




**Inter-Agency Management Integration Team (IAMIT)
Meeting Minutes
June 19, 2008**

Approval:  Date: 8/21/08
J. Hedges (H0-57)
Ecology IAMIT Representative

Approval:  Date: 8/22/08
M.S. McCormick (A5-11)
DOE IAMIT Representative

Approval:  Date: 8/21/08
N. Ceto (B1-46)
EPA IAMIT Representative, Chairperson

Minutes Prepared by:  Date: 8/26/08
T.W. Noland (H8-12)
Fluor Federal Services, Inc.

Bilson, B.	FH	H8-20	Morrison, R.D.*	YAH	A5-15
Black, D.G.	FH	H8-12	Niles, K.	OOE	
Bohnee, G	NPT		Noland, T.W.*	FFS	H8-12
Bond, R.*	Ecology	H0-57	Ollero, J.F.*	Ecology	H0-57
Brockman, D.A.	RL	A7-50	Piippo, R.E.*	FH	H8-12
Cameron, C.E.*	EPA	B1-46	Price, J.B.*	Ecology	H0-57
Ceto, N*.	EPA	B1-46	Rasmussen, J.E.*	YAH	A5-15
Charboneau, B.L.	RL	A6-33	Romine, L.D.*	RL	A6-33
Cimon, S.	ODE		Russell, R.W.	ORP	H6-60
Crane, T.M.*	FH	R3-60	Skinnarland, E.R.	Ecology	H0-57
Donnelly, J.W.	WCH	H4-22	Vance, J.G.	FFS	H8-12
Engelmann, R.H.	FH	H8-12	Weil, S.R.	RL	A5-16
Einan, D.R.	EPA	B1-46	Whalen, C.L.*	Ecology	H0-57
Faulk, D.A.	EPA	B1-46	Williams, J.D.	FH	E6-35
Franco, J.R.	RL	A3-04	Williamson, B.D.	RL	A4-52
Harris, S.	CTUIR		Woolery, W.C.*	RL	A6-33
Hedges, J.A.*	Ecology	H0-57	Administrative Record		H6-08
Henry, D.	OOE				
Hopkins, A.M.	FH	H7-28	* Attendees		
Horst, L.	OOE				
Huffman, L.A.	ORP	H6-60			
Gadbois, L.E.	EPA	B1-46			
Jim, R.	Yakama				
Knox, K.*	KCR				
McCormick, M.S.	RL	A5-11			

RECEIVED
 AUG 28 2008
EDMC

**Inter-Agency Management Integration Team (IAMIT)
Meeting Minutes
June 19, 2008**

221-U Facility RD/RA Work Plan Dispute

DOE-RL distributed an agenda (Attachment 1) for the meeting which had three agenda items for discussion:

1. Dispute Conclusion Written Agreement
2. Agreement in Principle (AIP) Agreement
3. Completion Agreement Section 8.3.3 Biennial Review and Update – Long Term Facility Decommissioning Plan

A handout for each of the above items was provided by DOE-RL and these handouts are attached

1. Dispute Conclusion Written Agreement (Attachment 2)

1) Schedule

The Tri-Parties agreed to the following:

- 221-U Cell 30 design activities will be accelerated with a new start date of 11/30/2013 and new end date of 12-31-2018.
- The balance of project schedule dates in the RD/RA work plan are accepted as proposed by RL in Draft B, with a caveat added noting that schedule dates may be modified as a result of the facility negotiations.
- U Plant ancillary facilities demolition will be accelerated with a start date of 11/30/2010 and end date of 09/30/2012.

Additionally, it was agreed that three months after the PRC performance measurement baseline is provided to DOE, a baseline schedule and draft HFFACO change package will be submitted with proposed U Zone closure milestones, including buildings and waste sites (these dates are likely to change those shown above with the dates for the 221-U Facility being potentially much sooner).

