

START

25

0026554

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE

Page 1 of 2

1. ECN **187377**

Proj.
ECN

2. ECN Category (mark one) Supplemental <input type="checkbox"/> Direct Revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change ECN <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary <input type="checkbox"/> Standby <input type="checkbox"/> Supersedeure <input type="checkbox"/> Cancel/Void <input type="checkbox"/>	3. Originator's Name, Organization, MSIN, and Telephone No. George H. Lavey Laundry Ops T1-06 3-4260		4. Date 12-07-92
	5. Project Title/No./Work Order No. 2724-W Laundry Wastewater Sampling & Analysis Plan	6. Bldg./Sys./Fac. No. 2724-W	7. Impact Level 3, E, Q
	8. Document Numbers Changed by this ECN (includes sheet no. and rev.) WHC-SD-LL-PLN-001 REV 0	9. Related ECN No(s). N/A	10. Related PO No. N/A
11a. Modification Work <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (fill out Blk. 11b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (NA Blks. 11b, 11c, 11d)	11b. Work Package No. N/A	11c. Modification Work Complete N/A _____ Cog. Engineer Signature & Date	11d. Restored to Original Condition (Temp. or Standby ECN only) N/A _____ Cog. Engineer Signature & Date
12. Description of Change 1. Reformated numbering system and added approach page 1 & 5. 2. Removed metric conversion chart page 4. 3. Changed fig.2 to reflect new filtration system page 8. 4. Changed responsibilities of OSM to show S&ML page 12. 5. Revised Analyte table to conform with latest QAPP.			
13a. Justification (mark one) Criteria Change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Design Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental <input type="checkbox"/> As-Found <input type="checkbox"/> Facilitate Const. <input type="checkbox"/> Const. Error/Omission <input type="checkbox"/> Design Error/Omission <input type="checkbox"/>			
13b. Justification Details Original issue was for state EPA & Ecology comment.			
14. Distribution (include name, MSIN, and no. of copies) G.H. LAVEY T1-06 L.P. DIEDIKER T1-30 B.R. POWELL, JR. T3-29		RELEASE STAMP OFFICIAL RELEASE BY WHC 13 DATE DEC 10 1992 STA 4	

93128680779



ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE

15. Design Verification Required <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	16. Cost Impact <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">ENGINEERING</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">CONSTRUCTION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Additional <input type="checkbox"/> \$0</td> <td>Additional <input type="checkbox"/> \$0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Savings <input type="checkbox"/> \$0</td> <td>Savings <input type="checkbox"/> \$0</td> </tr> </table>	ENGINEERING	CONSTRUCTION	Additional <input type="checkbox"/> \$0	Additional <input type="checkbox"/> \$0	Savings <input type="checkbox"/> \$0	Savings <input type="checkbox"/> \$0	17. Schedule Impact (days) Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> 0 Delay <input type="checkbox"/> 0
ENGINEERING	CONSTRUCTION							
Additional <input type="checkbox"/> \$0	Additional <input type="checkbox"/> \$0							
Savings <input type="checkbox"/> \$0	Savings <input type="checkbox"/> \$0							

18. Change Impact Review: Indicate the related documents (other than the engineering documents identified on Side 1) that will be affected by the change described in Block 12. Enter the affected document number in Block 19.

SDD/DD <input type="checkbox"/>	Seismic/Stress Analysis <input type="checkbox"/>	Tank Calibration Manual <input type="checkbox"/>
Functional Design Criteria <input type="checkbox"/>	Stress/Design Report <input type="checkbox"/>	Health Physics Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>
Operating Specification <input type="checkbox"/>	Interface Control Drawing <input type="checkbox"/>	Spares Multiple Unit Listing <input type="checkbox"/>
Criticality Specification <input type="checkbox"/>	Calibration Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>	Test Procedures/Specification <input type="checkbox"/>
Conceptual Design Report <input type="checkbox"/>	Installation Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>	Component Index <input type="checkbox"/>
Equipment Spec. <input type="checkbox"/>	Maintenance Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>	ASME Coded Item <input type="checkbox"/>
Const. Spec. <input type="checkbox"/>	Engineering Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>	Human Factor Consideration <input type="checkbox"/>
Procurement Spec. <input type="checkbox"/>	Operating Instruction <input type="checkbox"/>	Computer Software <input type="checkbox"/>
Vendor Information <input type="checkbox"/>	Operating Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>	Electric Circuit Schedule <input type="checkbox"/>
OM Manual <input type="checkbox"/>	Operational Safety Requirement <input type="checkbox"/>	ICRS Procedure <input type="checkbox"/>
FSAR/SAR <input type="checkbox"/>	IEFD Drawing <input type="checkbox"/>	Process Control Manual/Plan <input type="checkbox"/>
Safety Equipment List <input type="checkbox"/>	Cell Arrangement Drawing <input type="checkbox"/>	Process Flow Chart <input type="checkbox"/>
Radiation Work Permit <input type="checkbox"/>	Essential Material Specification <input type="checkbox"/>	Purchase Requisition <input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental Impact Statement <input type="checkbox"/>	Fac. Proc. Samp. Schedule <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental Report <input type="checkbox"/>	Inspection Plan <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental Permit <input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory Adjustment Request <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

19. Other Affected Documents: (NOTE: Documents listed below will not be revised by this ECN.) Signatures below indicate that the signing organization has been notified of other affected documents listed below.

