

1249528

[0065260H]

ECF-200UP1-17-0123

Revision 0


Identification of Site-Specific Monitoring Constituents for Waste Management Area U

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788



P.O. Box 1600
Richland, Washington 99352



Approved for Public Release;
Further Dissemination Unlimited

Identification of Site-Specific Monitoring Constituents for Waste Management Area U

Date Published
April 2018

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788


P.O. Box 1600
Richland, Washington 99352

APPROVED
By Mary P. Curry at 1:05 pm, Apr 03, 2018

Release Approval

Date

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by tradename, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

ENVIRONMENTAL CALCULATION COVER PAGE				
Section 1: Completed by the Responsible Manager				
Project: S&GRP Project Delivery				RELEASE / ISSUE
Date:				<div style="border: 2px solid red; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> DATE: Apr 03, 2018 </div>
Calculation Title & Description: Identification of Site-Specific Monitoring Constituents for Waste Management Area U				
Section 2: Completed by Preparer				
Calculation No.: ECF 200UP1-17-0123			Revision No.: 0	
Revision History				
Revision No.	Description	Date	Affected Pages	ADD ROW
0	Initial issue			<input type="checkbox"/>
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Section 3: Completed by the Responsible Manager				
Document Control:				
Is the document intended to be controlled within the Document Management Control System (DMCS)?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does document contain scientific and technical information intended for public use?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does document contain controlled-use information?				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Section 4: Document Review & Approval				
TB Hammond, Scientist, CHPRC	Name / Position		3-13-18	Date
Preparer:				
MR Clark, Scientist, CHPRC	Name / Position		3-13-18	Date
Checker:				
CW Miller, Scientist, CHPRC	Name / Position		13 Mar 2018	Date
Senior Reviewer:				
WR Faught, Manager, CHPRC	Name / Position		3-26-18	Date
Responsible Manager:				
Section 5: Applicable if calculation is a risk assessment or uses an environmental model				
PRIOR TO INITIATING MODELING:				
Required training for modelers completed:				
Integration Lead	Name / Position	Signature	Date	
Safety Software Approved:				
Integration Lead	Name / Position	Signature	Date	
CALCULATION APPROVED:				
Risk/Modeling Integration Manager:	Name / Position	Signature	Date	

This page intentionally left blank.

Contents

1	Purpose.....	1
2	Background.....	1
3	Methodology	1
	3.1 Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Part A Form Dangerous Wastes	1
	3.2 Interim Status Groundwater Monitoring Results.....	6
	3.3 Final Monitoring Constituent Evaluation.....	6
4	Assumptions and Inputs	11
5	Software Applications.....	11
6	Calculation.....	11
7	Results and Conclusions	12
	7.1 Results from Evaluation of Dangerous Wastes from the SST System Part A Form	12
	7.2 Results from Evaluation of Groundwater Data Collected under Interim Status Monitoring Plans	14
	7.3 Results from Final Monitoring Constituent Evaluation	16
	7.4 Conclusions	24
8	References	28

Tables

Table 1.	Dangerous Wastes Identified on the SST System Part A Permit Application and Mobility Evaluation	2
Table 2.	WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Maximum Results and Comparison to Hanford Site Background	7
Table 3.	Mobile Dangerous Waste Identified in the SST System Unit Group Retained as Potential Monitoring Constituents.....	12
Table 4.	Constituents Detected above Background Concentrations in the WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Data Set	14
Table 5.	Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents	17
Table 6.	Comparison of Maximum Interim Status Groundwater Monitoring Results of Nondangerous Waste Constituents to Action Levels	25
Table 7.	Proposed Groundwater Monitoring Constituents for WMA U.....	26

This page intentionally left blank.

Terms

CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
ECF	environmental calculation file
HEIS	Hanford Environmental Information System
PQL	practical quantitation limit
SST	single-shell tank
WMA	waste management area

This page intentionally left blank.

1 Purpose

This environmental calculation file evaluates the waste constituents associated with Waste Management Area (WMA) U and constituents that were detected in groundwater during interim status monitoring to identify proposed groundwater monitoring constituents.

2 Background

WMA U is one of the inactive single-shell tank (SST) farms in the SST System unit group, which will be modified into the future Revision 9 of WA7890008967, *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit (Site-Wide Permit)*, as a final status dangerous waste management unit. Site-specific monitoring constituents are required to support final status groundwater monitoring in accordance with WAC 173-303-645, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Releases from Regulated Units."

3 Methodology

The dangerous wastes identified in WA7890008967, *Hanford Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Permit, Dangerous Waste Portion for the Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Dangerous Waste*, Revision 8c (hereinafter referred to as the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit) Part A Permit Application for the SST System and the groundwater sample results collected for WMA U during interim status monitoring were evaluated to identify potential monitoring constituents for the WMA. The use of the Part A Permit Application information and groundwater sample data are discussed in the following subsections.

3.1 Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Part A Application Dangerous Wastes

The Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Part A application for the SST System identifies the dangerous wastes associated with the unit group, which includes the WMA U SSTs. The wastes are identified by waste code in Section 2.3 in SGW-60578, Regulator Review Draft, *Engineering Evaluation Report For Single Shell Tank Waste Management Area U Groundwater Monitoring*. A list of specified dangerous wastes and corresponding Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) numbers was compiled using the waste codes and represents the Part A Permit Application dangerous waste data set (Table 1).

