

**Hanford Natural Resource Trustee Council  
October 30 & 31, 2007 Meeting  
Richland, Washington**

**Meeting Summary**

**Participants:**

<b><u>CTUIR</u></b>	<b><u>WDOE</u></b>
Barbara Harper	Larry Goldstein
Rico Cruz	John Price
<b><u>NPT</u></b>	<b><u>WDFW</u></b>
Dan Landeen	Charlene Andrade
Gabriel Bohnee	
	<b><u>YN</u></b>
<b><u>ODOE</u></b>	Jay M <sup>c</sup> Connaughey
Paul Shaffer	Brian Barry (phone)
John Gear	Russell Jim (phone briefly)
<b><u>USFWS</u></b>	<b><u>USDOE</u></b>
Jim Hansen	Al Hawkins, RL
Heidi Newsome	Dana Ward, RL
	Kevin Leary, RL
<b><u>USEPA</u></b>	Jamie Zeisloft, RL
Larry Gadbois	Francis SiJohn, RL
Laura Buelow	
	<b><u>Other</u></b>
<b><u>Contractors</u></b>	Steven Link, WSU
Roger Dirkes, PNNL	
Glen Triner, FH	
David Fort, FH	
A. Ray Johnson, FH	
Ken Gano, WCH	

**RECEIVED**  
FEB 13 2008

**EDMC**

**October 30, 2007**

**Administration      G. Bohnee**

The meeting was brought to order by Gabe Bohnee, Council Chair, and announcements and introductions ensued. Gabe indicated that the intergovernmental meeting at DOE-HQ went well with many interesting topics discussed, challenges identified, and opportunities presented.

Paul Shaffer was announced as the newly approved Council Vice Chair. Paul would be taking over the afternoon of October 31 in Gabe's absence.

The need for better audio equipment to facilitate telephone conferencing became evident as Russell Jim indicated he could not hear the conversations taking place and withdrew from the meeting. Better equipment is needed to support phone participation in the future.

**Administrative Record      A. Hawkins, All**

DOE is moving forward on providing access to the HNRTC records by placing them into the Hanford Administrative Record. This assures the records will be both controlled and accessible as appropriate. Initially the thinking is that separate records will be maintained and accessible for the HNRTC business and the NRDA business. This brought up the question as to whether or not the MOU would need to be revised to include NRDA activities. This is a senior management decision and potential meeting agenda topic. It was noted that that the Council should be clear in referring to the records such that the HNRTC administrative records are not confused with the official Hanford Administrative Record. The HNRTC records will be scanned and entered into the Hanford Administrative Record. Confidentiality may be an issue with the NRDA records and should be considered carefully given the potential legal issues. The Trustees agreed that legal should be consulted on this issue. G. Bohnee emphasized that the HNRTC is being watched across the complex as an example and that we need to be thoughtful in how we proceed with this. For now, DOE is moving forward with the HNRTC records with decisions on the NRDA records to follow. It was clarified that the current HNRTC records generally include meeting minutes, meeting summaries, Council generated letters, resolutions, and formal correspondence, but do not typically contain routine communications such as emails, phone records, and informal correspondence. If there are concerns regarding what will be going into the Hanford Administrative Record, the HNRTC may need to implement a way to screen or review the material before it is released.

**Trustee Council Accomplishments Booklet      D. Landeen, D. Ward**

A final draft of the Accomplishments Booklet has been completed and a few very minor corrections are needed. Dana Ward will perform a final review and move forward on production of the document and posting on the website. The HNRTC needs to come up with a distribution list to determine how many hard copies of the booklet need to be printed. [ACTION # 163]