Document Number/Revision	Document Number/Revision	Document Number/Revision

20. Approvals

Signature	Date	Signature	Date
OPERATIONS AND ENGINEERING		ARCHITECT-ENGINEER	
Cog Engineer <i>H. H. Lawry</i>	<u>12-7-92</u>	PE n/a	_____
Cog. Mgr. <i>E. C. Albion</i>	<u>12-7-92</u>	QA n/a	_____
QA <i>B. J. ...</i>	<u>12-7-92</u>	Safety n/a	_____
OSM <i>Michelle Kendrick</i>	<u>12-9-92</u>	Design n/a	_____
S&ML <i>R. A. ...</i>	<u>12-9-92</u>	Environ. n/a	_____
Environ. <i>...</i>	<u>12-8-92</u>	Other n/a	_____
Projects/Programs <i>...</i>	<u>12/7/92</u>	n/a	_____
TEDF W-049 <i>...</i>	<u>12/7/92</u>		_____
Facilities Operations n/a	_____	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	_____
Restoration & Remediation n/a	_____	Signature or Letter No.	_____
Operations & Support Services n/a	_____		_____
IRM	_____	ADDITIONAL	_____
Other	_____		_____

93128680780

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT

1. Total Pages 20

2. Title

2724-W LAUNDRY WASTEWATER SAMPLING & ANALYSIS PLAN

3. Number

WHC-SD-LL-PLN-001

4. Rev No.

1

5. Key Words

SAP, TPA, LAUNDRY, PEDF, SAMPLING, ANALYSIS

6. Author

Name: G. H. LAVEY

G. H. Lavey
Signature

Organization/Charge Code 55330/MJ000

**APPROVED FOR
PUBLIC RELEASE** *KMB 1/29/92*

7. Abstract

This sampling and analysis plan (SAP) establishes the guidelines for providing confirmatory data to support nondangerous waste stream proposed designation. The plan establishes the level of monitoring required to confirm that the stream characteristics do not change until it is discontinued before 1995.

8. ~~PURPOSE AND USE OF DOCUMENT - This document was prepared for use within the U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors. It is to be used only to perform, direct, or integrate work under U.S. Department of Energy contracts. This document is not approved for public release until reviewed.~~

~~PATENT STATUS - This document copy, since it is transmitted in advance of patent clearance, is made available in confidence solely for use in performance of work under contracts with the U.S. Department of Energy. This document is not to be published nor its contents otherwise disseminated or used for purposes other than specified above before patent approval for such release or use has been secured, upon request, from the Patent Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy Field Office, Richland, WA.~~

Legends removed per WHC legal 11/25/92
DISCLAIMER - This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

10. RELEASE STAMP

OFFICIAL RELEASE
BY WHC
DATE DEC 10 1992
STA 4

9. Impact Level 3, E, Q

93128680781

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER

*WHC-SD-LL-PEN-001 Rev 344
12/10/92*

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

DISCLM-4.CHP (1-91)

93128680782

ACRONYMS

BAT	best available technology
HEIS	Hanford Environmental Information System
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
OSM	Office of Sample Management
QAPP	quality assurance program plan
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
TEDF	treated effluent discharge facility
TOC	total organic carbon
Tri-Party Agreement	<i>Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order</i>
WAC	<i>Washington Administrative Code</i>

93128680784

CONTENTS

A.	SAMPLING OBJECTIVES	5
	A.1 INTRODUCTION	5
	A.2 SAMPLING OBJECTIVES	5
	A.3 APPROACH	5
B.	SITE BACKGROUND	6
	B.1 2724-W FACILITY DESCRIPTION	6
	B.2 STREAM DESCRIPTION	7
	B.3 STREAM HISTORY	11
	B.4 STREAM FUTURE	12
	B.5 216-W-LC CRIB DESCRIPTION	12
C.	RESPONSIBILITIES	13
D.	SAMPLE LOCATION AND FREQUENCY	14
	D.1 SAMPLE LOCATION	15
	D.2 SAMPLE FREQUENCY	15
E.	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	15
	E.1 LIQUID EFFLUENT SAMPLE LABELING	15
F.	SAMPLING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES	15
	F.1 EQUIPMENT	15
	F.2 PROCEDURES	16
G.	SAMPLE HANDLING AND ANALYSIS	16
	G.1 ANALYSIS	16
	G.2 SAMPLE HANDLING	16
H.	STATISTICS	18
I.	QUALITY CONTROL	18
J.	CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES	18
K.	DOCUMENTATION CONTROL	19

