0060017

Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

JUL 25 2003

03-RCA-0316

Mr. Michael A. Wilson, Program Manager
Nuclear Waste Program
State of Washington
Department of Ecology
1315 West Fourth Avenue
Kennewick, Washington 99336

Dear Mr. Wilson:

SUBMITTAL OF INTERIM STATUS CLOSURE PLANS FOR PLUTONIUM FINISHING PLANT (PFP) RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) UNITS REQUIRED BY TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT MILESTONE M-83-30

Enclosed are the certified RCRA closure plans for the interim status units 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks and Glovebox HA-20MB associated with the PFP on the Hanford Site, as required by Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-83-30. The closure plans, certification, and associated State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) checklists are provided to the State of Washington Department of Ecology to begin the review process for incorporation into the Hanford Facility RCRA permit. This submittal completes Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-83-30.

If you have questions, please contact me, or your staff may contact Ellen Mattlin, Regulatory Compliance and Analysis Division, on (509) 376-2385.

Sincerely,

Michael H Schlender

Keith A. Klein (for)
Manager

RCA:EMM

Enclosures:
1. Certifications for DOE/RL-96-82, Rev. 1 and DOE/RL-2003-32, Rev. 0
2. Closure Plan DOE/RL-96-82, Rev. 1
3. Closure Plan DOE/RL-2003-32, Rev. 0
4. SEPA Checklist for 241-Z
5. SEPA Checklist for HA-20MB

cc: See page 2

JUL 25 2003

Mr. Michael A. Wilson
03-RCA-0316

cc w/encls:
J. Ayres, Ecology
D. Bartus, EPA
R. W. Bloom, FHI
R. Bond, Ecology
N. Ceto, EPA
L. D. Crass, FHI
L. J. Cusack, Ecology
R. H. Engelmann, FHI
R. Gay, CTUIR
R. H. Gurske, FHI
J. S. Hertzel, FHI
G. W. Jackson, FHI
R. Jim, YN
T. M. Martin, HAB
E. J. Murphy-Fitch, FHI
K. Niles, Oregon Energy
P. Sobotta, NPT
R. F. Stanley, Ecology
Admin Record

RL BRIEFING MEMORANDUM
CERTIFICATION OF THE CLOSURE PLAN
FOR
241-Z TREATMENT AND STORAGE TANKS

STATEMENT OF NEED

- TPA Milestone M-83-30 requires submittal to Ecology by July 31, 2003 of a certified closure plan for the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks TSD unit.

BACKGROUND

- 241-Z is within the fence line of the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) of the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site.
- 241-Z was constructed in 1949 and became a RCRA TSD unit in 1987 when a Part A, Form 3, was processed for interim status storage and treatment of radioactive, corrosive liquid waste from PFP operations.
- 241-Z consists of belowgrade stainless steel tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, and D-8, a concrete containment vault structure, and associated ancillary equipment and piping from PFP sources.
- 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks Closure Plan, DOE/RL-96-82, Revision 0, was submitted to Ecology 12/96 (M-20-48A) without formal Ecology response.
- Draft Revision 1 closure plan sent to Ecology May 2003 with minor comments received 6/12/03.

DISCUSSION

- Closure plan certification "in accordance with WAC 173-303-810(12) and (13)" (per M-83-30) is not required by regulations since certification requirements do not apply to interim status unit closure plans. This certification will be submitted to Ecology as an attachment to the transmittal letter – not as a part of the closure plan – to avoid the precedent of certifying closure plans.
- Closure plan will be formally approved following TPA, Figure 9-2, after which the plan will be incorporated into the HF RCRA Permit (as a new Part V closure unit).
- Clean closure of 241-Z is proposed. If 241-Z cannot be clean closed, it will be partially closed with final closure after disposition of TSD unit contamination by the appropriate CERCLA action(s).
- Closure activities are expected to begin in October 2003 and in parallel with the closure plan approval process with the goal of completing closure by 2005 (M-83-31 date to cease use of existing pipelines to DSTs). 241-Z closure plan activities are required to be completed by September 30, 2011 (M-83-32).

CONCURRENCE

- Closure plan incorporates comments received to date from RL and Ecology (Rick Bond).
- This closure plan has been certified by the President's Office of FH.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The 241-Z closure plan is ready for RL certification.

RL BRIEFING MEMORANDUM
CERTIFICATION OF THE CLOSURE PLAN
FOR
PLUTONIUM FINISHING PLANT TREATMENT UNIT GLOVEBOX HA-20MB

STATEMENT OF NEED

- TPA Milestone M-83-30 requires submittal to Ecology by July 31, 2003 of a certified closure plan for the Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit Glovebox HA-20MB TSD unit.

BACKGROUND

- The TSD boundary is glovebox HA-20MB in Room 235B of the 234-5Z Building which is in the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site.
- Glovebox HA-20MB measures approximately 4.7 meters long, by 1.5 meters wide, by 1.6 meters high.
- Sand, slag, and crucible (SS&C) waste was treated in glovebox HA-20MB by mixing with cement and water. Three 3-liter billets were filled as a result of this activity.
- The D003 characteristic (reactivity) has been treated by the cementation process, therefore the state-only characteristics, WSC2 and WT02, are the only waste numbers of concern for closure.

DISCUSSION

- Closure plan certification "in accordance with WAC 173-303-810(12) and (13)" (per M-83-30) is not required by regulations since certification requirements do not apply to interim status unit closure plans. This certification will be submitted to Ecology as an attachment to the transmittal letter – not as a part of the closure plan – to avoid the precedent of certifying closure plans.
- Closure plan will be formally approved following TPA, Figure 9-2, after which the plan will be incorporated into the HF RCRA Permit (as a new Part V closure unit).
- Clean closure of the HA-20MB is proposed. Closure will be accomplished either by physical removal and disposal of the glovebox or by decontamination to meet a visual or analytical clean closure standard. After clean closure the glovebox may continue to be used.
- Completion of closure will be timed to coincide with the PFP stabilization and transition in support of TPA MilestoneM-83-44.

CONCURRENCE

- Closure plan incorporates comments received to date from RL and Ecology.
- This closure plan has been certified by the President's Office of FH.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The HA 20-MB glovebox closure plan is ready for RL certification.

Enclosure 1

Certification of the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plans for 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks, DOE/RL-96-82, Revision 1, and the Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit Glovebox HA-20MB, DOE/RL-2003-32, Revision 0

Consisting of 2 pages,
Including cover page

Certification of the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plans for 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks, DOE/RL-96-82, Revision 1, and the Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit Glovebox HA-20MB, DOE/RL-2003-32, Revision 0

I certify under penalty of law that the closure plan documents, DOE/RL-96-82, Revision 1 and DOE/ RL-2003-32, Revision 0, were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Michael H. Schlender (for) 7/25/03

Owner/Operator
Keith A. Klein, Manager
U.S. Department of Energy,
Richland Operations Office

Date

D B Van Leuven 6/26/03

Co-operator
David B. Van Leuven,
President and Chief Executive Officer
Fluor Hanford

Date

Enclosure 2

Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plan for 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks, DOE/RL-96-82, Revision 1

Consisting of 72 pages,
Including cover page

DOE/RL-96-82
Revision 1

Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plan, 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management
Project Hanford Management Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

United States
Department of Energy
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

DOE/RL-96-82
Revision 1

Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plan, 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks

Date Published
July 2003

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Project Hanford Management Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

United States Department of Energy
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

Chris Gallinghouse 7/10/03
Release Approval Date

Printed in the United States of America

HANFORD FACILITY DANGEROUS WASTE CLOSURE PLAN, 241-Z TREATMENT AND STORAGE TANKS

FOREWORD

The *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit Application* is considered to be a single application organized into a General Information Portion (document number DOE/RL-91-28) and a Unit-Specific Portion. The scope of the General Information Portion includes information used to discuss units undergoing closure, such as the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks (the unit addressed in this document, DOE/RL-96-82).

Documentation contained in the General Information Portion is broader in nature and is used by reference in documents associated with multiple treatment, storage, and/or disposal units (e.g., the glossary provided in the General Information Portion). Wherever appropriate, the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks closure plan documentation makes cross-reference to the General Information Portion, rather than duplicating text.

Information provided in this revised 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks closure plan documentation is current as of July 2003.

This page intentionally left blank.

DOCUMENT CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

GLOSSARY

241-Z	241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks
AEL	Analytical Engineering Laboratory
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
CAW	column aqueous waste
CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
CXP	CX column waste stream
CUU	CU column waste stream
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-RL	U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office
DQO	data quality objective
DST	double-shell tank
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EE/CA	engineering evaluation/cost analysis
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HSW	high-salt waste
LDR	land disposal restrictions
LSW	low-salt waste
NTC	non-time-critical
OU	operable unit
PFP	Plutonium Finishing Plant
ppm	parts per million
PPSL	Plutonium Process Support Laboratory
PRF	Plutonium Reclamation Facility
PUREX	Plutonium-Uranium Extraction
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
RMC	remote mechanical C
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
TPA	*Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*
TSD	treatment, storage, and/or disposal
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WDOH	Washington State Department of Health
WIDS	Waste Information Data System

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This certified closure plan for the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks (241-Z) *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* (RCRA) *of 1976* treatment, storage, and/or disposal (TSD) unit is being submitted to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) in accordance with *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (TPA) Milestone M-83-30. This milestone requires submittal of a certified closure plan for the "241-Z Waste Treatment Facility" by July 31, 2003 (Ecology et al. 1996). The 241-Z Waste Treatment Facility and the 241-Z are synonymous.

Detailed discussion of 241-Z processes and equipment and of the waste types treated and stored at the unit is provided in Chapters 3.0 and 4.0, respectively. Although the treatment, storage and/or disposal of radioactive waste (i.e., source, special nuclear, and by-product materials as identified in the *Atomic Energy Act of 1954*) are not within the scope of RCRA or Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303, information is provided for general knowledge.

The 241-Z is a tank system for treatment and storage of corrosive, plutonium-bearing liquid waste from activities at the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP). 241-Z waste is transferred to the double-shell tanks (DST System) for storage until final disposition. 241-Z currently is operating and will continue to operate until closure under this plan that could occur sometime between June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2011, the dates when 241-Z will receive the final volume of waste from PFP in support of TPA Milestone M-83-31 and when closure plan activities are required to be completed in accordance with TPA Milestone M-83-32, respectively.