The dangerous wastes were screened to identify mobile constituents by comparing literature reference values for constituent distribution coefficient (K_d) to a Hanford Site-derived K_d value of 0.8 mL/g that was developed and applied to hexavalent chromium (a known mobile constituent in Hanford vadose soils) (Section 6.1 in ECF-Hanford-11-0165, *Evaluation of Hexavalent Chromium Leach Test Data Conducted on Vadose Zone Sediment Samples from the 100 Area*). Constituents with a $K_d \leq 0.8$ mL/g were identified as mobile constituents and further evaluated as potential monitoring constituents (Table 1). If a reference K_d value was not available for a constituent, the constituent was conservatively retained for further evaluation. If a reference soil organic carbon-water partition coefficient (K_{oc}) value was available for a constituent, a K_d value was derived using the following relationship:

$$K_{oc} = (100 \times K_d) \div (\% OM)$$

where:

% OM = assumed soil organic carbon content of 0.1 weight percent

Table 1. Dangerous Wastes Identified on the SST System Part A Permit Application and Mobility Evaluation

Dangerous Waste Code	Constituent	CAS Number	K _d (mL/g)*	K _d Reference	Is K _d ≤0.8 mL/g? (Yes / No / N/A)	Retain as Potential Monitoring Constituent? (Yes / No / Evaluate)
D004	Arsenic	7440-38-2	29	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D005	Barium	7440-39-3	41	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D006	Cadmium	7440-43-9	6.7	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D007	Chromium	7440-47-3	1000	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D008	Lead	7439-92-1	10,000	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D009	Mercury	7439-97-6	52	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D010	Selenium	7782-49-2	5	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D011	Silver	7440-22-4	8.3	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D018	Benzene	71-43-2	0.062	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D019	Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5	0.152	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D022	Chloroform	67-66-3	0.053	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D028	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	0.038	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D029	1,1-Dichloroethylene	75-35-4	0.065	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D030	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	0.0955	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D033	Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	53.7	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D034	Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	1.78	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D035	Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	0.0045	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
D036	Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	0.119	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes

2

Table 1. Dangerous Wastes Identified on the SST System Part A Permit Application and Mobility Evaluation

Dangerous Waste Code	Constituent	CAS Number	K_d (mL/g)*	K_d Reference	Is K_d ≤0.8 mL/g? (Yes / No / N/A)	Retain as Potential Monitoring Constituent? (Yes / No / Evaluate)
D038	Pyridine	110-86-1	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
D039	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	0.265	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D040	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	0.094	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
D041	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	1.597	Ecology, 2015	No	No
D043	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	0.0186	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F001	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.135	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F001	Methylene chloride	75-09-2	0.01	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F001	Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5	0.152	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F001	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	0.265	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F001	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	0.094	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F002	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.135	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F002	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	76-13-1	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F002	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	0.075	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F002	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	0.224	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F002	Methylene chloride	75-09-2	0.01	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F002	Ortho-dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	0.379	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes

Table 1. Dangerous Wastes Identified on the SST System Part A Permit Application and Mobility Evaluation

Dangerous Waste Code	Constituent	CAS Number	K _d (mL/g)*	K _d Reference	Is K _d ≤0.8 mL/g? (Yes / No / N/A)	Retain as Potential Monitoring Constituent? (Yes / No / Evaluate)
F002	Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4	0.044	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
F002	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	0.265	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F002	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	0.094	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F003	Acetone	67-64-1	0.0006	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F003	Cyclohexanone	108-94-1	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F003	Ethyl acetate	141-78-6	0.0056	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
F003	Ethyl benzene	100-41-4	0.204	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F003	Ethyl ether	60-29-7	0.0097	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
F003	Methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1	0.013	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
F003	Methanol	67-56-1	0.0010	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
F003	N-Butyl alcohol	71-36-3	0.00692	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F003	Xylene	1330-20-7	0.233	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F004	Cresols	1319-77-3	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F004	Cresylic acid	93-51-6	N/A	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F004	Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	0.119	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F005	2-Ethoxyethanol	110-80-5	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F005	2-Nitropropane	79-46-9	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F005	Benzene	71-43-2	0.062	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes

Table 1. Dangerous Wastes Identified on the SST System Part A Permit Application and Mobility Evaluation

Dangerous Waste Code	Constituent	CAS Number	K _d (mL/g)*	K _d Reference	Is K _d ≤ 0.8 mL/g? (Yes / No / N/A)	Retain as Potential Monitoring Constituent? (Yes / No / Evaluate)
F005	Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	0.0457	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes
F005	Isobutanol	78-83-1	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F005	Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	0.0045	ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3	Yes	Yes
F005	Pyridine	110-86-1	Not available	N/A	N/A	Evaluate
F005	Toluene	108-88-3	0.14	Ecology, 2015	Yes	Yes

Source: WA7890008967, Hanford Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Permit, Dangerous Waste Portion for the Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Dangerous Waste, Revision 8c.

Notes:

Ecology, 2015, Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC) database.

ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, Rev. 3, Groundwater and Surface Water Cleanup Levels and Distribution Coefficients for Nonradiological and Radiological Analytes in the 100 Areas and 300 Area.

The specific dangerous wastes associated with "F"-code wastes were obtained from WAC 173-303-9904, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Dangerous Waste Sources List."

This table identifies specific dangerous wastes identified from the waste codes included in the SST System Part A Application. Characteristic wastes (D001, D002, and D003) and state-only wastes (WP01, WP02, WT01, and WT02) (waste codes assigned based on waste designation) are included in the SST System Part A Application but are not identified in this table.

* For organic constituents, the K_d is calculated from the K_{OC} value. The K_d calculations assume a value of 0.001 g/g for the soil fraction of organic carbon.

CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service

K_d = distribution coefficient

N/A = not applicable

Solving this equation for K_d :

$$K_d = (K_{OC} \times \% OM) \div 100$$

3.2 Interim Status Groundwater Monitoring Results

Appendix A of SGW-60578 includes a summary of the interim status groundwater monitoring history at WMA U through 2016, including changes to the well network and monitoring constituents. Groundwater sample results collected under interim status monitoring plans are presented for each well. Sample data through December 31, 2016, were retrieved from the Hanford Environmental Information System (HEIS) database and are presented in separate Microsoft® Excel workbooks in SGW-60578, Appendix A.

The nonradiological sample data for each well (excluding wells used for information purposes only) were evaluated to determine the maximum measurement result for each detected chemical constituent. Sample data that were qualified with either “U” or an “R” qualifier were not considered in the evaluation.¹ Field parameters (e.g., dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, temperature, turbidity, etc.), alkalinity measurements, and nonanalyte-specific measures (e.g., total organic carbon and total organic halides) were not considered in the evaluation. The maximum result for each detected chemical was compared to the Hanford Site 90th percentile groundwater background values, as appropriate (Table ES-1 in DOE/RL-96-61, *Hanford Site Background: Part 3, Groundwater Background*) (Table 2). Chemicals detected above background values and chemicals without background values were retained for evaluation as potential monitoring constituents.

3.3 Final Monitoring Constituent Evaluation

The constituents retained as potential monitoring constituents in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 were compiled. A final evaluation identified potential monitoring constituents to be included as proposed monitoring constituents to detect and monitor wastes from WMA U that impact groundwater.

The initial step of this evaluation identified those potential monitoring constituents which are also listed in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407, *Chemical Test Methods For Designating Dangerous Waste WAC 173-303-090 & -100*. Monitoring for the dangerous wastes identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 is already prescribed for WMA U (Section 9.4 in SGW-60578). Therefore, the potential monitoring constituents that are also listed in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 were identified as proposed monitoring constituents.

The remaining potential monitoring constituents were evaluated in two groups:

- The first group comprised the potential monitoring constituents identified from the SST System Part A Permit Application (Section 3.1) that are not identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407. Each of these constituents is a dangerous waste.
- The second group comprised the potential monitoring constituents identified from evaluation of the interim status groundwater results (Section 3.2) that were not identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 and were not identified from the Part A Permit Application.

® Microsoft and Excel are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and other countries.

¹ Data flagged with a “U” qualifier are analyzed for but not detected. Data flagged with an “R” qualifier are determined during formal data reviews as not valid for any use.

Table 2. WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Maximum Results and Comparison to Hanford Site Background

Well	Constituent	Sample Date	Sample Result (µg/L)	Filtered (Yes / No)	Laboratory Qualifier	Review Qualifier	Validation Qualifier	Hanford Site Background Comparison			
								Background Value Available? (Yes / No)	90 th Percentile (µg/L)*	Filtered (Yes / No)	Maximum Value Above Background? (Yes / No)
299-W19-44	1,2-Dichloroethane	7/26/2011	1.1	No	—	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-30	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2/3/1997	240	No	D	Y	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-30	2-Butanone	10/7/1999	2	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-45	2-Hexanone	7/26/2011	0.54	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-25	2,4-Dinitrophenol	2/17/1998	2	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-32	2-Methylphenol (cresol, o-)	7/20/1993	2.4	No	L	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-32	Acetone	11/13/1992	54	No	B	Q	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-31	Aluminum	6/21/1993	740	No	—	—	—	Yes	7.11	Yes	Yes
299-W19-44	Ammonia	7/11/2014	46.9	No	B	Z	—	Yes	113	No	No
299-W18-30	Ammonium ion	7/20/1992	200	No	—	G	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-31	Ammonium ion	7/20/1992	200	No	—	G	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-47	Antimony	4/5/2012	105	No	B	—	—	Yes	55.1	Yes	Yes
299-W19-31	Arsenic	4/21/1992	13	No	—	F	—	Yes	7.85	Yes	Yes
299-W19-44	Barium	5/25/2006	99.6	Yes	B	—	—	Yes	105	Yes	No
299-W19-32	Benzene	9/22/1994	0.094	No	L	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-30	Beryllium	8/10/2008	5.5	No	B	Y	—	Yes	2.29	Yes	Yes
299-W19-41	Boron	10/8/2013	34.8	No	D	—	—	Yes	36	Yes	No
299-W18-30	Bromodichloromethane	7/20/2011	0.36	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-31	Bromide	2/20/1996	110	No	L	—	—	Yes	124	No	No
299-W18-30	Cadmium	8/10/2008	9.7	No	B	Y	—	Yes	0.916	Yes	Yes
299-W19-44	Calcium	8/3/2006	59,600	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	52,644	No	Yes
299-W19-45	Carbon disulfide	7/26/2011	0.063	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-30	Carbon tetrachloride	2/3/1997	1000	No	—	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-41	Chloride	8/20/2004	93,500	No	CD	Y	—	Yes	15,630	No	Yes
299-W18-40	Chloroform	2/7/2002	26	No	B	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-32	Chromium	7/20/1993	620	No	—	Q	—	Yes	2.4	Yes	Yes
299-W19-32	Chromium	7/20/1993	100	Yes	—	Q	—	Yes	2.4	Yes	Yes

Table 2. WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Maximum Results and Comparison to Hanford Site Background

