










Change Number M-91-00-05	Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Change Control Form Do not use blue ink. Type or print using black ink.	Date September 28, 2000															
Originator Helen E. Bilson		Phone (509) 376-6628															
Class of Change <input type="checkbox"/> I - Signatories <input type="checkbox"/> II - Executive Manager <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> III - Project Manager																	
Change Title Remove M-91-11-T01 Target Date for LLMW treatment facility Engineering Study/Functional Design Criteria Study.																	
Description/Justification of Change This change removes M-91-11-T01 from the TPA. This target date identifies the need to complete and submit to the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) a LLMW treatment facility Engineering Study/Functional Design Criteria Study (FDC). When this target date was originally developed, the forecast of future waste streams was more substantial and the project was envisioned as a major construction project to design and build a new treatment/processing facility. (Continued on page 2)																	
Impact of Change This change request deletes this Target Date for LLMW treatment facility Engineering Study/Functional Design Criteria Study.																	
Affected Documents Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, DOE's Annual Land Disposal Restrictions Report to the extent TRUM is addressed; as amended, Hanford Site internal planning and budget documents (e.g., Agreement Action Plan, Appendix D, DOE and DOE contractor Baseline Change control documents, Multi Year Work Plans, Sitewide System Engineering control documents, Project Management Plans and the Hanford Site Integrated Priority List).																	
Approvals <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%; vertical-align: top;"> DOE  _____ </td> <td style="width: 20%; vertical-align: top;"> Date 10/31/2000 _____ </td> <td style="width: 10%; vertical-align: top;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved </td> <td style="width: 10%; vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved </td> <td style="width: 30%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> EPA N/A  _____ </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> Date _____ </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Approved </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved </td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> Ecology  _____ </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> Date 11/03/2000 _____ </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved </td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			DOE  _____	Date 10/31/2000 _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved		EPA N/A  _____	Date _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved		Ecology  _____	Date 11/03/2000 _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved	
DOE  _____	Date 10/31/2000 _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved														
EPA N/A  _____	Date _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved														
Ecology  _____	Date 11/03/2000 _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Disapproved														

RECEIVED
NOV 28 2000

EDMC

Reference: "Project Management Plan for Low-Level Mixed Waste and Greater-Than-Category 3 Waste per Tri-Party Agreement M-91-10", HNF-4293, May 1999.

The referenced Project Management Plan (PMP) delineates treatment and storage facility requirements for large container contact-handled (CH) low-level mixed waste (LLMW), remote-handled (RH) LLMW and Greater-Than-Category 3 (GTC3) waste not addressed by existing operable facilities such as the Waste Receiving and Processing (WRAP) facility.

The projected waste volumes of these waste streams have changed considerably since the development of the M-91 milestone language. The waste streams requiring storage and treatment and the proposed path forward for these streams are largely illustrated in Figure 3-3 of the referenced PMP. The path forward in Figure 3-3 can be summarized below.

Waste not requiring treatment in the "M-91 facility":

- 25,508-m³ long-length equipment will be treated in the tank farms by macroencapsulation and directly disposed of in a RCRA Subtitle C landfill, no additional treatment required.
- 1,750 m³ of low-activity melters will be treated at the vitrification facility by macroencapsulation and directly disposed of in a RCRA Subtitle C landfill, no additional treatment required.
- 1.3 m³ of GTC3 waste will be stored at CWC pending national disposal decisions, no treatment planned at this time.
- 18 m³ PCB transformer can be flushed and disposed, no additional treatment required, flush volume minimal (not included in Figure 3-3).
- 79 m³ of RH soil and gravel can be direct disposed (not included on Figure 3-3).

Waste requiring treatment in the "M-91 facility":

- 178 m³ of CH debris will be sorted and repacked, and then routed to commercial thermal treatment or macroencapsulation as appropriate.
- 61 m³ of RH debris in CH shielded containers in storage, plus an additional 3481 m³ of forecasted RH debris in shielded containers, will be treated by macroencapsulation.
- 57.1 m³ of forecasted RH homogeneous solids (RCRA metals) in CH shielded containers will be treated by macroencapsulation.
- 22.5 m³ of forecasted RH homogeneous solids (RCRA organics) in CH shielded containers will be treated by macroencapsulation.

As specified in the referenced PMP, the volume of waste that will actually require treatment in the "M-91 facility" is limited. Evaluation of this volume, processing rates, and treatment requirements led to the conclusion that an existing facility, the 2706-T Facility and its adjacent concrete pad, could be used to accomplish the required treatment operations.

Further evaluation of the proposed path forward since the issue of the PMP has also indicated that the required process operations and equipment requirements are: relatively simple and inexpensive; have been conducted at the T Plant Complex in the past; and do not warrant nor require the development of a Function Design Criteria document (usually required for complex and expensive capital efforts).

Therefore, this Target Date is therefore no longer necessary.

Target Date Removed By This Modification

Strikeout indicates what will be deleted from the TPA.

Number	Description	Date
M-91-11-T01	<p>Complete and submit LLMW treatment facility/Engineering Study/Functional Design Criteria Study to Ecology.</p> <p>The LLMW treatment facility Engineering Study/Functional Design Criteria Study will cover activities/facilities not considered commercially viable as documented in the approved LLMW/GTC3 PMP and associated Agreement change requests.</p>	12/31/2000