The 241-Z consists of belowgrade tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, D-8 and an overflow tank located in a concrete containment vault; and its associated ancillary piping and equipment. The tank system is located beneath the 241-Z Building, which is not a portion of the TSD unit. Waste managed at the TSD unit is received via underground piping from PFP sources. Tank D-6 within vault D-6 is a past-practice tank that never operated as a portion of the RCRA unit. Tank D-6, its containment vault cell, and soil beneath the vault that were potentially contaminated during past-practice operations and any other potential past-practice contamination identified during 241-Z closure while outside the scope of this 241-Z closure plan will be addressed concurrent with the RCRA activities described in this plan..

Under this closure plan, the 241-Z will undergo final or partial clean closure to the performance standards of WAC 173-303-610 with respect to dangerous waste contamination from RCRA operations. The unit will be clean closed if physical closure activities identified in this plan achieve clean closure standards for all 241-Z locations. The scope of closure activities under this plan will be similar to the scope of 241-Z 'terminal cleanout' activities in support of PFP deactivation, that will include but are not limited to tank system decontamination and visual inspections or sampling to verify clean closure levels. Clean closed 241-Z tanks and/or structures will remain after closure for future disposition in conjunction with PFP decommissioning activities.

If the 241-Z cannot be clean closed under this plan, the TSD unit will undergo partial closure. The 241-Z Part A, Form 3, would be modified to identify clean closed and unclosed portions of the TSD unit for monitoring until final closure. Final closure would occur after disposition of remaining TSD unit contamination in conjunction with the appropriate future *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act* (CERCLA) *of 1980* action(s) (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1). The results of final closure activities would be documented in a modification to the HF RCRA Permit.

Extension of the closure period and integration of 241-Z closure with future CERCLA activities in this manner are acceptable because after decontamination under this plan, the unit will pose minimal risk to human health and the environment. Also, integration of RCRA and CERCLA activities is consistent with

TPA Section 6.0, and the HF RCRA Permit, Section II.K.7 that encourage coordination of RCRA unit closure with other statutorily or regulatorily mandated cleanups (e.g., CERCLA) to avoid duplication of effort and with TPA Milestone M-83-32 that reflects coordination of CERCLA action(s) with 241-Z closure activities.

CONTENTS

FIGURES

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

This chapter provides a description of the 241-Z and provides security information.

2.1 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND OPERATIONS

The 241-Z are part of the PFP complex (Figures 2-1 and 2-2). Construction of PFP began in 1948 and was completed in 1951, with the 241-Z first put into use in 1949. The PFP was the final link in the plutonium manufacturing chain on the Hanford Site that processed plutonium-bearing chemical solutions into metal and oxide. This process ended in May 1989. The 241-Z continues to receive, store, and treat process waste generated during PFP operations and decommissioning activities. The waste is treated in the tank system for transfer to the DST System. Waste managed at this unit is received via underground piping from PFP sources.

The 241-Z TSD unit boundary is defined in the 241-Z Part A, Form 3, as beginning at the 241-Z vault cell walls. The TSD unit boundary includes waste transfer piping and sample piping within the cells and associated ancillary piping and equipment used for transfer of waste from PFP dangerous waste sources described in Chapter 3.0 to 241-Z during RCRA operations. Tank D-6, located in the middle vault cell, failed and was removed from service in 1972 (before RCRA operations) and although part of the overall 241-Z terminal cleanout activity is outside the scope of this closure plan. The concrete pipe trench (Figure 2-7, Note 2) between PFP and 241-Z containing ancillary piping is a past-practice infrastructure that predates RCRA operations and is outside the scope of TSD unit closure.

Detailed discussion of 241-Z processes and equipment and of the waste types treated and stored at the unit is provided in Chapters 3.0 and 4.0, respectively. Although the treatment, storage and/or disposal of radioactive waste (i.e., source, special nuclear, and by-product materials as identified in the *Atomic Energy Act of 1954*) are not within the scope of RCRA or WAC 173-303, information is provided for general knowledge.

2.1.1 241-Z Tanks and Vault

The 241-Z system consists of four large stainless steel tanks, D-4 and D-5 of approximately 16,400 liters, tanks D-7 and D-8 of approximately 17,900 liters; an overflow tank in D-7 cell of approximately 700 liters; ancillary piping and equipment; and containment structures (Figure 2-3 and 2-4). The tanks are housed individually in a ventilated belowgrade, reinforced concrete vault that is separated into five separate cells. The floors and walls of each vault cell has been painted, however, much of the paint has deteriorated significantly. The cells have no floor drains and serve as containment for the tanks in the event of tank or piping failure.

Waste generated during PFP decommissioning operations is transferred via a buried pipeline to tank D-8. From tank D-8, the waste is transferred to tank D-5 for treatment by pH adjustment to meet DST System waste acceptance criteria (DOE/RL-90-39) before being transferred to the DST System. Tanks D-4 and D-7 began receiving waste from PFP operations before 1994 but now provide reserve storage capacity. Any overflow, from any of the tanks, is directed initially to the overflow tank in D-7 cell from which the waste is pumped to tank D-4, to tank D-7, and to tank D-5 before being transferred to the DST System (Figure 2-5). The floor of each cell is sloped toward a sump located in a corner of the cell floor. Except for D-5 cell, any liquid can be jetted via a steam jet from the cell sump into tank D-4. Tank D-5 cell sump is jetted into tank D-5 (Figure 2-6). Tank D-5 is equipped with a pump and a steam jet for use in

waste transfers. The tanks also can collect small amounts of steam condensate resulting from operation of the steam jet systems.

In the past, sodium hydroxide used for waste pH adjustment was provided from aboveground tank D-9, in the 241-ZB area, which is a concrete pad outside the 241-Z Building. Sodium or potassium hydroxide are now added through chemical addition tanks D-10 and D-11, which are two 190-liter tanks located inside the 241-Z Building. Other chemicals (e.g., sodium nitrite and ferric nitrate) are added, as required, through tanks D-10 and D-11 to meet DST System waste acceptance criteria. Tanks D-9, D-10 and D-11 are chemical product tanks that did not manage RCRA waste and are outside the scope of TSD unit closure.

Air is drawn from the cells and tanks and is heated, filtered through high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filtration, and discharged to the atmosphere through a 7.6-meter stainless steel stack (296-Z-3). The 296-Z-3 Stack and associated fans, filters, and controls are located on a concrete pad outside the southwest corner of the 241-Z Building. Exhaust air from the 241-Z Building is monitored per applicable radioactive air emission requirements implemented by the Washington State Department of Health (WDOH) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

2.1.2 Support Buildings and Structures

The 241-Z Building and the 241-ZA and 241-ZB structures (Figure 2-2) house equipment and product chemicals used in 241-Z operations that includes a sample glovebox and sample piping. Except for the glovebox and sample piping, these structures and components are outside the scope of TSD unit closure.

2.1.2.1 241-Z Building

The 241-Z Building (Figure 2-3) is a pre-engineered corrugated metal enclosure built in 1979 to provide weather protection for the vault and equipment. The 241-Z Building is approximately 6 meters wide, 28 meters long, and 6.7 meters deep and is located about 100 meters south of the 234-5Z Building. The abovegrade portion of the 241-Z Building never was used to treat or store dangerous waste. The building covers the vault coverblocks, steam jet equipment, HEPA filters, ventilation equipment for the tanks and cells, and chemical addition tanks D-10 and D-11. A 1.5-ton crane runs the length of the building near the ceiling. There is a personnel access door at the south end of the east wall and at the west end of the south wall. An electrically operated door is located in the middle of the south wall. There are two windows on the north wall. A 45.7-centimeter diameter ventilation duct exits abovegrade through the southern wall in the southwest corner of the building.

2.1.2.2 241-ZA and 241-ZB Structures

The 241-ZA and 241-ZB structures (Figure 2-2) house equipment used in 241-Z operations. The 241-ZA houses a glovebox used for collecting and packaging samples taken from the 241-Z tanks. The glovebox provides containment for the sample pipe and the sample collection process. The glovebox exhaust is vented back through the 241-Z ventilation system. This glovebox and sample piping will be closed under this plan.

The 241-ZB area, located adjacent to the 241-Z Building, is a concrete pad and spill barrier housing caustic storage tank D-9 that historically provided sodium hydroxide, a caustic treatment chemical used for waste pH adjustment, to 241-Z. There are two sumps located within the spill barrier and one sump located in the concrete pad adjacent to tank D-9. This system did not manage waste and the location does not house ancillary equipment.

2.1.3 Waste Transfer Piping from 234-5Z, 242-Z, and 236-Z Buildings

Waste transfer piping from PFP sources to 241-Z is identified in Figure 2-7. Until 1994, separate transfer lines existed for tanks D-4, D-5, D-6, D-7, and D-8 from various PFP dangerous waste sources. Out of service piping that transferred waste from 234-5Z and related buildings (242-Z and 236-Z) remains in a covered, underground concrete pipe trench to the 241-Z Building (Figure 2-7). The trench contains piping that is currently in use, piping that was in service during the period of RCRA regulated operations, and piping that was removed from service before RCRA regulations. Currently only one double-walled pipe from 234-5Z is active and transfers waste to tank D-8. All piping, except the piping to failed tank D-6 was in service during RCRA operations and is ancillary piping within the scope of 241-Z closure. Removal of underground piping is not within the scope of terminal cleanout activities or this closure plan. Radiologically contaminated underground piping, including any unclosed RCRA ancillary piping, will be dispositioned under the appropriate CERCLA action. One minor leak from this piping described in Chapter 3.0, Section 3.3.1, due to piping failure is documented to have occurred during RCRA operations.

2.2 SECURITY INFORMATION

Security information for the Hanford Facility is discussed in DOE/RL-91-28.

Staffed barricades are maintained around the clock at checkpoints on vehicular access roads leading to the 200 Areas (Yakima, Rattlesnake, and Wye Barricades). All personnel accessing the Hanford Facility areas must display a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)-issued security identification badge indicating authorization. Personnel also are subject to random search of items carried into or out of the Hanford Facility. Signs posted at the 200 West Area boundaries inside the Hanford Facility, or an equivalent legend, state:

> NO TRESPASSING. SECURITY BADGES REQUIRED BEYOND THIS POINT.
> GOVERNMENT VEHICLES ONLY. PUBLIC ACCESS PROHIBITED.

Changes to security are expected to occur during the course of 241-Z deactivation and decommissioning activities. Security measures will remain in place that limit unit entry to authorized personnel and that preclude unknowing access by unauthorized individuals. The following describes the current security arrangement at PFP, for information purposes only. Hanford Patrol ensures the protection of special nuclear material at PFP. PFP currently has controlled areas within the boundary (Figure 2-2). The inner fenced area is termed a Protected Area. The 241-Z is located within this Protected Area.

