

START

0021009

April 21, 1992

**Meeting Minutes Transmittal/Approval
 General Topics Unit Managers Meeting
 450 Hills St., Room 47, Richland, Washington
 March 25, 1992**

FROM/APPROVAL: Robert K. Stewart Date 4/22/92
 Robert K. Stewart, R.I. Coordinator, RL (A6-95)

APPROVAL: Douglas B. Sherwood Date 4/22/92
 Douglas B. Sherwood, Representative, EPA (B5-01)

APPROVAL: Larry Goldstein Date 4/22/92
 Larry Goldstein, CERCLA Unit Supervisor, Washington Dept. of Ecology

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss general topics which are common to all past practices operable units.

Meeting Minutes are attached. Minutes are comprised of the following:

- Attachment #1 - Summary of Meeting and Commitments and Agreements
- Attachment #2 - Agenda for the Meeting
- Attachment #3 - Attendance List
- Attachment #4 - Action Item Status List
- Attachment #5 - Analytical Services Status
- Attachment #6 - HRA-EIS Scope
- Attachment #7 - Revised HRA-EIS Schedule
- Attachment #8 - TPA Issue Resolution Process



Prepared by: Suzanne Clarke Date: 4/22/92
 Bill McClung, GSSC

Concurrence by: H. D. Downey Date: 4/22/92
 Hal Downey, WHO Coordinator

92123791099

24

Attachment #1

Summary of Meeting and Commitments and Agreements

General Topics Unit Manager's Meeting
March 25, 1992

Introductions

1. Bob Stewart (DOE) introduced Mark Janaskie from DOE-HQ covering RCRA closure and underground tanks.
2. Doug Sherwood (EPA) introduced two new EPA employees, Larry Gadbois and Paul Beaver. They joined EPA on March 2.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

3. In the past there have been problems in passing a document around to be signed during the meeting. To solve this problem, SWEC personnel will now make any agreed upon pen and ink changes to the official record during the meeting, and then have the signatories sign.

The February UMM minutes were reviewed and approved with pen and ink changes being made before signature.

Update on Laboratory Status

4. Joan Kessner (WHC) gave a presentation covering the status of work related to the analytical laboratories (see attachment #5) and stated that both EPA and Ecology were invited to meet in J. Kessner's office to review the OSMs CERCLA and RCRA validation process.
5. J. Kessner stated that WHC had received the round two clarification letters from the six remaining bidders on March 6, 1992. Concerns were raised by WHC's QA function regarding a series of procedures submitted by the contract bidders that were marked draft. The evaluations of the second round of proposals should be completed by the end of March.
6. Datachem management was at Hanford the week of 3/16/92 to discuss analyses turnaround times performance issues. S-Cubed will be at Hanford April 2, 1992 and Weston will be visiting the week of April 20, 1992.
7. VIAR will be at Hanford the week of March 30, 1992. VIAR runs the EPA sample management office and has a memorandum of understanding with DOE. DOE is looking at sample management activities for their different sites and are presently scoping the process.

Update on NEPA

8. Bob Stewart (DOE) presented an update on the Hanford Remediation EIS (see attachment #6). The ADM has been submitted and Headquarters has given permission to proceed with the EIS. The final EIS is due in March

92125791100

of 1995 with the draft being due in March of 1994 (see attachment #7).

Inspection Protocols

9. Bob Holt (DOE) stated that the inspection protocol document for EPA, the Department of Health and the Department of Ecology has been developed. The document is now going through the DOE concurrence process. When the document has been approved by the individual programs and DOE, it will be submitted to the regulatory agencies along with a memorandum of understanding for final approval. The memorandum of understanding may be placed in the TPA handbook as a standalone document.

Issues Resolution Process

10. Frank Calapristi (WHC) provided an overview of the TPA Issues Resolution Procedure, and stated that a more detailed procedure will be placed in the handbook in the near future (see attachment #8). For the past several months the project managers have worked on the procedure, approved it, and placed in it the TPA handbook where it is identified as a guideline at this time.

F. Calapristi stated that anyone may be an issue advocate (the person concerned with resolving a problem or issue) and bring the issues of concern to the attention of the appropriate party or parties for resolution.

Quick Status Items

11. The intent of the quick status items process is to provide in an informal manner the status of generic topics of interest to the UMM participants.

1.) Use of Court Reporter. Beginning with the April meeting, the court reporter will no longer be recording the CERCLA portion of the UMM meeting, but they will continue to support the RCRA meetings. Therefore, when regulators want subjects they feel are important to appear in the meeting minutes, they must identify these items to the person taking notes.

