

FINAL

Office of River Protection Consent Decree Monthly Report

Monthly Reporting Period
March 01–March 31 2019¹

Consent Decree, *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 08-5085-FVS (October 25, 2010)

Amended Consent Decree, *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 2:08-CV-5085-RMP (March 11, 2016)

Second Amended Consent Decree, *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 2:08-CV-5085-RMP (April 12, 2016)

Third Amended Consent Decree, *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 2:08-CV-5085-RMP (October 12, 2018)²

¹ The narrative descriptions of progress in this report cover the reporting period. Information outside the reporting period may also be included for purposes of providing continuity or useful context. Information may be repeated in multiple sections of this report for continuity and clarity. Earned Value Management System data and descriptions cover the period of February 2019.

² The consent decrees listed above are between the State of Washington and U.S. Department of Energy. For the first three of these decrees, there are similar separate decrees with the State of Oregon.

TOPIC..... PAGE

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS2

CONSENT DECREE MILESTONE STATISTICS/STATUS3

CONSENT DECREE REPORTS/REVIEWS5

SINGLE-SHELL TANK RETRIEVAL PROGRAM6

TANK WASTE RETRIEVAL WORK PLAN STATUS.....9

WASTE TREATMENT AND IMMOBILIZATION PLANT PROJECT12

PRETREATMENT FACILITY17

HIGH-LEVEL WASTE FACILITY.....21

LOW-ACTIVITY WASTE FACILITY24

BALANCE OF FACILITIES28

ANALYTICAL LABORATORY30

WASTE TREATMENT PLANT PROJECT PERCENT COMPLETE STATUS (TABLE)32

Acronyms and Abbreviations

BNI	Bechtel National, Inc.
BOF	Balance of Facilities
CV	cost variance
DFLAW	direct-feed low-activity waste
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EMF	Effluent Management Facility
FY	fiscal year
HLW	High-Level Waste (Facility)
LAB	Analytical Laboratory
LAW	Low-Activity Waste (Facility)
LBL	Low-Activity Waste Facility, Balance of Facilities, and Analytical Laboratory
ORP	U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection
PT	Pretreatment (Facility)
SV	schedule variance
WTP	Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant

Consent Decree Milestone Statistics/Status

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Completion Date	Status
Fiscal Year 2021				
D-00A-07 Interim	LAW Facility Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2020		On Schedule
D-16B-03	Of the 12 SSTs referred to in B-1 and B-2, complete retrieval of tank waste in at least 5	06/30/2021 ¹		On Schedule
Fiscal Year 2023				
D-00A-08 Interim	Start LAW Facility Cold Commissioning	12/31/2022		On Schedule
Fiscal Year 2024				
D-00A-09 Interim	LAW Facility Hot Commissioning Complete	12/31/2023		On Schedule
Fiscal Year 2026				
D-16B-02	Complete retrieval of tank wastes from the following SSTs in Tank Farms A and AX: A-101, A-102, A-104, A-105, A-106. AX-101, AX-102, AX-103, and AX-104. Subject to the requirements of Section IV-B-3 DOE may substitute any of the identified 9 SSTs and advise Ecology accordingly	09/30/2026 ¹		Under Analysis ²
Fiscal Year 2031				
D-00A-02 Interim	HLW Facility Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2030		Under Analysis ³
Fiscal Year 2032				
D-00A-13 Interim	Complete Installation of Pretreatment Feed Separation Vessels FEP-SEP-OOOO1A/1B	12/31/2031		Under Analysis ³
D-00A-14 Interim	PT Facility Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2031		Under Analysis ³
D-00A-19 Interim	Complete Elevation 98 feet Concrete Floor Slab Placements in PT Facility	12/31/2031		Under Analysis ³

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Completion Date	Status
D-00A-03 Interim	Start HLW Facility Cold Commissioning	06/30/2032		Under Analysis ³
D-00A-06 Interim	Complete Methods Validations	06/30/2032		On Schedule
Fiscal Year 2033				
D-00A-15 Interim	Start PT Facility Cold Commissioning	12/31/2032		Under Analysis ³
Fiscal Year 2034				
D-00A-04 Interim	HLW Facility Hot Commissioning Complete	12/31/2033		Under Analysis ³
D-00A-16 Interim	PT Facility Hot Commissioning Complete	12/31/2033		Under Analysis ³
D-00A-17	Hot Start of WTP	12/31/2033		Under Analysis ³
Fiscal Year 2037				
D-00A-01	Achieve Initial Plant Operations for the WTP	12/31/2036		Under Analysis ³

¹ Third Amended Consent Decree, *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 2:08-CV-5085-RMP (October 12, 2018)

² As discussed in the joint motion to amend the Consent Decree filed on October 1, 2018, DOE is engaged in ongoing analysis of non-vapors-related retrieval challenges and tank condition issues associated with Tanks A-104 and A-105 (i.e., two of the nine tanks currently specified for retrieval under the B-2 Milestone). These issues are under analysis, and could require issuance of a “serious risk” notice or another request for amendment of the Consent Decree (including the B-2 Milestone). DOE met with the Washington State Department of Ecology and attorneys from the Washington State Office of the Attorney General on August 30, 2018, to discuss the retrieval challenges and issues with the condition of tanks A-104 and A-105. Since August 2018, DOE has had several discussions with Ecology on this topic.

³ The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ final report on its parametric analysis of certain options and funding scenarios indicated there is a low probability that DOE can meet the milestones for constructing and commissioning the PT and HLW facilities in the Amended Consent Decree under the current funding profile. Based on the results of this analysis, DOE considers the milestones for the HLW and PT facilities as “Under Analysis.” DOE also considers milestones A-1 and A-17 as being “Under Analysis” because the definition of Hot Start in Section IV-A-2 states: “‘Hot Start of Waste Treatment Plant’ means the initiation of simultaneous operation of the Pretreatment (PT) Facility, High-Level Waste (HLW) Facility and Low-Activity Waste (LAW) Facility (including as needed the operations of the Analytical Laboratory (LAB) and the Balance of Facilities) treating Hanford tank wastes and producing a waste glass product.”

DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.

Ecology = Washington State Department of Ecology.

HLW = high-level waste.

LAW = low-activity waste.

PT = pretreatment.

SST = single-shell tank.

WMA-C = C Tank Farm waste management area.

WTP = Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant.

Consent Decree Reports/Reviews

D-16C-03 series, Submit to State of Washington and State of Oregon Quarterly Report

Due: 45 days following each calendar year quarter (February 14, May 15, August 14, November 14).

Status: On Schedule.

D-00C-02 series, Submit to State of Washington and State of Oregon Monthly Summary Reports

Due: End of each month.

Status: On Schedule.

D-006-00-B1, Provide State of Oregon notice of meetings in D-006-00-B, etc. no less than 30 days before they are scheduled

Due: See below.

Status: On Schedule.

D-006-00-B, Meet Approximately Every Three Years after Entry of Decree to review requirements of the Consent Decree

Due: Approximately 3 years from March 16, 2017.