**Facilitation      All**

The need for, pros, and cons of having a facilitator for all HNRTC meetings were discussed. Clearly, a facilitator would help in keeping the meetings on track relative to subject matter and schedule. The Trustees generally agreed that the NRDA meetings needed to include a facilitator or moderator, however, most felt that a facilitator was not necessary at the general HNRTC meetings. Discussions of some topics may be enhanced with a facilitator and some thought a facilitator may be appropriate if decisions were going to be made. The Trustees indicated that it would be appropriate for them to have input on who the facilitator would be. Ecology indicated they would be willing to look within their organization and foot the bill if it were agreed a facilitator was needed. If DOE were to get someone on board it may be cumbersome and costs would come out of the injury assessment contractor funds. The use of an outside, unbiased facilitator, who could effectively move the group as a whole through the contentious issues, was suggested by the YN. The group agreed they should consider all options, including inside (Ecology and USFWS) and outside sources and continue this discussion through a conference call at a later date in order to provide senior management with viable alternatives for consideration. [ACTION # 171]

#### **River Corridor Status**

**J. Sands, L.Gadbois**

John Sands provided the status of river corridor activities during this morning's workshop. Larry Gadbois provided a summary of the morning's key points regarding the strategy for alignment of the River Corridor (RC) Records of Decision (RODs). Each ROD that is coming up for the RC is being fed by a couple of RI/FS documents. A systematic planning process (previously DQO process) is being used to define the last round of data needs for decisions. The 'interareas' and the river component will be included in the two RI/FS efforts. The systematic analysis, which is on a fast track, will be followed by additional sampling and data interpretation that will lead to the final ROD. A flowchart provided at this morning's workshop identifies the final steps, which will be agreed to by the TPA parties, to set the stage for the final river corridor assessment. All three TPA parties have signed on to this model and the schedule is under negotiation at this point in time.

#### **Groundwater Status**

**M. Thompson, D. Ward**

Dana Ward passed out copies of a summary provided by M. Thompson regarding the 10 groundwater research projects. The summary also touched on the FH groundwater Vadose Zone risk assessment work that was also discussed at the 100/300 Area workshop this morning. P. Shaffer indicated that he didn't see any surprises in the information handed out so copies (in lieu of a full briefing) would be adequate.

#### **Central Plateau Status**

**B. Foley, D. Ward**

B. Foley was ill and therefore not able to participate in today's sessions.

K. Lutz, DOE-RL, sent out a fact sheet about a supplemental analysis of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) EIS. A supplemental analysis is performed to identify changes in information and issues that have surfaced since the original EIS was completed and that may

warrant a change in the EIS. The analysis could result in an amendment to the existing EIS, a whole new EIS, or a determination that the existing EIS was good for another 5 year period. One item being captured is the requirement by presidential proclamation for DOE to consult with Department of Interior on operations that could impact the flora and fauna on central Hanford, which is under consideration for inclusion in the Hanford Reach National Monument.

Alternative uses of the 300 Area identified by the city of Richland were included, however, Dana could not recall how these alternative uses were being addressed. Other topics of concern for the Trustees included the proposed Black Rock Reservoir and the recognition of Tribal rights. It was recommended that the Tribes request consultation on the supplemental analysis earlier rather than later in order to be able to respond in a timely manner.

The draft document is anticipated to be issued for comment in early FY 2008. If there are issues identified by the Trustees that should be included in the analysis, they should be submitted to Bryan Foley.

#### **Budget Status and Issues    A. Hawkins**

Al Hawkins provided a handout displaying the DOE budget cycle and steps RL goes through for funding. DOE-RL approached DOE-HQ and ORP for additional funds but was not successful. At the present time, DOE is operating under a Continuing Resolution and it is likely that this will continue into January if not longer. Currently there is approximately \$1.3M in the FY 2008 budget, however, due to the continuing resolution, we don't have the funds until the FY 2008 budget is passed.

The FY 2009 budget includes \$2M in the core baseline request, that is, not in the above-target budget like it has been in past years. The intent is to push the injury assessment forward during FY 2009 with this funding. However, the FY 2009 budget is not final until it gets through the president's budget and receives congressional approval.

The FY 2010 budget process starts in January and there is opportunity for input until March. There is an opportunity for the Trustees to effectively influence the DOE-RL input in a timely manner for 2010.