Well	Constituent	Sample Date	Sample Result (µg/L)	Filtered (Yes / No)	Laboratory Qualifier	Review Qualifier	Validation Qualifier	Hanford Site Background Comparison			
								Background Value Available? (Yes / No)	90 th Percentile (µg/L)*	Filtered (Yes / No)	Maximum Value Above Background? (Yes / No)
299-W19-42	Cobalt	4/4/2013	16.5	No	B	—	—	Yes	0.916	Yes	Yes
299-W19-41	Copper	5/3/2001	98.4	Yes	—	Y	—	Yes	0.81	Yes	Yes
299-W18-30	Cyanide	9/20/1993	2	No	BL	—	—	Yes	8.41	No	No
299-W19-32	Diethylphthalate	7/20/1993	63	No	—	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-32	Endosulfan sulfate	7/20/1993	0.055	No	L	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-32	Ethylbenzene	9/22/1994	0.079	No	L	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-32	Fluoride	9/22/1994	1,000	No	—	—	—	Yes	1047	No	No
299-W19-32	Iron	4/22/1992	5,300	No	—	—	—	Yes	570	Yes	Yes
299-W19-32	Lead	3/5/1993	45	No	—	—	—	Yes	0.917	Yes	Yes
299-W19-44	Magnesium	2/17/2006	19,300	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	24,816	Yes	No
299-W18-31	Manganese	4/22/1992	450	No	—	—	—	Yes	38.5	Yes	Yes
299-W19-44	Mercury	1/6/2012	0.245	No	BD	—	—	Yes	0.003	Yes	Yes
299-W19-32	Methylene chloride	9/27/1994	3	No	BJ	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-41	Molybdenum	10/8/2013	8.7	No	D	—	—	Yes	3.21	Yes	Yes
299-W19-32	Nickel	2/3/1997	413	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	1.56	Yes	Yes
299-W19-41	Nitrate	10/27/2004	131,000	No	D	YQ	—	Yes	26,871	No	Yes
299-W18-31	Nitrite	6/28/1994	500	No	—	—	—	Yes	93.7	No	Yes
299-W18-30	Perchlorate anion	9/20/1993	400	No	L	Q	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-31	Perchlorate anion	9/20/1993	400	No	L	Q	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-31	Phenol	9/20/1993	0.5	No	L	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-44	Potassium	8/28/2007	7,940	Yes	BD	—	—	Yes	9,122	No	No
299-W19-45	Selenium	7/26/2011	11.7	No	D	—	—	Yes	10.5	Yes	Yes
299-W18-30	Silver	4/25/2011	41	Yes	C	Y	—	Yes	5.28	Yes	Yes
299-W19-44	Sodium	8/3/2006	27,200	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	26,998	No	Yes
299-W19-44	Strontium	11/13/2008	331	Yes	—	Y	—	Yes	323	Yes	Yes
299-W19-41	Sulfate	10/27/2004	94,700	No	D	Y	—	Yes	47,014	No	Yes
299-W19-41	Sulfide	7/20/2011	800	No	B	—	—	Yes	2.19	Yes	Yes

Table 2. WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Maximum Results and Comparison to Hanford Site Background

Well	Constituent	Sample Date	Sample Result (µg/L)	Filtered (Yes / No)	Laboratory Qualifier	Review Qualifier	Validation Qualifier	Hanford Site Background Comparison			
								Background Value Available? (Yes / No)	90 th Percentile (µg/L)*	Filtered (Yes / No)	Maximum Value Above Background? (Yes / No)
299-W19-45	Tetrachloroethene	2/7/2002	0.37	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-31	Tin	11/10/1992	200	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	21.6	Yes	Yes
299-W19-42	Toluene	7/18/2011	0.16	No	J	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-30	Trichloroethene	8/22/1997	2.6	No	—	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W18-25	Vanadium	2/22/1999	59	Yes	—	Q	—	Yes	11.5	Yes	Yes
299-W19-42	Vanadium	5/8/2000	58	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	11.5	Yes	Yes
299-W19-32	Xylenes (total)	9/22/1994	0.1	No	L	—	—	No	N/A	N/A	Yes
299-W19-42	Zinc	5/27/1999	1,190	Yes	—	Y	—	Yes	21.8	Yes	Yes

* The 90th percentile background values for groundwater were obtained from Table ES-1 in DOE/RL-96-61, *Hanford Site Background: Part 3, Groundwater Background*.

N/A = not applicable

QC = quality control

Qualifiers:

B = INORGANICS and WETCHEM – The analyte was detected at a value less than the contract-required detection limit but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit/method detection limit (as appropriate).

B = ORGANICS – The analyte was detected in both the associated QC blank and in the sample.

C = INORGANICS/WETCHEM – The analyte was detected in both the sample and the associated QC blank, and the sample concentration was ≤5 times the blank concentration.

D = All – Analyte was identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor, typically dilution factor >1 (i.e., the primary preparation required dilution to either bring the analyte within the calibration range or to minimize interference). Required for organics/Wetchem if the sample was diluted.

F = Review qualifier – The result is undergoing further review.

G = Review qualifier – Record has been reviewed and determined to be correct, or the record has been corrected with laboratory confirmation or other supporting information.

J = Laboratory qualifier: ORGANICS – Estimated value; (1) constituent detected at a level less than the required detection limit or practical quantitation limit and greater than or equal to the method detection limit, (2) estimated concentration for tentatively identified compounds.

Note: For Hanford Environmental Information System data generated prior to December 1, 2002, laboratories may have applied a “J” qualifier to nonorganic results. When applied, application was based primarily on criteria comparable to statement (1) above. Prior to January 1998, validation qualifiers (including “J”) were recorded in the LAB_QUALIFIER field without identification as validation qualifiers.

L = Laboratory qualifier – Method detection limit less than or equal to value and less than contract-required quantitation limit [RETIRED].