2.) Investigation Derived Waste. Ecology has written a letter responding to DOE's submittal concerning the strategy and the EII that deals with the investigation derived waste. The letter essentially states that if EPA approves the strategy, it may be used on the EPA lead sites. Pam Innis (EPA) and Laurie Davies (Ecology) will be discussing any differences that exist and address possible solutions.

Pam Innis (EPA) stated that apparently Paul Day (EPA) has written a letter regarding EPA's acceptance of the IDW strategy and it just hasn't

9 2 1 2 5 7 9 1 1 0 1

been sent out yet. EII 4.3 can be used for EPA lead sites, but it will be necessary to *discuss implementation*.

Eric Goller (RL) stated that the 100 Areas have a lot of work that can be affected by the use of EII 4.3 and they would like to implement it as soon as allowed to.

Bob Stewart (RL) stated that Greg Hopkins and Laura Russell of WHC, Laurie Davies (Ecology), and Pam Innis (EPA) are the ~~unit managers~~ ^{representatives (see.)} involved in the negotiations, and that Mark Janaskie (DOE) will be following the negotiations from Headquarters.

3.) Site Background Study. Fred Ruck (WHC) gave a presentation on the site background study and stated that nothing has changed in the study since last month. In May, discussions concerning radionuclide backgrounds both for soil and groundwater will begin, and EPA, DOE and Ecology, want to be on the team to look at the parameters associated with this activity.

4.) Site Surveying Task. John Jacobson (USACE) stated that the surveying activity had just begun in February and that they are now in the process of developing procedures with the contractors being hired. Some personnel now on site are out looking for monumentation and the wells that will be surveyed.

Action Item #GT.132 DOE will take the lead in setting up a meeting to develop priorities for new operable units for work plan preparation. Participants are: Doug Sherwood, Chuck Cline, Darci Teel, Tom Wintczak, and Rich Carlson.
Action: Bob Stewart

UMM Schedule Through Fiscal 1992

April	22 and 23, 1992
May	27 and 28, 1992
June	24 and 25, 1992
July	29 and 30, 1992
August	26 and 27, 1992
September	23 and 24, 1992

92125791102

Attachment #2

Agenda

**General Topics Unit Managers Meeting
March 25, 1992**

General Topics

9:00 - 9:05

Approval of February General Topics Meeting Minutes

9:05 - 9:20

Update on Laboratory Status - Joan Kessner

9:20 - 9:35

Update on NEPA - Sue Weissberg

9:35 - 9:55

Update on Inspection Protocols - Alex Teimouri

9:55 - 10:10

Issue Resolution Process - Frank Calapristi

10:10 - 10:25

Break

10:25 - 10:45

Quick Status Items:

- Unit Managers Meeting and Minutes - Bob Stewart
- Investigation Derived Waste - Bob Stewart
- Site Background Study - Fred Ruck
- Site Surveying Task - John Jacobson
- Feedback from Project Managers Meeting - Bob Stewart

10:45 - 11:00

Action Item Status

11:00 - 11:15

Agenda Items for March General Topics Unit Managers Meeting

11:15 - 11:45

Special Discussion - Proposed Changes in Unit Managers Meetings and Meeting Minutes - Bob Stewart (Attendees should be restricted to Unit Managers and WHC/USACE RI Coordinators)

