

Analytical Data Package Prepared For
Pacific Northwest National Lab

Radiochemical Analysis By

STL Richland STLRL

2800 G.W. Way, Richland Wa, 99354, (509)-375-3131.

Data Package Contains _____ Pages

Report Nbr: 34122

SDG Nbr	ORDER Nbr	CLIENT ID NUMBER	LOT Nbr	WORK ORDER	RPT DB ID	BATCH
W05084	I07-002	B1LK9	J6L210127-1	JLXMM1AA	9JLXMM10	6355344

Comments:

STL Richland
2800 George Washington Way
Richland, WA 99354

Tel: 509 375 3131 Fax: 509 375 5590
www.stl-inc.com

Certificate of Analysis

Pacific Northwest National Laboratories
Sigma V Building
Richland, WA 99352

January 4, 2007

Attention: Dot Stewart

SAF Number	:	W05084
Date SDG Closed	:	December 20, 2006
Number of Samples	:	One (1)
Sample Type	:	Water
SDG Number	:	W05084
Data Deliverable	:	15-Day / Summary

CASE NARRATIVE

I. Introduction

On December 20, 2006 one water sample was received at STL Richland (STLR) for radiochemical analysis. Upon receipt, the sample was assigned the following laboratory ID numbers to correspond with the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories (PGW) specific IDs:

<u>PGW ID#</u>	<u>STLR ID#</u>	<u>MATRIX</u>	<u>DATE OF RECEIPT</u>
B1LKB9	JLXMM	WATER	12/20/06

II. Sample Receipt

The samples were received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in.

III. Analytical Results/Methodology

The analytical results for this report are presented by laboratory sample ID. Each set of data includes sample identification information, analytical results and the appropriate associated statistical errors.

The requested analyses were:

Liquid Scintillation Counting
Tritium by method RICH-RC-5007

IV. Quality Control

The analytical results for each analysis performed includes a minimum of one laboratory control sample (LCS), one method (reagent) blank, and one duplicate sample analysis. Any exceptions have been noted in the "Comments" section.

QC and sample results are reported in the same units.

V. Comments


Liquid Scintillation Counting

Tritium by method RICH-RC-5007:

The LCS, batch blank, samples and sample duplicate (B1LKB9) results are within contractual requirements.

I certify that this Certificate of Analysis is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager, or a designee as verified by the following signature.

Reviewed and approved:


Sherryl A. Adam
Project Manager

Br

Drinking Water Method Cross References

DRINKING WATER ASTM METHOD CROSS REFERENCES		
Referenced Method	Isotope(s)	STL Richland's SOP number
EPA 901.1	Cs-134, I-131	RICH-RC-5017
EPA 900.0	Alpha & Beta	RICH-RC-5014
EPA 903.1	Ra-226	RICH-RC-5005
EPA 904.0	Ra-228	RICH-RC-5005
EPA 905.0	Sr89/90	RICH-RC-5006
ASTM D2460	Total Radium	RICH-RC-5027
Standard Method 7500-U-C & ASTM D5174	Uranium	RICH-RC-5058
EPA 906.0	Tritium	RICH-RC-5007
NOTE:		
The Gross Alpha LCS is prepared with Am-241 (unless otherwise specified in the case narrative)		
The Gross Beta LCS is prepared with Sr/Y-90 (unless otherwise specified in the case narrative)		

Uncertainty Estimation

STL Richland has adopted the internationally accepted approach to estimating uncertainties described in "NIST Technical Note 1297, 1994 Edition". The approach, "Law of Propagation of Errors", involves the identification of all variables in an analytical method which are used to derive a result. These variables are related to the analytical result (R) by some functional relationship, $R = \text{constants} * f(x,y,z,...)$. The components (x,y,z) are evaluated to determine their contribution to the overall method uncertainty. The individual component uncertainties (u_i) are then combined using a statistical model that provides the most probable overall uncertainty value. All component uncertainties are categorized as type A, evaluated by statistical methods, or type B, evaluated by other means. Uncertainties not included in the components, such as sample homogeneity, are combined with the component uncertainty as the square root of the sum-of-the-squares of the individual uncertainties. The uncertainty associated with the derived result is the combined uncertainty (u_c) multiplied by the coverage factor (1,2, or 3).

When three or more sample replicates are used to derive the analytical result, the type A uncertainty is the standard deviation of the mean value (S/\sqrt{n}), where S is the standard deviation of the derived results. The type B uncertainties are all other random or non-random components that are not included in the standard deviation.

The derivation of the general "Law of Propagation of Errors" equations and specific example are available on request.