There is a good chance that the procurement of services for the injury assessment plan will not be finalized until mid-FY 2008. If so, it is unlikely that a contractor would be able to spend the money in half a year, although it may make sense to commit all those funds now. In addition, the winning bid may be less than the \$1.3M identified for FY 2008. As a result, we may have some options for how to best use the remaining funds. Options include carrying it over into FY 2009, redirecting dollars to other tasks, or dividing it up among all or some of the trustee organizations. The YN expressed interest in having such funds, if available, be distributed to all the parties, particularly the YN, given there is much work to be done prior to the contract being let and this is new work scope for the council.

An aggressive schedule was handed out for the procurement process. Decision points are built into the schedule and draft SOW. Some specifics have been removed to allow for flexibility and creativity on the part of potential contractors. Flexibility is necessary for those things we cannot

currently project or anticipate. We don't want the completion of the plan driven by a rigid contract. The preferred path forward, if possible, is to proceed through a GSA schedule. This provides the shortest path for establishing a contract. A request for expression of interest has been released and interested parties are responding. We need to be aware of nondisclosure issues in discussions of the contracting strategy. As such, those who have signed nondisclosure agreement should meet separately to discuss specifics further. It is generally agreed that a Project Manager is needed for the project and trustee participation is also a priority. It was agreed that time should be cleared on the current agenda for further discussion of the budget topic. The strategy session could easily be shortened given the present focus on the NRDA.

#### **Future Meetings All**

The frequency of future meetings was briefly discussed and will be addressed tomorrow as well. With the efforts on risk assessments as well as starting in on the NRDA, meetings will likely be needed more frequently. In addition, the positives in establishing meeting dates well ahead of the meetings were discussed. Meetings were tentatively set for December and January, with plans to schedule meetings on a set day of the month, every other month, from January on.

#### **Borrow Pit C K. Leary**

Kevin Leary provided handouts and talked directly to the Council. They are currently working with the tribes on an MOA on impacts on Rattlesnake Mountain, a Traditional Cultural Property (TCP). There is an apparent misconception about barriers and the use of barriers onsite. Some feel that DOE simply wants to cap everything and leave wastes in place. Alternatives for each site are considered with involvement of the regulators and public to determine the best alternative. All options are considered before they select the barrier caps alternative. There are approximately 300 sites currently on the list to be capped. However, this may be reduced as we work through the process. Many sites have not been characterized to determine if a cap is even a viable option. In addition, some think the CERCLA ROD is final but this is not the case. As for other RODs, these also have a requirement for review every 5 years to determine if it is working or not and make changes as appropriate if it is not working. The project is trying to set up another tour of barrier sites across the DOE Complex for later in 2008.

The project is also working to develop a detailed matrix including suitable species and soil types put together to determine proper plant mixture for revegetation of specific areas. B. Harper indicated that we need to be very careful in covering all the bases in determining seed base and matrix components. In addition, the total effect on borrow pit C needs to be evaluated. Impacts will be discussed in the Tank Closure & Waste Management EIS. It was agreed that the TC & WM EIS should be included on a future meeting agenda to discuss the Cultural Resource and Ecological Resource evaluations and mitigation plans. [ACTION # 172] K. Leary will forward the working draft reclamation outline to D. Ward to be sent on to the Trustees. [ACTION # 173]

**October 31, 2007**

**Administration**

The summary of the shortened HNRTC meeting held during the September Workshop was discussed briefly. No comments were provided from the Trustees with the exception of a suggestion to include the change in HNRTC officers and establishment of P. Shaffer as the Vice Chair. The summary was approved with these additions.

#### **WCH Mitigation Discussions**

**K. Gano**

Washington Closure Hanford does not have any active mitigation ongoing at this time. The report handed out yesterday summarizes the revegetation and mitigation monitoring activities conducted during 2007. Ten burrowing owl nests were established last year and that effort may be repeated this year. There is a preliminary information gathering effort being performed looking at bats, which have taken over an old clearwell in the 100-F area. The 183-F clearwell in particular has an extremely large maternity roost colony of over 2000 individuals. At present, DNA analysis is being performed to accurately determine what species of bats exist in the clearwell. While nothing has been decided with respect to the future of the clearwell and bat colony, we are trying to learn as much as we can before the clearwell is demolished, which is scheduled for 2009 or later. The bats do not overwinter in the clearwell but it is unknown where they go. They likely fly relatively short distances to the mountains to find caves or basalt canyons where the temperature remains cool and relatively constant over the winter while they hibernate. If the clear well must be removed this would present an interesting challenge when trying to create an alternative mitigation site. WCH will continue to gather additional information for use in the ecological review and decisions regarding the clear well and bats.