92125791103

Attachment #3

General Topics Unit Managers Meeting
 Official Attendance Record
 March 25, 1992

Please print clearly and use black ink

PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	ORGANIZATION	O.U. ROLE	TELEPHONE
Bill McClung	Bill McClung	SWEC	GSSC	376-1853
Suzanne CLARKE	Suzanne Clarke	SWEC	GSSC	372-0630
	K. Kelley Koyl	CRIS	GSSC	316-5611
Doug Sherwood	Doug Sherwood	EPA	Unit Manager	376-9529
PAMELA INNIS	Pamela Innis	EPA	UNIT MANAGER	376-4919
Bob McLeod	B. B. McLeod	DOE-RL	"	372-0096
MARK JANASKIE	Mark Janaskie	DOE-HQ	DIVERSIFIED	(202) 903-7428
ANNIE SMET	Annie Smet	WHL-PROG		376-6558
P.D. Mix	P.D. Mix	WHC-PROG		376-1543
J.A. Lerch	J.A. Lerch	WHC	OSM	373-3419
Cham Kessner	Cham H. Kessner	whc	OSM	3-3507
Dennis Faulk	Dennis Faulk	EPA	Unit Manager	376-8631
RALPH PATT	Ralph D. Patt	ORSEAL (S&D)	OBSERVER	503-378-8455
Jean Sprecher	Jean Sprecher	Brown & Caldwell	Ecology Support	(503) 244-7005
LARRY GADBOIS	Larry Gadbois	EPA	Unit Manager	376-9884
Rich Hibberd		Ecology	CERCLA Support	(206) 493-936
Allan C Harris	Allan C Harris	DOE-RL	Unit Manager	509-376-4339
Billie Mauss	Billie Mauss	Ecology	CERCLA	509-546-1965
Chuck Clone	Chuck Clone	"	Unit Mgr	206 438-750
Robert K. Stewart	Robert K. Stewart	DOE-RL/ERD	R.I. Coord	509-376-619
Eric Goller	Eric Goller	"	Unit	509-376-7326
ROBERT HENCKEL	Robert Henckel	WHC	Unit	509 376-2091
Richard Carlson	R Carlson	WHC	200/300 Area	509 376-9027

Attachment #4

Action Items Status List
General Topics Unit Managers Meeting
March 25, 1992

Item No.	Action/Source of Action	Status
GT.38	If possible, at the May Unit Managers Meeting a presentation on the approved, preferred alternative method for disposal of the reactors will be given. Action: Jim Goodenough (4/18/90, GT-UMM)	Open The EIS will be reviewed by Admiral Watkins' office and Nuclear Safety (4/16/91). The RL program at DOE/HQ has written a letter to EH urging EH to quickly approve the final EIS and allow it to be published (6/19/91). Waiting for action from headquarters (8/8/91). Waiting for status (11/20/91). Jim Goodenough to give an update on status at February 1992 UMM (2/25/92). Awaiting Headquarter's approval 3/25/92). The distribution package for the final EIS is in preparation (4-17-92).
GT.76A	DOE is to respond to the comments that were provided by Ecology and EPA on the revised EII's 4.2 and 5.4. The EII's are related to the handling of drilling decontamination fluids. Action: Bob Stewart (7/17/91)	Open An updated draft strategy was provided to EPA and Ecology. (10/16/91). Waiting for completion of EII 4.3 (11/20/91). Waiting for approval from EPA of EII 4.3 for use on EPA lead OU's; waiting for comments from Ecology (2/21/92). Need to deal with Ecology's position (3/25/92).

9 2 1 2 5 7 9 1 1 0 6

- 9 2 1 2 5 7 9 1 1 0 7
- GT.108 Protocols are to be developed to facilitate conduct of regulatory inspections and site visits at past practice sites. Action: Eric Goller (DOE) (6/19/91)
- Open
The unofficial draft was provided to the regulators on 10/16/91 (10/16/91). Internal comment resolution in process (2/24/92). DOE will transmit status document to regulators for review and/or comments (3/25/92).
- GT.113 Provide an explanation of how information, including supplementary documents, on new sites and on sites that have been cleaned up is included in WIDS. Examples will be provided for illustration. The explanation is to be provided by the first week of October. Action: Nancy Werdel (9/18/91)
- Open
Dick Fox (WHC) provided the information on WIDS to Nancy Werdel on the 8th of October (10/16/91). Awaiting an update from Nancy Werdel (11/20/91).
- GT.114 Determine where the macro engineering study is in the approval process of DOE. A presentation will be contingent on DOE management approval. Action: Allan Harris (9/18/91)
- Open
WHC gave a presentation to DOE at the unit manager level, then to upper management (Mr. Bixby and Mr. Little) on 10/10/91. A presentation to DOE-HQ will be scheduled before it is given to EPA and Ecology. The document is currently under DOE-RL review (10/16/91). Need to present to project managers, possible December or January (11/20/91). (2/26/92) (3/25/92). Has not yet been approved (4-17-92).
- GT.116A Ecology is to keep DOE informed of the development of their "Area of Contamination" policy. Arrangements are to be made for Laurie Davies of Ecology to make a presentation on this subject at the next General Topics UMM. Action: Rich Hibbard (11/20/91)
- Closed. Presentation to be made at the February UMM (2/21/92). (3/25/92)