Report Definitions

Action Lev	An agreed upon activity level used to trigger some action when the final result is greater than or equal to the Action Level. Often the Action Level is related to the Decision Limit.
Batch	The QC preparation batch number that relates laboratory samples to QC samples that were prepared and analyzed together.
Bias	Defined by the equation (Result/Expected)-1 as defined by ANSI N13.30.
COC No	Chain of Custody Number assigned by the Client or STL Richland.
Count Error (#s)	Poisson counting statistics of the gross sample count and background. The uncertainty is absolute and in the same units as the result. For Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) the batch blank count is the background.
Total Uncert (#s) <i>u_c Combined Uncertainty.</i>	All known uncertainties associated with the preparation and analysis of the sample are propagated to give a measure of the uncertainty associated with the result, <i>u_c the combined uncertainty</i> . The uncertainty is absolute and in the same units as the result.
(#s), Coverage Factor	The coverage factor defines the width of the confidence interval, 1, 2 or 3 standard deviations.
CRDL (RL)	Contractual Required Detection Limit as defined in the Client's Statement Of Work or STL Richland "default" nominal detection limit. Often referred to the reporting level (RL)
Lc	Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume associated with the sample. The Type I error probability is approximately 5%. $Lc = (1.645 * \text{Sqrt}(2 * (\text{BkgrndCnt} / \text{BkgrndCntMin}) / \text{SCntMin})) * (\text{ConvFct} / (\text{Eff} * \text{Yld} * \text{Abn} * \text{Vol}) * \text{IngrFct})$. For LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability. Lc cannot be calculated when the background count is zero.
Lot-Sample No	The number assigned by the LIMS software to track samples received on the same day for a given client. The sample number is a sequential number assigned to each sample in the Lot.
MDC MDA	Detection Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume with a Type I and II error probability of approximately 5%. $MDC = (4.65 * \text{Sqrt}((\text{BkgrndCnt} / \text{BkgrndCntMin}) / \text{SCntMin}) + 2.71 / \text{SCntMin}) * (\text{ConvFct} / (\text{Eff} * \text{Yld} * \text{Abn} * \text{Vol}) * \text{IngrFct})$. For LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability.
Primary Detector	The instrument identifier associated with the analysis of the sample aliquot.
Ratio U-234/U-238	The U-234 result divided by the U-238 result. The U-234/U-238 ratio for natural uranium in NIST SRM 4321C is 1.038.
Rst/MDC	Ratio of the Result to the MDC. A value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at a high level of confidence. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result.
Rst/TotUcert	Ratio of the Result to the Total Uncertainty. If the uncertainty has a coverage factor of 2 a value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at approximately the 95% level of confidence assuming a two-sided confidence interval. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result.
Report DB No	Sample Identifier used by the report system. The number is based upon the first five digits of the Work Order Number.
RER	The equation Replicate Error Ratio = $(S-D) / [\text{sqrt}(\text{TPUs}^2 + \text{TPUd}^2)]$ as defined by ICPT BOA where S is the original sample result, D is the result of the duplicate, TPUs is the total uncertainty of the original sample and TPUd is the total uncertainty of the duplicate sample.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group Number assigned by the Client or assigned by STL Richland upon sample receipt.
Sum Rpt Alpha Spec Rst(s)	The sum of the reported alpha spec results for tests derived from the same sample excluding duplicate result where the results are in the same units.
Work Order	The LIMS software assign test specific identifier.
Yield	The recovery of the tracer added to the sample such as Pu-242 used to trace a Pu-239/40 method.

1/4/2007 8:59:37 AM

STL Richland Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R FormatType: FEAD Version: 05 Rpt Nbr: 34122 File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\Rad\W05084.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\Rad\34122.Edd

Lab Sample Id:	Client Id:	Test User	Contract Nbr	SAF Nbr	Sdg Nbr:	QC Type:	Moisture/ Solids%*:	Distilled Volume	Sample On Date:	Collection Date:				
9JLXMM10	B1LKB9		MW6-SBB-A1	107-002	W05084					12/20/2006 10:38				
Batch	Analyte	CAS#	Result	Unit	CntU 2S	TotU 2S	Qual	MDA	TrcYield	Method	Alq Size	Unit	Analy Date/Time	Act
6355344	H-3	10028-17-8	4.20E+03	pCi/L	2.8E+02	3.2E+02		3.65E+02	100.0	906.0_H3_LSC	5.00E-03	L	12/29/2006 07:16	I

Thursday, January 04, 2007

STL Richland QC Blank Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\IVRad\W05084.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\IVRad\34122.Edd

Lab Sample Id: JL0J51AB

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05084 34122

Collection Date: 12/20/2006 10:38

Client Id: NA

Matrix: WATER WATER

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%*:

