

STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

3100 Port of Benton Blvd • Richland, WA 99354 • (509) 372-7950

September 24, 2009

Mr. Mark S. French, Program Manager Richland Operations Office United States Department of Energy P.O. Box 550, MSIN: A3-04 Richland, Washington 99352

Dear Mr. French:

Re: Department of Ecology (Ecology) Response to the Transmittal of Copies of Waste Site Reclassification Forms (WSRF) for the 128-H-2 and 128-H-3 Waste Sites, 2007-008 and 2007-009, and Supporting Remaining Sites Verification Package (RSVP)

Reference: See page 2

This letter is in response to your formal submittal of the WSRFs and RSVP for the 128-H-2 and 128-H-3, 100-H Burning Grounds #2 and #3, respectively. At this time, Ecology is not approving the reclassification of these sites to "No action." Per the TPA-MP-14 (RL-TPA-90-0001, Rev. 1) procedure, the WSRFs have been marked as "Not Approved" and are enclosed.

In 2008, the United States Department of Energy (USDOE) conducted confirmatory soil sampling at the 128-H-2 and 128-H-3 Burning Grounds, under confirmatory sampling work instructions approved by Ecology. In February 2009, Ecology received the *Remaining Sites Verification Package for 128-H-2, 100-H Burning Ground #2, and 128-H-3, 100-H Burning Ground #3*, which included data from the confirmatory sampling activities. On April 10, 2009, Ecology submitted comments to USDOE on the RSVP.

As stated in the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, Section 9.2, "Upon receiving written comments from the lead regulatory agency, the DOE will update the document and/or respond to the comments. The response will address all written comments and will include a schedule for obtaining additional information if required." To date, USDOE has not provided responses to Ecology's comments.

USDOE verbally communicated that the RSVP is updated in response to our comments. However, since we have not received comment responses or a track changes verband RSVP, it is not clear if all Ecology's comments were considered and that clarifying that



SEP 2 5 2009

0083261

Mr. Mark S. French September 24, 2009 Page 2

incorporated. Until our comments are addressed, we are unable to determine the appropriate reclassification for these sites.

We suggest that the comment resolution process proceeds to completion. Currently, we do not hold the position that resolution cannot be reached. If at any time during the comment resolution process it is apparent that resolution cannot be reached, the parties can enter dispute resolution at that time.

If there are any questions, contact me at 509-372-7916 or Jacqueline Seiple at 509-372-7925.

Sincerely

Mandy Jones

Acting Environmental Restoration Project Manager

Nuclear Waste Program

js/aa

Enclosures (2)

Reference: Letter 09-AMRC-0151, dated July 23, 2009, from M. S. French, USDOE-RL, to J. A. Hedges, Ecology, "Transmittal of Copies of Waste Site Reclassification Forms

(WSRF) for the 128-H-2 and 128-H-3 Waste Sites, 2007-008 and 2007-009, and

Supporting Remaining Sites Verification Package (RSVP)

cc w/enc:

Joanne Chance, USDOE

John Neath, USDOE

Megan Proctor, WCH

Stuart Harris, CTUIR

Gabriel Bohnee, NPT

Russell Jim, YN

Susan Leckband, HAB

Ken Niles, ODOE

Administrative Record: 128-H

Environmental Portal

Hanford Operating Record General File

USDOE-RL Correspondence Control

	WASTE SITE RECLASSIFICATION FORM			
Date Submitted: 02/23/09	Operable Unit(s): 100-HR-2	Control Number: 2007-008		
Originator: M. L. Proctor	Waste Site Code: 128-H-2			
Phone: 372-9227	Type of Reclassification Action:			
•	Closed Out ☐ Interim Closed Out ☐ No Action ☒ RCRA Postclosure ☐ Rejected ☐ Consolidated ☐			
This form documents agreement among parties listed authorizing classification of the subject unit as Closed Out, Interim Closed Out, No Action, RCRA Postclosure, Rejected, or Consolidated. This form also authorizes backfill of the waste management unit, if appropriate, for Closed Out and Interim Closed Out units. Final removal from the NPL of No Action and Closed Out waste management units will occur at a future date.				
Description of current waste site condition	on:			
The 128-H-2, Burning Ground #2 waste site is located southwest of the 100-H Area perimeter road and the 118-H-1 Burial Ground. The Waste Information Data System (WIDS) describes the 128-H-2 site as a suspected burn pit in a rocky depression with minimal soil. The Washington State Plane coordinates identified as the approximate center point for the 128-H-2 site are E 577178, N 152027.				
Confirmatory sampling, historical research, field investigation, and evaluation by a site geologist have determined that this site was not a burn pit but a soil borrow area used in 1948 to support 100-H Area construction activities and was not used for the disposal of hazardous/dangerous materials. No evidence of disposal of any kind has been found on the surface of the site, within test pits excavated at the site, or in environmental samples collected from the subsurface. Before 1948, the 128-H-2 site and the surrounding area had been used for agriculture, primarily as apple orchards.				
Basis for reclassification:				
In accordance with this evaluation, the confirmatory sampling, historical research, and field investigations support a reclassification of the 128-H-2 waste site to No Action. The current site conditions achieve the remedial action goals established by the <i>Interim Action Record of Decision for the 100-BC-1, 100-BC-2, 100-DR-1, 100-DR-2, 100-FR-1, 100-FR-2, 100-HR-1, 100-HR-2, 100-KR-1, 100-KR-2, 100-IU-2, 100-IU-6, and 200-CW-3 Operable Units, Hanford Site, Benton County, Washington (Remaining Sites ROD), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Seattle, Washington. The results of confirmatory sampling do not preclude any future uses (as bounded by the rural-residential scenario) and allow for unrestricted use of shallow zone soils (i.e., surface to 4.6 m [15 ft] deep). The analytical results and rational presented in the attached document demonstrate that 128-H-2 site is protective of groundwater and the Columbia River. No contamination was found in either the shallow or deep zone soils, therefore, institutional controls to prevent uncontrolled drilling or excavation into the deep zone are not required. The basis for reclassification is described in detail in the <i>Remaining Sites Verification Package for 128-H-2, 100-H Burning Ground #2, and 128-H-3, 100-H Burning Ground #3</i> (attached.).</i>				
Waste Site Controls: Engineered Controls: Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) Institutional Controls: Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) O&M requirements: Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) If any of the Waste Site Controls are checked Yes specify control requirements including reference to the Record of Decision, TSD Closure Letter, or other relevant documents.				
Deed restrictions to prevent uncontrolled drilling or excavation into the deep zone (greater than 4.6 m [15 ft] below ground surface).				
M. S. French DOE Federal Project Director (printed)	Mand Jacub Signature	7/22/09 Date		
J. B. Price M. E. Jones Ecology Project Manager (printed)	Not Approved	9/24/09 Date		
N/A				
EPA Project Manager (printed)	Signature	Date		