Status: On Schedule.

D-16E-01, DOE must purchase by December 31, 2016 a spare E-A-1 reboiler for the 242-A Evaporator.

Due: December 31, 2016.

Status: Complete (November 15, 2016).

D-16E-02, Have available spare E-A-1 reboiler for the 242-A Evaporator.

Due: December 31, 2018.

Status: Complete (May 8, 2018).

Single-Shell Tank Retrieval Program

Tank Farms Assistant Manager: Rob Hastings

Federal Program Manager: Jeff Rambo

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-16B-03	Of the 12 SSTs referred to in B-1 and B-2, complete retrieval of tank waste in at least 5	06/30/2021 ¹	On Schedule
D-16B-01	Complete retrieval of tank waste from the following remaining SSTs in WMA-C: C-102, C-105, and C-111	03/31/2024	Complete
D-16B-02	Complete retrieval of tank wastes from the following SSTs in Tank Farms A and AX: A-101, A-102, A-104, A-105, A-106, AX-101, AX-102, AX-103, and AX-104. Subject to the requirements of Section IV-B-3 DOE may substitute any of the identified 9 SSTs and advise Ecology accordingly.	09/30/2026 ¹	Under Analysis ²

¹ Third Amended Consent Decree, *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 2:08-CV-5085-RMP (October 12, 2018).

² As discussed in the joint motion to amend the Consent Decree filed on October 1, 2018, DOE is engaged in ongoing analysis of non-vapors-related retrieval challenges and tank condition issues associated with Tanks A-104 and A-105 (i.e., two of the nine tanks currently specified for retrieval under the B-2 Milestone). These issues are under analysis, and could require issuance of a “serious risk” notice or another request for amendment of the Consent Decree (including the B-2 Milestone). DOE met with the Washington State Department of Ecology and attorneys from the Washington State Office of the Attorney General on August 30, 2018, to discuss the retrieval challenges and issues with the condition of tanks A-104 and A-105. Since August 2018, DOE has had several discussions with Ecology on this topic.

DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.

SST = single-shell tank.

Ecology = Washington State Department of Ecology.

WMA-C = C Tank Farm waste management area.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

Completed Accomplishments:

- Removal efforts at the stuck shield plug in AX-102 02B pit have been unsuccessful and the work has been terminated.
- Completed installation of caustic and water system piping from POR496 to the AX Tank Farm.
- Completed installation of Tank AX-102 pits A, B, and C hose-in-hose transfer line shield plates and hose barns.
- Removed the top section of Tank AX-103 R7C thermocouple.
- Set all six sections of the A Tank Farm exhaust manifold on the pad.

- Completed installation of one of two boreholes near Tanks A-104 and A-105 (samples taken).
- Completed Tank A-103 C pit inspection.

Ongoing Activities:

- Continue installation of the electrical infrastructure (power and control systems) in the AX Tank Farm
- Continue field activities for long-length equipment removals at Tank AX-103
- Continue installation of retrieval equipment at Tank AX-102
- Continue direct-push sampling of soil near Tanks A-104 and A-105 (installation of remaining additional borehole)
- Continue installation of A Tank Farm ventilation system:
 - Install power and control systems for the exhauster
 - Install ventilation manifold (anchoring and grouting)
 - Install ventilation ductwork
 - Remove cover blocks, clean pits, and remove thermocouple trees from risers (to connect the ventilation system)
 - Removal of Tank A-101 riser 2 thermocouple
- Continue high-resolution resistance leak detection monitoring fabrication and installation
- Continue the waste retrieval design for A Tank Farm (Tanks 101, 102, 103, and 106).

Significant Planned Activities in the Next Month:

- Complete Phase II of the AX-102/AX-104 tanks control trailer installation (POR471 and POR498)
- Initiate the A-285 Building construction acceptance tests and operational acceptance tests to support tank AX-102 retrieval operations
- Complete placement of the A Tank ventilation system exhaust manifold and supports
- Complete removal of Tank AX-103 R7C thermocouple (bottom section).

Issues:

- Reduced worker efficiencies associated with mandatory use of supplied air continues to impact work in the tank farms. The use of full-face air purifying respirators has been approved for use in the AX Tank Farm during operation of the AX Tank Farm exhausters (POR126/POR127).
- The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is engaged in ongoing analysis of non-vapors-related retrieval challenges and condition issues associated with Tanks A-104 and A-105 (i.e., two of the nine tanks currently specified for retrieval under the

B-2 Milestone).³ These issues are under analysis and could require issuance of a “serious risk” notice or another request for amendment of the Consent Decree (including the B-2 Milestone).

- The as-found condition of existing abandoned equipment in AX Tank Farm has impacted DOE’s ability to efficiently remove the equipment and is impacting the cost and schedule.
- Adverse weather conditions during the reporting period negatively impacted planned work activities.
- On December 3, 2018, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) sent the DOE Office of River Protection (ORP) and Richland Operations Office a letter (18-NWP-177) regarding the Hanford Site ambient air boundary. Ecology expressed its concern that the ambient air boundary appears to have changed as a result of increased public access to parts of the Hanford Site. DOE, Ecology, and the Washington State Department of Health have met several times to attempt to develop a shared understanding of existing conditions and a path forward.
- On January 28, 2019, ORP received a Washington River Protection Solutions LLC letter (WRPS-1900243), which outlines potential impacts to tank retrievals at A and AX Tank Farms, due to a lack of Ecology regulatory approval associated with the 241-A and 241-AX Tank Farms exhausters. On March 4, 2019, DOE transmitted WRPS-1900243 to ensure Ecology is aware of potential impacts to A and AX Tank Farm retrievals, and possibly associated Consent Decree milestones, if Ecology does not approve a pending notice of construction application in the near future. DOE is continuing to evaluate the information in the letter, as well as whether amendment of the Consent Decree (including potential invocation of *force majeure* provisions) or other actions may be necessary.

³ The U.S. Department of Energy met with the Washington State Department of Ecology and attorneys from the Washington State Office of the Attorney General on August 30, 2018, to discuss the retrieval challenges and issues with the condition of Tanks A-104 and A-105. The U.S. Department of Energy has had several discussions with Ecology on this topic since August 2018.

Tank Waste Retrieval Work Plan Status

Tank Farms Assistant Manager: Rob Hastings

Federal Program Manager: Jeff Rambo

Tank	TWRWP	Expected Revisions	Retrieval Technology		
			First	Second	Third
AX-101	RPP-RPT-58932, Rev. 1	Complete	Sluicing with ERSS	High-Pressure Water deployed with ERSS	–
AX-102	RPP-RPT-58933, Rev. 1	Complete	Sluicing with ERSS	High-Pressure Water deployed with ERSS	–
AX-103	RPP-RPT-58934, Rev. 1	Complete	Sluicing with ERSS	High-Pressure Water deployed with ERSS	–
AX-104	RPP-RPT-58935, Rev. 1	Complete	Sluicing with ERSS	High-Pressure Water deployed with ERSS	–

ERSS = extended reach sluicer system.