- 9 2 1 2 5 7 9 1 1 0 8
- GT.117 A working group shall be formed to identify parameters for the groundwater and radionuclide background determination. The regulators shall appoint representatives to a working group and provide the names to Fred Ruck, who will be the coordinator. Action: Fred Ruck (11/20/91)
- Open. Deferred to May. (2/26/92) (3/25/92).
- GT.118 A committee is to be formed to review the barrier development program. Membership will include Jerry Cammann (WHC) as Chair, Jim Goodenough (DOE), Rich Hibbard (Ecology), Pam Innis(EPA). Action: Jim Goodenough (11/20/91)
- Closed. ISV barrier to be discussed at February UMM as far as formalizing a committee (2/19/92). Paul Pak is now responsible for this activity (3/25/92).
- GT.118A A technology coordination group is to be formed. Action: Paul Pak, Doug Sherwood, Rich Hibbard and Joan Woolard (2/26/92)
- Open. Rich Hibbard will be the Ecology representative; Randy Khong is the USACE representative and Joan Woolard (WHC) is the WHC coordinator (2/35/92). Rather than form a group, WHC will provide the regulators with updates of the status of technology development activities at Hanford on a regular (i.e., monthly) basis (4-21-92).
- GT.119 DOE will develop a formal schedule to provide the inspection protocol documents to the regulators. Action: Bob Stewart (1/22/92)
- Closed (3/25/92).
- GT.121 All parties are to develop a proposal to streamline the UMM meetings. In particular, the general topics will be addressed. Action: EPA and Ecology. (1/22/92)
- Closed. It was decided to no longer to send out the flash report or the revised minutes before the meetings (2/26/92). Referred to special meeting at 11:45 on 3/25/92 (3/25/92).
- GT.122 A list of individuals or organizations that need the attachments to the UMM minutes is to be generated. Action: Hal Downey and Bob Stewart. (1/22/92)
- Open. (3/25/92). WHC will provide attachments for EDMC, the Program office, TPA office, the OU coordinator (4-17-92).

- 9 2 1 2 5 7 9 1 1 0 9
- GT.123 All regulators are to provide an update of the names of their unit managers to DOE. Action: All regulators. (1/22/92) Closed (3/25/92).
- GT.124 GSSC is to update the status of the General Topics action items prior to each General Topics meeting. Action: GSSC. (1/22/92) Closed. GSSC is providing the required statusing prior to each meeting (2/26/92). This an ongoing activity (3/25/92).
- GT.125 A schedule of the peer review that Action Item GT.114 is to be provided to the regulators. Action: Bob Stewart. (1/22/92) Open. This action has been transferred to Allan Harris (3/25/92). This action will be contingent upon approval from DOE-HQ of GT.114 (4-17-92).
- GT.127 USACE will set up a briefing on technetium. Action: Raimo Liias. (1/22/92) Closed. The briefing was given on 2/26/92 by Suzanne Clark of SWEC.
- GT.128 Provide information on the date when CLP versus SW 846 information will be provided to Ecology and EPA. Action: Eric Goller. (2/26/92) Open. To remain open pending outcome of meeting on 3/26/92 (3/25/92).
- GT.129 Provide information regarding DOE plans for development of site base maps. Action: Bob Stewart. (2/26/92) Open. This activity has been reassigned to Mike Thompson and Bob Henckel (3/25/92). This action item to be assigned to Nancy Werdel and Dick Fox (4-21-92).
- GT.131 Next UMM meeting provide up to date status with people responsible for getting the protocol procedure in place. Action: Julie Erickson. (2/26/92) Closed. (3/25/92).
- GT.132 DOE will take the lead in setting up a meeting to develop priorities for new operable units for work plan preparation. Participants are: Doug Sherwood, Chuck Cline, Darci Teel, Tom Wintczak, and Rich Carlson. Action: Bob Stewart. Open (3/25/92).

ANALYTICAL SERVICES STATUS

**Joan Kessner
March 25, 1992**

RFP STATUS

- Responses to round two clarification letters received from six remaining Offerors on March 6, 1992.
- Evaluation of responses to be completed by March 27, 1992.
 - Third round of clarifications expected to be issued week of March 31, 1992.
- Assessments expected to begin May 1992.

COMMERCIAL CONTRACTS

- Four contract extensions being finalized by Westinghouse Hanford Company procurement.
- DataChem management visited Hanford March 19, 1992.
- Maxwell Laboratories, Incorporated, S-Cubed is scheduled to visit Hanford April 2, 1992.
- Roy F. Weston, Incorporated is scheduled to visit Hanford on the week of April 20, 1992.