93128680785

LIST OF FIGURES

1	2724-W Aerial View of the Laundry Facility	8
2	2724-W Wastewater Contributors	9

TABLE

1	Analytes from Table 8-1 of WHC-SD-WM-QAPP-011 REV 3	16
---	---	----

93128680786

2724-W LAUNDRY WASTEWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

A. SAMPLING OBJECTIVES

A.1 INTRODUCTION

This plan establishes the guidelines for the sampling and analysis of the 2724-W Laundry wastewater. The plan also identifies procedures and protocols that provide monitoring until the stream is discontinued before 1995. The information obtained will be used to provide evidence of regulatory compliance. All sampling will be performed in accordance with approved written procedures. The procedures will comply with the requirements of EPA SW-846, *Test Methods for the Evaluation of Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods* latest revision (EPA 1986). Analysis of the samples will be done according to SW-846 procedures unless otherwise specified.

Quality assurance objectives for the sampling activities are described in the *Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan* (WHC 1992).

The *2724-W Laundry Wastewater Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP)* will be edited as necessary to ensure its accuracy and completeness. All changes to this document shall be considered Class 3 changes to the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement)* (Ecology et al. 1989). This SAP is a supporting document and adheres to Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC) *Standard Engineering Practices (EP-1.12)* (WHC-CM-6-1) for revision and accountability.

A.2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the SAP follow:

- Confirm the data reported in the stream-specific report and to ensure the stream does not contain dangerous waste as defined in Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations"
- Provide confirmatory data to support groundwater contamination migration studies.

A.3 APPROACH

This SAP has been prepared to describe a program for obtaining high-quality sampling data that will identify the types and quantities of contaminants found in the laundry wastewater. The data will come from liquid effluent samples that have quality controlled and verifiable methods for collecting the wastewater sample, transportation of the sample to the analytical laboratory, analysis of the sample, statistical evaluation of the analytical results, and the storage of sample records.

93128680787

Chemical analytes that are not detected in 1 year will be eliminated from the list of analytes in future liquid effluent samples. Chemical analytes found in both the wastewater and sanitary (potable) water at equivalent concentration levels will also be eliminated from the list of analytes. The amended analyte list shall be a Class 3 change to the SAP in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement, as stated in the Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP). A Class 3 change does not impact interim milestones and requires approval of the assigned DOE and lead regulatory agency unit managers. A more complete definition of a Class 3 change can be found in Section 12.2 of the Tri-Party Agreement (Ecology et al. 1989).

An important pool of historical data is available from the routine monitoring program. This data will provide information on soil column and process equipment solids loading for future remedial studies, treatment systems design, and permitting documentation. The data from the routine monitoring program will also be used to evaluate the cause of seasonal, climatic, and operational variations in the quality of the wastewater. The SAP describes the existing routine monitoring program, which allows the accumulation of historical information and provides a baseline data pool for comparing the reliability and validity of past data.

This SAP has been structured to obtain high-quality sampling data that will identify the types of contaminants found in the liquid effluent stream from the laundry. The data will come from grab samples using quality-controlled and verifiable methods for collecting the sample, transporting the sample, analyzing, statistically evaluating of the analytical results, and storing of sample records. All liquid effluent sampling work shall be performed according to approved written procedures. The procedures shall comply with the requirements of *Test Methods for the Evaluation of Solid Waste*, EPA SW-846, latest revision.

All personnel associated with collection of liquid effluent samples, processing of the samples, processing of the data, and control of records shall comply with applicable procedures. WHC personnel shall sign documents verifying that they have read and understand the procedures. The signed documents shall become part of the training records.

The liquid effluent samples are grab samples because some constituents (e.g., volatile organics, ammonia) are unstable with time. Grab samples are used to minimize the hold time from sample collection to laboratory analyses to prevent significant loss of these unstable analytes.

B. SITE BACKGROUND

B.1 2724-W FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The original laundry building is a wood and concrete structure that was constructed in 1952 and later expanded. The current laundry complex is a series of buildings connected together: 2724-W, 2724-WA, 2724-WB, and MO-406. This complex covers approximately 2,325 m² (25,000 ft²). The major areas of the laundry complex are the radioactive washing and drying area,

93128680788

nonradioactive washing and drying area, radioactive storage areas, nonradioactive storage areas, folding and monitoring areas, change rooms, and adjacent lunchrooms and administrative offices. An aerial view of the laundry facility is shown in Figure 1.

The liquid effluent from the 2724-W Laundry is discharged to the soil column via the 216-W-LC crib. The 216-W-LC crib was installed in 1981 along with its associated influent piping. The first wastewater was received in September 1982. A diagram of the crib is shown in Figure 1. Currently, the 216-W-LC crib is not regulated under WAC 173-303.