TWRWP = tank waste retrieval work plan.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- None.

Significant Planned Activities in the Next Month:

- RPP-RPT-58933 modification to update schedule and vapor monitoring sections. The draft modification is under review.

Issues:


- None.

Earned Value Data: Fiscal Year 2019

February-19

Tank Farms ORP-0014
WBS 5.2 - Retrieve and Close SSTs

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month

Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	\$9,402	\$6,448	\$8,124	0.69	0.79	\$9,402	\$6,448	\$8,124	0.69	0.79
Nov 2018	\$14,501	\$11,516	\$11,634	0.79	0.99	\$23,902	\$17,964	\$19,757	0.75	0.91
Dec 2018	\$9,824	\$9,620	\$11,762	0.98	0.82	\$33,726	\$27,585	\$31,519	0.82	0.88
Jan 2019	\$11,060	\$12,034	\$13,959	1.09	0.86	\$44,786	\$39,618	\$45,479	0.88	0.87
Feb 2019	\$11,259	\$7,545	\$8,742	0.67	0.86	\$56,046	\$47,163	\$54,221	0.84	0.87
Mar 2019	\$11,437					\$67,483				
Apr 2019	\$10,243					\$77,726				
May 2019	\$15,506					\$93,232				
Jun 2019	\$9,802					\$103,034				
Jul 2019	\$10,542					\$113,576				
Aug 2019	\$12,947					\$126,523				
Sep 2019	\$14,029					\$140,552				

CTD	\$996,785	\$977,893	\$1,032,719	0.98	0.95
-----	-----------	-----------	-------------	------	------

- ACWP = actual cost of work performed.
- BCWP = budgeted cost of work performed.
- BCWS = budgeted cost of work scheduled.
- CPI = cost performance index.
- CTD = contract to date.
- EVMS = earned value management system.
- FY = fiscal year.
- SPI = schedule performance index.

Retrieve and Close Single-Shell Tanks (5.02)⁴

The February 2019 unfavorable schedule variance (SV) of (\$3,714,100) was due to:

- Adverse weather conditions and inoperable equipment prevented crews from performing planned work:
 - Snow contributed to 7.5 days of missed workdays.
 - The AX Tank Farm crane and exhausters being inoperable contributed to an additional 1.5 missed days.
 - Delayed work on electrical wire pulls for the AX Tank Farm electrical backbone.
 - Impacted the start of excavation work for the AX Tank Farm lighting installation.
 - Required substantial snow removal activities.

The February 2019 unfavorable cost variance (CV) of (\$1,196,700) was due to:

- Adverse weather conditions resulted in six days of snow removal efforts that:
 - Impacted access to the farm entry while incurring subcontractor stand-by costs,
 - Impacted the efficiency of subcontractor construction crews, when performing planned work.
 - Resulted in inoperable equipment due to the freezing temperatures, which required thawing.
 - Personnel warm-up times increased from 15 minutes to 30 minutes for every 20 minutes spent working in the farms.

⁴ “Closure” activities are expressly excluded from the Consent Decree. See 2010 Consent Decree, Appendix C, first paragraph: “Processes not covered by a TWRWP (e.g., tank closure) are not established under this Consent Decree.”

Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant Project

Federal Project Director: Tom Fletcher

Deputy Federal Project Director: Mat Irwin

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-00A-06	Complete Methods Validations	06/30/2032	On Schedule
D-00A-17	Hot Start of Waste Treatment Plant	12/31/2033	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-01	Achieve Initial Plant Operations for WTP	12/31/2036	Under Analysis ¹

¹ The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' final report on its parametric analysis of certain options and funding scenarios indicated there is a low probability that DOE can meet the milestones for constructing and commissioning the HLW and PT facilities in the Amended Consent Decree under the current funding profile. Based on the results of this analysis, DOE considers the milestones for the HLW and PT facilities as "Under Analysis." DOE also considers milestones A-1 and A-17 as being "Under Analysis" because the definition of Hot Start in Section IV-A-2 states: "'Hot Start of Waste Treatment Plant' means the initiation of simultaneous operation of the Pretreatment (PT) Facility, High-Level Waste (HLW) Facility and Low-Activity Waste (LAW) Facility (including as needed the operations of the Analytical Laboratory (LAB) and the Balance of Facilities) treating Hanford tank wastes and producing a waste glass product."

DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.
 HLW = high-level waste.
 PT = pretreatment.
 WTP = Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant.

The Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP) Project continues to focus on completion of the Low-Activity Waste (LAW) Facility, Balance of Facilities (BOF), and Analytical Laboratory (LAB) (collectively known as LBL, including direct-feed low-activity waste [DFLAW] and LBL facility services).

As of February 2019, DFLAW modifications for the WTP Project were 63 percent complete, engineering design was 90 percent complete, procurement was 70 percent complete, and construction was 49 percent complete. As of February 2019, total LBL facilities were 72 percent complete, engineering design was 93 percent complete, procurement was 87 percent complete, construction was 88 percent complete, and startup and commissioning was 34 percent complete.

At the request of DOE, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers conducted a parametric analysis of certain options and funding scenarios to evaluate the likelihood of achieving certain milestones established by the Amended Consent Decree for the High-Level Waste (HLW) and Pretreatment (PT) facilities. The analysis indicated there is a low probability that DOE can meet the milestones for constructing and commissioning these facilities established by the Amended Consent Decree under the current funding profile.

The DOE Office of Project Management conducted an independent assessment of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers report. As noted previously, the Office of Project Management's assessment concluded the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers analyses were generally accurate, although not sufficiently detailed for budget purposes, and they potentially understate the funding needed to complete the HLW and PT facilities on the schedule established by the Amended Consent Decree.

As previously noted, Ecology sent ORP and Richland Operations Office a letter (18-NWP-177) on December 3, 2018, regarding the Hanford Site ambient air boundary. Ecology expressed its concern that the ambient air boundary appears to have changed as a result of increased public access to parts of the Hanford Site. DOE, Ecology, and the Washington State Department of Health have met several times to attempt to develop a shared understanding of existing conditions and a path forward.

The WTP Project has complied with milestones already come due as of the date of this report. There are no missed milestones that may affect compliance with other milestones.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- DOE awarded the Analysis of Alternatives contract for the high-level waste treatment mission.
- ORP participated in ongoing meetings with Ecology to discuss the tank waste mission and high-level waste treatment approaches.
- Other significant accomplishments during the prior month are noted in project reports for the PT Facility, HLW Facility, LAW Facility, BOF, and LAB.

Significant Planned Activities for the Next Month:

- ORP expects to meet with Ecology on a regular basis to continue to discuss the tank waste treatment mission and high-level waste treatment approaches.
- Other significant planned activities in the next month are noted in project reports for the PT Facility, HLW Facility, LAW Facility, BOF, and LAB.


