

START



0038538

STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

7601 W. Clearwater, Suite 102 • Kennewick, Washington 99336 • (509) 546-2990

March 17, 1994

Mr. Glen I. Goldberg
U.S. Department of Energy
P.O. Box 550, MSIN: A5-19
Richland, WA 99352

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

Re: Comments on the Sodium Dichromate Expedited Response Action
Assessment (DOE/RL-93-64, Revision 0)

32005

The Washington State Department of Ecology, as the lead agency, and the Environmental Protection Agency, as the support agency, have completed the review of Sodium Dichromate Expedited Response Action Assessment.

Our review comments indicate the need for clarification of certain areas. Furthermore, additional technical information is necessary to fulfill the scope of this report. Our comments are attached.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (509) 736-3015.

Sincerely,

Dib Goswami, Unit Manager
Nuclear Waste Program

DG:sl
Enclosure

cc: Paul Beaver, EPA
Administrative Record



9443292.0445

**COMMENT ON THE SODIUM DICHROMATE EXPEDITED RESPONSE ACTION
ASSESSMENT**

GENERAL COMMENTS

An executive summary of the report is required. This should include a complete summary of the activities and the results of the soil analysis. The summary should state the cost incurred in the cleanup action and how it differed from the estimated cost. The executive summary should also include the type and volume of material removed from the site and a brief summary of the various field screening methods.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Section 3.0, page 5-9

More information is required on the result(s) of various field screening methods and its applicability. It must identify the method(s) selected for the interpretation of the results and why.

Section 4.0, page 9-10

The cost figures in the tables should be in thousands. These numbers should be corrected accordingly. The estimated costs shown in the table for various cleanup activities do not match the estimated costs presented in the "Action Memorandum." These discrepancies must be clarified. If the cost estimates from the "Action Memorandum" were not used, the reason for doing so must be provided. A comparative analysis must be made between the cost estimated in the "Action Memorandum" and the actual cost.

9140-7672-116