2) Cleanup Levels

3) DOE Denied Approval to Agree with Regulator Extending Document Reviews

4) Content of Added Surveillance and Maintenance Section

5) Performance Criteria Fill Material

Items 2 through 5

The cleanup levels, extension of document reviews, added surveillance and maintenance section, and performance criteria fill material topics were agreed to by the Tri-Parties as proposed.

The parties agreed to extend the dispute at the IAMIT level from June 20, 2008 to June 27, 2008 to allow time to incorporate the agreed to modifications into the dispute conclusion written agreement.

2. AIP Agreement (Attachment 3)

EPA tentatively approved the Agreement in Principle (AIP), pending review and internal discussion. Ecology will confer with the AG and provide any comments before consenting to final approval. EPA and Ecology agreed to respond by Wednesday, June 25, 2008.

3. Completion Agreement (Attachment 4)

The Tri-Parties agreed to strike the text in the last sentence that reads "negotiations are planned to occur through the summer," and replace it with "negotiations are expected to encompass the key facilities." The dates will also be included for the negotiations. Ecology will ensure the AG has reviewed the completion agreement and provide any comments. An extension will be provided if the June 30, 2008 due date for approval of the completion agreement if this date cannot be met.

Attachment 1

INTER AGENCY MANAGEMENT INTEGRATION TEAM MEETING

221-U FACILITY RD/RA WORK PLAN STATEMENT OF DISPUTE

AGENDA

6/19/2008

PURPOSE

To resolve the dispute with an IAMIT written decision, extend the dispute or elevate the dispute to the Senior Executive Committee (SEC)

- 1) Dispute conclusion written agreement
- 2) AIP Agreement
- 3) Completion Agreement Section 8.3.3 Biennial Review and Update - Long Term Facility Decommissioning Plan

Attachment 2



Tri-Party Agreement

HANFORD FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (HFFACO) RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE AGREEMENT AT THE INTER-AGENCY MANAGEMENT INTEGRATION TEAM (IAMIT) LEVEL FOR THE 221-U FACILITY RD/RA WORK PLAN

The purpose of this agreement is to document the resolution of dispute at the IAMIT level for the 221-U Facility remedial design remedial action (RD/RA) work plan. A statement of dispute was submitted to the IAMIT on March 27, 2008 concerning five topics, 1) Schedule dates, 2) clean-up levels, 3) regulator extension of document reviews, 4) surveillance and maintenance, and 5) performance fill criteria. The IAMIT has agreed that the dispute has been resolved and the five topics are to be addressed as follows:

Topic 1 Schedule

RL has agreed to accelerate the 221-U Cell 30-related design work and incorporate the new dates into the RD/RA Work Plan schedule. The new start date for design will be 11/30/2013 and the new end date will be 12/31/2018. RL has also agreed to accelerate demolition of the U Plant ancillary facilities (211-U, 211-UA, 224-U and 224-UA) and update the associated removal action work plan. The restart date for U Plant ancillary facilities removal action activities will be 11/30/2010 and the new completion date will be 09/30/2012 (subject to change due to Central Plateau Facility Disposition negotiations, approval of Plateau Remediation Contract performance measurement baseline, and site priorities). The remaining 221-U Facility key schedule dates will remain as proposed by RL with a caveat added that schedule dates may be modified as a result of the facility negotiations that will follow after approval of the Agreement-In-Principle.

Topic 2 Clean-up Levels

The Tri-Parties agreed to strike the proposed language in the RD/RA Work Plan that specifies Hanford Site background levels will be used as clean-up levels for unintentional release of material occurring with the scope of the RD/RA Work Plan. DOE will continue to use established spill response procedures for response to spills in the CERCLA areas.

Topic 3 Regulator Extension of Document reviews

Consistent with the HFFACO, DOE does not have approval authority for document review extensions by the regulators. Strikeout text on p 6-2, line 23 of the regulator comment version of the RD/RA Work Plan will be accepted. The Agencies agree that design documents that are at 30% and 60% completion will be HFFACO secondary documents and follow the HFFACO 9.2.3 Secondary Documents review process. The duration of primary and secondary documents review shall be consistent with HFFACO section 9.2.