EXC-01a: Fiscal Year Cost and Schedule Report

Data Set: FY 2019 Earned Value Data

Data as of: February 2019

River Protection Project
Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) Project

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	(\$91,134)	\$4,875	\$57,739	-0.05	0.08	(\$91,134)	\$4,875	\$57,739	-0.05	0.08
Nov 2018	\$58,216	\$53,397	\$55,568	0.92	0.96	(\$32,918)	\$58,273	\$113,307	-1.77	0.51
Dec 2018	\$52,253	\$53,988	\$56,033	1.03	0.96	\$19,336	\$112,261	\$169,340	5.81	0.66
Jan 2019	\$52,627	\$47,946	\$45,129	0.91	1.06	\$71,963	\$160,207	\$214,469	2.23	0.75
Feb 2019	\$53,452	\$50,130	\$56,314	0.94	0.89	\$125,415	\$210,337	\$270,782	1.68	0.78
Mar 2019	\$84,278									
Apr 2019	\$60,002									
May 2019	\$56,039									
Jun 2019	\$55,802									
Jul 2019	\$54,907									
Aug 2019	\$80,581									
Sep 2019	\$58,641									

PTD	\$11,422,209	\$11,366,042	\$11,292,816	1.00	1.01
-----	--------------	--------------	--------------	------	------

- ACWP = actual cost of work performed.
- BCWP = budgeted cost of work performed.
- BCWS = budgeted cost of work scheduled.
- CPI = cost performance index.
- EVMS = earned value management system.
- FY = fiscal year.
- PTD = project to date.
- SPI = schedule performance index.

Project Schedule and Cost Variance Performance (\$x1,000)

Performance Tracking	SV	CV
Current Period (February 2019)	(\$3,323)	(\$6,184)
Fiscal Year 2019 to-date	\$84,922	(\$60,446)
Cumulative (through February 2019)	(\$56,167)	\$73,226

CV = cost variance.

SV = schedule variance.

For the February 2019 Earned Value Management System reporting period, a net unfavorable SV of approximately (\$3.3 million) was reported, primarily due to the following:

- LAW Facility Startup reported an unfavorable SV due to system turnover delays impacting component testing. Of note for this reporting period is the container finishing handling system, which was scheduled for component testing, but has not been turned over yet.
- LAW Facility Plant Management reported an unfavorable SV due to initial calibration and procedure scope being realigned in the schedule to coincide with LAW Facility startup testing.
- DFLAW/Effluent Management Facility (EMF) Construction reported an unfavorable SV due to construction delays related to underground radiological waste transfer line coating issues and material delays pushing out EMF steel/vessel platform installation.
- DFLAW/EMF Plant Equipment reported an unfavorable SV due to multiple discrete plant equipment orders in this reporting period resulting in full or partial schedule recovery or forecasted schedule recovery during the third quarter of fiscal year (FY) 2019.
- BOF Construction reported an unfavorable SV due to delays in final grading impacted by design completion.
- BOF Startup reported an unfavorable SV due to the steam plant testing subcontract taking longer to finalize than planned.
- LAW Facility Plant Equipment reported a favorable SV due to discrete plant equipment orders in this reporting period for the programmable protection system, active safety process gas analyzers, and stack discharge monitoring instruments that resulted in either partial or full schedule recovery.

For the February 2019 Earned Value Management System reporting period, a net unfavorable CV of approximately (\$6.2 million) million was reported, primarily due to the following:

- LAW Facility Engineering reported an unfavorable CV due to the accelerated specialized requirements verification matrix schedule for the Commercial Grade Dedication Review of Extent of Condition requiring a higher unit rate to execute than originally planned.
- LAW Facility Startup reported an unfavorable CV due to equipment and component failures during flush testing, which resulted in additional testing and troubleshooting.
- BOF Construction reported an unfavorable CV due to higher-than-planned support needed by field nonmanual workers for BOF completions/turnover.
- BOF Startup reported an unfavorable CV due to component failures discovered during system testing, which needed repairs and refurbishment and required additional retesting, as well as performing startup activity guides for the plant cooling and chilled water systems.
- BOF Plant Management reported an unfavorable CV due to additional nonlabor work associated with the sanitary sewer refurbishment and material purchases associated with aging equipment.
- DFLAW/EMF Construction reported an unfavorable CV due to construction delays related to underground radiological waste line coating issues and material delays pushing out EMF steel/vessel platform installation.

Pretreatment Facility

Federal Project Director: Tom Fletcher

Facility Federal Project Director: Wahed Abdul

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-00A-18	Complete Structural Steel Erection Below Elevation 56' in PT Facility	12/31/2009	Complete
D-00A-19	Complete Elevation 98' Concrete Floor Slab Placements in PT Facility	12/31/2031	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-13	Complete Installation of Pretreatment Feed Separation Vessels FEP-SEP-00001A/1B	12/31/2031	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-14	PT Facility Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2031	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-15	Start PT Facility Cold Commissioning	12/31/2032	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-16	PT Facility Hot Commissioning Complete	12/31/2033	Under Analysis ¹

¹ The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' final report on its parametric analysis of certain options and funding scenarios indicated there is a low probability that DOE can meet the milestones for constructing and commissioning the HLW and PT facilities in the Amended Consent Decree under the current funding profile. Based on the results of this analysis, DOE considers the milestones for the HLW and PT facilities as "Under Analysis." DOE also considers milestones A-1 and A-17 as being "Under Analysis" because the definition of Hot Start in Section IV-A-2 states: "Hot Start of Waste Treatment Plant" means the initiation of simultaneous operation of the Pretreatment (PT) Facility, High-Level Waste (HLW) Facility and Low-Activity Waste (LAW) Facility (including as needed the operations of the Analytical Laboratory (LAB) and the Balance of Facilities) treating Hanford tank wastes and producing a waste glass product."

DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.

HLW = high-level waste.

PT = pretreatment.

The PT Facility will separate radioactive tank waste into high-level waste and low-activity waste fractions and transfer each waste type to the respective facility for immobilization. As of September 2012, the PT Facility was 56 percent complete overall, engineering design was 85 percent complete, procurement was 56 percent complete, construction was 43 percent complete, and startup and commissioning was 3 percent complete. The physical percent complete analysis for the PT Facility was frozen in September 2012, pending development of a revised baseline to address technical and design issues.

ORP and Bechtel National, Inc. (BNI) continue to work on resolving the remaining technical issues identified in the Third Order Regarding Motions to Modify Consent Decrees⁵, which included, "Ensuring Control of the Pulse Jet Mixers" (i.e., T4 in relation to pulse-jet mixer vessel

⁵ *State of Washington v. Dept. of Energy*, No: 2:08-CV-5085-RMP (March 11, 2016) (ECF-221).

mixing and control); “Protecting Against Possible Erosion and Corrosion” (i.e., T5 in relation to erosion/corrosion in piping and ancillary vessels); and “Ensuring Ventilation Balancing” (i.e., T8 in relation to facility ventilation/process offgas treatment).⁶

Preliminary engineering work, documented previously in a BNI and ORP study, was completed and demonstrates how the standard high-solids vessel design can be implemented in the PT Facility (i.e., T6 in relation to design redundancy and in-service inspection). The engineering study showed that 16 standard high-solids vessels could be incorporated into the PT Facility, while meeting the PT Facility throughput contract requirements.