The buildings are posted to allow entry by authorized personnel only and to identify hazards present by the building. To preclude access by unauthorized individuals, the 241-Z Building is controlled by lock and key.

This page intentionally left blank.



Figure 2-1. 200 West Area.



Figure 2-2. Plutonium Finishing Plant.



Figure 2-3. Cutaway View of 241-Z Tanks and the 241-Z Building.



Figure 2-4. Typical Tank Diagram.



Note 1: Treatment in tank D-8 has not occurred.

Note 2: Sumps located in cells D-4, D-6, D-7, and D-8 discharge to tank D-4. Sump in cell D-5 discharges to tank D-5.

Figure 2-5. Schematic of 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks.



Figure 2-6. Tank D-5 Piping and Ancillary Equipment.



Note 1: Direct buried double-walled pipe (in service since 1994)

Note 2: Trench and single-walled pipes to tanks D-4, D-5, D-6, D-7, and D-8 (D-6 line failed in 1969, remaining piping was removed from service in 1994).

Figure 2-7. Schematic Diagram of 241-Z Waste Transfer Piping from PFP Sources.

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

3.0 PROCESS INFORMATION

This chapter describes the processes that generated the waste received by 241-Z and the 241-Z treatment and storage processes.

3.1 PAST AND CURRENT PFP WASTE PRODUCING PROCESSES

All liquid mixed waste managed at 241-Z originates from PFP facilities. Dangerous waste streams that discharged to 241-Z during the period of RCRA operations (since 1987) are identified by the salt concentration (high salt or low salt) and/or the process of origin as follows:

- High-salt waste (HSW) from Plutonium Reclamation Facility (PRF) (inactive since 1993)
- HSW from the remote mechanical C (RMC) line (inactive since 1989)
- Low-salt waste (LSW) from PFP laboratories, PRF, and RMC line
- Waste from precipitate process operations in Room 230C using magnesium hydroxide and oxalate to precipitate plutonium from nitric acid solutions from 1999 until 2002; solutions processed were similar to liquids historically processed in the PRF or RMC process
- Additional plutonium processes waste in support of washing impure plutonium solids using the precipitation process operations equipment, planned to begin in 2003 and be completed in 2004.

3.1.1 Plutonium Reclamation Facility

The mission of the PRF, located in the 236-Z Building, was to recover and purify plutonium from aqueous feed to produce plutonium nitrate solution. The PRF began operation in 1964, shut down in 1979, restarted in 1984, and last operated in 1993 as part of a training campaign.

A liquid-liquid solvent extraction process was used at PRF to separate plutonium from dilute aqueous (water-based) solutions containing other various impurities to purify the extraction into a concentrated plutonium nitrate solution. A dense organic liquid consisting of tributyl phosphate and carbon tetrachloride (solvent) was passed through a less dense aqueous solution in the CA extraction column where the liquids picked up or adsorbed (extracted) specific substances from each other. To remove impurities from the solvent for reuse, the process was repeated in different extraction columns. Uranium was removed using the CU column. Dibutyl phosphate was removed using the CX column.

An evaporator was used to further concentrate the plutonium nitrate solutions to meet the RMC line feed specifications. Steam was supplied to the steam jacket surrounding the evaporator to heat the evaporator.

3.1.2 RMC Line

The RMC line located in the 234-5Z Building was used to convert plutonium nitrate solutions to plutonium metal. The RMC line started in 1959, shut down in 1973, restarted in 1985, and last operated in 1989.

Plutonium nitrate solution for the RMC line came from PRF or the Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Plant. The plutonium nitrate solution was fed from glass tanks into the RMC line where nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide were added to achieve a specific chemical composition. This adjusted feed stream was mixed with oxalic acid to precipitate plutonium oxalate into solid and liquid slurry. The slurry was vacuum filtered to remove the excess liquid (filtrate).

Potassium permanganate was added to the filtrate to partially destroy the remaining oxalic acid and the filtrate was added to the PRF filtrate evaporator to complete oxalic acid destruction. The distillate from the filtrate evaporator contained trace quantities of nitric acid and plutonium, which was discharged into tank D-4.

The plutonium oxalate solids were scraped from the vacuum filter into a heated screw calciner for conversion into plutonium oxide powder. The powder was reacted with hydrogen fluoride gas to convert the solids into plutonium fluoride powder. The unreacted hydrogen fluoride gas was scrubbed before discharge into the ventilation system using a concentrated potassium hydroxide liquid. The spent potassium hydroxide stream was discharged to tank D-8.

3.1.3 PFP Laboratories

The 234-5Z Building houses the PFP Analytical Engineering Laboratory (AEL) and the Plutonium Process Support Laboratory (PPSL). The AEL performs analytical measurements in support of PFP operations. The PPSL performs process development studies at PFP, such as plutonium stabilization methods. Liquid waste from the laboratories is transferred to 241-Z.

3.1.4 Precipitate Process Operations

The solutions processing equipment located in Room 230C of the 234-5 Z Building uses magnesium hydroxide or oxalate as a precipitating agent to facilitate removal of the plutonium from the solutions for stabilization and packaging. The filtrate and flush water are discharged to tank D-8.

3.1.5 Plutonium Stabilization Activities

The solutions processing equipment located in Room 230C could be used to support washing of certain salt contaminated plutonium solids. The process is currently under development. Waste liquid from this process will be discharged to tank D-8.

3.2 TANK STORAGE AND TREATMENT PROCESSES

Before 1994, various PFP waste streams were transferred directly to tanks D-4, D-5, or D-8. Following upgrades to the system in 1994, only one new double-walled transfer line to tank D-8 from the 234-5Z building has been used. However, waste can be transferred within the system as follows. Tank D-4 can receive any liquid that collects in the D-4, D-7, and D-8 sumps and discharges the liquid to either tanks D-7 or D-8. Tank D-7 currently can receive only waste from tank D-4. Tank D-5 can only receive waste from the sampling system and from the D-7, D-8, or D-5 sumps.

From tank D-8, the waste is transferred to tank D-5 for treatment as necessary before transfer to the DST System. Waste treatment in the tank system consists of adding sodium or potassium hydroxide to adjust pH, so the waste is less corrosive to carbon steel. Waste is brought to an excess hydroxide

condition. Sodium nitrite is added to further inhibit corrosion. Ferric nitrate is added to form a stable solid particulate to provide favorable spacing of plutonium in larger tanks. Similar treatment is allowed in tank D-8 but to date has not occurred.

3.3 DOCUMENTED TSD AND PAST-PRACTICE OPERATIONAL EVENTS

This section identifies documented TSD unit and past-practice operational events.

3.3.1 TSD Unit Operational Events

In March 1991, an operational event resulted in an overflow of water into the D-5 and D-4 vaults. It is estimated that approximately 26,000 liters of water were transferred inadvertently to the tanks during a PRF maintenance outage. The top mounted flanges on tanks D-4 and D-5 leaked after water backed up the overflow tank drain line thereby allowing water to overflow into the vaults. The sump alarms went off. The liquid was transferred back into the tanks and later transferred to the DST System. While there was standing water in the vault, the water level did not decrease noticeably, indicating that the concrete vault cells effectively contained the spills.

In March 2002, a leak in the system piping was identified that resulted in liquid leaking into the D-8 vault. While investigating higher than normal plutonium assay results associated with tank D-8, a portion of ancillary piping was observed leaking. A cell entry was made and a determination made that a drain line connected to the main drain line from 234-5Z to tank D-8 had failed, resulting in a minor release of liquids (approximately 1 liter) to the tank cell. The spill was cleaned up and the line was replaced.

3.3.2 Past-Practice (Pre-RCRA) Events

The two significant documented past-practice events are the failure of the D-6 drain line from 234-5Z structures to the 241-Z in April of 1971 (UPR-200-W-103) and the failure of tank D-6 in 1972 that spilled tank waste to the cell. The D-6 system was taken out of service after the 1972 failure and never was part of the RCRA permitted system.

Because tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, and D-8 operated for almost 40 years before being permitted, process upsets similar to those described in this plan could have occurred that were not documented or the documentation is not available. Because of the potential for undocumented tank overflows and piping failures, tank exteriors are presumed to have contacted mixed waste contaminants similar to contaminants found in current waste streams.

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

TABLES

This page intentionally left blank.

4.0 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

This chapter discusses the inventory and the characteristics of the waste treated and stored at 241-Z during RCRA operations.

4.1 ESTIMATE OF MAXIMUM INVENTORY OF WASTE

The maximum estimated inventory of waste stored in 241-Z at one time is calculated on the basis that tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, and D-8 are filled to design capacity. These volumes do not include tank D-6 or the D-7 cell overflow tank. Because the overflow tank is identified in the 241-Z Part A, Form 3, as a temporary holding tank and not a dangerous waste storage, its volume is not considered here. The tank volumes at the overflow level are as follows: (1) D-8, 17,900 liters; (2) D-5, 16,400 liters; (3) D-4, 16,400 liters; and (4) D-7, 17,900 liters, for a total of 68,600 liters.

4.2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

The PRF and the RMC lines no longer operate and therefore no longer contribute waste to the 241-Z. However, waste constituents from processes occurring at these locations could still remain in the tank system and so the characteristics of the waste generated by these processes are described in the following sections.

4.2.1 PRF Waste Streams

The waste solutions generated by the PRF and transferred to 241-Z were a mixture of HSW and LSW, as described in the following sections.

4.2.1.1 PRF High Salt Waste

High salt waste (HSW) was generated by a solvent extraction process that involved and aqueous feed stream containing plutonium and some impurities that was interacted with an organic solution in pulse columns to recover plutonium from the aqueous stream. The organic solution used carbon tetrachloride as a diluent and fire suppressant and not for its solvent properties. The HSW consisted of the column aqueous waste (CAW) stream and two waste streams comprised of organic cleanup waste. The CAW stream was highly acidic waste from the CA column. The feed stream into the column typically was characterized only with respect to plutonium content. The two solvent cleanup waste streams generated during the organic cleanup phase were the CU column waste stream (CUU) and CX column waste stream (CXP). The CUU consisted of the aqueous waste from the uranium removal CU column and contained trace levels of fluoride and chloride and high levels of uranium. The CXP consisted of aqueous waste from the dibutyl phosphate removal CX column and was a carbonate solution that contained the organic degradation product sodium dibutyl phosphate.

The combined CAW, CUU, and CXP were collected in tank D-8 and transferred to tank D-5 for pH adjustment to a final caustic condition by the addition of sodium hydroxide. Ferric nitrate and sodium nitrite also were added to the waste before transfer to the DST System.