Q = Review qualifier – Associated QC sample is out of limits.

Y = Review qualifier – Result suspect. Review; insufficient evidence to show result valid or invalid.

Z = Review qualifier – Miscellaneous circumstances exist. Additional information may be found in the RESULT_COMMENT field for this record and/or in the SAMP_COMMENT field of the parent sample record.

This page intentionally left blank.

The remaining potential monitoring constituents from the first group (Part A Permit Application) were evaluated for availability of analysis. Any constituent that is not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories was removed from consideration. The potential monitoring constituents in the first group that were not excluded due to unavailability of analysis were identified as proposed monitoring constituents.

The potential monitoring constituents in the second group (interim status groundwater results) that were not already identified as proposed monitoring constituents through the preceding evaluation of the Part A constituents were evaluated as follows:

- Constituents were evaluated to determine if any were dangerous wastes. Any constituent identified as a dangerous waste was identified as a proposed monitoring constituent
- Any remaining constituents were evaluated individually for one or more of the following:
 - Identifying related chemicals (e.g., parent compounds and isomers) that were already identified as proposed monitoring constituents (evaluated on a case-by-case basis).
 - Identifying any potential monitoring constituent that is not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories. Any potential monitoring constituent that is not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories was removed from consideration as a proposed monitoring constituent.
 - Comparing the maximum groundwater concentration of the potential monitoring constituent to the federal or state action level (evaluated on a case-by-case basis).
 - Determining if a potential monitoring constituent was identified as present in the WMA U SSTs during leak events (Table 2-2 in SGW-60578) (evaluated on a case-by-case basis).

4 Assumptions and Inputs

The primary inputs to this calculation were the SST System Part A Permit Application and the HEIS analytical data associated with WMA U interim status groundwater monitoring. The SST System Part A Permit Application is assumed to be descriptive and representative of the known and suspected contents of the WMA U SSTs. It is assumed that the HEIS data are accurate and valid measurements of contaminant conditions in groundwater associated with WMA U.

Nondetected sample data (with a “U” qualifier) in the interim status groundwater monitoring data set are assumed to be not present and were not further evaluated.

5 Software Applications

Microsoft Excel software is an approved and appropriate application for this calculation and was used to perform sorting and basic summary calculations.

6 Calculation

The evaluations detailed in this calculation are summarized in the identified tables. Appendix A of SGW-60578 provides the data for interim status groundwater monitoring.

7 Results and Conclusions

Based on the evaluations of waste constituents associated with WMA U from the SST System Part A Permit Application and constituents that were detected in groundwater during interim status monitoring (detailed in Chapter 3), proposed groundwater monitoring constituents for WMA U were identified.

7.1 Results from Evaluation of Dangerous Wastes from the SST System Part A Application

Forty-six distinct dangerous wastes were identified from the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Part A Application for the SST System unit group, which includes the WMA U SSTs (Table 1). Further screening for constituent mobility identified 27 mobile constituents with a $K_d \leq 0.8$ that were retained for further evaluation as potential monitoring constituents (Tables 1 and 3). Eight constituents did not have associated K_d values and, therefore, were not evaluated for mobility (Table 1). However, these eight constituents were conservatively retained for further evaluation as potential monitoring constituents (Table 3).

Table 3. Mobile Dangerous Waste Identified in the SST System Unit Group Retained as Potential Monitoring Constituents

Dangerous Waste Code	Waste Constituent	CAS Number
D018	Benzene	71-43-2
D019	Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5
D022	Chloroform	67-66-3
D028	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2
D029	1,1-Dichloroethylene	75-35-4
D030	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2
D035	Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3
D036	Nitrobenzene	98-95-3
D038	Pyridine*	110-86-1
D039	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4
D040	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6
D043	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4
F001	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6
F001	Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5
F001	Methylene chloride	75-09-2
F001	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4
F001	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6
F002	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6

Table 3. Mobile Dangerous Waste Identified in the SST System Unit Group Retained as Potential Monitoring Constituents

Dangerous Waste Code	Waste Constituent	CAS Number
F002	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane*	76-13-1
F002	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5
F002	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7
F002	Methylene chloride	75-09-2
F002	Ortho-dichlorobenzene	95-50-1
F002	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4
F002	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6
F002	Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4
F003	Acetone	67-64-1
F003	Cyclohexanone*	108-94-1
F003	Ethyl acetate	141-78-6
F003	Ethyl benzene	100-41-4
F003	Ethyl ether	60-29-7
F003	Methanol	67-56-1
F003	Methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1
F003	N-Butyl alcohol	71-36-3
F003	Xylene	1330-20-7
F004	Cresols*	1319-77-3
F004	Cresylic acid*	93-51-6
F004	Nitrobenzene	98-95-3
F005	2-Ethoxyethanol*	110-80-5
F005	2-Nitropropane*	79-46-9
F005	Carbon disulfide	75-15-0
F005	Isobutanol*	78-83-1
F005	Toluene	108-88-3

* No established distribution coefficient is available for constituent; therefore, mobility was not evaluated.

CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service

7.2 Results from Evaluation of Groundwater Data Collected under Interim Status Monitoring Plans

The maximum result for each detected chemical in the WMA U interim status groundwater monitoring data set was compiled and compared to the Hanford Site 90th percentile groundwater background values (Table 2). Constituents that were detected above background values (N = 50) and non-naturally-occurring constituents that do not have background values were retained as potential monitoring constituents (Table 4).

