

Elzie, Teri L

0075357

0009,11550
mail

From: Aida_Farag@usgs.gov
Sent: Monday, September 11, 2000 12:59 PM
To: Brad_Frazier@r1.fws.gov; James_H_Jr_Zeisloft@RL.gov
Cc: Aaron_DeLonay@usgs.gov; brad_e_frazier@r1.fws.gov; Tom_OBrien@r1.fws.gov; Dan_Audet@r1.fws.gov; bill_shake@fws.gov; Eric_J_Baumann@rl.gov; DDTeel@mail.bhi-erc.com
Subject: Re: Interagency Agreement Performance Problems

Hello Everyone,

I realize it has been awhile since you all heard from me. I have returned from parental leave and found that many questions were asked about the DNA work during my absence. I will be able to answer all questions at our meeting in Lowell and I believe it will become clear that we have produced a strong research product to meet and exceed the requests of the trustees. I look forward to meeting with everyone soon and believe that any misunderstanding of our work and the progression of events will soon be explained to the satisfaction of all. Thanks.

Aida

RECEIVED
JAN 15 2008

EDMC

Reply Separator

Subject: Interagency Agreement Performance Problems
Author: James_H_Jr_Zeisloft@RL.gov at NBS-Internet-Gateway
Date: 9/11/00 12:21 PM

No, the meeting is for discussing the results after we've had a chance to review and analyze them. And the DNA results are now months late, with no opportunity to review them before the meeting (which was scheduled for decision making, not data review purposes).

USFWS seems to have forgotten whose work this is and who is paying for it. RL, not USFWS, is contractually in charge of this project. If we want the results (as specified in the contract documents), they are to be provided. And once again, they haven't been. Based on the persistent performance problems associated with this contract, we also need to discuss completion of the contract scope-of-work and close-out of the interagency agreement in a timely fashion. I will request that this issue be added to the meeting agenda.

Jamie

Jamie:

This issue will be dealt with in two ways at the meeting: (1) we will answer this question as a written response to RL's comment #13, which inquired about this, and (2) we can discuss this at the meeting, which is what this meeting is for.

Brad

James_H_Jr_Zeisl

oft@RL.gov

To:

AM
DNA samples not
meeting

Subject: RE: Substituting
possible; Agenda for September m

Folks,

Anybody out there? We still need an answer to the question below and we leave for Lowell tomorrow.

Jamie

-----Original Message-----

From: Zeisloft, James H Jr
Sent: Wednesday, September 06, 2000 5:17 PM
To: brad_e_frazier@r1.fws.gov; Aaron_DeLonay@usgs.gov;
Aida_Farag@usgs.gov
Cc: Tom_OBrien@r1.fws.gov
Subject: RE: Substituting DNA samples not possible; Agenda for September meeting

Brad,

What is the status of the ELS DNA sample analysis? We really should be looking over those results before (i.e. in preparation for) the meeting in Lowell.

Jamie

-----Original Message-----

From: Brad_Frazier@r1.fws.gov [mailto:Brad_Frazier@r1.fws.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2000 11:45 AM
To: susan.c.hughs@state.or.us
Cc: james_h_jr_zeisloft@rl.gov; gw_patton@pnl.gov;
TLElzie@mail.bhi-erc.com; Dan_Audet@r1.fws.gov
Subject: Substituting DNA samples not possible; Agenda for September meeting

Hi Susan:

The USGS samples from the early life stage study to be analyzed for DNA damage are already "in process." Therefore, it will not be possible to substitute the PNNL samples for analysis. We think it would be a good idea to do the DNA damage analyses for the PNNL samples if funding were available.

We estimate that about 3 hours should be enough time to focus on the Chromium/Salmon studies at the September meeting.

Please forward to others you think would like to know this information.

Thanks,
Brad