These processes separated impurities, many of which are RCRA heavy metal contaminants, from the plutonium that remained in the aqueous waste discharged to the 241-Z. Additionally, the waste contained carbon tetrachloride because of direct contact of aqueous and organic phase solutions. The PRF HSW

was a RCRA characteristic waste for corrosivity because the waste was acidic before treatment for transfer to the DST System and for toxicity because the waste contained residual heavy metal contaminates and carbon tetrachloride.

4.2.1.2 PRF Low Salt Waste

The remaining waste streams were low salt waste (LSW) consisting of filtrate concentrator distillate and steam condensate from the filtrate and product evaporators. The evaporator distillate normally contained nitric acid and trace plutonium, but small concentrations of fluoride and chloride might have been present. The steam condensate normally was only water and scale inhibitor.

These waste streams were piped to tank D-4 and assayed in tank D-7. The waste usually was transferred to tank D-5 where the waste was combined with HSW from tank D-8 for pH adjustment to a caustic condition before transfer to the DST System. Batches that did not contain HSW also could have been pH adjusted to a caustic condition for transfer to the DST System.

4.2.2 RMC Line Waste Streams

RMC (remote mechanical C) line operation waste that was transferred to 241-Z came from the potassium hydroxide scrubber located in the 234-5Z Building and from the filtrate evaporator located in the 236-Z Building. The potassium hydroxide scrubber solution generated a HSW stream that contained potassium fluoride and potassium hydroxide. The filtrate evaporator generated a LSW stream that had higher volume and lower acidity than the LSW stream generated by PRF. Although the bulk components of the RMC line LSW were the same as PRF LSW, the trace constituents were different. The RMC line last operated in 1989 and this portion of the piping system that serviced the scrubber was removed from service in 1994.

The RMC line HSW was collected in tank D-8 and, when necessary, transferred to tank D-5 for transfer to the DST System. The waste was highly caustic and no caustic addition was required before transfer.

The RMC line LSW, like PRF LSW, was collected in tank D-4 and stored in tank D-7. These solutions were slightly acidic and required treatment by pH adjustment to a caustic condition before transfer to the DST System.

4.2.3 Laboratory and Miscellaneous Operations Waste

The PFP AEL and the PPSL generate LSW containing acids, bases, and trace amounts of plutonium and other contaminants such as metals. This stream is routed to tank D-8 where the liquids are transferred to tank D-5, treated with caustic to 0.5 M excess hydroxide, and transferred to the DST System.

4.2.4 New Waste Streams from Transition Activities

Waste streams from the PFP solutions stabilization and deactivation activities contain magnesium hydroxide; oxalate; trace plutonium; and metals, such as silver, lead, barium, and chromium. Additional waste from decontamination activities and some additional stabilization activities are anticipated.

4.2.5 Waste Summary

Table 4-1 summarizes the past waste compositions contributed by the various streams. This information is a combination of historical sample data and chemical material balances.

Table 4-2 summarizes the composition of anticipated waste streams from PFP developmental laboratory operations.

Potential heavy metal contaminants in tank waste identified in the Part A, Form 3, (arsenic, barium, chromium, lead, silver, mercury, and selenium) entered the process stream either by leaching from piping (e.g., chromium) or as minor contaminants in the feed stream. Many of these metals are not identified as anticipated process constituents in Table 4-1 or Table 4-2 but from time to time could have been present in the feed at low concentrations and historically have been detected in the waste stream only slightly above the regulatory level. Lead, chromium, and carbon tetrachloride, associated with the PRF operations, represent the only contaminates that historically have been present in the waste stream at concentrations well above regulatory levels.

As part of PFP transition activities (solution stabilization and chloride salt material processing), liquids have and will continue to be generated that can be anticipated to contain varying concentration of the heavy metals listed in the Part A, Form 3 (arsenic, barium, chromium, lead, silver, mercury, and selenium).

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 4-1. Past Waste Characterization of PFP Waste Transferred to the 241-Z (concentrations are listed in parts per million).

Species	Plutonium Reclamation Facility					Remote Mechanical C		Laboratories
	CAW	CAW Range*	CXP	CUU	LSW	HSW	LSW	
Ag	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	10
Ba	1	---	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ca	50	---	1	0	6	0	2	0
Cr	70	10-100	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
H	2,000	2,000-3,000	0	400	2.000	0	800	100
Pb	90	---	0	0	0	0	0	0
OH^-	0	---	80	0	0	30,000	0	0
CCl_4	600	---	700	700	300	0	0	0

* Waste concentrations show a range because of variations in the PRF process used to accommodate variations in the PRF feed.
CAW = column aqueous waste
CXP = CX column waste stream
CUU = CU column waste stream
HSW = high-salt waste
LSW = low-salt waste

Table 4-2. 241-Z Waste Composition Associated with Laboratory Operations (ppm).

Species	Vertical calciner	Ion exchange	Flushing	Laboratories
Ag*	10-100	---	---	0-10
Ba*	10-100	---	---	---
Cr	---	10-100	10-100	10-100
Fe	---	10-100	10-100	10-100
Pb*	10-100	---	---	---

* Silver persulfate process waste combined with vertical calciner caustic scrubber waste.
ppm = parts per million.

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

5.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

The 241-Z is not a regulated unit under the definitions of WAC 173-303-040 (i.e., surface impoundment, waste pile, land treatment unit, landfill) that would require groundwater monitoring. Therefore a groundwater monitoring program in accordance with WAC 173-303-645 is not a requirement of operations.

The 241-Z is within the 200-ZP-1 (groundwater) Operable Unit (OU) as designated in the TPA. The 200-ZP-1 OU is scheduled to be remediated under the CERCLA remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) process. Any investigation or remediation of groundwater contamination within this OU, although not expected as a result of 241-Z operations, would occur under the 200-ZP-1 OU RI/FS.

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

6.0 CLOSURE STRATEGY AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

This chapter describes 241-Z closure strategy and closure performance standards.

6.1 CLOSURE STRATEGY

The 241-Z will be clean closed with respect to dangerous waste contamination from RCRA operations to the extent practicable after completion of closure activities identified in this plan. Incidental cleanup of non-RCRA components (e.g., tanks D-6, D-9, D-10, and D-11) and structures (e.g., D-6 vault cell) are planned to occur in conjunction with tank system closure activities but remain outside the scope of this plan. Potential past-practice contamination existing in the adjacent D-6 vault or emanating from documented spills to the D-6 vault is considered CERCLA-only contamination that has been identified in Waste Information Data System (WIDS) for tracking to disposition by the appropriate CERCLA action(s) outside the scope of 241-Z TSD unit closure.

All components, structures, and soil that meet clean closure standards identified in this plan will be clean closed. If 241-Z cannot be clean closed under this plan, the unit will undergo partial closure. The 241-Z Part A, Form 3, would be modified to remove clean closed portions from the TSD unit description and identify unclosed portions for tracking until final closure. Remaining contamination also would be identified in WIDS for tracking to final disposition. Concrete surfaces over unclosed soil (if any) would remain in place until the time of final soil disposition. Final 241-Z closure would occur after disposition of any remaining TSD unit contamination in conjunction with the future CERCLA Removal Action (e.g., engineering evaluation/cost analysis (EE/CA)) that includes 241-Z structures and/or the CERCLA Remedial Action that includes 241-Z soil. Extension of the closure period beyond 180 days and integration of closure with CERCLA action(s) in this manner are acceptable for reasons described in Chapter 1.0.

6.2 CLOSURE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Clean closure, as defined in the HF RCRA Permit, Section II.K.1 and as provided in this plan, will meet the closure performance standards of WAC 173-303-610 (2)(a) by eliminating future maintenance and by removing or reducing chemical contamination at the 241-Z to levels that eliminate the threat of contaminant escape as necessary to protect human health and the environment. Clean closure will be achieved when all unit dangerous waste, waste residue, or contaminated equipment and soil are removed or decontaminated to the visual or analytical clean closure performance standards identified in this plan and established in accordance with WAC 173-303-610(2)(b). After closure, appearance of the land will be consistent with future land use determinations for adjacent portions of the 200 Areas. Clean closed tanks and vault cells could remain until disposition in conjunction with future PFP decommissioning activities.

6.2.1 Clean Closure Standards for Structures and Components

Tank system structures and components will be clean closed by removal or by meeting visual or analytical clean closure standard(s) established in accordance with WAC 173-303-610(2)(b)(ii) and identified in the following sections. These standards can be used interchangeably. At the time of closure, management will determine which closure standard to apply based on the conditions encountered during the terminal cleanout of the system.

6.2.1.1 Visual Performance Standard: Clean Debris Surface

Clean closure of metal and concrete materials can be achieved by meeting the visually verifiable performance standard of a 'clean debris surface'. This is the visual performance standard for alternative treatment of hazardous debris identified in 40 CFR 268.45, Table 1. "A clean debris surface means the surface, when viewed without magnification, shall be free of all visible contaminated soil and dangerous waste, except that residual staining from soil and waste consisting of light shadows, slight streaks, and minor discoloration; and soil and waste in cracks, crevices, and pits shall be limited to no more that 5 percent of each square inch of surface area" (40 CFR 268.45). 241-Z material meeting this standard would not designate as hazardous debris and can be clean closed without further action.

6.2.1.2 Analytical Performance Standards: Health-Based Levels and Dangerous Waste Designation Levels

Materials that do not meet the visual clean debris surface standard or to which the visual standard will not be applied (e.g., inaccessible pipe internal surfaces) could be clean closed by sampling and analysis instead of through visual inspections. Clean closure of structures and components could be verified by sampling of flush solutions or decontamination rinsate; by wipe sampling of non-porous metal or painted concrete surfaces; or, by chip sampling of bare concrete. The material would qualify for clean closure if concentrations of dangerous waste constituents of concern (Chapter 7.0, Section 7.1.4) are below WAC 173-303-090 designation levels for toxicity characteristic dangerous waste and if the material does not exhibit the WAC 173-303-090 characteristic of corrosivity.

When a sample is analyzed by totals analysis and the presence of radionuclides or other constituents in the sample matrix adversely impact detection limit(s), a non-carcinogen 'health-based' action level for soil prescribed by WAC 173-303-610(2)(b)(i) could be used as the clean closure standard for the material.

6.2.2 Closure Standards for Underlying Soil

The concrete vault cells housing the tanks constitute a system to contain leaks or spills and prevent these from reaching soil. Soil will be clean closed by visually verifying that the vault cells remained intact and kept contaminants from reaching soil. Concrete surfaces will be inspected for through-thickness cracks that, if existing, could have provided a pathway to soil for contamination. If such cracks are not identified, the soil will be clean closed.