DOE-HQ/EPA National SMO

- **VIAR and Company (Environmental Protection Agency Sample Management Office) is scheduled to visit the Office of Sample Management April 1-3, 1992.**
- **Information gathering/exchange.**

TURNAROUND TIMES BY ANALYSES FOR SAMPLE DATA RECEIVED IN FEBRUARY CY '92*

LABORATORY A	VOA	SEMI-VOA	PEST/PCB's	WET CHEM	METALS
NUMBER OF ANALYSES RECEIVED	.	18	.	.	.
AVERAGE TURNAROUND TIME	.	96	.	.	.

LABORATORY B	VOA	SEMI-VOA	PEST/PCB's	WET CHEM	METALS
NUMBER OF ANALYSES RECEIVED	.	.	.	32	34
AVERAGE TURNAROUND TIME	.	.	.	58	65

LABORATORY C	VOA	SEMI-VOA	PEST/PCB's	WET CHEM	METALS	RAD CHEM#
NUMBER OF ANALYSES RECEIVED	37	22	35	35	42	75
AVERAGE TURNAROUND TIME	63	67	68	63	65	140

LABORATORY D	VOA	SEMI-VOA	PEST/PCB's	WET CHEM	METALS	RAD CHEM
NUMBER OF ANALYSES RECEIVED	84	16	78	73	113	91
AVERAGE TURNAROUND TIME	71	70	73	72	76	102

AGGREGATE TURNAROUND TIME	<u>CHEMISTRY ANALYSES</u>				<u>RADIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSES</u>	
	LAB A	LAB B	LAB C**	LAB D**	LAB C#	LAB D
	96	62	65	73	140	102

* ALL TIMES REFLECT DAYS

** EXCLUDES RADIOCHEMISTRY ANALYSES

THE TURNAROUND TIME REFLECTS SAMPLES SUBMITTED SINCE SEPTEMBER 1991

9212579114

HRA-EIS Scope

- **Assesses** the potential environmental consequences of the alternatives for conducting a remedial action program at Hanford.
- **Covers 74 source and 4 ground water operable units under CERCLA and RCRA Section 3004(u)**

Revised HRA-EIS Schedule -- March 1992

Activity	Current Target
Adm submitted	Complete
DOE-HQ approval to proceed	Complete
Notice of Intent	May 1, 1992--Published Federal Register
Public scoping meetings	June 1992
Complete public scoping	July 1992
Implementation Plan	March 1993
Draft EIS	March 1994
Final EIS	March 1995
Record of Decision	June 1995

TPA ISSUE RESOLUTION PROCESS

PURPOSE

PROVIDE A STRUCTURE FOR ELEVATING AND RESOLVING ISSUES BEFORE IMPACTING TPA ACTIVITIES

AUTHORITY

- TPA HANDBOOK: RL-TPA-90-0001
- GUIDELINE: TPA-MG-11

PRINCIPAL PARTIES

- ISSUE ADVOCATE (IA)
- DISPUTIES PARTIES

FLOW DIAGRAM

9 2 1 2 5 7 9 1 1 7

TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT HANDBOOK
MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES


Document Number RL-TPA-90-0001
Guideline Number TPA-MG-11
Revision 0
Page 1 of 8
Effective Date March 23, 1992

TITLE:

Tri-Party Agreement
Issue Resolution Process

APPROVED BY:


S.H. Wisness, Hanford Project Manager
U.S. Department of Energy


P.T. Day, Hanford Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


D.B. Jansen, Hanford Project Manager
State of Washington
Department of Ecology

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Issue Resolution Process guideline is to define a structure for analyzing *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, hereinafter referred to as the Tri-Party Agreement, related issues and provide a method for a timely resolution. A flowchart (figure 1) is included to aid in describing this process.

2.0 SCOPE

This guideline applies to the Project Managers and Unit Managers from the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Field Office (RL), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). In addition to the agency representatives, this guideline is also applicable to Westinghouse Hanford Company (Westinghouse Hanford) personnel involved in the administrative duties associated with this guideline. It is recommended that issues may arise where the time guidelines shown are inappropriate and may require acceleration. The issue resolution process does not provide relief from any commitments/schedules specified in the Tri-Party Agreement.


3.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT PROJECT MANAGERS

The Project Managers from RL, EPA, and Ecology are responsible for reviewing and resolving, if possible, issues presented at the monthly Tri-Party Agreement Project Managers meetings through the use of the Tri-Party Agreement Issue Analysis Worksheet (attachment 1). The Project Managers are also responsible for signing the Issue Disposition Statement (attachment 2) when an issue has been resolved.

