




0049980

Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

062476

SEP 25 1998

Mr. J. R. Wilkinson
Confederated Tribes of the
Umatilla Indian Reservation
P.O. Box 638
Pendleton, Oregon 97801



Dear Mr. Wilkinson:

**REQUEST FOR TRIBAL CONSULTATION REGARDING DOE/RL-98-28, DRAFT A,
200 AREAS REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY IMPLEMENTATION
PLAN - ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM**

The U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (RL), would like to request an opportunity to hold direct discussions with representatives of the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation regarding the ongoing development of a planning document titled the Draft A, 200 Areas Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Implementation Plan - Environmental Restoration Program (Attachment 1). Once you have completed your review of the draft A version of the document, RL along with other members of the Tri-Party development team (including representatives from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Washington Department of Ecology, and RL's Environmental Restoration Contractor) would like to meet with you to discuss the plan.

Please note that the content of the Draft A version is slightly different from what we expected as described in the annotated outline previously submitted. Attachment 2 provides a brief summary of the changes to the outline, which occurred in preparing Draft A of the subject document.

This transmittal is in keeping with RL's commitment to continued sharing of key documentation affecting cleanup activities at the Hanford Site - as early as possible.

Please feel free to contact me at (509) 376-7087 if you have any questions. Your office will be contacted in the next week or so to follow-up on this offer to conduct a consultation.

Sincerely,


Bryan L. Foley, Project Manager
Groundwater Project

GWP:BLF

Attachments

cc: See page 2

062476

SEP 25 1988

Mr. J. R. Wilkinson

-2-

cc w/o attaches:

J. W. Donnelly, Ecology

V. R. Dronen, BHI

R. Jim, YIN

G. B. Mitchem, BHI

T. C. Post, EPA

D. L. Powaukee, NPT

L. Seelatsee, Wanapum

J. M. Tessman, CTUIR

L. C. Treichel, EM-442

ATTACHMENT 2

Summary of Changes from Annotated Outline to Draft A Version

In general all of the major sections contained in the annotated outline of the *200 Areas Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Implementation Plan – Environmental Restoration Program* were included in this initial draft A. There are some variations that were noted which are as follows:

1. The discussion of the observational approach, aggregate area approach, AAMS reports, etc. that were to be included in Section 1.1 general discussions have ended up in other sections. The first is now discussed in Section 2 (specifically 2.5.5), the latter items are now included in the discussions in Section 3.
2. In Section 2 the organization and order of presentation of the RCRA and CERCLA discussions were revised to improve understanding and readability.
3. Section 3 from the annotated outline was combined with the discussions in Section 6 thereby moving all of the subsequent sections up one in the sequence.
4. In the 200 Area Setting and Background Section some of the detailed discussions were summarized in the text and moved to appendices F, G, and H.
5. Section 6, the ARARS discussion, was moved up in front of the Conceptual Exposure Pathway and Risk Assessment section and became Section 4.
6. In the Conceptual Exposure Pathway and Risk Assessment section the outline intended that a discussion of the different types of contaminant transport models (RESRAD, Porflow, etc.) used on the site be included. By the time this section was finished it became apparent that this lengthy discussion was not necessary. There still is some general discussion regarding modeling in several places of this section.
7. In Section 6 the discussion of general items from the CW-1 DQO process have not been included. There is still the intent to put something here before Rev. 0 is issued.