#### **Fluor Hanford Mitigation Activities**

**R. Johnson**

Revegetation of the burned area on Central Hanford from the Wantoma Fire of 2007 is the major ongoing activity. The urgency in revegetating immediately stems from the aftermath of the 2000 Fire which caused several evacuations, extreme dust loading on facility air intake filters and associated replacement costs, and health concerns resulting from the airborne dust. The NEPA process resulted in a categorical exclusion for the revegetation activity. Cultural surveys are being performed on plots ahead of the reseeding activity to mark resources requiring protection. Ecological surveys were completed identifying several sensitive areas that were not burned 'hot' and will likely recover on their own. Recommendations to avoid other areas where natural recovery is likely were also provided. A request for copies of the ecological review documentation was made. [ACTION # 174]

Reseeding is being performed using a cultipacker being pulled by a tractor due to the large area to be reseeded and the lack of success using other techniques. The seed mixture consists of a mix of native grasses and forbs. Barley, which is a nonnative species, is included in some areas to provide a short-term cover crop to alleviate immediate dust issue. The barley will not overwinter. Long term plans include gathering shrub seeds (hopsage, bitterbrush) and planting next year. In addition, shrub tubelings will be planted next fall. Trustees recommended that monitoring the revegetation area should be considered and documentation of the successes and failures is appropriate.

**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**

**H. Newsome**

There were two major fires on the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve (ALE) this past summer, resulting in a single large footprint of approximately 77,000 acres. In addition, approximately 21,000 acres burned on the North Slope this year. Stabilization and remediation rehabilitation plans have been submitted per Department of Interior protocol, however, no approvals have been received to date so we are likely missing the fall planting season this year. The fires in California will likely impact the ability of FWS to secure funds for Hanford. The Trustees offered to help in any way they can (letters, personal communications, etc.) and FWS indicated they would check to see if there were any other avenues available to secure funds. The current plan calls for native seed mix similar to that used on Hanford by Fluor, but without the barley. In addition, plans call for 190,000 shrubs to be planted, focused on areas where previous seeding was unsuccessful. FWS has limited funds to rehabilitate areas damaged by fire suppression activities, including areas burned by backfires, that is being used to stabilize and restore priority areas. The spring areas (Rattlesnake and Snively Canyon) have been devastated as a result of heavy ungulate use. Efforts are being made to rehabilitate these areas and the exclusion of elk from these areas is being considered to facilitate the recovery.

**PNSO M. Talbot, C. Henderson**

A slide presentation was provided showing the status of the replacement laboratory construction project. The actual size of the completed buildings is considerably smaller than the present footprint, which is quite large. Disturbed areas that are not part of the final project will be reseeded with native vegetation. There was no mitigation activities identified in the initial design, just revegetation of disturbed areas. This is a significant concern as mature sagebrush habitat has been destroyed and there is no plan to mitigate. The Office of Science now recognizes that it is indeed part of 'Hanford' but has chosen not to comply with BRMaP since it is guidance as opposed to a legal requirement. The trustees requested a copy of the existing landscaping plans and a hard copy was left with the trustees. It was also agreed that a copy of these plans would be sent out electronically. [ACTION # 175] Concerns were raised that typical urban landscaping, which requires watering, may violate restrictions in CLUP. Applying irrigation water to the ground surface is not to be done in areas with contaminated groundwater plumes, and is inconsistent with the industrial clean up standard and land use articulated for the 300 Area.