9212579118

TPA ISSUE RESOLUTION PROCESS



ONE/ADPOL/PROJ/ISSUE

FEBRUARY 21, 1992

6 1 1 1 6 2 2 1 2 9

TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT HANDBOOK	Document Number	RL-TPA-90-0001
	Guideline Number	TPA-MG-11
	Revision	0
MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES	Page	8 of 8
	Effective Date	March 23, 1992

Attachment 1. Tri-Party Agreement Issue Analysis Worksheet.

Issue Advocate: _____ Date: _____

Disputing party(ies): _____

ISSUE DESCRIPTION: Provide a three or four sentence description of the issue and the need for a formal decision.

Background: Provide history and any data that describes the source of the issue. Include information as viewed by the other disputing party(ies).

Alternatives Considered: Generate and compare alternatives to the issue, as identified by each disputing party, to include potential positive/negative results. Identify and assess apparent risk associated with the alternatives.

Proposed Solution: Summarize the recommended decision of each party:

- Impact (technical, workscope, schedule or cost baselines) of adopting this solution
- Implementation plan/schedule and method of communication

Responsible Managers: Identify the Unit Manager(s) [designated by the three parties] responsible for implementing the approved solution.

Step		Initial	Date
1	() Resolved () Unresolved- To Project Managers	_____	_____
2	() Resolved () Unresolved- To members of DRC	_____	_____
3	() Resolved () Unresolved- To SEC	_____	_____
4	Resolved by SEC	_____	_____

92125791120

TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT HANDBOOK
MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Document Number RL-TPA-90-0001
Guideline Number TPA-MG-11
Revision 0
Page 9 of 8
Effective Date March 23, 1992

Attachment 2. Issue Disposition Statement.

ISSUE DESCRIPTION:

FINAL RESOLUTION:

Approval: _____ Date: _____
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Approval: _____ Date: _____
Washington State Department of Ecology

Approval: _____ Date: _____
U.S. Department of Energy

92125791121

Distribution

General Topics Unit Managers Meeting
March 25, 1992

Dave Einan, EPA (B5-01)	Mike Thompson, RL (A5-15)
Pam Innis, EPA (B5-01)	S.H. Wisness, RL (A5-15)
Doug Sherwood, EPA (B5-01)	J.M. Hennig, RL (A5-21)
Dan Duncan, EPA, Region 10, RCRA	John Stewart, USACE
Chuck Cline, WDOE (two copies)	Melvin Adams, WHC (H4-55)
Dave Nylander, WDOE (Kennewick)	Frank Calapristi, WHC (B2-35)
R.O. Patt, OR Water Resources Dept.	Steve Clark, WHC (H4-55)
Ward Staubitz, USGS	Larry Hulstrom WHC (H4-55)
Donna Lacombe, PRC	Wayne Johnson, WHC (H4-55)
S.E. Clarke, SWEC (A4-35)	Alan Krug, WHC (H4-55)
C.E. Clark, RL (A5-15)	Merl Lauterbach, WHC (H4-55)
D.L. Clark, RL (A5-55)	Fred A. Ruck III, WHC (H4-57)
Julie Erickson, RL (A5-19)	Jim Patterson, WHC (B2-15)
R.D. Freeberg, RL (A5-19)	Steve Weiss, WHC (H4-55)
R.E. Gerton, RL (A4-02)	Tom Wintczak, WHC (L4-92)
Jim Goodenough, RL (A5-19)	R.D. Wojtasek, WHC (L4-92)
Elizabeth A. Bracken, RL (A5-19)	Don Kane, EMO (K1-74)
Mary Harmon, DOE-HQ (EM-442)	Terri Stewart, PNL (K2-12)
Paul Pak, RL (A5-19)	Don Praast, GAO (A1-80)
Jim Rasmussen, RL (A5-15)	Bob Henckel, WHC (H4-55)
Bob Stewart, RL (A5-19)	L.D. Arnold, WHC (B2-35)
Nancy Werdel, RL (A5-19)	

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORDS: 1100-EM-1, 300-FF-1, 300-FF-5, 200-BP-1, 200-UP-2, 100-HR-1, 100-HR-3, 100-BC-1, 100-BC-5, 100-NR-1, 100-NR-3, 100-FR-1; Care of Susan Wray, WHC (H4-22)

Please inform Suzanne Clarke (SWEC) of deletions or additions to the distribution list.

92125791122