Topic 4 Surveillance and Maintenance

A description of surveillance and maintenance will be incorporated in the RD/RA Work Plan with a level of detail appropriate for a primary document and then point to the implementing documents. Contents of the S&M plan will be written with consideration for the extended length of time between the approval of the RD/RA Work Plan and initiation of physical remediation activities.

Topic 5 Performance Fill Criteria

A technical basis shall be developed and noted in the RD/RA Work Plan to document the performance criteria for the minimally contaminated fill material proposed for use beneath the 221-U barrier.

The IAMIT representatives agree that the five topics have been resolved per the descriptions above and this closes the dispute on the 221-U Facility RD/RA Work Plan primary document. The document will be updated with the resulting changes and submitted for approval within 90 days of the date of this agreement. The U Plant Ancillary Facilities removal action work plan will be updated to incorporate the new dates for demolition activities provided in this agreement. The removal action work plan will also be updated within 60 days of the date of this agreement. Closeout of the dispute is conditioned on the timely and accurate revision of these documents.

Matt McCormick,
Assistant Manager for the
Central Plateau
U. S. Department for Energy
Richland Operations Office

Nick Ceto, Program Manager
Office of Environmental Cleanup
U. S. Environmental Protection
Agency

Jane Hedges, Program Manager
Washington State
Department of Ecology

cc:

D. Brockman, RL
R. Bond, Ecology
R. Engelmann, FH
R. Skinnarland, Ecology
S. Weil, RL
W. Woolery, RL
Administrative Record (221-U Facility) H6-08

C. Cameron, EPA
L. Romine, RL
R. Piippo, RL
M. Voogd, RL
B. Williamson, RL

Attachment 3

NEGOTIATION OF HANFORD FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER REVISIONS FOR CENTRAL PLATEAU FACILITY DISPOSITION ACTIVITIES

The Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement) addresses the disposition of key Hanford Site facilities in Section 8.0 of the Action Plan, Facility Decommissioning Process. The disposition path, as well as the roles of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the lead regulatory agencies, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), are identified for facilities designated by the Tri-Party Agreement agencies as "key facilities" in Section 8.0. However, disposition path details for many facilities are not defined and have typically been determined on a case-by-case basis as the need arises. The Parties have entered into this Agreement in Principle to define the Parties' intent to commence negotiations and to establish the scope and schedule of the negotiations.

DOE, EPA, and Ecology agree to the following:

- A. To enter into negotiations by September 15, 2008 to identify revisions to the Tri-Party Agreement consistent with CERCLA Section 120, 40 CFR 300.5, Executive Order 12580, the May 22, 1995 DOE/EPA Policy on Decommissioning Department of Energy Facilities Under CERCLA, and that reflect the essential elements from Central Plateau facility binning management and technical discussions. These elements include:
 - 1.) Lead agency and lead regulatory agency responsibilities and interactions for disposition of specific structures;
 - 2.) Graded approach to lead regulatory agency involvement (e.g., degree of lead regulatory agency involvement increases with the level of hazard);
 - 3.) Lead regulatory agency approval requirements for implementation documents (e.g., removal or remedial design/remedial action work plans and sampling and analysis plans).
- B. To use changes to the Tri-Party Agreement to replace all or part of the Long-Term Facility Decommissioning Plan (DOE/RL-96-0046). This may require changes to Section 8 of the Action Plan which now requires the Long-Term Facility Decommissioning Plan to be updated and submitted for review and approval every two years.
- C. That the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) will be used as the regulatory framework for the disposition of Central Plateau structures. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) requirements for closure of Treatment, Storage, and Disposal (TSD) units will be integrated to the extent possible with CERCLA response action activities.
- D. To include in the negotiations, discussions on:
 - 1.) Milestones for implementation of the Record of Decision, 221-U Facility (Canyon Disposition Initiative), Hanford Site, Washington;