The Office of Science now has a draft Cultural and Biological Resource Management Plan and it is their intent that they will be consistent with existing Hanford resource management plans. The approach taken on the 'triangle' is inconsistent with other Hanford projects and sets a dangerous precedent for other projects and contractors who are now complying with BRMaP. Trustees feel the current approach is unacceptable, mitigation or restoration is appropriate and this needs to be addressed further and at levels above local offices if need be. It was suggested that since it is such a small effort to mitigate that it would be a show of good faith on the part of DOE at little expense. The trustees asked if Mike Weis would be willing to meet with the Trustees and the response was yes he would if asked. This topic was included as an agenda item for the next Council meeting. [ACTION # 175]

**Supplemental Environmental Project**

**D. Teel**

The Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) proposal package is in the upper levels of EPA for signature. The package includes the purchase of 2 boats for use in emergency response activities and a greenhouse and outdoor growing plots at WSU for the generation and testing of native vegetation seed sources. The greenhouse would be used to grow plants from the seed collected (approximately 80 species thus far) and document lessons learned and successes. Efforts would include progress reporting, preparation of procedures, and an operations manual. A schedule currently is under development that will slide until funding is obtained. D. Teel will update and forward the schedule to Trustees once the funding is approved. [ACTION # 176]

### **Future HNRTC Meetings                      All**

The next HNRTC meeting is scheduled for December 12/13, 2007 in Richland, Washington. Potential agenda items include:

- NRDA Credit
- Contractor Procurement/SOW
- Budget
- Senior Management Meeting agenda
  - Governance
  - MOA
  - Etc
- Council Priorities for FY 2008
- PNSO (Mike Weis)
- Accomplishments Booklet
- CLUP – supplemental analysis (W. Russell, B. Foley)
- Annual Meeting Schedule
- Strategic Planning
- 'NRDA Project Manager' Job Description
- HNRTC Meeting Schedule

The group feels establishing the meeting schedule for an entire year will have benefits such as establishing dates to coincide with other meetings/workshops and to reserve time early. Logistically, it may work to have one day Council meeting and one day NRDA meeting. It was agreed that meeting every other month was warranted. B. Harper agreed to obtain the 2008 HAB meeting schedule for possible Council meeting dates. [ACTION # 182]

It was agreed to meet January 9, 2008, the day after the Senior Meeting and consider bi-monthly meetings from then on. This meeting would take place in Richland.

### **Ecology – Future Events              J. Price**

A handout was provided identifying key documents thought likely by John to be of interest to the Trustees and scheduled to be out in the near future. Those identified include:

- PCB Presence in the River Corridor
- Columbia River Component Data Gap Analysis
- 200-PO-1 RI/FS Work Plan

- 200-ZP-1 FS
- 200-PW-1, 3, & 6 FS
- 200-FW-1 & 2
- 100-D/H/K DQO and Sampling Plan (systematic planning)

It was noted that there is a shift from the DQO process, used in recent years, to a systematic planning process, which is one third of EPA's triad approach. The past few years was really a Hanford developed process that focused on defining problems and identifying the data needed to resolve the problem. It didn't really look at site issues and how those would be resolved. The systematic planning process is final results oriented and will support solid RODs and good decisions.

The objectives of the 100-D/H/K effort, focused on chromium, address the need for additional information relative to determining the source, transport, and distribution of chromium in the groundwater. The plumes in and between D, H, and K Areas are not well defined. This activity falls within the Groundwater Protection Program as opposed to the River Corridor Baseline Risk Assessment. John also noted that "ownership" of the deep vadose zone in the river corridor has changed once again, and has been re-assigned to Washington Closure, rather than to Fluor. As such, different contractors are involved and it is unclear as to how all the information obtained by the different groups will come together in the form of a final ROD. A description or graphic of this process for one of the 100 areas would be useful for the Trustees to understand how it will all come together. L. Gadbois indicated that such a graphic is available for 100H and this will be forwarded to the Trustees. [ACTION # 177] The 100-D/H/K effort is the first of several 'systematic planning' activities for groundwater and the vadose zone in the river corridor so it is important that the Trustees engage carefully as precedents will be set and consistency in future planning is desirable.