All soiled protective work clothing used on the Hanford Site is sent to the laundry for cleaning. The laundry handles approximately 1.5M kg (3.3M lb) of clothing per year; approximately two-thirds of this amount is radioactively contaminated clothing. Radioactively contaminated clothing is defined as special protective clothing that has been worn in radiation areas and has the potential of being contaminated. The remaining one-third of the clothing is nonradioactive, which includes standard coveralls and bath towels.

All clothing is delivered to the laundry in large canvas bags holding an average of 22 kg (50 lb), with a range from 13 to 50 kg (30 to 110 lb). These bags are transported by hand either to the radioactive or to the nonradioactive storage area, as appropriate.

Radioactive bags are withdrawn from the storage area and hand-loaded into one of the three 272-kg-capacity (600-lb) washing machines. The canvas bags are arranged in the washer to allow the clothing inside to fall from the bags when agitated by the washing action. The complete cycle takes approximately 23 to 42 min, depending on the level of cleaning needed to remove the radiological contamination (i.e., low, medium, or high).

B.2 STREAM DESCRIPTION


The facility operates on day shift for 5 days a week with about 10 percent overtime (24 days each month) to meet customer needs. The washers use approximately 757 L (200 gal) of water for each wash or rinse cycle for a total of about 3,028 L/load (800 gal/load). The process data sheets report an average of 33 loads each day, which corresponds to the average monthly flow of 2,615 kL (691,000 gal), including approximately 757 kL/month (200,000 gal/month) of steam condensate.

Laundry wastewater is the combination of effluents from many simultaneous activities. (See Figure 2.) During laundry operation, the washing machines are at different points in their respective wash and rinse cycles. The waste stream contributors are washing machines, dryers (steam condensate), heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC), a hydrostatic precipitator, hot-water-tank heating coils, the nonradioactive trench, the radioactive trench, and the hand-washing sink.

All of the facility wastewater is collected in a 2,195 L (580-gal) building sump before being pumped to the vibratory filter. Currently, the

93128680789


Figure 1. 2724-W Aerial View of the Laundry Facility.



93128680790

28909016.1

Figure 2. 2724-W Wastewater Contributors.



29110019.14a

93128680791

effluent leaves the facility through the vibrating filter and gravity flows through eight manholes to the 216-W-LC crib. An upgrade project to add a pressure precoat filter will be discussed later.

The hydrostatic precipitator generates approximately 7.57 kL/day (2,000 gal/day) water by scavenging lint from the air exhaust from the three radioactive clothes dryers. The steam condensate lines come into the sump from a concrete pit near the precipitator. This pit receives steam condensate from the five dryers, the boiler (hot water tank for the washing machines), and the hot side of the HVAC.

The three radioactive washers and two nonradioactive washers are discharged into separate trenches that drain to the sump. The trenches are made of concrete and are part of the floor. The trenches have the following dimensions: radioactive trench--.6 m wide, .3 m deep, and 23 m long (24 in. wide, 12 in. deep, and 75 ft long); and nonradioactive trench--.7 m wide, .3 m deep, and 15 m long (28 in. wide, 12 in. deep, and 50 ft long). The volumes of water used in the different types of washes range from .757 to 5.3 kL (200 gal to 1,400 gal) per load, depending on the wash type. The hand-washing sink discharges into the end of the radioactive trench.

The contributor wastewater volume cannot be measured because the stream does not have a flow meter at any of the individual contributors. The total volume contribution has been estimated from influent water meter readings and steam condensate usage. The flow estimates to the crib range from 1,968 kL/month (520,000 gal/month) to 3,600 kL/month (951,000 gal/month), with an average of 2,615 kL/month (691,000 gal/month).

The essential chemicals used in the radioactive laundry are 2.25 to 5.5 kg (5 to 10 lb) of Turco¹ Aviation (sodium metasilicate pentahydrate 50%, disodium phosphate 30%, sodium tripolyphosphate 20%) and 0 to 2.25 kg (0 to 5 lb) of Turco Decontamination (ammonium bicarbonate 50%, sodium hexametaphosphate 45%) per wash load. The wash cycles range from zero to one prewash cycle, one to two wash cycles, and one to six rinse cycles. The total volume of wastewater generated in a cycle is from .757 to 5.3 kL (200 to 1,400 gal). On the average, the laundry processes 16 radioactive wash loads per day, with 8 to 10 laundry bags per load.

