10/31/97 16:59

DATE:

FROM:

TO:

HANFORD PROJECT DEPT ECOLOGY

ANDRAL RESOURCE MUS







001

97103 26 3

FAX

EDMC

CILAIR: (looff Talleni (360) 407-7112

ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY: Melanic Preumeer (509) 372-9108

COUNCIL MEMDERS & REPRESENTATIVES

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilia Indian Reservation Chris Burford

Nes Perce Tribe Dan Landcon

Sinte of Oregon Department of Energy -Susan Coburn Hughs

State of Washington Department of Loology -Geoff Tallent Department of Fleh and Wildlife -John Calleton -Joy McConnaughcy

U.S. Department of Recepty Jace Pannock James Zeisloft, Jr.

U.S. Department of the Interior Preston Sloeger Rurnau of Land Management -Jake Jakabooky U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service -Richard Roy

Yakama Indian Nation Wondell L. Hannigan Paul Ward October 31, 1997

Geoff Tallent - Ph. (360) 407-7112

Chris Burford John Carlton Barbara Harper Dan Landeen Larry Gadbois Susan Coburn Hughes Jake Jakabosky Melanie Preusser Jay McConnaughey Rick Roy Preston Sleeger Darci Teel Paul Ward Jamie Zeisloft (541) 278-5380 (360) 902-2938 (509) 943-8555 (208) 843-7378 (509) 376-2396 (509) 376-2396 (509) 536-1275 (509) 536-1275 (509) 372-9447 (509) 736-3030 (509) 765-9043 (503) 231-2361 (509) 372-9447 (509) 452-2503 (509) 376-7818

SUBJECT: ERDF Finding

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET): 2

COMMENTS:

As promised, attached is a draft ERDF finding. I chose a very supportive tone, but attempted to make it clear that the finding is limited to the phase 2 expansion. I also tried to cast the mitigation as an expectation for new construction in sensitive areas. I put reduction of NRDA liability as a secondary benefit. Although the finding clearly states that the mitigation would lessen any liability, it is purposefully noncommittal in how much that reduction would be. Finally, throughout the finding I made regulatory and policy ties to show USDOE project managers that this type of mitigation is appropriate and supported by the agency.

I'm sending this out as a review draft. <u>Please provide me with your comments by</u> <u>Monday, November 10</u>. I will then incorporate changes and send out a final version with a signature page and two-week notice.

Give me a call if you have any questions.

-Geoff

16:59

DRAFT - 10/31/97

HANFORD NATURAL RESOURCE TRUSTEE COUNCIL

FINDING 97-02

The Hanford Natural Resource Trustee Council (NRTC) strongly supports the U.S. Department of Energy's (USDOE) planned mitigation for the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF) Phase 2 expansion. Under the plan, 200 acres of naturally damaged shrub-steppe habitat on the Arid Lands Ecology Reserve will be restored using locally derived sage seed and tubelings. We find this to be appropriate mitigation for the loss of shrub-steppe habitat from construction of ERDF expansion.

This will be another in the increasing number of actions being taken by USDOE to mitigate for natural resource impacts from new construction. Such actions are consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the principals of stewardship embodied in USDOE's secretarial Land and Facility Use Policy. Specifically, the ERDF Phase 2 Expansion mitigation meets the project goals of integrating NEPA values into the Comprehensive Environmental Repose Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) decision making process. The mitigation is also consistent with the Hanford Site Biological Resources Mitigation Strategy.

More than good practices for new construction, the success of this restoration will clearly reduce the loss of habitat and related services that will result from the Phase 2 Expansion. That, in turn, will lessen any damages for which USDOE may be liable under the Natural Resource Damage Assessment provisions of CERCLA. By consulting with the NRTC and undertaking the mitigation, USDOE is furthering the trustees' mutual goal of reducing natural resource injuries early and cooperatively. In taking these actions, USDOE's Richland Operations Office is also complying with the recent Policy on Integration of Natural Resources Concerns into Response Actions.

The trustees look forward to the success of this and future mitigation and restoration projects.

CERTIFICATION

Finding 97-02 was adopted by consensus of the Hanford Natural Resource Council on with _____abstentions and ______separate opinions (attached).