

0075591
mail

0075591

Elzie, Teri L

From: Dan Landeen [danl@nezperce.org]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2002 11:31 AM
To: Elzie, Teri L
Cc: Smith, Connie V; 'David Powell'; 'Helen Hillman'; 'Jake Jakabosky'; Zeisloft, Jamie; Sands, John P; 'Larry Gadbois'; 'Larry Goldstein'; 'Lauri Vigue'; 'Stuart Harris'; 'Susan Coburn Hughs'; 'Tom O'Brien'; 'Tom Zeilman'; Jay McConnaughey; Kevin Clarke
Subject: Re: Draft BRMaP Letter



Dan's BRMaP letter.doc



Card for Dan Landeen

Hi all,

One general comment regarding the BRMaP: It's been over 6 months since the BRMaP was issued and its too bad we didn't send a letter a lot sooner. It's almost a mute point by now. From DOE's perspective it really doesn't matter whether the Council endorses the BRMaP or not. Until it gets changed (which is allowed for in the BRMaP) this is the guidance that will be used.

I just reviewed the draft BRMaP letter and made a lot of changes for your consideration. I made it short and sweet and I'll tell you why.

I don't think this letter is the appropriate forum to inform DOE of what we like and dislike about the BRMaP. We had the last several years to do that. Some of the concerns raised in the letter by some trustees are not necessarily shared by all.

I think at this point, DOE should receive a letter from the Council simply thanking them for finally getting the BRMaP approved and let them know that we will be working with them in the future to address concerns or issues that the trustees or anyone else might have. The council will work to make future modifications and changes via the DOE-RL Natural Resource Working Group described in the BRMaP.

I don't think that picking out just a select few things of what we like and don't like serves our purposes at this point. My recommendation to the trustees would be to start working on meaningful changes that you think need to occur and have them ready to submit to the Natural Resource Working Group when it convenes.

Just some thoughts,

Dan

RECEIVED
JAN 15 2008

EDMC