The nonradioactive laundry uses 0 to 1.4 kg (0 to 3 lb) of Olde Worlde² (ethylene glycol monobutyl ether - 5%) detergent or 1.4 to 2.25 kg (3 to 5 lb) of Turco Aviation per wash load of regular laundry. The bath towels receive 0 to 1.4 kg (0 to 3 lb) of Olde Worlde detergent or 1.4 to 2.25 kg (3 to 5 lb) of Turco Aviation, and 0 to 1.4 kg (0 to 3 lb) of Silver-Wyte³ bleach (hypochlorous acid, lithium salt 45%) per load. The washing machines have one wash and two or three rinse cycles. The total volume of water generated on

¹Turco is a trademark of TP Industrial, Inc.

²Olde Worlde is a trademark of Olde Worlde Products.

³Silver-Wyte is a trademark of Fabrilife Chemical Company.

93128680792

each cycle ranges from 2.27 to 3.4 kL (600 to 900 gal). On the average, the laundry processes 12 nonradioactive wash loads per day, with 4 to 13 laundry bags per load.

B.3 STREAM HISTORY

The stream history was reviewed to evaluate the sample frequency. The laundry wastewater is the combination of effluents from many simultaneous activities that blend for an overall consistent water quality. As expected with a large number of small customers, the limited daily wash volume from each customer is insufficient to produce a noticeable change in the overall process.

A foundation of the historic daily samples taken from the sump and composited monthly for pH, total organic carbon (TOC), and radionuclides supports this observation. Although these manual grab samples were taken upstream of the lint filters, the sample results were similar to more recent studies discussed below.

The laundry wastewater analyses have been accomplished to support best available technology (BAT) documents and a stream-specific report:

- In 1989, a BAT (WHC 1991) was performed to support a new laundry facility design
- In 1990, a Stream-Specific Report (WHC 1990) was generated to identify a wastewater designation
- In 1991, a BAT revision was required to update the wastewater characterization information and to address new discharge requirements using treatability studies.

To support the new laundry facility, recent treatability studies were performed on typical and worst-case wastewater scenarios. The objective was to characterize the stream better and to identify various treatment technology performance data over the range of effluent qualities. The treatment goal was to meet the acceptance criteria for the Treated Effluent Discharge Facility (TEDF) W-049H.

The treatability test results were validated and were consistent with the historic radionuclide data. Specifically, the controlled typical and worst-case wash water compared favorably with historic data. In addition, these tests results compared as expected with previous wastewater stream-specific characterization analyses.

The recent studies of the filtration performance show that particulate filtration removes radioactive contamination. However, significant removal of these particles requires filtration to less than one-half micron. Ion exchange did improve the removal efficiency. To make the treatment cost effective, a BAT document has been drafted and is in the review and approval cycle.

93128680793

Consequently, point-source sampling at the various machines provides information about discharges from a particular machine but does not characterize the composition of routine laundry wastewater adequately. For this reason, samples will be taken at MH-A, which provides a representative sample of laundry wastewater.

B.4 STREAM FUTURE

This stream will be eliminated before 1995 when the laundry is shipped offsite to a private vendor for processing. To prevent lint from plugging the 216-W-LC laundry crib, the Project B-697, Laundry Effluent Treatment, system was designed and constructed. Justification for this system was based on the need to help extend the life of the 216-W-LC crib.

The system will provide back-up particulate filtration (vibratory filter), oil and grease removal (pressure precoat filter), sampler, and flow meter with strip chart installed in MH-A. A 22.7-kL (6,000-gal) equalization tank will be used downstream of the sump to offset sump pump cycling because the pressure precoat filter requires constant effluent flow.

Because of the pressure precoat filter, there should be fewer organic chemicals and suspended solids over 20 microns. However, significant radionuclide filtration is not expected due to contamination particle sizes of less than 1 micron, which were discovered in the treatability tests. Although the filter discharge will be immediately upstream of the sample point, it is not the SAP's objective to demonstrate the effectiveness of the filter.

B.5 216-W-LC CRIB DESCRIPTION

The 216-W-LC crib is not regulated under WAC 173-303. The crib was constructed in 1981 in two separate sections with butterfly valves to allow diversion from one section to the other. These valves were removed later and replaced with an effluent line access hole. The bottom of each section consists of six trenches. Each trench is 46 m long, 2.4 m wide, and 1.2 m deep (150 ft long, 8 ft wide, and 4 ft deep) and has a side slope of 1.5:1. The waste is discharged to the crib through a 4-in. (10.2-cm) perforated pipe located in the center of each trench. The trench is backfilled with 1.27 to 2.54 cm (.5- to 1-in.) gravel and covered with a polyethylene barrier. The bottom of the crib is about 6 m (20 ft) below grade.

Before the crib was used, the liquid effluent from the 2724-W Laundry was discharged to the soil column via U-Pond via the U-14 Ditch. Since September 1982, the wastewater has been discharged to the soil via the 216-W-LC crib.