A previously documented engineering study provided technical support for a determination that the PT Facility vessel vent process system can support normal and post-design basis event operations of the standard high-solid vessel concept design alternative (i.e., T8).

Testing and assessments for the resolution of remaining PT Facility technical issues are mostly complete. The erosion/corrosion technical issue (T5) is being updated to correct a calculation error. An update of the calculation to support resolution of T5 is expected to be completed in the third quarter of FY 2019.

A final peer review in December 2018 – addressing vessel mixing concerns associated with pulse-jet mixers (T4) – resulted in the need to develop additional documentation and is expected to be completed in the third quarter of FY 2019.

ORP is expected to submit a letter to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in the third quarter of FY 2019 acknowledging resolution of technical issues T4 through T8. This letter will include acknowledging resolution of two technical issues (T6 and T7)⁷ not specifically identified in the Third Order Regarding Motions to Modify Consent Decrees.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- ORP continued to work with BNI on completing final resolution documentation for the remaining open technical issues related to pulse-jet mixer vessel mixing and control (T4) and erosion/corrosion in piping and vessels (T5).
- BNI continued to implement ongoing asset maintenance at the PT Facility to protect equipment and structures and ensure design documents are maintained.

Significant Planned Activities for the Next Month:

- BNI is expected to continue work on the calculation to validate the analytical method for requirements verification of installed low solids pulse-jet mixer vessels (i.e., T4 in relation to pulse-jet mixer vessel mixing and control).

⁶ At the outset of U.S. Department of Energy’s identification of the technical issues, the issues were grouped into eight issues. During the litigation, some issues were combined with others into five groups of issues. Consequently, the descriptions of the issues listed may be both different by number and somewhat different by description.

⁷ Technical issue T6 relates to design redundancy and in-service inspection. Technical issue T7 relates to black cell vessel/equipment structural integrity.

- BNI is expected to continue work on updating the calculation to support resolution of the erosion/corrosion technical issue (i.e., T5 in relation to erosion/corrosion in piping and ancillary vessels).
- ORP intends to submit resolution of technical issues T4 through T8 to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in the third quarter of FY 2019. The resolution of the technical issues is likely to require significant design changes to the PT Facility.
- BNI will continue to implement ongoing asset maintenance at the PT Facility to protect equipment and structures and ensure design documents are maintained.


EXC-01a: Fiscal Year Cost and Schedule Report

Data Set: FY 2019 Earned Value Data

Data as of: February 2019

**River Protection Project
Pretreatment Facility (WBS 1.01)**

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	\$1,479	\$1,704	\$1,873	1.15	0.91	\$1,479	\$1,704	\$1,873	1.15	0.91
Nov 2018	\$1,425	\$1,654	\$1,817	1.16	0.91	\$2,904	\$3,358	\$3,689	1.16	0.91
Dec 2018	\$1,332	\$2,678	\$2,714	2.01	0.99	\$4,236	\$6,036	\$6,403	1.42	0.94
Jan 2019	\$1,236	\$1,347	\$827	1.09	1.63	\$5,472	\$7,383	\$7,230	1.35	1.02
Feb 2019	\$1,033	\$796	\$932	0.77	0.85	\$6,505	\$8,178	\$8,161	1.26	1.00
Mar 2019	\$1,102									
Apr 2019	\$1,050									
May 2019	\$972									
Jun 2019	\$916									
Jul 2019	\$706									
Aug 2019	\$1,063									
Sep 2019	\$4,250									

PTD	\$2,008,766	\$2,006,362	\$1,972,662	1.00	1.02
-----	-------------	-------------	-------------	------	------

- ACWP = actual cost of work performed.
- BCWP = budgeted cost of work performed.
- BCWS = budgeted cost of work scheduled.
- CPI = cost performance index.
- EVMS = earned value management system.
- FY = fiscal year.
- PTD = project to date.
- SPI = schedule performance index.

High-Level Waste Facility

Federal Project Director: Tom Fletcher

Facility Federal Project Director: Wahed Abdul

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-00A-20	Complete Construction of Structural Steel to Elevation 14' in HLW Facility	12/31/2010	Complete
D-00A-21	Complete Construction of Structural Steel to Elevation 37' in HLW Facility	12/31/2012	Complete
D-00A-02	HLW Facility Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2030	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-03	Start HLW Facility Cold Commissioning	06/30/2032	Under Analysis ¹
D-00A-04	HLW Facility Hot Commissioning Complete	12/31/2033	Under Analysis ¹

¹ The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' final report on its parametric analysis of certain options and funding scenarios indicated there is a low probability that DOE can meet the milestones for constructing and commissioning the HLW and PT facilities in the Amended Consent Decree under the current funding profile. Based on the results of this analysis, DOE considers the milestones for the HLW and PT facilities as "Under Analysis." DOE also considers milestones A-1 and A-17 as being "Under Analysis" because the definition of Hot Start in Section IV-A-2 states: "Hot Start of Waste Treatment Plant" means the initiation of simultaneous operation of the Pretreatment (PT) Facility, High-Level Waste (HLW) Facility and Low-Activity Waste (LAW) Facility (including as needed the operations of the Analytical Laboratory (LAB) and the Balance of Facilities) treating Hanford tank wastes and producing a waste glass product."

DOE = U.S. Department of Energy.

HLW = high-level waste.

PT = pretreatment.

The HLW Facility will receive the separated high-level waste concentrate from the PT Facility. This concentrate will be blended with glass formers, converted into molten glass in one of the two HLW Facility melters, and then poured into cylindrical stainless steel canisters. After cooling, the canisters will be sealed and decontaminated before shipping to interim storage.

As of September 2012, the HLW Facility was 62 percent complete overall, engineering design was 89 percent complete, procurement was 81 percent complete, construction was 43 percent complete, and startup and commissioning was 4 percent complete. The physical percent complete analysis for the HLW Facility was frozen in September 2012, pending development of a revised baseline to address technical and design issues.

Work on the HLW Facility is being performed in accordance with the FY 2017 through FY 2021 Interim Work Plan, which initially was for work primarily associated with asset maintenance and key ongoing procurement activities. With the receipt of increased funding in FY 2018, additional engineering workscope was performed and is planned for FY 2019 in anticipation of receiving engineering resources from DFLAW/LBL activities.

The planned work on the HLW Facility is being impacted by the delay of engineering staff transitioning from higher priority DFLAW/LBL activities. Engineering staff will continue to transition to HLW Facility activities as they complete their DFLAW/LBL activities. The impact of this delay is expected to continue into the next reporting period.