If inspections identify such cracks and further investigation (Chapter 7.0, Section 7.2.4) identifies a potential for soil contamination, the condition will be documented and the unit will undergo partial closure as described in Section 6.1. Potential soil contamination will be investigated and dispositioned in conjunction with the appropriate CERCLA action (Section 6.1). The CERCLA action will identify through approved sampling and analysis concentrations of 241-Z contaminants of concern in TSD unit soils so that the appropriate TSD unit closure level (i.e., clean, modified, or landfill closure) in accordance with WAC 173-303-610 (2)(b)(i) and/or Section II.K of the HF RCRA Permit can be identified.

CONTENTS

FIGURES

This page intentionally left blank.

7.0 CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

This section identifies the activities that will be performed to implement and verify clean closure of the 241-Z.

7.1 INTRODUCTION

The 241-Z will operate in support of PFP complex decommissioning activities up to the time of tank system closure. The scope of physical closure activities is tied closely to the scope of 'terminal cleanout' activities required to reduce plutonium contamination in the tank system and to meet TPA Milestone M-83-31 to discontinue discharges to the DST. These activities are limited to removal of waste inventory; removal of selected piping and ancillary equipment; cleanup of tank system and vault cells; and inspections and/or sampling to determine if these activities meet clean closure levels for unit components, structures, and soil. Significant removal actions are not anticipated under this plan. If the entire unit cannot be clean closed, the activities performed under this plan will leave the unclosed tank system materials in place and in a safe and stable condition while awaiting final closure in conjunction with the appropriate future CERCLA action(s) (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1).

The following summarizes the general closure activities identified in this chapter.

- Remove and dispose of waste inventory in tanks.

- Perform initial structure and component inspections and document:
  - Material that meets the visual clean closure standard (clean debris surface) without further action
  - Material requiring removal or decontamination for clean closure
  - Significant cracks or openings in containment structures that could have provided a contaminant pathway to soil during operations or that could allow the escape of decontamination solutions during closure. If none, clean close soil and/or perform decontamination activities as necessary.

- Remove selected ancillary equipment for disposal. Remove other components, as necessary, to gain access to tank system components for inspection or decontamination.

- Investigate significant cracks or openings in containment structures to determine if these penetrated the full thickness of the concrete and if so, whether a potential for soil contamination exists. If no potential for soil contamination exists, clean close the soil.

- Decontaminate concrete cell surfaces and internal surfaces and potentially contaminated external surfaces of tanks, piping, equipment, and to a clean debris surface by flushing and/or approved cleaning methods.

- Visually inspect decontaminated surfaces for a clean debris surface or sample surfaces, flush solutions, or decontamination rinsate and compare results to analytical clean closure levels.

- Decontaminate or dispose of closure waste and equipment.

- Certify that closure activities were completed in accordance with the approved closure plan.

7.1.1 Removal of Waste Inventory

Removal of tank waste inventory will be completed after receipt of the final volume of waste from PFP operations, which could occur as late as June 2005 (Chapter 1.0). At that time, tank waste inventory will be transferred to the DST System consistent with previous waste transfers and with onsite procedures. No new waste will be added after this date.

7.1.2 Field Documentation

Personnel conducting decontamination and inspections will maintain an official logbook. The field logbook will be bound and have consecutively numbered pages. All information pertinent to the activities will be recorded in the logbook in a legible fashion. The field logbook will be reviewed and signed or initialed by the person in charge on days when work is performed. If changes are necessary, the changes will be indicated by a single line drawn through the affected text. The individual responsible for the change will initial and date the entry. The logbook will be protected, stored in a safe file or other repository, and kept as a permanent record. Copies of the field logbook will be made available to Ecology on request.

Decontamination and Verification checklists (Figure 7-1) will be initiated to verify performance of field decontamination, inspection, and/or sampling activities. Copies of completed checklist(s) will be maintained as a portion of the permanent closure record and filed in the Hanford Facility Operating Record.

7.1.3 Designation and Disposal of Material Removed During Closure

Designation of closure waste and debris will meet the requirements of WAC 173-303. The land disposal restriction (LDR) notification and certification requirements of WAC 173-303-140 and all applicable requirements will be met. Designation of waste generated during closure activities will be based on process knowledge and sampling as required.

Closure waste and debris will be accumulated in satellite accumulation areas at appropriate locations at the unit in accordance with WAC 173-303-200 while awaiting designation and transfer to a storage or disposal unit. Containers used for transfer of regulated materials will be U.S. Department of Transportation-approved containers compatible with the waste. The containers will be labeled and appropriate waste acceptance documentation completed for the receiving unit.

Because this unit managed radioactive waste, all waste will be radioactive or mixed. After designation, waste will be managed as follows.

- Low-level waste will be disposed onsite in the Low-Level Burial Grounds. Waste that is generated as a portion of a CERCLA removal or remedial action is CERCLA remediation waste that can be disposed onsite at the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility.

- Non-liquid mixed waste, if any, will be designated, containerized, and transferred to the Central Waste Complex for storage to await further treatment before final disposal.

- Non-liquid transuranic waste and mixed transuranic waste, if any, would be transferred to the Central Waste Complex for storage to await transfer offsite to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant for disposal.

- Liquid mixed waste inventory and rinsate or flush solutions generated during closure will be transferred to the DST System for storage until final disposition.

7.1.4 Constituents of Concern for Closure

Waste received at 241-Z from PFP is a corrosive mixed waste containing predominately nitric acid and other incidental process impurities. After treatment in the tank system to meet receiving unit acceptance standards, the waste remains corrosive but has been made caustic by the addition of sodium hydroxide. The Part A, Form 3, defines 241-Z waste as a potential characteristic mixed waste for corrosivity (D002) and for arsenic (D004), barium (D005), cadmium (D006), chromium (D007), lead (D008), mercury (D009), selenium (D010), silver (D011) and carbon tetrachloride (D019). Some of these constituents potentially could remain in waste residues on unit components and structures.

Verification of clean closure for some 241-Z materials could require laboratory sampling and analysis of material surface(s) or of rinse or flush solutions (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.2.1.2). Sampling would be used to verify that the concentration of constituents of concern applicable to the material being sampled are below analytical clean closure levels. Sampling would be in accordance with an approved sampling and analysis plan (SAP) that would evolve from a data quality objectives (DQO) process involving the permittee(s) and Ecology. The SAP would document the number of samples, type and quality of data, sampling and analytical procedures, and the appropriate field and laboratory quality control.

7.2 CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

This section identifies the physical activities for clean closure of 241-Z tanks, piping, ancillary equipment, concrete containment cells, and soil directly beneath the cells. Past-practice tank D-6, cell D-6, and soil beneath the cell will be dispositioned outside the scope of TSD unit closure (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1).

Access to locations undergoing closure will be controlled during the closure period. Access will be limited to personnel required to support unit closure activities. All closure activities will be performed to keep personnel exposure as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). Radiation surveys and/or chemical field screening could be used to assist locating contamination.

7.2.1 Tank Closure Activities

The 241-Z tanks will not be removed under this plan. Tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, D-8, and the overflow tank in the D-7 cell will be clean closed in place or will remain in place for disposition and final closure in conjunction with the future CERCLA Removal Action that includes 241-Z structures. Interior and exterior surfaces of the same tank could be clean closed using any approved closure decontamination method and/or performance standard (i.e., analytical or visual) identified in this plan. However, tank system components cannot be clean closed until all surfaces of the component are clearly documented to have met an approved clean closure standard.

7.2.1.1 Closure of Tank Internal Surfaces

After removal of tank waste inventory (Section 7.1.1), mixed waste residues could remain inside the tanks, such as along side baffles or agitators. The internal surfaces of tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, D-8, and the overflow tank will be cleaned by use of high pressure/low volume steam or water spray; by hand or remote wiping, washing, brushing, or scrubbing using a cleaning agent; and/or, by other appropriate

methods. Decontamination would be conducted to minimize the quantity of rinsates generated and would be documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1. After cleaning, tank interiors will be examined visually for a clean debris surface. Because of possible radiation exposure, visual inspection could be performed remotely using a camera or other device. Visual acceptance will be documented on the checklist used to document the decontamination. Copies of completed decontamination and verification checklist(s) would be managed as described in Section 7.1.2.

Material that does not meet the visual clean debris surface standard could be removed. If not removed, the material will be directly sampled or decontamination rinsate will be collected and sampled to verify achievement of an analytical clean closure standard (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.2.1.2).

7.2.1.2 Closure of Tank External Surfaces

External surfaces of tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, D-7, D-8, and the overflow tank are documented to have contacted hazardous waste (Chapter 3.0, Section 3.3.2) and so will be decontaminated using any of the methods used to decontaminate tank internal surfaces. Decontamination rinsate will be collected, designated, and transferred to the DST System. Decontamination will be documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1. Decontaminated areas will be inspected and visual acceptance would be documented on the checklist used to document the decontamination. As an alternative to visual inspections, the material could be directly sampled to verify achievement of the appropriate analytical standard (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.2.1.2).

Before using decontamination solutions on the outside of the tanks, the floor will be inspected for cracks or other openings that could provide a pathway to soil for decontamination solutions. The cracks will be sealed before beginning treatment or other engineered containment devices (e.g., portable catch basins, liners) will be used to collect and contain solutions.

The outside of previously uncoated, stainless steel tank D-8 was spray painted in 1992. At that time, spraying of lead-based paint was prohibited and paint containing hazardous constituents (e.g., lead) at regulated levels generally was no longer used onsite. Before painting, the tank surface would have been cleaned to remove oil, foreign matter, and waste residues (e.g., crystals from the salts or caustic) so the paint could adhere to the tank surface. While no documentation of this cleaning is available the adhesion of the paint provides evidence of the activity. Even nominal cleaning would have reduced waste residues beneath the new paint to well below waste designation levels. Because the contaminants beneath the painted tank reasonably do not exist above dangerous waste designation levels and because the paint itself was not regulated, the paint will not require removal for tank clean closure.

7.2.2 Piping and Ancillary Equipment Closure Activities

Waste transfer piping and ancillary equipment (e.g., waste transfer pumps, agitators), including the sample glovebox, could be removed, designated, and disposed as described in Section 7.1.3. Alternatively, interior and exterior surfaces of these materials could be decontaminated in-place to meet a visual or analytical clean closure standard (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.2.1.1 and 6.2.1.2, respectively) using methods described in Section 7.2.1 for closure of tanks.