Table 4. Constituents Detected above Background Concentrations in the WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Data Set

CAS Number	Constituent
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane
108-88-3	Toluene
108-95-2	Phenol
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)
14797-55-8	Nitrate
14797-65-0	Nitrite
14797-73-0	Perchlorate anion
14798-03-9	Ammonium ion
14808-79-8	Sulfate
16887-00-6	Chloride
18496-25-8	Sulfide
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol
56-23-5	Carbon tetrachloride
591-78-6	2-Hexanone
67-64-1	Acetone
67-66-3	Chloroform
71-43-2	Benzene
7429-90-5	Aluminum
7439-89-6	Iron
7439-92-1	Lead

**Table 4. Constituents Detected above Background Concentrations
in the WMA U Interim Status Groundwater Data Set**

CAS Number	Constituent
7439-96-5	Manganese
7439-97-6	Mercury
7439-98-7	Molybdenum
7440-02-0	Nickel
7440-22-4	Silver
7440-23-5	Sodium
7440-24-6	Strontium
7440-31-5	Tin
7440-36-0	Antimony
7440-38-2	Arsenic
7440-41-7	Beryllium
7440-43-9	Cadmium
7440-47-3	Chromium
7440-48-4	Cobalt
7440-50-8	Copper
7440-62-2	Vanadium
7440-66-6	Zinc
7440-70-2	Calcium
75-09-2	Methylene chloride
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane
7782-49-2	Selenium
78-93-3	2-Butanone
79-01-6	Trichloroethene
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol (cresol, o-)

CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service

7.3 Results from Final Monitoring Constituent Evaluation

Seventy-two distinct constituents were retained as potential monitoring constituents from the evaluations detailed in Sections 7.1 and 7.2. These constituents were identified by CAS number and are compiled in Table 5.

As described in Section 3.3, potential monitoring constituents that are also listed in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 were identified (Table 5). Monitoring for the dangerous wastes listed in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 has already been prescribed for WMA U. Therefore, the 50 potential monitoring constituents that are also included in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 were identified as proposed monitoring constituents.

The remaining potential monitoring constituents (N = 22) were evaluated in two groups (Table 5 provides details of the evaluation outcomes for these constituents):

- The first group comprised the potential monitoring constituents identified from the SST System Part A Permit Application (Section 3.1) that are not included in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407. Each of these constituents is a dangerous waste.
- The second group comprised the potential monitoring constituents identified from the evaluation of the interim status groundwater results (Section 3.2) that are not included in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 and were not identified from the Part A Permit Application.
- The remaining potential monitoring constituents in the first group (N = 9) were evaluated for availability of analysis (Table 5). Two of the potential monitoring constituents are not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories and were removed as a potential monitoring constituents. Each of the remaining potential monitoring constituents from the first group (N = 7) were identified as proposed monitoring constituents (Table 5).

The remaining potential monitoring constituents in the first group (N = 9) were evaluated for availability of analysis (Table 5). Two of the potential monitoring constituents are not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories and were removed as a potential monitoring constituents. Each of the remaining potential monitoring constituents from the first group (N = 7) were identified as proposed monitoring constituents (Table 5).

The remaining potential monitoring constituents in the second group (N = 13) were evaluated as follows:

- Constituents that are also dangerous wastes were identified as proposed monitoring constituents.
- The remaining nondangerous constituents detected in groundwater were evaluated individually for one or more of the following:
 - Identifying related chemicals (e.g., parent compounds and isomers) that were already identified as proposed monitoring constituents
 - Identifying potential monitoring constituents that are not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories
 - Comparing the maximum groundwater concentration of the potential monitoring constituent to the federal or state action level (Table 6)
 - Determining if a potential monitoring constituent was identified as present in the WMA U SSTs during leak events (Table 2-2 in SGW-60578)

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source) ^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No) ^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent? ^c (Yes / No)
100-41-4	Ethyl benzene	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
100-41-4	Ethyl benzene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
108-10-1	Methyl isobutyl ketone	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
108-88-3	Toluene	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
108-88-3	Toluene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
108-94-1	Cyclohexanone	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
108-95-2	Phenol	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
110-80-5	2-Ethoxyethanol	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	No	No; not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories
110-86-1	Pyridine	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
1319-77-3	Cresols	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	Yes ^d	Yes

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source)^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No)^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent?^c (Yes / No)
1330-20-7	Xylene (total)	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
1330-20-7	Xylene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
141-78-6	Ethyl acetate	Yes (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
14797-55-8	Nitrate	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	Yes; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result greater than action level; identified in Table 2-2 ^e as present in WMA U SSTs during leaks
14797-65-0	Nitrite	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result less than action level
14797-73-0	Perchlorate anion	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste, no action level for screening
14798-03-9	Ammonium ion	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste, no action level for screening
14808-79-8	Sulfate	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result less than action level
16887-00-6	Chloride	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result less than action level
18496-25-8	Sulfide	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source) ^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No) ^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent? ^c (Yes / No)
56-23-5	Carbon tetrachloride	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
56-23-5	Carbon tetrachloride	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
591-78-6	2-Hexanone	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
60-29-7	Ethyl ether	Yes (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
67-56-1	Methanol	Yes (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
67-64-1	Acetone	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
67-64-1	Acetone	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
67-66-3	Chloroform	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
67-66-3	Chloroform	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
71-36-3	N-butyl alcohol	Yes (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
71-43-2	Benzene	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
71-43-2	Benzene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
7429-90-5	Aluminum	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	Yes; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum concentration greater than action level; identified in Table 2-2 ^e as present in WMA U SSTs during leaks