As noted earlier in this report, DOE awarded the Analysis of Alternatives contract for the high-level waste treatment mission in March 2019. The purpose of the Analysis of Alternatives is to identify all viable options to meet mission needs and reduce risk, while providing decision-quality analysis and results to inform the acquisition authority and other stakeholders of all the alternatives to meet both Departmental and Environmental Management policy requirements.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- BNI continued to update the hydrogen mitigation strategy in support of the safety basis.
- BNI continued to focus on implementing asset maintenance at the HLW Facility to protect equipment and structures and ensure design documents are maintained.
- ORP participated in ongoing meetings with Ecology to discuss the tank waste mission and high-level waste treatment approaches.
- BNI continued fabrication of RLD-7 and RLD-8 vessels to support expected delivery in FY 2019. These vessels are to be installed in the wet process cell to allow concrete slab placement above the wet cell. This activity supports roof installation and building enclosure.

Significant Planned Activities in the Next Month:

- BNI will continue to ramp-up engineering design activities on key mechanical and process systems for the HLW Facility. Engineering staff will continue to be hired and transitioned from DFLAW/LBL modifications, as they become available.
- BNI is expected to complete an engineering study to document the HLW Facility hydrogen control strategy to align with the safety basis.
- ORP expects to meet with Ecology on a regular basis to continue to discuss the tank waste treatment mission and high-level waste treatment approaches.
- ORP expects to brief Ecology on April 11, 2019, on the resolution of Ecology's holds on the radioactive liquid waste disposal system.
- BNI will continue to implement ongoing asset maintenance at the HLW Facility to protect equipment and structures and ensure design documents are maintained.


EXC-01a: Fiscal Year Cost and Schedule Report

Data Set: FY 2019 Earned Value Data

Data as of: February 2019

**River Protection Project
High-Level Waste Facility (WBS 1.03)**

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	\$2,104	\$2,048	\$1,746	0.97	1.17	\$2,104	\$2,048	\$1,746	0.97	1.17
Nov 2018	(\$672)	\$2,827	\$2,633	-4.20	1.07	\$1,432	\$4,874	\$4,379	3.40	1.11
Dec 2018	\$2,735	\$2,148	\$1,996	0.79	1.08	\$4,167	\$7,023	\$6,375	1.69	1.10
Jan 2019	\$3,945	\$3,248	\$2,386	0.82	1.36	\$8,111	\$10,270	\$8,762	1.27	1.17
Feb 2019	(\$452)	\$1,507	\$2,121	-3.33	0.71	\$7,659	\$11,777	\$10,883	1.54	1.08
Mar 2019	\$3,776									
Apr 2019	\$3,134									
May 2019	\$3,023									
Jun 2019	\$3,276									
Jul 2019	\$3,237									
Aug 2019	\$5,703									
Sep 2019	\$5,856									

PTD	\$1,375,120	\$1,371,497	\$1,342,584	1.00	1.02
-----	-------------	-------------	-------------	------	------

- | | | | | | |
|------|---|----------------------------------|------|---|---------------------------------|
| ACWP | = | actual cost of work performed. | EVMS | = | earned value management system. |
| BCWP | = | budgeted cost of work performed. | FY | = | fiscal year. |
| BCWS | = | budgeted cost of work scheduled. | PTD | = | project to date. |
| CPI | = | cost performance index. | SPI | = | schedule performance index. |

Low-Activity Waste Facility⁸

Federal Project Director: Tom Fletcher

Facility Federal Project Director: Wahed Abdul

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-00A-07	LAW Facility Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2020	On Schedule
D-00A-08	Start LAW Facility Cold Commissioning	12/31/2022	On Schedule
D-00A-09	LAW Facility Hot Commissioning Complete	12/31/2023	On Schedule

LAW = low-activity waste.

The LAW Facility will process concentrated low-activity waste, which will be mixed with silica and other glass-forming materials. The mixture will be fed into the LAW Facility's two melters at a design capacity of 30 metric tons per day, heated to 2,100°F, and vitrified into glass. The 300-ton melters are approximately 20 feet by 30 feet and 16 feet high. The glass mixture will then be poured into stainless steel containers, which are 4 feet in diameter, 7 feet tall, and weigh more than 7 tons. These containers are anticipated to be disposed of on the Hanford Site in the Integrated Disposal Facility.

As of February 2019, the LAW Facility was 75 percent complete overall, engineering design was 94 percent complete, procurement was 91 percent complete, construction was 96 percent complete, and startup and commissioning was 21 percent complete.

Recent BNI efforts at the LAW Facility have focused on incorporating design changes, development of safety basis for the design changes, procurement, and construction of the remaining open items from the LAW Facility Documented Safety Analysis changes. Additionally, Construction is walking down completed systems with the Startup organization in support of turnover to Startup for testing and subsequent handover to the Plant Management organization. To date, 55 of the 94 LAW Facility systems have been turned over from Construction⁹ to the Startup organization. In addition, Plant Management has accepted handover of 7 of the 94 LAW Facility systems from the Startup organization.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- ORP completed its closure verification and effectiveness reviews of BNI's corrective actions for both the commercial grade dedication and quality verification documents

⁸ Discussions about the related Low-Activity Waste Pretreatment System and tank-side cesium removal are included in the monthly reports submitted under the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (also known as the Tri-Party Agreement or TPA). Prior discussions are in reports archived in the Administrative Record.

⁹ Bechtel National, Inc. Construction will direct transfer the communications electrical systems to Plant Management.

findings. As noted in the previous report, BNI completed development of the specialized requirements verification matrix process used to verify that systems, structures, and components meet the safety and quality functional requirements identified in the LAW Facility Documented Safety Analysis. Initial reviews of the specialized requirements verification matrix pilot program implemented in October 2018, demonstrated this process can be used to determine that commercial grade dedication evaluations performed by BNI are aligned with the approved LAW Facility Documented Safety Analysis.

- BNI received the following procurements from vendors:
 - Flow instruments.
 - Butterfly actuated on/off valves.
 - Programmable protection system remote alarms.
 - Melter power supply pressure safety valves.
- BNI's Startup organization completed system available for use status for the following system:
 - Instrument service air system (ISA Master).
- BNI's Plant Management accepted handover of the following systems from the Startup organization:
 - Fire detection and alarm (FDE-L-01).
 - Low-voltage electrical (480/208/120 V) (LVE-L-02).
- BNI's Plant Management completed refurbishment of the following systems:
 - Plant cooling water systems 3 and 4 (PCW-L-03/4).
 - LAW Facility melter handling system (LMH-L-01).

Significant Planned Activities in the Next Month:

- BNI expects to continue receiving new procurements for the LAW Facility.¹⁰
- BNI Construction expects to complete the three-week walkdowns of the LAW Facility concentrate receipt process systems 1 and 2 (LCP-L-01, LCP-L-02) in support of turning the systems over to the Startup organization.
- BNI Construction expects to continue completing additional three-week walkdowns on various systems in support of turning those systems over to the Startup organization.¹¹
- BNI Construction expects to turn the following LAW Facility systems over to the Startup organization during the third quarter of FY 2019:

¹⁰ In future reports procurements will be noted in the prior month section only after delivery.

¹¹ In future reports new, three-week walkdowns will be noted in the prior month section only after they occur.