#### **EPA – Future Events**

#### **L. Gadbois**

DOE is facing very significant budget shortfalls in FY 2008 and FY 2009. Currently, DOE is looking at slowing or deferring work on the 200 Area RI/FS activities to help cover these shortfalls. EPA is working to preserve this funding as the milestones were re-negotiated just last year. There are also negotiations taking place on the TPA front, including extending the Vit Plant completion schedule. In lieu of the Vit Plant being completed as originally scheduled, regulators are asking for an expansion and acceleration of groundwater, river corridor, and central plateau activities as a trade-off for delays in tank cleanup and completion of the WTP. While the right things were negotiated from a technical standpoint, the funding deficit already facing DOE may preclude getting it done now. This is something to watch as the systematic planning process will start soon if funding is provided.

The EPA has prepared a vision of the 200 Area Clean-up Plan that has been reviewed by Ecology and is currently being reviewed by DOE. This is EPA's vision as to what the 200 Area Clean-up would look like. This will be a good document for the Trustees to be familiar with.

The EPA 200 Area Clean-up vision currently aligns with the existing version of the CLUP. Should the CLUP be revised significantly as a result of the supplemental analysis there may be

some disconnects. EPA will look at the supplemental analysis from a perspective of potential conflicts with TPA milestones, RODs, and/or procedural violations as opposed to whether or not they agree with the decision (ie, did they follow the required process to reach the decision). Trustees may be more interested in the NEPA action itself.

Clearly the establishment of the Hanford Reach National Monument is a significant land use change since the CLUP came out that will need to be addressed in the supplemental analysis. DOE has directed the use of tribal scenarios in future assessments so there is a need to see how they are implemented. It will be interesting to see how the two available scenarios match up given they include different levels of detail.

A new 200 Area groundwater well recently completed near the 200-BP-5 groundwater OU has found Tc-99 at concentrations as high as 10,000 pCi/L as the well went down through the aquifer to basalt. This indicates tanks in the C-Farm have leaked which previously were thought not to be leaking. This suggests potential problems with the Single Shell Tank Performance Assessment fate and transport calculations and may indicate a large inventory of Tc-99 in the deep vadose zone. Tc-99 is a big risk driver 1000 years out.

#### **DOE – Future Events**

#### **A. Hawkins**

A number of things will be occurring over the next year or so that may influence the HNRTC directly or indirectly that we should be aware of and try to anticipate impacts. These include:

- New Contracts -- contractual arrangements and mode of operations change
- New Administration – congressional blitz of earmarks, upper DOE impacts
- New DOE organization structure – people moved or changed responsibilities
- New Companies as a result of contract changes – impacts productivity, results in staff changes, and may last a significant amount of time

A question was asked regarding whether or not the Supplemental Analysis of the CLUP will be a formal or informal activity. It was agreed that this should be an agenda topic at the next meeting or the January meeting with W. Russell and/or B. Foley presenting DOE's position. [ACTION # 178]

#### **WCH 100/300 RCBRA Report Workshop: Comment Resolution**

#### **All**

WCH is not ready to proceed with a full workshop as scheduled for November 28. They are ready to discuss everything but Ecology's comments in November but would have to wait until December/January timeframe for a complete review of Ecology's comments. Some felt that there was enough to discuss in November but others felt that without the white papers addressing issues and Ecology's comments it would not be worthwhile. Trustees were frustrated with the length of time needed to resolve comments and that WCH apparently feels okay with delays and expectation of Trustees to make two trips. It was acknowledged that comments were difficult, addressing complex issues, conflicting in some cases, and covered new material. Some expressed concern that the draft report was not ready to go out for external review. This was identified as a follow up agenda item for the December meeting. [ACTION # 179]

## **2010 Budget Follow-up All**

Two questions were asked:

- 1) How can the Trustees influence the 2010 budget input?
- 2) If there are extra funds in FY 2008, what do we do with them?