After six months of operation, the crib began having problems with water backing into the supply piping. The distribution laterals in the crib were found to be 40 to 50 percent plugged with a gelatinous sludge that blocked piping perforations, preventing distribution of the wastewater to the gravel within the crib. To reduce the organic loading, the nearby fabrication shop that drained into the waste stream at MH-B was blocked with a cement plug in 1983. Sludge was cleaned from the crib piping, and the crib has operated with

93128680794

a routine manual clean-out. To reduce oil and grease loadings further, the laundry quit washing shop rags and mops. Completion of Project B-697, Laundry Effluent Treatment, will provide further crib protection.

C. RESPONSIBILITIES

The 2724-W Facility Manager is responsible for the sampling and analysis of the wastewater generated by the facility. In this regard, the facility manager (or designee) is responsible for the following:

- Preparation of this SAP
- The completion and accuracy of this SAP
- Proper execution of the SAP
- Designating the 2724-W wastewater with the proper waste designation
- Overseeing the sampling activities. Specific tasks include ensuring the correct sample point is used, assisting and cooperating with the sampling team to ensure facility safety guidelines are met, ensuring appropriate equipment and skilled personnel are available for sampling, and ensuring all field work is done according to established procedures
- Reporting data results and maintaining data files containing this SAP, copy of sampling logs, wastewater flow records, analytical results, and resulting reports
- Requesting system audits
- Provide a health physics technician for radiation surveying of liquid effluent characterization sample packages
- Provide the radiation work permit instructions for zone entry
- Verify radiation worker training requirements of sampling personnel
- Developing, initiating, and tracking corrective actions (if needed).

The Office of Sample Management is responsible for the following:

- Identifying the contract laboratory to perform chemical analysis for this SAP
- Monitoring the contract laboratory for quality performance
- Receiving and monitoring laboratory data packages to ensure they are complete

93128680795

- Verification and validation of laboratory data packages
- Interfacing between the facility manager and the contract laboratory.

The Sampling and Mobile Laboratory is responsible for the following:

- Ensuring samples are representative
- Taking adequate blanks and other quality control indicators
- Maintaining accurate and complete sampling logs
- Initiating a proper chain of custody for each sample
- Ensuring samples are packaged and shipped properly
- Provide trained samplers for liquid effluent sampling activities. One sampler shall have a WHC Certificate of Qualification from Sampling and Mobile Laboratory Group. A certificated sampler shall direct liquid effluent sampling, packaging, and shipping
- Prepare the laundry liquid effluent sampling procedure
- Transport liquid effluent samples to laboratory or shipping center
- Ensure copies of field logs and other sampling data sheets are filed with plant cognizant engineer and regulatory compliance.

The Laundry Facility QA is responsible for the following:

- Provide surveillance of the liquid effluent characterization sampling program
- Audit records and procedures.

D. SAMPLE LOCATION AND FREQUENCY

When the laundry is operating, the machines are at different points in their respective cycles. The major waste stream constituents include the laundry soap products and material removed from the clothing. As documented in the waste stream-specific reports, a diligent search of the facility was conducted for any potentially discarded chemical products. No activities that involved improper disposal of chemicals into the wastewater were found. Unlike other facilities, the laundry's effluent constituents are generated at the customer's location and cannot be tracked from the source. Administrative controls allow only cleanable items, not waste material, to be sent to the laundry.

93128680796

D.1 SAMPLE LOCATION

The samples will be taken from MH-A, which is the closest point to the discharge that contains all effluent from the building and represents the total stream. This sampling point is also the location of the flow meter that records the amount of discharge to the crib.

D.2 SAMPLE FREQUENCY

Semiannual protocol samples shall be taken because operational history does not indicate significant fluctuations. Samples will begin to be taken three months after document approval is received.

E. SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

E.1 LIQUID EFFLUENT SAMPLE LABELING

Sample labels for liquid effluent samples shall be furnished by the sampling team from the Sampling and Mobile Laboratories. The labels will require the following information to be recorded by a member from the sampling team: identification of the person in charge of collecting the sample; unique sample identification number, date and time the sample was collected, the place the sample was collected, the stream identification, preservative added, and the analysis to be performed on the sample. The unique sample number shall be obtained from the Hanford Environmental Information System (HEIS). In addition, each bottle shall be identified with a bar code sticker attached to the bottle by the bottle manufacturer. The bar code shall identify the bottle lot number and individual bottle number.

F. SAMPLING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES

F.1 EQUIPMENT

No monitoring equipment has been used for this waste stream. All volumes are estimated. Preventative maintenance or calibration procedures for the protocol sampling equipment are not necessary. Samples will be obtained by use of a weighted bottle, dipper, or bailer apparatus as described in the laundry's liquid effluent sampling procedure.

93128680797

F.2 PROCEDURES

The laundry liquid effluent sampling procedure will identify laundry-specific sampling requirements, which include the following:

- Sample location
- A description of sampling equipment, containers, and reagents
- Safety precautions, including personnel protective equipment
- Specific steps for collecting the sample.