- Process and mechanical handling closed circuit television system (PTJ-L-01).
- Radioactive solid waste handling system (RWH-L-01).
- LAW Facility container finishing handling systems 1 and 2 (LFH-L-01, LFH-L-02).
- LAW Facility concentrate receipt process systems (LCP-L-01, LCP-L-02).
- LAW Facility melter equipment support handling system (LSH-L-01).
- LAW Facility C3 ventilation system (C3V-L-01).
- LAW Facility container pour handling system (LPH-L-01).
- Radioactive liquid waste handling system (RLD-L-01).
- C2 ventilation system (C2V-L-01).
- BNI Construction expects to continue turning over LAW Facility systems to the Startup organization.¹²

¹² In future reports turnover of new systems from Construction to Startup will be noted in the prior month section only after they occur.


EXC-01a: Fiscal Year Cost and Schedule Report

Data Set: FY 2019 Earned Value Data

Data as of: February 2019

**River Protection Project
Low-Activity Waste Facility (WBS 1.02)**

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	(33,062)	(3,263)	14,198	0.10	-0.23	(33,062)	(3,263)	14,198	0.10	-0.23
Nov 2018	\$12,266	\$10,516	\$10,433	0.86	1.01	(\$20,795)	\$7,253	\$24,631	-0.35	0.29
Dec 2018	13,341	11,936	12,139	0.89	0.98	(\$7,455)	\$19,189	\$36,770	-2.57	0.52
Jan 2019	10,774	10,605	9,474	0.98	1.12	\$3,319	\$29,794	\$46,244	8.98	0.64
Feb 2019	13,255	15,506	16,366	1.17	0.95	\$16,575	\$45,300	\$62,610	2.73	0.72
Mar 2019	20,149									
Apr 2019	15,978									
May 2019	14,230									
Jun 2019	14,326									
Jul 2019	16,084									
Aug 2019	22,511									
Sep 2019	13,035									

PTD	\$2,088,965	\$2,069,550	\$2,061,956	0.99	1.00
-----	-------------	-------------	-------------	------	------

- ACWP = actual cost of work performed.
- BCWP = budgeted cost of work performed.
- BCWS = budgeted cost of work scheduled.
- CPI = cost performance index.
- EVMS = earned value management system.
- FY = fiscal year.
- PTD = project to date.
- SPI = schedule performance index.

Balance of Facilities

Federal Project Director: Tom Fletcher

Facility Federal Project Director: Jason Young

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-00A-12	Steam Plant Construction Complete	12/31/2012	Complete

BOF will provide services and utilities to support operation of the main production facilities: PT, HLW, LAW, and LAB. As of February 2019, BOF was 80 percent complete overall, engineering design was 95 percent complete, procurement was 96 percent complete, construction was 88 percent complete, and startup and commissioning was 57 percent complete. Design of EMF was 96 percent complete.

BNI engineering efforts are focused on confirming EMF design, supporting EMF procurement activities, and providing field support for BOF startup activities. Construction efforts are focused on the installation of EMF pipe racks; piping; and heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning ductwork. Startup testing continues for systems in the steam plant and chiller compressor plant. To date, 51 of the 57 BOF systems have been turned over from Construction¹³ to the Startup organization. In addition, 28 of the 57 BOF systems have been handed over from the Startup organization to Plant Management.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- BNI Construction continued to install EMF pipe racks between the process building and utility buildings.
- BNI Construction completed placement of the concrete lid at the EMF low-point drain.
- BNI's Startup organization submitted handover of the steam plant facility to Plant Management.
- BNI Engineering continued test matrix updates for several of the BOF systems.
- BNI Construction continued installation of large- and small-bore piping in the EMF.
- BNI Construction continued installation of heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) commodities and large- and small-bore piping at the EMF utilities building.

Significant Planned Activities in the Next Month:

- BNI Construction expects to continue installation of structural steel and piping, along with HVAC ductwork at EMF.

¹³ Bechtel National, Inc. Construction will direct transfer the communications electrical systems to Plant Management. In addition, the sanitary disposal and lighting/electrical systems are now under the Island Completion team.


EXC-01a: Fiscal Year Cost and Schedule Report

Data Set: FY 2019 Earned Value Data

Data as of: February 2019

**River Protection Project
Balance of Facilities (WBS 1.05)**

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	\$1,198	\$21,463	\$9,553	17.92	2.25	\$1,198	\$21,463	\$9,553	17.92	2.25
Nov 2018	\$9,066	\$7,075	\$9,440	0.78	0.75	\$10,263	\$28,537	\$18,993	2.78	1.50
Dec 2018	\$4,764	\$5,729	\$7,031	1.20	0.81	\$15,028	\$34,266	\$26,024	2.28	1.32
Jan 2019	\$4,907	\$4,559	\$6,584	0.93	0.69	\$19,935	\$38,825	\$32,608	1.95	1.19
Feb 2019	\$7,101	\$4,445	\$6,222	0.63	0.71	\$27,036	\$43,269	\$38,830	1.60	1.11
Mar 2019	\$9,939									
Apr 2019	\$6,243									
May 2019	\$5,132									
Jun 2019	\$5,312									
Jul 2019	\$5,836									
Aug 2019	\$9,311									
Sep 2019	\$5,314									

PTD	\$831,443	\$824,095	\$834,379	0.99	0.99
-----	-----------	-----------	-----------	------	------

- ACWP = actual cost of work performed.
- BCWP = budgeted cost of work performed.
- BCWS = budgeted cost of work scheduled.
- CPI = cost performance index.
- EVMS = earned value management system.
- FY = fiscal year.
- PTD = project to date.
- SPI = schedule performance index.

Analytical Laboratory

Federal Project Director: Tom Fletcher

Facility Federal Project Director: Jason Young

Milestone	Title	Due Date	Status
D-00A-05	LAB Construction Substantially Complete	12/31/2012	Complete

LAB = analytical laboratory.

The LAB will support WTP operations by analyzing feed, vitrified waste, and effluent streams. As of February 2019, the LAB was 76 percent complete overall, engineering design was 94 percent complete, procurement was 98 percent complete, construction was 98 percent complete, and startup and commissioning was 34 percent complete.

Activities in the LAB are focused on startup testing and system handovers. To date, all LAB systems have been turned over from BNI Construction¹⁴ to the Startup organization. In addition, 11 of the 35 LAB systems have been handed over from the Startup organization to Plant Management. Procedure and methods development continues at the offsite laboratory facility, and BNI is preparing to move a limited amount of analytical equipment onsite to the LAB.

Significant Accomplishments during the Prior Month:

- BNI Construction completed oversight and certification of the fire detection system installation.
- BNI's Startup organization completed energization of the LAB process vacuum air system (PVA-A-01).
- BNI's Startup organization continued component and system startup testing for multiple LAB systems.
- BNI Plant Management continued operational testing and refurbishment of multiple LAB systems.
- BNI continued offsite activities to progress LAB procedure development and analytical method validation.

Significant Planned Activities in the Next Month:

- BNI is expected to continue startup testing of LAB systems and handover of systems to operations when startup testing of systems is complete.

