?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan
 DOE = Department of Energy
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
Item or Document Title and Revision: EXPLANATION OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE FOR 300-FF-5 RECORD OF DECISION ROD USDOE HANFORD 300 AREA 300-FF-5 OU			
Purpose of Item or Document: This Explanation of Significant Difference (ESD) provides notice of the decision to expand the scope of the Record of Decision for the 300-FF-1 and 300-FF-5 Operable Units to include all groundwater that underlies the 300 Area waste sites and burial grounds.			
Reviewer (print and sign name): Sally A. Simmons		Date: 01/21/08	
Summary of Evaluation Results:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
Keywords Found: <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	1, refers to Hanford's 300 Area Industrial complex.	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
Initial Screening Result: <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. Specify decision maker: DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
FURTHER EVALUATION? <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. Provide specific citation:	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. Specify which process: CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. Specify date/nature of interaction:	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")