General Hanford Site sample handling and data management requirements will be in procedures as identified in Section 5.1 of the QAPP. Field logs will be completed in accordance with the *Environmental Investigations and Site Characterization Manual*, WHC-CM-7-7, procedure EII 1.5, "Field Logbooks," during the sampling event. The logbook shall contain information pertinent to the sampling. A cognizant QA person will perform random sampling surveillance. All applicable health and safety precautions shall be taken in accordance with the *Industrial Safety Manual* (WHC-CM-4-3).

G. SAMPLE HANDLING AND ANALYSIS

G.1 ANALYSIS

Table 1 presents the chemical analytical protocols and methods for characterizing the laundry's stream completely. Field test for pH, Conductivity and Temperature will be conducted for each sample and recorded in the log book.

G.2 SAMPLE HANDLING

The samples shall be collected in new, commercially available, certified, precleaned glass or polyethylene bottles. The certification of the precleaned condition shall accompany the bottle. The analytical laboratory shall prescribe the sample volumes and number of containers, which are subject to change. All required preservatives shall be vendor supplied and added to the containers by Sampling and Mobile Labs.

Each sample or sample preparation shall be labeled with the HEIS or equivalent assigned sample number. Labels shall be affixed to sample containers and filled out at the time of sampling. The label information shall include the sample identification number and ownership (i.e., WHC).

A chain-of-custody form shall be completed at the time of sample collection. Section J of this plan contains further details on the custody procedures.

Once the sample is drawn, the cap shall be sealed to the container with a tamper-evident seal.

93128680798

Table 1. Analytes from Table 8-1 of
WHC-SD-WM-QAPP-011 REV 3.

Analyte	Method
ICP metals	6010
Hg	7470
VOA	8240
Semi-VOA	8270
PCB/Pesticides	8080
Anions (IC) (F, Cl, NO ₂ , NO ₃ , PO ₄ , SO ₄)	EPA 300.0
TOC	EPA 415.2/9060
TDS	EPA 160.1
Pesticides	8140
Herbicides	8150
Radionuclides	
Total activity (222-S Laboratory)	N/A

93128680799

The sample containers shall be cleaned and radiologically surveyed. The released sample containers then shall be packaged in accordance with the *Hazardous Material Packaging and Shipping Manual*, WHC-CM-2-14, and the *Radiation Protection Manual*, WHC-CM-4-11. The samples will be refrigerated or cooled with ice until ready to ship, when they will be placed in a cooler containing ice. The cooler shall become part of the sample packaging. A logbook-containing information pertinent to the sampling shall be maintained.

Sampling personnel will keep field logbook notes that identify date, time, weather conditions, and any other relevant information from each sampling event. The minimum requirements for field notes are stated in Section 6.1 of the *Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan* (WHC 1992).

The samples shall be routed to an approved WHC participant contractor or subcontractor laboratory for analysis consistent with the QAPP requirements.

H. STATISTICS

Quality Control sample results will be compared with the laboratory- or method-specific acceptance criteria for accuracy and precision. The Office of Sample Management (OSM) will validate laboratory data. Any data not meeting required QC specifications will be flagged as estimated. If necessary, corrective action will consist of repeating the sampling and analysis activity and documenting it with a nonconformance report in accordance with WHC-CM-4-2, procedure QI 15.1.

Specific data quality objectives and data assessment procedures are stated in the *Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan* (WHC 1992).

I. QUALITY CONTROL

Internal quality control requirements are specified in Section 10.0 of the *Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Project Plan* (WHC 1992).

J. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES

When an analyses requires that a preservative be added to the sample bottle, the preservative is added in a clean laboratory environment prior to traveling to the sampling site.

At that time a bottle chain-of-custody is completed and follows that bottle into the field. The sample bottle is stored in a cooler sealed with

93128680800

tamper evident tape and all custody transfers are noted on the bottle chain-of-custody form.

When the bottle is used to collect a sample, a sample chain-of-custody form shall be initiated and will accompany each sample. A sample may consist of several containers, and the chain-of-custody form will account for each container. Once the sample has been drawn, it must be in the physical control or view of the custodian, locked in an area where it cannot be tampered with, or prepared for shipping with a tamper-proof seal. Physical control includes being in the sight of the custodian, a room that will signal an alarm when entered, or locked in a cabinet. Even when more than one person is involved in sampling, only one person shall be designated as sampler and only that person signs as sample collector. This person is the custodian until the samples are transferred to another location or group and shall sign when releasing the samples to the designated receiver. A private, bonded carrier shall be used to transport the samples and chain-of-custody document.

The approved laboratory shall designate a sample custodian and a designated alternate responsible for receiving all samples. The sample custodian or alternate shall sign and date all appropriate receiving documents at the time of receipt and, at the same time, initiate an internal chain-of-custody form using documented procedures.