¹⁴ Bechtel National, Inc. Construction will direct transfer the communications electrical systems to Plant Management.


EXC-01a: Fiscal Year Cost and Schedule Report

Data Set: FY 2019 Earned Value Data

Data as of: February 2019

**River Protection Project
Analytical Laboratory (WBS 1.06)**

EVMS Monthly and Fiscal Year Values



Earned Value Month	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SPI	CPI	FY BCWS	FY BCWP	FY ACWP	FY SPI	FY CPI
Oct 2018	(\$23,562)	(\$20,137)	\$2,098	0.85	-9.60	(\$23,562)	(\$20,137)	\$2,098	0.85	-9.60
Nov 2018	\$2,402	\$1,870	\$1,755	0.78	1.07	(\$21,161)	(\$18,268)	\$3,853	0.86	-4.74
Dec 2018	\$2,601	\$2,008	\$1,516	0.77	1.32	(\$18,560)	(\$16,260)	\$5,369	0.88	-3.03
Jan 2019	\$3,281	\$2,773	\$1,328	0.85	2.09	(\$15,279)	(\$13,486)	\$6,697	0.88	-2.01
Feb 2019	\$3,811	\$2,359	\$2,147	0.62	1.10	(\$11,468)	(\$11,128)	\$8,844	0.97	-1.26
Mar 2019	\$6,715									
Apr 2019	\$5,592									
May 2019	\$3,892									
Jun 2019	\$3,642									
Jul 2019	\$3,519									
Aug 2019	\$5,564									
Sep 2019	\$3,500									

PTD	\$395,632	\$392,650	\$384,363	0.99	1.02
-----	-----------	-----------	-----------	------	------

- ACWP = actual cost of work performed.
- BCWP = budgeted cost of work performed.
- BCWS = budgeted cost of work scheduled.
- CPI = cost performance index.
- EVMS = earned value management system.
- FY = fiscal year.
- PTD = project to date.
- SPI = schedule performance index.

Waste Treatment Plant Project Percent Complete Status (Table)

Waste Treatment Plant Project - (LBL/Project Services) Percent Complete Status																		
Through February 2019																		
(Dollars - Millions)	Overall Facility Percent Complete Unallocated Dollars			Design/Engineering Unallocated Dollars			Procurement Unallocated Dollars			Construction Unallocated Dollars			Startup & Plant Operations Unallocated Dollars			Project Management & Shared Services Unallocated Dollars		
	Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB)	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)	% Complete	Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB)	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)	% Complete	Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB)	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)	% Complete	Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB)	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)	% Complete	Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB)	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)	% Complete	Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB)	Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)	% Complete
Facilities																		
Low-Activity Waste	2,288.0	1,726.7	75%	589.4	552.6	94%	362.6	329.9	91%	751.5	721.4	96%	573.3	118.8	21%	11.1	4.0	37%
Balance of Facilities	795.5	635.1	80%	155.6	147.4	95%	66.3	63.6	96%	312.8	275.7	88%	260.3	147.9	57%	0.5	0.5	100%
Analytical Lab	474.9	362.5	76%	95.5	89.6	94%	60.6	59.3	98%	165.2	162.3	98%	150.6	50.8	34%	3.0	0.5	16%
Direct Feed LAW	431.0	273.0	63%	111.2	100.1	90%	73.4	51.5	70%	236.6	115.3	49%	0.0	0.0	0%	9.8	6.2	64%
LBL Facility Services	740.8	405.1	55%	0.0	0.0	0%	71.3	48.6	68%	105.6	102.1	97%	308.4	125.4	41%	255.5	128.9	50%
Total LBL	4,730.3	3,402.4	72%	951.8	889.7	93%	634.2	552.9	87%	1,571.8	1,376.7	88%	1,292.7	443.0	34%	279.8	140.1	50%
Project Services	919.9	637.9	69%	91.9	83.8	91%	65.1	49.9	77%	100.6	87.8	87%	7.2	1.7	24%	655.1	414.6	63%
Total Project Services	919.9	637.9	69%	91.9	83.8	91%	65.1	49.9	77%	100.6	87.8	87%	7.2	1.7	24%	655.1	414.6	63%
Total LBL, DFLAW & Project Services	5,650.1	4,040.2	72%	1,043.7	973.5	93%	699.3	602.8	86%	1,672.3	1,464.6	88%	1,299.9	444.7	34%	934.9	554.6	59%
PT/HLW/SS Percent Complete Status Frozen as of September 2012 (due to project rebaselining efforts)																		
High-Level Waste	1,478.6	922.1	62%	364.4	325.2	89%	433.9	349.4	81%	561.1	243.2	43%	119.2	4.4	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pretreatment	2,517.3	1,410.5	56%	761.7	645.8	85%	679.9	380.4	56%	890.0	378.6	43%	185.8	5.6	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Shared Services	4,726.9	3,632.6	77%	1,047.0	977.9	93%	451.7	395.0	87%	1,436.5	1,143.0	80%	453.5	133.2	29%	1,338.1	983.5	73%
Total HLW/PT/SS	8,722.8	5,965.2	68%	2,173.1	1,948.9	90%	1,565.5	1,124.8	72%	2,887.6	1,764.8	61%	758.5	143.2	19%	1,338.1	983.5	73%
Undistributed Budget	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total WTP	14,372.9	10,005.4	70%	3,216.8	2,922.4	91%	2,264.8	1,727.6	76%	4,559.9	3,229.4	71%	2,058.4	587.9	29%	2,273.0	1,538.1	68%
<small>Source: Preliminary WTP Contract Performance Report - Format 1, Data for February 2019</small>																		
<small>Note: In September 2012, the LBL Replan was incorporated into the project OTB baseline resulting in increases/decreases to the LBL facility budgets, which correspondingly increased/decreased the facility/function to-date percent complete values. In October 2012, the PT/HLW/SS Interim Work Plan was incorporated into the project OTB baseline resulting in decreases to the PT/HLW/SS facility budgets, this was due to a work scope shift from the Distributed budget to UB. Percent Complete Values shown for PT, HLW and SS have been frozen with the September 2012 values due to the Interim Work Plan and budgets being moved into UB. UB value for the project for PT/HLW/SS is \$2,014M. The percent complete values for the Total WTP are the current total LBL BCWP added to the frozen HLW/PT/SS BCWP values. In March 2014, Project Controls and Project Management work scope was moved out of Shared Services control accounts into the facilities with new control accounts being set up in the facilities. These will now be seen under Project Management/Shared Services by facility. The Shared Services PMB value has not been changed to reflect this change due to the freeze on HLW/PT and SS and the budgets remaining in UB. October 2014 data reflects the incorporation of Direct Feed LAW and the split of Shared Services into LBL Facility Services and Project Services. March 2016 LBL percent complete data is a total of LAW-BOF-LAB-DFLAW and LBL Facility Services. The Project Services Allocation account (zPSA), as shown on the CPR Format 1, is not added to LBL for percent complete purposes.</small>																		