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source)^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No)^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent?^c (Yes / No)
7439-89-6	Iron	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	Yes; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum concentration greater than action level; identified in Table 2-2 ^e as present in WMA U SSTs during leaks
7439-92-1	Lead	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7439-96-5	Manganese	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	Yes; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum concentration greater than action level; identified in Table 2-2 ^e as present in WMA U SSTs during leaks
7439-97-6	Mercury	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result less than action level
7440-02-0	Nickel	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-22-4	Silver	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-23-5	Sodium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result less than action level
7440-24-6	Strontium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste; maximum result less than action level
7440-31-5	Tin	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-36-0	Antimony	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source)^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No)^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent?^c (Yes / No)
7440-38-2	Arsenic	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-41-7	Beryllium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-43-9	Cadmium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-47-3	Chromium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-48-4	Cobalt	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-50-8	Copper	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-62-2	Vanadium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-66-6	Zinc	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
7440-70-2	Calcium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	No	No; detected in groundwater above background value; not a dangerous waste, no action level for screening
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
75-15-0	Carbon disulfide	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethylene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source)^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No)^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent?^c (Yes / No)
76-13-1	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
7782-49-2	Selenium	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
78-83-1	Isobutanol	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
78-93-3	2-Butanone	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
78-93-3	Methyl ethyl ketone	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
79-01-6	Trichloroethylene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
79-46-9	2-Nitropropane	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	No	Yes; dangerous waste in SST System Part A
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
93-51-6	Cresylic acid	Evaluate (SST System Part A)	No	No; related compound included; not routinely analyzed in commercial laboratories ^f

Table 5. Evaluation of Potential Monitoring Constituents

CAS Number	Potential Monitoring Constituent	Retained for Evaluation as Monitoring Constituent (Source) ^a	Is Constituent Identified in Appendix 5? (Yes / No) ^b	Identified as Proposed Monitoring Constituent? ^c (Yes / No)
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol (cresol, o-)	Yes (Interim Status Detection)	Yes	Yes
95-50-1	Ortho-dichlorobenzene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	Yes (SST System Part A)	Yes	Yes

a. This column presents constituents that were identified as potential monitoring constituents from the evaluations detailed in Sections 7.1 and 7.2. "Source" identifies the specific data set evaluation from which the constituent was identified as a potential monitoring constituent. "Yes" indicates that the constituent has a K_d less than or equal to that of hexavalent chromium. "Evaluate" indicates that no K_d was available for comparison.

b. This column identifies potential monitoring constituents that are also dangerous wastes identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407, *Chemical Test Methods For Designating Dangerous Waste WAC 173-303-090 & -100*. The potential monitoring constituents that are also identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407 are identified as proposed monitoring constituents.

c. Rationale is provided for only those constituents that are not identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407.

d. The isomers of cresol (m-, p-, and o- cresol) are identified in Appendix 5 of Ecology Publication No. 97-407.

e. Table 2-2 in SGW-60578, *Engineering Evaluation Report For Single Shell Tank Waste Management Area U Groundwater Monitoring*, provides the nonradiological waste profiles for the WMA U SSTs during leak events.

f. Cresylic acid is a mixture of compounds and is characterized by the analysis of m-, o-, and p-cresol (cresols) and 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylphenol (CAS number 128-37-0). Cresols is included as a proposed monitoring constituent. 2,6-Di-t-butyl-4-methylphenol is not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories.

CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service

K_d = distribution coefficient

SST = single-shell tank

WMA = waste management area

None of the remaining 13 potential monitoring constituents are dangerous wastes, already identified for monitoring by related chemicals, or not routinely analyzed by commercial laboratories.

A comparison of the maximum concentration to the action level showed that 4 of the 13 nondangerous constituents exceeded the action level during the interim status monitoring period. Table 6 presents this comparison and identifies the sample date and the well that was sampled. Each of the four constituents exceeding the action level during the interim status monitoring period were identified in the waste profile for the WMA U SSTs during leak events (Table 2-2 in SGW-60578) and were identified as proposed monitoring constituents (Table 5). The remaining nine constituents were removed from consideration as potential monitoring constituents.

In summary, 61 constituents were identified as proposed monitoring constituents to detect and monitor any groundwater impacts from dangerous waste releases at WMA U. Four of the 61 constituents are nondangerous constituents that were quantified in groundwater above the applicable action level and were identified in the waste profile for the WMA U SSTs during leak events.

7.4 Conclusions

Based on the evaluation of the dangerous wastes identified from the SST System Part A Permit Application and groundwater data collected for WMA U under interim status monitoring plans, 61 waste constituents are identified as proposed monitoring constituents to detect and monitor any groundwater impacts from dangerous waste releases at WMA U (Table 7). Four of the 61 are nondangerous waste constituents that were quantified in groundwater above the applicable action level and were identified in the waste profile for the WMA U SSTs during leak events.