The interior surfaces of piping and contaminated ancillary equipment that will not be removed at closure will be flushed. The flush solution could be sampled or, where accessible for visual inspection, interior surfaces could be inspected visually for a clean debris surface. Exterior surfaces of piping and ancillary equipment will be inspected visually for a clean debris surface as-is. Visual acceptance of interior and exterior surfaces would be documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1. Exterior surfaces unable to meet the visual standard will be cleaned and re-inspected or will be directly sampled to verify

achievement of an analytical standard. Clean closed piping will be blanked to ensure that the pipe remains clean and the tank remains isolated.

Surfaces of system piping and components shown to have not contacted dangerous waste can be closed without decontamination. Examples of this would be unused pipe (e.g., spare D-8 pipe) or the annulus of a double wall pipe with no history of leaks (e.g., new double-walled D-8 pipe) or, piping exterior surfaces where the absence of spills or leaks can be visually verified and documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1.

Materials that do not meet clean closure standards could remain in place for disposition and closure in conjunction with the future CERCLA Removal Action that includes these materials.

7.2.3 Activities for Closure of the Concrete

Concrete vault cells containing tanks D-4, D-5, D-7, and D-8 will not be removed under this plan. Concrete surfaces will be clean closed in-place by achievement of visual or analytical clean closure levels. Surfaces not able to meet clean closure standards will remain in place for disposition and final closure in conjunction with the future CERCLA Removal Action that includes these structures. Vault cell D-6 is outside the scope of 241-Z closure (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1).

Vault cell floors, walls, and ceiling surfaces will first be inspected visually to identify areas that meet the clean debris surface standard as-is (i.e., without decontamination). Visual acceptance of the remaining floors and walls will be documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1.

The area between the tanks and their support pads are grouted. Void spaces are not anticipated to exist that could harbor contamination sufficient to designate this material as dangerous waste. Consequently, these areas can be clean closed after inspection verifying the absence of void spaces. The absence of void spaces will be documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1.

Potentially contaminated areas identified by initial visual inspections will be decontaminated to a clean debris surface. Cleaning could be by hand using mops, rags, brushes, water, and appropriate nonregulated detergent or by mechanical means using a power scrubber, high-pressure/low-volume steam or water spray, or by scabbling sufficient to remove the indication. Cleaning would be conducted so as to minimize the quantity of rinsates generated. Before use of decontamination solutions, floors and walls will be inspected for cracks or other openings that could provide a pathway to soil for decontamination solutions and addressed (Section 7.2.1.2). Rinsate and decontamination waste will be collected, designated, and managed accordingly. Sumps used as rinsate collection areas could be cleaned and inspected last. Decontamination will be documented on a checklist similar to Figure 7-1. Decontaminated surfaces will be re-inspected and visual acceptance documented on the checklist.

Clean closure of decontaminated concrete surfaces could be verified analytically instead of by visual inspections. Concrete surfaces could be wipe or chip sampled (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.2.1.2) or decontamination rinsate could be collected and sampled in accordance with the approved SAP. Acceptance of the analytical standard would be documented on a checklist.

7.2.4 Activities for Closure of the Soils Directly Beneath the Unit

The concrete vault cells constitute a containment system to collect and channel leaks or spills to sumps from which the solutions have been pumped back into the tank system. The soils only could be contaminated where the concrete had failed. Concrete surfaces will be inspected to identify cracks that

could provide a pathway for dangerous waste or dangerous waste residues. If no cracks are noted, the soil will be clean closed. If significant cracks are identified, cracks will be mapped and investigated to determine if the cracks penetrated the thickness of the concrete. If through-thickness cracks exist, operating records will be reviewed to determine if spills occurred to the location of the crack and a potential for soil contamination exists. Potential soil contamination will be documented for investigation, disposition, and final closure in coordination with the appropriate CERCLA action (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1).

The top surfaces of the concrete tank support pads and the floor beneath the support pads are not accessible for visual inspection. The edges of the tank support pads will be inspected for cracks. If no significant cracks are found at the pad edges, significant cracks in the non-visible portions are unlikely. In the unlikely event that significant cracks in the pad exist that did not propagate to pad edges, it remains unlikely that waste could have reached them since the tanks have not been shown to be leaking and because no space exists to contain waste (Section 7.2.3). However, if significant cracks are found in the support pad edges surrounding concrete and if cracks or leaks are found in tank bottoms during visual inspection, the soil will be considered potentially contaminated and will be documented for investigation, disposition, and final closure in coordination with the CERCLA action (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1) for this soil.

7.2.5 Other Activities Required for Closure

Temporary containment ('greenhouse' type structure) for control of radioactive airborne contamination from decontamination activities could be constructed in accordance with the appropriate job safety documents to provide negative air pressure, HEPA filtration, and other attributes, as necessary, to protect personnel and the environment. These activities are outside the scope of this closure plan.

Equipment used during closure activities will be decontaminated as necessary for reuse or disposed as waste.

If 241-Z undergoes partial closure as described in Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1, the unit will no longer be operating and waste will no longer be managed. A 241-Z contingency plan, personnel training plan, or a waste analysis plan will not be required after partial closure. After partial closure, monitoring and/or inspections of the unclosed unit will occur that does not equate to postclosure care. A plan for inspection and/or monitoring of unclosed components and concrete structures that overlay potential soil contamination will be developed and submitted to Ecology to ensure that conditions do not develop that could mobilize contamination. Such a plan would identify all areas of concern. If ongoing inspections are determined to be necessary, the plan would include an inspection schedule, inspection parameters, and a response to unsatisfactory conditions. This plan would constitute the TSD unit inspection schedule.

7.3 SCHEDULE OF CLOSURE

A schedule for the 241-Z closure activities under this plan is provided in Figure 7-2. Because of the size and complexity of this unit, closure activities will require greater than 180 days to complete. However, TPA milestones M-83-31 and M-83-32 (Chapter 1.0) have been developed recognizing that 241-Z closure will be coordinated with PFP deactivation activities and could be coordinated with future CERCLA action(s). TPA milestone M-83-31 indicates that 241-Z closure activities might not begin until June 2005 when the tank system ceases to receive waste from PFP operations. TPA milestone M-83-32 does not require 241-Z closure plan activities to be completed until September 2011. If closure activities begin in June 2005, as allowed, and end in September 2011, as required, the approved closure period under these milestones is approximately 6 years. Consequently, even though closure activities identified in

Figure 7-2, once begun, could require greater than 180 days to complete, a WAC 173-303-610 (4)(b) extension of the closure period will not be required as long as closure activities under this plan are completed by September 30, 2011.

7.4 AMENDMENT OF PLAN

Any amendments to the closure plan will be submitted in accordance with the *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit Application, General Information Portion* (DOE/RL-91-28).

7.5 CERTIFICATION OF CLOSURE

Certification of closure will be submitted in accordance with *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit Application, General Information Portion* (DOE/RL-91-28).

This page intentionally left blank.

EXAMPLE

241-Z TREATMENT AND STORAGE TANKS
CLOSURE DECONTAMINATION AND VERIFICATION CHECKLIST

This checklist is intended to document decontamination of the following 241-Z components, structures, and/or materials and verification of visual or analytical clean closure standards for the materials.

1. Building/location: _____
2. Component(s)/area(s) (e.g., D-4 tank interior) _____
3. Material (e.g., concrete, metal): _____
4. No cracks or openings are visible that could have provided a pathway to soil for contamination. _____
5. No contact with dangerous waste. _____
6. No void space under tank. _____

_____ _____
Signature Date

7. Decontamination:

A. Method (NA step 5.C if no decontamination performed) _____

B. Parameters (check appropriate parameters):

- ☐ Temperature _____
- ☐ Propellant _____
- ☐ Pressure _____
- ☐ Surfactant(s) _____
- ☐ Detergents/solvents _____
- ☐ Grinding/striking media (e.g., wheels) _____

C. Decontamination (steps 6A and B) is complete.

_____ _____
Signature Date

8. The identified materials were:
   - ☐ Visually inspected and have attained a clean debris surface[1]
   - ☐ Sampled and meet an analytical clean closure standard[2]. Reference results (e.g., sample number)

_____

Authorized Representative:

_____ _____
Signature Date

1 Definition of 'clean debris surface' from Table 1, Alternative Treatment Standards for Hazardous Debris (40 CFR 268.45): "'Clean debris surface' means the surface, when viewed without magnification, shall be free of all visible contaminated soil and hazardous waste except that residual staining from soil and waste consisting of light shadows, slight streaks, or minor discoloration's, and soil and waste in cracks, crevices, and pits, may be present provided that such staining and waste and soil in cracks, crevices, and pits shall be limited to no more than 5% of each square inch of surface area".

2 See Chapter 6.0, Section 2.1.2 for analytical clean closure standards.

Figure 7-1. Example 241-Z Decontamination and Verification Checklist.

241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks
Closure Schedule



Figure 7-2. Closure Activities Schedule for the 241-Z.

CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

8.0 POSTCLOSURE

The 241-Z is proposed to be clean closed in which case no postclosure care would be required.

If the unit cannot be clean closed under this plan, 241-Z would undergo partial closure with final closure to occur at a later date in conjunction with the appropriate 241-Z CERCLA action(s) (Chapter 6.0, Section 6.1). During the period between partial and final 241-Z closure, a plan for unit monitoring and/or inspections will be developed as described in Chapter 7.0, Section 7.2.5 that does not equate to postclosure care.

If the future CERCLA action(s) do not allow for final 241-Z clean closure, the unit would be closed under modified closure or landfill closure provisions of WAC 173-303-610 and the HF RCRA Permit, Section II.K. Either closure method would require postclosure care. A plan for postclosure care would be generated to address WAC 173-303-610(1)(b) required inspections, maintenance, monitoring, institutional controls, and periodic assessments during a period of postclosure care. These requirements would be in the surveillance and maintenance plan for the PFP Complex.

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

9.0 REFERENCES

DOE/RL-90-39, *Double-Shell Tank System Dangerous Waste Permit Application*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-91-28, *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit Application, General Information Portion*, Rev. 2, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

Ecology, 1994, *Guidance for Clean Closure of Dangerous Waste Facilities*, Publication 94-111, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE-RL, 1996, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Olympia, Washington, amended periodically.