The sampling team will forward copies of the chain-of-custody form to the OSM. The laboratory will return copies of the chain-of-custody form to the OSM after the samples are received.

K. DOCUMENTATION CONTROL

Validated data will be sent to the Environmental Data Management Center, and state and federal regulators will be notified that the data are available. The data will be part of the administrative record for the Tri-Party Agreement milestones.

All sampling and analytical data and field notes will be maintained as quality records. The sampling team will forward copies of the sample analysis request form, chain-of-custody form, and activity screening results to OSM. The original shipping papers will accompany the sample. The laboratory will return copies of the sample analysis request form and chain-of-custody form to OSM after it receives the samples. The laboratory will keep the original shipping papers, and OSM will maintain the copies.

REFERENCES

CERCLA, 1980, *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*, as amended, 42 USC 9601 et seq.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1989, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, 2 vols, as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.

EPA, 1986, *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods*, SW-846, Latest Edition, as revised, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 USC 6901 et seq.

WAC, 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," *Washington Administrative Code*, as amended.

WHC-CM-2-14, *Hazardous Material Packaging and Shipping Manual*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-CM-4-2, *Quality Assurance Manual*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-CM-4-3, *Industrial Safety Manual*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-CM-4-11, *Radiation Protection Manual*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-CM-6-1, *Standard Engineering Practices*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-CM-7-7, *Environmental Investigations and Site Characterization Manual*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC, 1992, *Liquid Effluent Sampling Quality Assurance Program Plan*, WHC-WM-QAPP-011, Rev 3, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

93128680802

Date Received:
11-12-92

INFORMATION RELEASE REQUEST

Reference:
WHC-CM-3-4

Complete for all Types of Release

Purpose

<input type="checkbox"/> Speech or Presentation	(Check only one suffix)	<input type="checkbox"/> Reference
<input type="checkbox"/> Full Paper		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Report
<input type="checkbox"/> Summary		<input type="checkbox"/> Thesis or Dissertation
<input type="checkbox"/> Abstract		<input type="checkbox"/> Manual
<input type="checkbox"/> Visual Aid		<input type="checkbox"/> Brochure/Flier
<input type="checkbox"/> Speakers Bureau		<input type="checkbox"/> Software/Database
<input type="checkbox"/> Poster Session		<input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Document
<input type="checkbox"/> Videotape		<input type="checkbox"/> Other

ID Number (include revision, volume, etc.)
WHC-SD-LL-PLN-001 REV ~~001~~ / 2 MAY

List attachments.

Date Release Required
11-17-92

Title 2724-W Laundry Wastewater Sampling Analysis Plan

Unclassified Category UC-

Impact Level 3E Q

New or novel (patentable) subject matter? No Yes

If "Yes", has disclosure been submitted by WHC or other company?
 No Yes Disclosure No(s).

Information received from others in confidence, such as proprietary data, trade secrets, and/or inventions?
 No Yes (Identify)

Copyrights? No Yes

If "Yes", has written permission been granted?
 No Yes (Attach Permission)

Trademarks?
 No Yes (Identify)

Complete for Speech or Presentation

Title of Conference or Meeting

Group or Society Sponsoring

Date(s) of Conference or Meeting

City/State

Will proceedings be published? Yes No

Will material be handed out? Yes No

Title of Journal:

CHECKLIST FOR SIGNATORIES

Review Required per WHC-CM-3-4	Yes	No	Reviewer - Signature	Indicates Approval	Date
			Name (printed)	Signature	
Classification/Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	G. H. Lavey	<i>G. H. Lavey</i>	11-12-92
Patent - General Counsel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S. W. Berglin	<i>S. W. Berglin</i>	11/20/92
Legal - General Counsel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	S. W. Berglin	<i>S. W. Berglin</i>	
Applied Technology/Export Controlled Information or International Program	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
WHC Program/Project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	D. R. Speer	<i>D. R. Speer</i>	11-19-92
Communications	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
RL Program/Project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	J. M. Hennig	<i>J. M. Hennig</i>	11/23/92
Publication Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	J. D. Hudspeth	<i>J. D. Hudspeth</i>	11/16/92
Other Program/Project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N. G. THOMAS	<i>N. G. THOMAS</i>	11/2/92

Information conforms to all applicable requirements.

The above information is certified to be correct.

References Available to Intended Audience	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Transmit to DOE-HQ/Office of Scientific and Technical Information	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Author/Requestor (Printed/Signature)	Date
G. H. Lavey <i>G. H. Lavey</i>	11-12-92
Intended Audience	
<input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input type="checkbox"/> Sponsor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External	
Responsible Manager (Printed/Signature)	Date
S. E. Albin <i>S. E. Albin</i>	11/12/92

INFORMATION RELEASE ADMINISTRATION APPROVAL STAMP

Stamp is required before release. Release is contingent upon resolution of mandatory comments.



Date Cancelled

Date Disapproved

93128680803