D. C. I. i. I. 000000	WASTE SITE RECLASSIFICATION FORM	G1N		
Date Submitted: 02/23/09	Operable Unit(s): 100-HR-2	Control Number: 2007-009		
Originator: M. L. Proctor	Waste Site Code: 128-H-3			
Phone: 372-9227	Type of Reclassification Action:			
	Closed Out ☐ Interim Closed Out ☐ No Action ☒ RCRA Postclosure ☐ Rejected ☐ Consolidated ☐			
Action, RCRA Postclosure, Rejected, or	parties listed authorizing classification of the subject unit as C Consolidated. This form also authorizes backfill of the waste s. Final removal from the NPL of No Action and Closed Out v	management unit, if appropriate, for		
Description of current waste site conditi	on:			
approximately 270 m (886 ft) north of the	3 is located west of the 100-H Area perimeter road, northwest one 128-H-2 waste site. The Waste Information Data System (Waith little evidence of burning, which was not verified by past of	VIDS) describes the 128-H-3 waste site as		
Confirmatory sampling, historical research, field investigation, evaluation by a site geologist and a cultural resources expert have determined that neither the trench nor the cobble field at the 128-H-3 site were burn pits. The trench has been determined to be part of an irrigation system associated with agricultural activities prior to 1948. The cobble field has been determined to have been used as a borrow area in 1948 to support 100-H Area construction. No evidence of the disposal of hazardous/dangerous materials or disposal of any kind has been found on the surface, within test pits, trenches, or in environmental samples collected at the 128-H-3 site.				
Basis for reclassification:				
128-H-3 waste site to No Action. The c Decision for the 100-BC-1, 100-BC-2, 1 100-IU-6, and 200-CW-3 Operable Unit Agency, Region 10, Seattle, Washingtor future uses (as bounded by the rural-resi deep). The results also demonstrate that contamination was not found in the deep zone are not required. The basis for reci	confirmatory sampling, historical research, and field investigation urrent site conditions achieve the remedial action goals established on the conditions achieve the remedial action goals established on the conditions achieve the remedial action goals established on the conditions are confirmatory. In the results of confirmatory sampling show that contaminant in dential scenario) and allow for unrestricted use of shallow zon the residual contaminant concentrations are protective of grounds to zone soils; therefore, institutional controls to prevent uncontrol lassification is described in detail in the Remaining Sites Verification. In the Remaining Ground #3 (attached to Waste Site Reclassification).	shed by the Interim Action Record of R-2, 100-KR-1, 100-KR-2, 100-IU-2, is ROD), U.S. Environmental Protection to concentrations do not preclude any se soils (i.e., surface to 4.6 m [15 ft] water and the Columbia River. Site rolled drilling or excavation into the deep totation Package for 128-H-2,		
Waste Site Controls: Engineered Controls: Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) Institutional Controls: Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) O&M requirements: Yes \(\subseteq \) No \(\subseteq \) If any of the Waste Site Controls are checked Yes specify control requirements including reference to the Record of Decision, TSD Closure Letter, or other relevant documents.				
Deed restrictions to prevent uncontrolled drilling or excavation into the deep zone (greater than 4.6 m [15 ft] below ground surface).				
M.O.F.	Mr. 1 Strand	7/00/01		
M. S. French DOE Federal Project Director (printed)	Signature	Date		
J.B. Price M. E. Jones Ecology Project Manager (printed)	Not Appraved Signature	9/24/09 Date		
N/A				
EPA Project Manager (printed)	Signature	Date		