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTION

	MSIN
Washington State Department of Ecology	
Jeff Ayers	B5-18
Rick Bond	B5-18
Ecology Library	B5-18
Dirk A. Dunning Oregon Office of Energy 625 Marrian Street N.E., Suite 1 Salem, OR 97301-3742	
J. Van Pelt, Environmental Compliance Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Hanford Operations Office 750 Swift Boulevard, Suite 12 Richland, Washington 99352	
P. Sobotta Nez Perce Tribe P. O. Box 365 Lapwai, Idaho 83540	
R. Jim, Manager Environmental Restoration/Waste Management Program Yakama Nation P. O. Box 151 Toppenish, Washington 98948	
U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office	
B. L. Charboneau	A6-33
E. M. Mattlin	A5-15
A. K. Wright	A6-33
Fluor Hanford	
R. W. Bloom	T5-57
K. A. Hadley	T5-57
R. E. Heineman, Jr.	T5-50
S. N. Luke	N1-25
R. W. Oldham	T5-57
J. K. Perry	L1-04
A. L. Prignano	N1-25
S. M. Sax	T5-15
C. J. Simiele	T5-51
D. K. Smith	T5-15

DISTRIBUTION

	MSIN
Lockheed Martin Information Technology	
Central Files	B1-07
DPC	H6-08
EDMC (2)	H6-08

Enclosure 3

Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plan for
the Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit Glovebox HA-20MB,
DOE/RL-2003-32, Revision 0

Consisting of 16 pages, including cover sheet

DOE/RL-2003-32
Revision 0

Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plan, Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit Glovebox HA-20 MB

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management
Project Hanford Management Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

United States
Department of Energy
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

DOE/RL-2003-32
Revision 0

Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Closure Plan, Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit Glovebox HA-20 MB

Date Published
July 2003

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Project Hanford Management Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

United States
Department of Energy
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

Chris H. Klinghaver 6/25/03
Release Approval Date

Printed in the United States of America

CONTENTS

FIGURE

GLOSSARY

CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980*
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DQO	Data Quality Objectives
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
HNF	Hanford Nuclear Facility (document identifier)
PFP	Plutonium Finishing Plant
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
SS&C	Sand, slag, and crucible
TPA, Tri-Party Agreement	*Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*
TRU	Transuranic (waste)
WAC	Washington Administrative Code

METRIC CONVERSION CHART

Into metric units			Out of metric units		
If you know	Multiply by	To get	If you know	Multiply by	To get
Length			**Length**		
inches	25.40	millimeters	millimeters	0.03937	inches
inches	2.54	centimeters	centimeters	0.393701	inches
feet	0.3048	meters	meters	3.28084	feet
yards	0.9144	meters	meters	1.0936	yards
miles (statute)	1.60934	kilometers	kilometers	0.62137	miles (statute)
Area			**Area**		
square inches	6.4516	square centimeters	square centimeters	0.155	square inches
square feet	0.09290304	square meters	square meters	10.7639	square feet
square yards	0.8361274	square meters	square meters	1.19599	square yards
square miles	2.59	square kilometers	square kilometers	0.386102	square miles
acres	0.404687	hectares	hectares	2.47104	acres
Mass (weight)			**Mass (weight)**		
ounces (avoir)	28.34952	grams	grams	0.035274	ounces (avoir)
pounds	0.45359237	kilograms	kilograms	2.204623	pounds (avoir)
tons (short)	0.9071847	tons (metric)	tons (metric)	1.1023	tons (short)
Volume			**Volume**		
ounces (U.S., liquid)	29.57353	milliliters	milliliters	0.033814	ounces (U.S., liquid)
quarts (U.S., liquid)	0.9463529	liters	liters	1.0567	quarts (U.S., liquid)
gallons (U.S., liquid)	3.7854	liters	liters	0.26417	gallons (U.S., liquid)
cubic feet	0.02831685	cubic meters	cubic meters	35.3147	cubic feet
cubic yards	0.7645549	cubic meters	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards
Temperature			**Temperature**		
Fahrenheit	subtract 32 then multiply by 5/9ths	Celsius	Celsius	multiply by 9/5ths, then add 32	Fahrenheit
Energy			**Energy**		
kilowatt hour	3,412	British thermal unit	British thermal unit	0.000293	kilowatt hour
kilowatt	0.94782	British thermal unit per second	British thermal unit per second	1.055	kilowatt
Force/Pressure			**Force/Pressure**		
pounds (force) per square inch	6.894757	kilopascals	kilopascals	0.14504	pounds per square inch

06-2001

Source: *Engineering Unit Conversions*, M. R. Lindeburg, PE., Third Ed., 1993, Professional Publications, Inc., Belmont, California.

This page intentionally left blank.

HANFORD FACILITY DANGEROUS WASTE CLOSURE PLAN
PLUTONIUM FINISHING PLANT TREATMENT UNIT GLOVEBOX HA-20MB

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This closure plan describes the planned activities and performance standards for closing the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) glovebox HA-20MB that housed an interim status *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* (RCRA) *of 1976* treatment unit. This closure plan is certified and submitted to Ecology for incorporation into the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit (HF RCRA Permit) in accordance with *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement; TPA) Milestone M-83-30 requiring submittal of a certified closure plan for "glovebox HA-20MB" by July 31, 2003.

Glovebox HA-20MB is located within the 234-5Z Building in the 200 West Area of the Hanford Facility. Currently glovebox HA-20MB is being used for non-RCRA analytical purposes. The schedule of closure activities under this plan supports completion of TPA Milestone M-83-44 to deactivate and prepare for dismantlement the above grade portions of the 234-5Z and ZA, 243-Z, and 291-Z and 291-Z-1 stack buildings by September 30, 2015.

Under this closure plan, glovebox HA-20MB will undergo clean closure to the performance standards of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303-610 with respect to all dangerous waste contamination from glovebox HA-20MB RCRA operations. Because the intention is to clean close the PFP treatment unit, postclosure activities are not applicable to this closure plan. To clean close the unit, it will be demonstrated that dangerous waste has not been left at levels above the closure performance standard for removal and decontamination. If it is determined that clean closure is not possible or is environmentally impractical, the closure plan will be modified to address required postclosure activities.

Because dangerous waste does not include source, special nuclear, and by-product material components of mixed waste, radionuclides are not within the scope of this documentation. Any information on radionuclides is provided only for general knowledge.

2.0 SYSTEM AND PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The PFP treatment unit equipment immobilized plutonium-bearing sand, slag and crucible (SS&C) residue in a glovebox process. The SS&C residue in a solid physical state (chunks and coarse powder), was mixed with water, cemented, and sealed into and out of the glovebox in closed containers. The PFP treatment unit boundary is glovebox HA-20MB in Room 235B of the 234-5Z Building. The treatment unit consisted of a mixer/bowl assembly and associated equipment. Glovebox HA-20MB measures approximately 4.7 meters long, by 1.5 meters wide, by 1.6 meters high. SS&C was treated in glovebox HA-20MB by a cementation process performed by mixing a standard cement material with appropriate amounts of the SS&C and water to form a slurry. Following mixing the slurry was placed into approximately 3-liter billet cans for solidification before loadout. Following cementation, the containers of immobilized waste were loaded out and transferred to a Hanford Site facility for proper storage and disposal as TRU/mixed waste. Three 3-liter billets were filled as a result of this activity.. The equipment associated with the TSD activities was removed, designated, and managed as TRU waste.

The dangerous waste numbers associated with the unit are listed on the Part A, Form 3. However, the waste managed in the unit was limited to SS&C material, which is state only regulated as WSC2 and WT02. The D003 characteristic (reactivity) has been treated by the cementation process and is not considered to be a viable characteristic of any waste residues remaining in the glovebox. Therefore, the state-only characteristics, WSC2 and WT02, are the only waste numbers of concern for closure.

3.0 CLOSURE PLAN

The following sections address performance standards, waste removal, and decontamination standards.

3.1 CLOSURE PERFORMANCE STANDARD

Clean closure of glovebox HA-20MB will be accomplished by using the closure standard in WAC 173-303-610(2)(b)(ii). Clean closure, as provided for in this plan, will eliminate future maintenance and will be protective of human health and the environment by removing or reducing chemical contamination at glovebox HA-20MB to levels that eliminate the threat of contaminant escape to the environment.

All process activities took place inside the glovebox. No spills occurred outside of the glovebox and no containers were open outside the glovebox. Therefore, mixed waste or mixed waste residues from this unit operation do not exist outside of the glovebox. This closure plan proposes multiple closure performance standards. The closure performance standard is either physical removal and disposal of the glovebox, or clean closure and continued use of the glovebox based on either achieving a clean debris surface, or, if necessary, sampling for dangerous waste constituents. All of these standards result in clean closure. Initially removal, followed by waste designation and disposal of the glovebox will be considered. If it is determined that removal is not practical, a clean debris surface will be pursued. If it is not feasible to obtain a clean debris surface, removal of the glovebox will be re-evaluated as well as the feasibility of sampling and analysis to meet closure standards.

3.1.1 Removal of glovebox

If removed for disposal, the glovebox would be sent to an onsite or offsite facility for appropriate disposal as radioactive or mixed waste. Because the system only contained characteristic waste, materials removed would not be classified as hazardous debris unless the materials contain dangerous waste. The removal activities could be coordinated with *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act* (CERCLA) *of 1980* removal actions.

3.1.2 Visual Standard of Clean Debris Surface

The visual standard for metal (i.e., the interior surface of the glovebox) is the 'clean debris surface' established for hazardous debris in 40 CFR 268.45, Table 1. The clean debris surface uses a visual standard. "A clean debris surface means the surface, when viewed without magnification, shall be free of all visible contaminated hazardous waste except residual staining from waste consisting of light shadows, slight streaks, or minor discolorations and waste in cracks, crevices, and pits may be present provided that such staining and waste in cracks, crevices, and pits shall be limited to no more than 5% of each square inch of surface area" (40 CFR 268.45).

Surfaces that meet this standard will be clean closed without additional action. Therefore, decontamination of interior surfaces in support of RCRA closure might not be necessary. However, decontamination of glovebox HA-20MB might occur for radiological reasons. Also, surfaces shown by inspection as potentially contaminated with dangerous waste or dangerous waste residues could be decontaminated using a physical or chemical extraction technology or other approved method. Obtaining a clean debris surface will allow the glovebox to be clean closed and left in place. Eventual disposition of the glovebox would occur in coordination with CERCLA activities at PFP.

3.1.3 Sampling and Analysis

Some of the components might not be amenable for cleaning and obtaining a clean debris surface. Clean closure of decontaminated materials that do not meet the visual clean debris surface standard could be verified by sampling and analysis. Surfaces of potentially contaminated material could be sampled authoritatively by wipe sampling. The clean closure standard will be to demonstrate that the component does not meet dangerous waste designation levels or 'health-based' levels prescribed by WAC 173-303-610(2)(b).