QC Type: BLK

Received Date: 12/20/2006

SAF Nbr	Contract Nbr	Test User	Case Nbr	SAS Nbr	Suffix	Decant	Distilled Volume	File Id	FSuffix	RTyp					
	MW6-SBB-A19981								AB	H					
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qual	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UCL	R Typ
6355344 BLK	H-3 10028-17-8	1.27E+01	pCi/L	1.6E+02 1.5E+02	U	3.64E+02	100.0		906.0_H3_LSC	5.00E-03 L	12/29/2006 01:46				D

Thursday, January 04, 2007

STL Richland QC Blank Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\I\Rad\W05084.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\I\Rad\34122.Edd

Lab Sample Id: JL0J51DX

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05084

34122

Collection Date: 12/20/2006 10:38

Client Id: NA

Matrix: WATER

WATER

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%*:

QC Type: BLK

Received Date: 12/20/2006

SAF Nbr	Contract Nbr	Test User	Case Nbr	SAS Nbr	Suffix	Decant	Distilled Volume	File Id	FSuffix	RTyp					
	MW6-SBB-A19981								AD	H					
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qu- al	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UCL	R Typ
6355344 BLK	H-3 10028-17-8	-1.82E+01	pCi/L	1.6E+02 1.5E+02	U	3.72E+02	100.0		906.0_H3_LSC	5.00E-03 L	12/29/2006 04:31				D

Thursday, January 04, 2007

STL Richland QC Control Sample Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\ledd\Fead\VRad\W05084.Edd, h:\Reportdb\ledd\Fead\VRad\34122.Edd

Lab Sample Id: JL0J51CS

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05084 34122

Collection Date: 12/20/2006 10:38

Client Id: NA

Matrix: WATER WATER

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%*:

QC Type: BS

Received Date: 12/20/2006

SAF Nbr	Contract Nbr	Test User	Case Nbr	SAS Nbr	Suffix	Decant	Distilled Volume	File Id	FSuffix	RTyp					
	MW6-SBB-A19981								AC	H					
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qu- al	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UCL	R Typ
6355344 BS	H-3 10028-17-8	2.77E+03	pCi/L	2.7E+02 2.4E+02		3.62E+02	100.0	2.71E+03 102.1	906.0_H3_LSC	5.00E-03 L	12/29/2006 03:09			75 125	D

Thursday, January 04, 2007

STL Richland QC Control Sample Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\VRad\W05084.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\VRad\34122.Edd

Lab Sample Id: JL0J51EM

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05084 34122

Collection Date: 12/20/2006 10:38

Client Id: NA

Matrix: WATER WATER

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%*:

QC Type: BS

Received Date: 12/20/2006

SAF Nbr	Contract Nbr	Test User	Case Nbr	SAS Nbr	Suffix	Decant	Distilled Volume	File Id	FSuffix	RType					
	MW6-SBB-A19981								AE	H					
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qu- al	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UCL	R Typ
6355344 BS	H-3 10028-17-8	2.82E+03	pCi/L	2.8E+02 2.5E+02		3.72E+02	100.0	2.71E+03 104.0	906.0_H3_LSC	5.00E-03 L	12/29/2006 05:54			75 125	D

Thursday, January 04, 2007

STL Richland QC Duplicate Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\Rad\W05084.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\Fead\Rad\34122.Edd

Lab Sample Id: JLXMM1CR

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05084 34122

Collection Date: 12/20/2006 10:38

Client Id: B1LKB9

Matrix: WATER WATER

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%*:

QC Type: DUP

Received Date: 12/20/2006

SAF Nbr	Contract Nbr	Test User	Case Nbr	SAS Nbr	Suffix	Decant	Distilled Volume	File Id	FSuffix	RTyp					
107-002	MW6-SBB-A19981								AF	H					
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qu- al	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UCL	R Typ
6355344 DUP	H-3 10028-17-8	4.06E+03 4.20E+03	pCi/L	3.2E+02 2.8E+02		3.65E+02	100.0		906.0_H3_LSC	5.00E-03 L	12/29/2006 08:39	3.5 20.0	0.6 3		D

Lot No., Due Date: J6L210127; 01/04/2007
 Client, Site: 384868; PGW 615HANFORD HANFORD
 QC Batch No., Method Test: 6355344; RTRITIUM H-3 by LSC
 SDG, Matrix: W05084; WATER