- 2.) Disposition of Tri-Party Agreement "key facilities" and potential other similar facilities for which milestones have not already been established;
 - 3.) Disposition of Central Plateau TSD structures;
 - 4.) Need for a major milestone to complete the disposition of all decommissioned Central Plateau facilities.
 - 5.) Applicability of Section 7.0 and Section 8.0 for facilities covered by a CERCLA Record of Decision.
- E. To revise the relevant sections of the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan to reflect the path forward as negotiated and agreed to after consideration of public comment.
 - F. That EPA and Ecology will serve in their capacities as regulatory agencies for facility disposition negotiations.
 - G. To provide briefings to the State of Oregon, affected Indian Nations, the Hanford Advisory Board, and other stakeholders pursuant to the Community Relations Plan.
 - H. To conclude negotiations no later than six months from initiation of negotiations, unless extensions are agreed to by all parties. Any of the Parties may terminate negotiations by written notice to the other Parties.
 - I. To conduct the negotiations consistent with any agreements of the larger Tri-Party Agreement discussions currently in progress.

_____ Date _____
Jay Manning, Director
State of Washington
Department of Ecology

_____ Date _____
David A. Brockman, Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office

_____ Date _____
Elin D. Miller, Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10

Attachment 4



Tri-Party Agreement

HANFORD FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (HFFACO) COMPLETION OF THE JUNE 30, 2008 BIENNIAL REVIEW AND UPDATE OF FACILITY/UNIT STATUS, LONG-TERM FACILITY DECOMMISSIONING PLAN AND ASSOCIATED AGREEMENTS

Per Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Article 8.3.3, Biennial Review and Update:

The parties will (1) conduct a biennial review of facility/unit status, the long-term facility decommissioning plan (LTFDP), and associated Agreement commitments; (2) discuss current priorities; (3) and assess what changes are necessary. Based on this review and the latest DOE guidance associated with the future use of the facilities, DOE will update and submit the long-term facility decommissioning plan and any draft changes addressing proposed Agreement modifications to EPA and Ecology.

The Parties acknowledge that the baseline review held during spring as part of the FY 2010 budget development fulfilled the 8.3.3 intent for a 2008 biennial review. The baseline review covered facility/unit status, current and future priorities and assessed changes. The baseline is considered a long-term roadmap depicting the approximate time periods that key facilities (or facility complexes) are expected to undergo transition, surveillance and maintenance, and/or disposition. The baseline roadmap is for use by the parties to assist in the planning process in order to integrate and prioritize work, and is not considered a committed schedule. Such commitments will be established under the Agreement following the Central Plateau Facility Disposition negotiation activities. Negotiations are planned to occur through the summer and are expected to encompass Central Plateau "Key" Facility scope and may result in impacting the LTFDP and its future management.

Matt McCormick,
Assistant Manager for the Office
Central Plateau
U. S. Department for Energy
Richland Operations Office

Nick Ceto, Program Manager
of Environmental Cleanup
U. S. Environmental Protection
Agency

Jane Hedges, Program Manager
Washington State
Department of Ecology

cc:

D. Brockman, RL
R. Bond, Ecology
R. Engelmann, FH
R. Skinnarland, Ecology
S. Weil, RL
W. Woolery, RL

C. Cameron, EPA
L. Romine, RL
R. Piippo, RL
M. Voogd, RL
B. Williamson, RL

Administrative Record (221-U Facility) H6-08



June 19, 2008

Interagency Management Integration Team

Place: EPA Conference Room, 309 Bradley Boulevard, Suite 115, Richland, WA
Time: 11:00 am – 11:30 am
Chairperson: Nick Ceto

Agenda

11:00 am 221-U Facility RD/RA Work Plan Dispute
11:30 am Adjourn IAMIT

