

Department of Energy

Richland Operations Office P.O. Box 550 Richland, Washington 99352

SEP 30 1994

94-RSD-027

Mr. David Lundstrom, Section Manager Nuclear Waste Program State of Washington Department of Ecology 1315 W. 4th Avenue Kennewick, Washington 99336

Dear Mr. Lundstrom:

REQUEST TO REVISE HANFORD FACILITY PART A, FORM 3 FOR 100-D PONDS

This is in response to your letter to J. E. Rasmussen and J. F. Nemec, "Hanford Facility Part A, Form 3 for the 100-D Ponds (D-1-1, M-20-40)," dated August 25, 1994. The letter requested that the Hanford Facility Part A, Form 3 for the 100-D Ponds be revised to include pipes that formerly carried waste to that surface impoundment. Both the surface impoundment and the piping are located within the 100-DR-1 Operable Unit (OU), which is undergoing remediation with the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) as the lead regulatory agency.

The 100-D Ponds are being proposed for remediation and closure pursuant to the 100-D Ponds Closure Plan. All other waste sites within the 100-DR-1 OU, including process effluent pipelines, are being proposed for remediation in _36(040 the 100-DR-1 Operable Unit Focused Feasibility Study Report (DOE/RL-94-64, Draft A), and Proposed Plan for Interim Remedial Measures at the 100-DR-1 OU, (DOE/RL-94-100, Draft A) which were submitted to you on September 30, 1994. _38(011)

The 100-D Area process sewer system is quite extensive and only parts of the system have had contact with potentially dangerous waste that went to the 100-D Ponds. In keeping with the Hanford Facility Agreement and Consent Order and the Hanford Site Past Practice Strategy, it was decided to deal with the process sewer system as a whole. The 100-DR-1 Proposed Plan is the most appropriate and efficient mechanism for remediation of the 100-D Area process sewer.

In the letter of August 25, 1994, Ecology stated that piping, which formerly served the 100-D-Ponds surface impoundment, should be included in the Part A for that surface impoundment because the piping is "ancillary equipment." However, the definition of ancillary equipment found in WAC 173-303-040 applies only to tank systems, and not to surface impoundments. Since the 100-D Ponds are a surface impoundment, there is no regulatory requirement to include the process effluent pipelines in the Part A for the 109-D Ponds. Instead, the pipelines can be remediated more appropriately to a waste unit within the 100-DR-1 OU.

0038810

RECEIVED

E 21202618111919

Mr. David Lundstrom 94-RSD-027

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Ms. Nancy Werdel on 376-5500.

Sincerely, e Ras

James E. Rasmussen Acting Program Manager Office of Environmental Assurance, Permits and Policy

cc:

S. Alexander, Ecology J. Atwood, Ecology W. Burke, CTUIR R. Donahoe, BHI D. Duncan, Ecology J. Dunkirk, BHI A. Huckaby, Ecology M. Jaraysi, Ecology R. Jim, YIN E. Keen, BHI D. Lundstrom, Ecology L. Mihalik, CH2M Hill D. Nylander, Ecology D. Powaukee, Nez Perce D. Sherwood, EPA J. Stohr, Ecology S. Weil, BHI

Administrative Records, H6-08

SEP 30 1994