

United States Government

Department of Energy

Richland Operations Office

memorandum

DATE: **JAN 04 2012**
 REPLY TO
 ATTN OF: AMRC:RFG/12-AMRC-0058

SUBJECT: REMOVAL ACTION OF 105NB MAINTENANCE BUILDING ADDITION, 183N WATER FILTER PLANT, 183NA PUMP HOUSE, 183NB CLEARWELL, 183NC FILTER BACKWASH SUMP, 183ND FUEL/DIESEL DAY TANKS, 1705N MAINTENANCE SHOP, 1705NA MAINTENANCE SHOP ANNEX, 1706N MAINTENANCE SHOP, 1714NA WAREHOUSE, AND MOBILE OFFICES MO-055, MO-358, MO-900, AND MO-911

TO: Memorandum-to-File

- References:
- (1) Document, "Removal Action Work Plan for River Corridor General Decommissioning Activities," Revision 0, DOE/RL-2010-34, dtd. May 2010. 0088780
 - (2) Administrative Record Document, "100-N Area Ancillary Facilities Action Memorandum," D199017700, dtd. January 6, 1999. 0050149
 - (3) Administrative Record Document, "Action Memorandum 100-N Area Ancillary Facilities," D199017702, dtd. December 17, 1998. 0050150
 - (4) Administrative Record Document, "Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis for the 100-N Area Ancillary Facilities and Integration Plan, Rev. 1," D198056145, DOE/RL-97-22, dtd. March 1998. 0048285
 - (5) Administrative Record Document, "Removal Action Work Plan for 100-N Ancillary Facilities," DA03629175, dtd. March 16, 1996. 0070720

Table B-1 of Reference 4 provided a list of facilities in the 100N area that were analyzed for removal action. Additionally, Table B-2 of Reference 4 provided a list of facilities specifically not addressed in analysis as they were either excessed/demolished, not contaminated, sanitary sewer systems, or still active. Table 1 of Reference 3 provided a list of facilities specifically addressed as the approved removal action.

A review of the References 2 and 3 has revealed that the plug-in approach was not specifically authorized by Reference 3. The subject buildings were eligible for inclusion in Reference 1, but were erroneously demolished using the guidelines Reference 5. Additionally, the subject facilities did not undergo the National Environmental Policy Act review process as the work was performed under the incorrect removal action document. This type of work would normally be performed under a Categorical Exclusion, due to the absence of hazards, but the facility was not specifically analyzed under the National Environmental Policy Act process.




Memorandum to File
12-AMRC-0058

-2-

JAN 04 2012

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has advised the U.S. Department of Energy that facilities may not be added to Reference 1 after work is completed. This memorandum to file documents that the same process outlined in Reference 5 was used to perform the demolition and disposal of the subject facilities. Discussion of costs for the building demolition, as well as conditions of the facilities prior to demolition is provided in WCH-319.



R. F. Guercia, 300 Area Subproject Director
River Corridor Project

cc:

Administrative Record, H6-08

A. L. Boyd, Ecology, H0-57

R. W. Russell, ORP, H6-60