

START

9513357.2566

0041545

00415

May 19, 1995

Attn Terri Traub,
100 Sprout Rd, 150 West
Richland, Wa.

Dear Ms Traub,

I received the Tri-party Agreement leaflet on 100-BC-1 Demonstration Project Expedited Response Action Proposal fact sheet dated May 16, 1995. It was well written.

However, the response notice favored only two very narrow interpretations of clean up action. These were the do nothing and the do excessive clean up. Typical of the clean-up scenario was the extreme clean up to residential risk scenario. We already know that the EPA wants to clean up everything to no risk (1 in a million). It appears to me that they do not really care that much but it is a case of saving their jobs and pretending to save the economy.

There should be more than two options (especially if the two are diametrically opposite). My feelings is that there is no need to clean to residential or drinking water standards as there are many examples worldwide of natural depositions much higher than the residential levels without showing adverse effects attributable to the deposition. The Triparty agency was specifically designed by the State so that they could blame the decision on someone else.

Sincerely,

James C. Longford
1338 Sacramento
Richland, Wa 99352