8.0	Correction Calculation Protocol Used. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.01	The Appropriate Methods Were Used To Analyze the Samples OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.02	Final Results Are in the Appropriate Activity Units OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.03	Batch Contains the Required QC Appropriate for the Method OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.04	The Correct Tracer and QC Vials Where Used in the Samples OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.05	Sample was Appropriately Traced Before or After Fractionating the Sample OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.06	At Least the Minimum Sample Volume Was Used Analysis Volume => JLXMM1AA 5.00<10.00 Q:VB	Yes	No	N/A
8.07	The Correct Count Geometry was Used. Count Geometry => JLOJ51AF SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLOJ51AG SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLOJ51AA SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLOJ51AC SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLOJ51AD SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLOJ51AE SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLXMM1AA SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 JLXMM1AC SVP15/5<>SVP10/10 Q:VC	Yes	No	N/A
8.08	The Sample was Counted for the Minimum Count Time or CRDL was Achieved. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.09	Method Blank is within Control Limits. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.1	Comments:			
8.11	Matrix Blank is within Control Limits. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.12	Method Blank(s) < QAS Limit Value (No B Flag Necessary). OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.13	QAS Specified Duplicate Equation Value within Control Limits. OK (RPD)	Yes	No	N/A
8.14	LCS within Control Limits. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.15	MLCS within Control Limits. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.16	MS within Control Limits. No Matrix Spike Samples (MS) found in Batch!	Yes	No	N/A
8.17	Tracer within Control Limits. No Tracers found in Batch!	Yes	No	N/A
8.18	Samples are above Minimum Tracer Yield (No Failed Samples) No Tracers found in Batch!	Yes	No	N/A
8.19	Sample Specific MDC <= CRDL. OK	Yes	No	N/A
8.2	Comments:			
8.21	Result < Lc, Activity Not Detected, U Flag. No Limit Specified!	Yes	No	N/A
8.22	Result < Mdc, Activity Not Detected, U Flag. No Positive Results OK Calc_IDL Not Calculated	Yes	No	N/A
8.23	Result <= Action Level, when Defined. OK; No Action Level Found => H-3	Yes	No	N/A

OK; No Callin Level Found => H-3

8.24	Result + 3s >=0, Not Too Negative. OK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.25	Counting Spectrum are within FWHM Limits. No FWHM found in Batch Data!	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8.26	Instruments have Current Calibrations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.27	Correct Count Library Used. No Count Library found in Batch Data!	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8.28	Instrument Background within Limits at Time of Counting. (Not Applicable to this version. To be developed in later versions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.29	Instrument Check Source within Limits at the Time of Counting. (Not Applicable to this version. To be developed in later versions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.3	Comments:			
8.31	Results Blank Subtracted as Appropriate. OK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

First Level Review

Pam Anderson

Date

12.29.06



STL

Data Review Checklist
RADIOCHEMISTRY
Second Level Review

QC Batch Number: 6355344
W05084

Review Item	Yes (✓)	No (✓)	N/A (✓)
A. Sample Analysis			
1. Are the sample yields within acceptance criteria?	✓		
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
3. Are the correct isotopes reported?	✓		
B. QC Samples			
1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria?	✓		
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample result < the Contract Detection Limit?			✓
5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria?	✓		
7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection Limit?	✓		
8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			✓
9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance criteria?	✓		
C. Other			
1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?			✓
2. Are all required forms filled out?	✓		
3. Was the correct methodology used?	✓		
4. Was transcription checked?	✓		
5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?	✓		
6. Were units checked?	✓		

Comments on any "No" response: _____

Second Level Review: Sheryl A Adams Date: 1-3-07



STL

Sample Check-in List

Date/Time Received: 12.20.06 1435

Client: PGW SDG #: W05084 NA SAF #: I07-002 NA

Work Order Number: J6L210127 Chain of Custody # I07-002-231

Shipping Container ID: SML 209 Air Bill # N/A

1. Custody Seals on shipping container intact? NA Yes No
2. Custody Seals dated and signed? NA Yes No
3. Chain of Custody record present? Yes No
4. Cooler temperature: _____ NA 5. Vermiculite/packing materials is NA Wet Dry
6. Number of samples in shipping container: 1
7. Sample holding times exceeded? NA Yes No
8. Samples have:
 - _____ tape
 - _____ custody seals
 - _____ hazard labels
 - / appropriate samples labels
9. Samples are:
 - / in good condition
 - _____ broken
 - _____ leaking
 - _____ have air bubbles
 - (Only for samples requiring head space)
10. Sample pH taken? NA pH<2 pH>2 pH>9
11. Sample Location, Sample Collector Listed? * Yes No
*For documentation only. No corrective action needed.
12. Were any anomalies identified in sample receipt? Yes No
13. Description of anomalies (include sample numbers): N/A

Sample Custodian: A. Smith Date: 12.20.06 1435

Client Sample ID	Analysis Requested	Condition	Comments/Action

Client Informed on _____ by _____ Person contacted _____

No action necessary; process as is.

Project Manager _____ Date _____