HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD

A Site Specific Advisory Board, Chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act

Advising:

US Dept of Energy
US Environmental
Protection Agency
Washington State Dept
of Ecology

CHAIR: Todd Martin

CO-VICE CHAIRS: Susan Leckband

BOARD MEMBERS:

Local Business Heroid Heacock

Labor/Work Force Mike Keizer Thomas Carpenter Susan Leckband Jeff Luke Rebecca Holland

Local Environment Gene Van Liew

Local Government
Maynard Plahuta
Parn Larsen
Rob Davis
Gwen Luper
Jerry Pattier
Jim Curdy
Bob Parks

Tribal Government Russell Jim Gabriel Bohnee

Public Health Margery Swint Jim Trombold

University Mark Operle Jane Tweddle

Public-et-Large Martin Yanez Norma Jean Germond Keith Smith Bob Parazin

Regional Environment/Citizen
Todd Martin
Greg deBruker
Paige Knight
Gerald Pollet
Madeleine Brown

State of Oregon Larry Clucas Ken Niles

Ex-Officio
Confederated Tribes of
the Umedilla
Washington State
Department of Health

November 4, 2005

Keith Klein, Manager U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations P.O. Box 550 (A7-50) Richland, WA 99352

Michael Bogert, Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101



EDMC

Re: 200 BC Cribs Focused Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan

Dear Messrs. Klein and Bogert,

Background

The Hanford Advisory Board (Board) recognizes that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of Energy (DOE) are currently in a disagreement over resolving comments on Draft A of the Focused Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan (FFS/PP) for the 200 Area BC Cribs and Trenches Waste Sites. This disagreement centers on whether several cribs should be partially retrieved prior to capping (EPA's position), or capped without retrieval (DOE's position). DOE believes that worker exposure during retrieval would be too great to justify the environmental benefits of retrieval. EPA disagrees.

In this disagreement, both EPA and DOE cite their position as being responsive to Board advice. The Board thanks the agencies for directly considering the Board's values in considering remedial alternatives. It appears that EPA's position is more consistent with the Board's advice in that it places a higher priority on the Retrieve, Treat and Dispose (RTD) option (see Board Advice #173). However, further examination of the information underlying this disagreement is necessary to reach a final decision.

The Board continues to prefer the RTD alternative for all cleanup activities (See Advice #173). The Board recognizes that in some cases complete RTD may be technically impracticable, and that capping may be required.

RECEIVED

NUV 1 4 2005

Enviroissues Hanford Project Office 713 Jacken, Suite 4 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 942-1906

HAB Consensus Advice #180 Subject: 200 BC Cribs Focused Feasibility Study Adopted: November 4, 2005 Page 1

DOE-RL/RLCC

measurements and modeling show that much of the contamination of high concern lies between 20 and 40 feet beneath the soil surface, and can be retrieved without the high costs and impracticalities of excavating to 200 feet. The Board's stated bias is for Remove, Treat, and Dispose as the preferred remediation pathway.

In addition, the 200-UW-1 Proposed Plan must include an Institutional Control plan covering all relevant time periods.

Consequently, we wish to reiterate and expand on our previous advice.

Board Advice:

- We continue to advise that the proposed plan be revised as previously recommended, ensuring compliance with the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). In addition, we advise the inclusion of additional analyses, as follows, with cost comparisons of alternative compliant technology and schedules for public review and comment on:
 - O An analysis of excavation to a depth of 20-40 feet. The Board believes that the initial evaluation of an excavation to a 200-foot depth utilized an unrealistic scenario. A more strategic approach, minimizing excavation depth, but addressing high source-term contamination removal, should be fully evaluated.
 - O An analysis of the loss of institutional controls before 150 years.

 DOE needs to revise their IC Plan to identify what actions will be taken after site closure to prevent radiation hazardous exposure to non-DOE workers/residents/Native Americans. DOE also needs to identify the federal agency responsible for any post-closure control actions and corresponding funding source and level for that agency. Additionally, DOE should engage the Board and the public in discussions regarding recommended IC Plan changes.
 - An analysis of As Low as Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)
 calculations regarding waste site remediation considering all
 existing new or emerging technologies for retrieval, treatment and
 disposal (e.g., remote handling, guzzlers and soil solidification).
- Before capping is selected as a method of final disposition for a waste site, DOE should demonstrate how it has applied Board Advice #173 in its decision making process.

Sincerely,

Todd Martin, Chair

Hanford Advisory Board

This advice represents HAB consensus for this specific topic. It should not be taken out of context to extrapolate Board agreement on other subject matters.

Michael Bogert, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 Howard Gnann, Deputy Designated Federal Official, U.S. Department of Energy Nick Ceto, Environmental Protection Agency Michael Wilson, Washington State Department of Ecology

Michael Wilson, Washington State Department of Ecology Melissa Nielson, U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters The Oregon and Washington Congressional Delegations

U.S. Senators (OR) Gordon H Smith Ron Wyden

U.S. Senators (WA) Maria Cantwell Patty Murray

U.S. Representatives (OR)

Earl Blumenauer Greg Walden Peter DeFazio David Wu

Darlene Hooley

U.S. Representatives (WA)

Brian Baird Cathy McMorris
Norm Dicks Jim McDermott
Jay Inslee David Reichert
Richard Hastings Adam Smith

Rick Larsen

State Senators (WA)
Jerome Delvin

Jerome Delvii Mike Hewitt

HAB Consensus Advice #181 Subject: 200-UW-1 Waste Site proposed Plan Adopted: November 4, 2005

Page 3

State Representatives (WA) Larry Haler Shirley Hankins