The trustees discussed the need to look at the big picture for justification of 2010 funds as opposed to merely bringing back the cost account plan. There needs to be an upper level plan clearly stating objectives, drivers, and rationale for proposed approach and activities. It may be advantageous for Trustees to use cost estimators for NRDA actions/proposal. L. Goldstein and C. Andrade will look at the historical project plans from USGS and USFWS and will discuss at the December meeting. [ACTION # 180]

The potential to fill either, or both, project manager and facilitator positions was discussed as an appropriate use of uncosted FY 2008 funds, if any become available. Senior trustees would have input for uncosted fund use in some organizations. This question also should be discussed further at the December meeting and identified as an agenda item for the senior meeting in January as well. [ACTION # 181]

### **Senior Management Meeting Agenda Topics All**

Topics for consideration include:

- MOA
- Governance
- Budget recommendations for FY 2008 funds
- Project Manager – Issue Manager
- Facilitator
- Approve Trustee Priorities
- Is Trustee Council meeting for NRDA?

**ACTION ITEMS**  
**October 30 & 31, 2007**  
**HNRTC MEETING**

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
2.	<p>WEB SITE:</p> <p>a) Update general information on Web page – D.Ward</p> <p>b) Review update, comment to D.Ward ASAP</p> <p>c) General Review by Trustees, comment to DWard</p> <p>d) Add ERA participation and link to BHI ERA website – J. Zeisloft</p> <p>e) Work Group to Update (SH, LV, BH, DS)</p> <p>f) Put changes into website for review</p> <p>g) Remove phone numbers from website</p> <p>h) Ongoing comments and Accomplishments to D.Ward</p> <p>ACTION: HNRTC, D. Ward</p>	<p>9/11/03</p> <p>12/1/04</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/16/05</p> <p>3/15/06</p> <p>6/7/06</p> <p>10/3/06,</p> <p>12/12/06,3/20/07,</p> <p>6/19/07, 10/30/07</p>	<p>4<sup>th</sup> Qtr 04</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p>
80.	<p>Provide work plan schedules for CP and GW Remediation to trustees</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- include budgets</li> <li>- more info needed</li> </ul> <p>ACTION: D. Ward</p>	<p>9/8/05, 11/16/05</p> <p>3/15/06, 6/7/06,</p> <p>10/3/06,</p> <p>12/12/06,</p> <p>3/20/07, 6/19/07,</p> <p>10/30/07</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>CP provided</p> <p>Need GW,</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p>
130.	<p>RCRA – NRDA connection Work Group: D. Steffek - lead, J. Concannan, C. Andrade</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- White paper for trustee review</li> <li>- Possible agenda item next HNRTC meeting</li> <li>- Draft for legal review</li> <li>- Draft in review</li> <li>- Status??</li> </ul> <p>ACTION: D. Steffek, Work Group</p>	<p>10/4/06</p> <p>12/13/06</p> <p>3/20/07</p> <p>6/19/07</p> <p>10/30/07</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p>
136.	<p>RL plan/vision for 'integration' of risk assessments at Hanford.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Trustees to provide info on past effort to D. Ward</li> <li>- high level RL presentation at next HNRTC meeting</li> <li>- Sr. Trustee Mtg Agenda topic and March HNRTC meeting agenda topic</li> <li>- 'paragraph' for Sr. Trustee Mtg</li> <li>- Continue discussions/definition</li> <li>- Status??</li> </ul>	<p>10/4/06</p> <p>10/4/06</p> <p>12/12/06</p> <p>12/13/06</p> <p>3/20/07, 6/19/07</p> <p>10/30/07</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Open</p> <p>Ongoing</p>