Table 6. Comparison of Maximum Interim Status Groundwater Monitoring Results of Nondangerous Waste Constituents to Action Levels

CAS Number	Constituent	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Well with Maximum Concentration	Sample Date of Maximum	Action Level (µg/L)	Action Level Basis	Maximum Concentration Exceeds Action Level? (Yes / No)
7429-90-5	Aluminum	740	299-W18-31	6/21/1993	50	40 CFR 143.3	Yes
14798-03-9	Ammonium ion	200	299-W18-30 299-W18-31	7/20/1992 7/20/1992	Not available	N/A	NA
7440-70-2	Calcium	59,600	299-W19-44	8/3/2006	Not available	N/A	NA
16887-00-6	Chloride	93,500	299-W19-41	8/20/2004	250,000	40 CFR 143.3	No
7439-89-6	Iron	5,300	299-W19-32	4/22/1992	300	40 CFR 143.3	Yes
7439-96-5	Manganese	450	299-W18-31	4/22/1992	50	40 CFR 143.3	Yes
7439-98-7	Molybdenum	8.7	299-W19-41	10/8/2013	80	WAC 173-340-720 (4)(b)(iii)(A) and (B)	No
14797-55-8	Nitrate	131,000	299-W19-41	10/27/2004	45,000	40 CFR 141.62	Yes
14797-65-0	Nitrite	500	299-W18-31	6/28/1994	1,000	40 CFR 141.62	No
14797-73-0	Perchlorate anion	400	299-W18-30 299-W18-31	9/20/1993 9/20/1993	Not available	N/A	NA
7440-23-5	Sodium	27,200	299-W19-44	8/3/2006	Not available	N/A	NA
7440-24-6	Strontium	331	299-W19-44	11/13/2008	9,600	WAC 173-340-720 (4)(b)(iii)(A) and (B)	No
14808-79-8	Sulfate	94,700	299-W19-41	10/27/2004	250,000	40 CFR 143.3	No

Notes:

40 CFR 143.3, National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations, "Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels."

WAC 173-340-720, "Model Toxics Control Act—Cleanup," "Groundwater Cleanup Standards."

N/A = not applicable

Table 7. Proposed Groundwater Monitoring Constituents for WMA U

Waste Constituent	CAS Number
Dangerous Waste Constituents	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	76-13-1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5
1,1-Dichloroethylene	75-35-4
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7
2,4-Dinitrophenol	51-28-5
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2
2-Hexanone (methyl butyl ketone)	591-78-6
2-Methylphenol (o-cresol)	95-48-7
2-Nitropropane	79-46-9
Acetone	67-64-1
Antimony	7440-36-0
Arsenic	7440-38-2
Benzene	71-43-2
Beryllium	7440-41-7
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4
Cadmium	7440-43-9
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0
Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7
Chloroform	67-66-3
Chromium	7440-47-3
Cobalt	7440-48-4
Copper	7440-50-8
Cresols	1319-77-3
Cyclohexanone	108-94-1
Diethyl phthalate	84-66-2
Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8

Table 7. Proposed Groundwater Monitoring Constituents for WMA U

Waste Constituent	CAS Number
Ethyl acetate	141-78-6
Ethyl ether	60-29-7
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4
Isobutanol	78-83-1
Lead	7439-92-1
Mercury	7439-97-6
Methanol	67-56-1
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	78-93-3
Methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1
Methylene chloride	75-09-2
N-butyl alcohol (1-Butanol)	71-36-3
Nickel	7440-02-0
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3
Ortho-dichlorobenzene	95-50-1
Phenol	108-95-2
Pyridine	110-86-1
Selenium	7782-49-2
Silver	7440-22-4
Sulfide	18496-25-8
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4
Tin	7440-31-5
Toluene	108-88-3
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6
Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4
Vanadium	7440-62-2
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4
Xylene (total)	1330-20-7
Zinc	7440-66-6

Table 7. Proposed Groundwater Monitoring Constituents for WMA U

Waste Constituent	CAS Number
Nondangerous Waste Constituents	
Aluminum	7429-90-5
Iron	7439-89-6
Manganese	7439-96-5
Nitrate	14797-55-8

CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service

8 References

- 40 CFR 143.3, "National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations," "Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels," *Code of Federal Regulations*. Available at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=4e32f1ae13171430ec577ff46796c27f&mc=true&node=pt40.25.143&rgn=div5#se40.25.143.13>.
- DOE/RL-96-61, 1997, *Hanford Site Background: Part 3, Groundwater Background*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: <http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/viewDoc?accession=D197226378>.
- ECF-Hanford-11-0165, 2012, *Evaluation of Hexavalent Chromium Leach Test Data Conducted on Vadose Zone Sediment Samples from the 100 Area*, Rev. 1, CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company, Richland, Washington. Available at: <http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/viewDoc?accession=0087250>.
- ECF-HANFORD-12-0023, 2014, *Groundwater and Surface Water Cleanup Levels and Distribution Coefficients for Nonradiological and Radiological Analytes in the 100 Areas and 300 Area*, Rev. 3, CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company, Richland, Washington. Available at: <http://pdw.hanford.gov/arpir/index.cfm/viewDoc?accession=1408041057>.
- Ecology, 2015, *Cleanup Levels and Risk Calculations (CLARC) database*, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington. Available at: <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/clarc/CLARCHome.aspx>.
- Ecology Publication No. 97-407, 2014, *Chemical Test Methods For Designating Dangerous Waste WAC 173-303-090 & -100*, Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Program, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington. Available at: <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/documents/97407.pdf>.
- SGW-60578, 2018, *Engineering Evaluation Report For Single Shell Tank Waste Management Area U Groundwater Monitoring*, Rev. 0, CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company, Richland, Washington.

WA7890008967, *Hanford Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Permit, Dangerous Waste Portion for the Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Dangerous Waste*, Revision 8c, as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology. Available at:

<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/nwp/permitting/hdwp/rev/8c/index.html>.

WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: <http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303>.

173-303-645, "Releases from Regulated Units."

173-303-090 & -100, "Chemical Test Methods For Designating Dangerous Waste."

173-303-9904, "Dangerous Waste Sources List."

WAC 173-340-720, "Model Toxics Control Act—Cleanup," "Groundwater Cleanup Standards," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at:

<http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-340-720>.

This page intentionally left blank.