

Author: Teri L Elzie at ~BHI009  
 Date: 9/24/98 8:12 AM  
 Priority: Normal  
 TO: bharper@nwinform.net at -ERCLink  
 TO: carlejpc@dfw.wa.gov at -ERCLink  
 TO: danl@nezperce.org at -ERCLink  
 TO: ddel461@ecy.wa.gov at -ERCLink  
 TO: dmos461@ecy.wa.gov at -ERCLink  
 TO: jrw@ucinet.com at -ERCLink  
 TO: Nick.Iadanza@noaa.gov at -ERCLink  
 TO: preston\_sleeper@ios.doi.gov at -ERCLink  
 TO: susan.c.hughs@state.or.us at -ERCLink  
 TO: tom\_obrien@mail.fws.gov at -ERCLink  
 TO: Jay L McConnaughey at ~HANFORD02A  
 TO: Laurence E Gadbois at ~HANFORD02A  
 TO: James H Jr Zeisloft at ~EXCHANGE  
 TO: Darci D Teel at ~BHI001  
 CC: dan\_audet@mail.fws.gov at -ERCLink  
 CC: julie\_campbell@mail.fws.gov at -ERCLink  
 CC: richard\_roy@mail.fws.gov at -ERCLink  
 BCC: Teri L Elzie  
 Subject: FW: 100 Area Assessment Plan Comments

**RECEIVED**  
 JAN 11 2008  
 EDMC

----- Message Contents -----

See message below from Jamie concerning Comment #3.

Teri

Forward Header

Subject: FW: 100 Area Assessment Plan Comments  
 Author: James\_H\_Jr\_Zeisloft@apimc01.rl.gov at ~EXCHANGE  
 Date: 9/23/98 1:10 PM

Teri,

Please forward this message to the work group, the system won't let me do it. Thanks.

Jamie

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Zeisloft, James H Jr  
 > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 1998 12:29 PM

>  
 > There's been a misunderstanding here. The phrase "Although, DOE does  
 > not consider Hanford to be open and unclaimed lands." should not need  
 > to be included in the revision. The issue of open and unclaimed lands  
 > is unresolved and is not something we need to or should get involved  
 > with in the assessment plan.

Jamie

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Teri\_L\_Elzie@apexc.rl.gov [SMTP:Teri\_L\_Elzie@apexc.rl.gov]  
 > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 1998 9:30 AM

> To: "bharper@nwinform.net"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "carlejpc@dfw.wa.gov"@apexc.rl.gov; "ddel461@ecy.wa.gov"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "dmos461@ecy.wa.gov"@apexc.rl.gov; "jrw@ucinet.com"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "Nick.Iadanza@noaa.gov"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "preston\_sleeper@ios.doi.gov"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "susan.c.hughs@state.or.us"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "tom\_obrien@mail.fws.gov"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> Jay\_L\_McConnaughey@apexc.rl.gov; Laurence\_E\_Gadbois@apexc.rl.gov;  
> James\_H\_Jr\_Zeisloft@apimc01.rl.gov; Darci\_D\_Teel@apexc.rl.gov  
> Cc: "dan\_audet@mail.fws.gov"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "julie\_campbell@mail.fws.gov"@apexc.rl.gov;  
> "richard\_roy@mail.fws.gov"@apexc.rl.gov  
> Subject: 100 Area Assessment Plan Comments

>  
> The comments below were discussed verbally via Jamie, Darci, and  
> Barbara Harper.

> Also attached are Barbara Harper's comments from the Yakama's.

> Thanks!

> Teri

> 1. Change on page 19 the second paragraph, second sentence, The  
> Yakama  
> Indian Nation, and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian  
> Reservation, in the treaties.."

> 2. Change on page 19 the second paragraph, last sentence, "the  
> Yakama, Umatilla, Nez Perce, and Wanapum people.."

> 3. Change last paragraph on page 19 to read:

> "over the last 200 years, the tribes maintain that Hanford is a  
> legally protected place to exercise treaty-reserved rights.

> Although,

> DOE does not consider Hanford to be open and unclaimed lands." <<

> File: MS Word Document >>