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
	ACTION: Trustees, J. Franco, D. Ward		
143.	NPL listing clarification of boundaries, trustee versus technical support, closeout strategy, statute of limitation clock, and de-listing ACTION: B. Harper, D. Steffeck, L. Gadbois, C. Andrade	12/12/06, 3/20/07 6/19/07 10/30/07	Open Item  Ongoing
151.	Provide copy of 100N Sr-90 coyote willow greenhouse study report to trustees ACTION: M. Thompson, D. Ward	12/13/06, 3/20/07, 6/19/07	Ongoing  Done??
153.	Circulate Kathy Higley's (OSU) information package on biological dose assessment ACTION: P. Shaffer	12/14/06, 3/20/07, 6/19/07	Ongoing  Done??
154.	Present results of CP Phase 3 Sampling at next HNRTC meeting. - need results workshop ACTION: B. Foley	12/13/06, 3/20/07  6/19/07	Ongoing   Done??
160.	Trustee involvement on RCBRA. - 'early involvement' (#156) - Letter from Council Chair to RL expressing concerns. - DOE to look into disconnect between mgmt and contractor - draft/share Oregon input on Trustee involvement (status data review, gap analysis, decision) - DOE to provide laboratory review of nondetect issue - Trustee review draft 100/300 report for 7/25 review ACTION: B. Harper, J. Franco	3/22/07  6/19/07  6/19/07 6/19/07 6/19/07	Ongoing   Ongoing   Done or Ongoing??
162.	Clarification on legal requirements on Office of Science building in 'triangle'. - letter Trustees to J. Franco - ACTION: J. Zeisloft, J. Erickson, Trustees	3/22/07  6/19/07	Ongoing  Ongoing Done or Ongoing??
163.	Council 'accomplishments' - working group to draft product to demonstrate accomplishments of council -incorporate comments to date, retransmit - RL meet with D. Landeen to resolve comments - DOE to pursue publication and post to NRTC website ACTION: D. Ward	3/22/07  6/19/07 6/19/07 10/30/07	Done  Done Done Ongoing
165.	RESTORATION - Now or later - draft pros and cons summary for trustee review: (C. Andrade, from M. Baker) - Hanford Baseline Work Group: define baseline restoration criteria (P. Shaffer - lead, M. Baker, D. Steffeck, D. Ward, D. Landeen, J. Zeisloft)	3/22/07 6/19/07  6/19/07	Ongoing

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
	- Restoration ideas (pilot) to be provided to NOAA (C. Andrade, issue manager)  ACTION: Trustees, C. Andrade	6/19/07	Ongoing or Done??
166.	Hydro Symposium presentations to HNRTC ACTION: C. Andrade, PNNL	6/19/07	Done, 7/24
167.	Schedule ERWG Meeting in August/September ACTION: D. Ward	6/19/07	Done , 8/07
168.	Provide Roosevelt NRDA paradigm – structure and include as agenda item in September HNRTC Meeting ACTION: D. Steffeck, B. Harper	6/19/07, 10/30/07	Ongoing??
169.	NRDA Process - Send Wall Chart 'facilitator' questions to trustees - Establish bi-weekly NRDA conference calls, beginning 7/3/07, 7/16/07, 7/30/07, etc. ACTION: B. Harper, HNRTC	6/19/07 6/19/07	Closed??
170.	September meeting location arrangements – confirm Joseph and check status of Skamania & Silver Falls ACTION: B. Harper, S. Hughs	6/19/07	Closed
171.	Facilitator for HNRTC meetings/NRDA meetings. Conference call to discuss further, input to Sr. Trustees ACTION: HNRTC	10/30/07	
172.	TC&WM EIS Cultural and Ecological Surveys, NEPA mitigation requirements – Agenda item for next meeting ACTION: D. Ward	10/30/07	
173.	Borrow Pit C reclamation plan outline to HNRTC ACTION: K. Leary to D. Ward to HNRTC	10/30/07	
174.	2007 Wautoma Fire - Send Ecological Review letter regarding Burn survey to HNRTC - Send FWS rehab plan to trustees ACTION: D. Ward w/FH concurrence	10/31/07 10/13/07	
175.	PNSO Triangle – Resource Management - Landscaping specifications to HNRTC - Seed mixture for landscaping - Mike Weis at next HNRTC meeting ACTION: C. Henderson to D. Ward to HNRTC	10/31/07 10/31/07 10/31/07	
176.	Supplemental Environmental Project: WCH - SEP schedule to HNRTC ACTION: D. Teel to D. Ward. to HNRTC	10/31/07	
177.	Transmit reactor life cycle graphic from EPA to HNRTC	10/31/07	