3.2 CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

Closure activities could entail removal or decontamination of the glovebox as appropriate. The unit will be closed in a manner that protects human health and the environment, and that minimizes or eliminates the escape of waste constituents to the ground, to surface water, groundwater, or to the atmosphere.

This closure plan provides for the following:

- Waste inventory removal
- Process equipment removal
- Glovebox removal (if performed)
- Decontamination and visual inspection (if performed)
- Sampling and analysis (if performed)
- Certification that closure activities were completed in accordance with the approved closure plan.

Closure of the permitted unit will consist of actions discussed in the following sections.

3.2.1 Waste Inventory Removal

No waste associated with the treatment unit activities remain in the glovebox. Any material in the glovebox at the time of closure will be removed, designated if waste, and managed appropriately.

3.2.2 Process Equipment Removal

Equipment used in TSD unit operations has been removed from the glovebox and sent to an onsite facility for proper disposal as radioactive waste. Any equipment in the glovebox at the time of closure will be decontaminated radiologically as necessary, removed from the glovebox, and either disposed or, as necessary in support of Hanford Site cleanup, decontaminated and reused. All decontamination waste will be designated and managed appropriately.

3.2.3 Glovebox Removal (if performed)

If it is determined to remove and dispose of the glovebox, the glovebox will be decontaminated (as necessary) and removed for disposal. The glovebox could be disassembled or cut up in place and packaged before removal from PFP.

3.2.4 Decontamination and Visual Inspection (if performed)

The internal surfaces of the glovebox will be inspected visually for a clean debris surface as-is (without additional decontamination). If the surfaces meet the requirements of a clean debris surface, the glovebox will be considered cleaned closed. If additional decontamination is necessary, any appropriate method as determined by operations personnel can be used. Any decontaminated rinsate or residue will be collected,

designated, and managed appropriately. The surfaces will be re-examined visually. Acceptance of a clean debris surface will be documented on an inspection checklist similar to Figure 1. If it is not possible to confirm a clean debris surface by visual examination, the glovebox could either be removed and disposed (Section 3.2.3) or sampled and analyzed (Section 3.2.5).

3.2.5 Sampling and Analysis (if performed)

If a clean debris surface cannot be obtained, sampling and analysis might be performed. If sampling and analysis is necessary for clean closure, a data quality objective (DQO) process will be conducted to determine the sampling, analysis, and quality control requirements. A sampling and analysis plan will be prepared after the DQO. Results will be made available after completion of sampling and analysis activities.

3.2.6 Closure Certification

Certification of closure will be submitted in accordance with *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit Application, General Information Portion* (DOE/RL-91-28).

4.0 SCHEDULE FOR CLOSURE

Completion of closure will be timed to coincide with the overall stabilization and transition of PFP to be conducted in support of TPA MilestoneM-83-44. To coordinate with TPA Milestone M-83-44, closure activities may require greater than 180 days to complete; a WAC 173-303-610 (4)(b) extension of the closure period will not be required as long as closure activities under this plan are completed according to this schedule. If closure plan revisions are necessary to achieve clean closure, a revised schedule will be proposed.

5.0 REFERENCES

DOE/RL-88-21, *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Part A Permit Application*, Vol. 1-3, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington, updated periodically.

DOE/RL-91-28, *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit Application, General Information Portion*, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington, updated periodically.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE-RL, 1996, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Olympia, Washington, amended periodically.

This is an example of a checklist intended to document a "clean debris surface" for components, structures and/or materials.

1. Building/location: _____

2. Component(s)/Area(s): _____

3. Material (e.g., concrete, metal): _____

4. Decontamination/Treatment Method[1] (NA if not performed): _____

_____

5. Decontamination/Treatment Parameters (NA if not applicable):
   a. Temperature _____
   b. Propellant _____
   c. Solid media (e.g., shot, grit, beads) _____
   d. Pressure _____
   e. Residence time _____
   f. Surfactant(s) _____
   g. Detergents _____
   h. Grinding/striking media (e.g., wheels, piston heads) _____
   i. Depth of surface layer removal (cm) (e.g., for concrete) _____
   j. Other _____

The decontamination of the components/areas/materials identified in steps 1 - 3 was completed as specified at steps 4 and 5. Enter NA if decontamination was not performed for these materials.

_____	_____	_____
Signature	Title	Date

6. Performance Standard:

I have visually inspected the above identified material before/after (circle one) decontamination/treatment in accordance with the closure plan. All dangerous waste residues have been removed to attain a clean debris surface[2].

Authorized Representative:

_____	_____	_____
Signature	Title	Date

Notes:
1. Although not mandatory, decontamination could use a physical extraction method from Table 1, Alternative Treatment Standards for Hazardous Debris (40 CFR 268.45).
2. Definition of 'clean debris surface' from Table 1, Alternative Treatment Standards for Hazardous Debris (40 CFR 268.45): "'Clean debris surface' means the surface, when viewed without magnification, shall be free of all visible contaminated soil and hazardous waste except that residual staining from soil and waste consisting of light shadows, slight streaks, or minor discolorations, and soil and waste in cracks, crevices, and pits, may be present provided that such staining and waste and soil in cracks, crevices, and pits shall be limited to no more than 5% of each square inch of surface area".

Figure 1. Typical Checklist.

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTION

	MSIN
Washington State Department of Ecology	
Jeff Ayers	B5-18
Rick Bond	B5-18
Ecology Library	B5-18
Dirk A. Dunning Oregon Office of Energy 625 Marrian Street N.E., Suite 1 Salem, OR 97301-3742	
J. Van Pelt, Environmental Compliance Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Hanford Operations Office 750 Swift Boulevard, Suite 12 Richland, Washington 99352	
P. Sobotta Nez Perce Tribe P. O. Box 365 Lapwai, Idaho 83540	
R. Jim, Manager Environmental Restoration/Waste Management Program Yakama Nation P. O. Box 151 Toppenish, Washington 98948	
U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office	
B. L. Charboneau	A6-33
E. M. Mattlin	A5-15
A. K. Wright	A6-33
Public Reading Room	H2-53
Fluor Hanford	
R. W. Bloom	T5-57
K. A. Hadley	T5-57
R. E. Heineman, Jr.	T5-50
S. N. Luke	N1-25
R. W. Oldham	T5-57
J. K. Perry	L1-04
A. L. Prignano	N1-25
S. M. Sax	T5-15
C. J. Simiele	T5-51
D. K. Smith	T5-15
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory	
Hanford Technical Library	P8-55

DISTRIBUTION

	MSIN
Lockheed Martin Information Technology	
Central Files	B1-07
DPC	H6-08
EDMC (2)	H6-08

Enclosure 4

State Environmental Policy Act Environmental Checklist for
the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks Closure

Consisting of 21 pages, including cover sheet

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

FOR THE

241-Z TREATMENT AND STORAGE TANKS CLOSURE

REVISION 1

JUNE 2003

WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
[WAC 197-11-960]

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

This *State Environmental Policy Act* (SEPA) *of 1971* Environmental Checklist is being submitted for closure for the Hanford Facility, 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks are proposed to be clean closed with respect to dangerous waste contamination that resulted from operations of this tank system as a *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* (RCRA) treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) unit.

2. Name of applicants:

U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (DOE-RL).

3. Address and phone number of applicants and contact persons:

U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

Contact:

Keith A. Klein, Manager
Richland Operations Office
(509) 376-7395

4. **Date checklist prepared:**

June 2003.

5. Agency requesting the checklist:

Washington State Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, Washington 98504-7600

6. Proposed timing or schedule: (including phasing, if applicable):

This SEPA Environmental Checklist is being submitted concurrently with a closure plan prepared in accordance with Washington Administrative Code 173-303 Dangerous Waste Regulations. The closure plan will be submitted to the Washington State Department of Ecology by July, 2003, in accordance with TPA milestone M-83-30. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks system is used to support Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) complex current operations and will be used for future PFP transition activities. Once the PFP complex transition activities are complete and the final inventory of waste is removed form the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit, closure activities will begin. However, in accordance with TPA milestone M-83-32 closure activities identified in this plan must be completed by September 30, 2011.

7. **Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.**

Final disposition of the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks, internal piping and concrete vaults will be coordinated with the disposition phase of the PFP complex decommissioning process. Any necessary soil cleanup also will be coordinated with the decommissioning of the PFP complex.

8. **List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.**

This revised SEPA Environmental Checklist is being submitted to Ecology to address the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks. Previously, revision 0 of this SEPA Environmental Checklist, submitted concurrently with the NOI for the Hanford Facility, was submitted in September 1996.

An environmental assessment addressing deactivation of the PFP complex is being prepared under the *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) *of 1969*. Final disposition of the PFP complex, including the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit, will be addressed in appropriate *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act* (CERCLA) *of 1980* documentation.

A radioactive air emissions notice of construction (DOE-RL-2002, Revision 1, *Radioactive Air Emissions Notice of Construction for Transition of the 241-Z Liquid Waste Treatment Facility at the Plutonium Finishing Plant, 200 West Area, Hanford Site, Richland,* Washington) has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 246-247-060 for transition of the 241-Z Building at PFP in support of cessation of discharges to Tank Farms.

General information concerning the Hanford Facility environment can be found in the *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415, Revision 14, September 2002. This document is updated annually by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), and provides current information concerning climate and meteorology, ecology, history and archeology, socioeconomic, land use and noise levels, and geology and hydrology. These baseline data for the Hanford Site and past activities are useful for evaluating proposed activities and their potential environmental impacts.

9. **Do you know whether applications are pending for government approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.**

No other applications are pending.

10. **List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.**

Approvals will be obtained for the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks closure plan and CERCLA documentation (refer to A8).

11. **Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.**

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks are part of the PFP complex. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit is a liquid waste collection system that initially consisted of five tanks in five separate covered concrete cells, located below grade at the 241-Z Building. Only four of these tanks operated

under RCRA. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks are used for intermediate storage and pH adjustment of aqueous waste from the PFP complex.

The closure plan proposes to clean close the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks with respect to dangerous waste contamination that resulted from operations of the unit as a RCRA TSD. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit consists of five components: the tanks (excluding Tank D-6), the internal piping, ancillary equipment, the concrete vaults, and the soils directly beneath the unit. The unit boundary is the concrete vault walls and ceiling, and ancillary piping to the dangerous waste sources identified in the closure plan. The upper building has never handled dangerous waste and is not considered part of the RCRA unit. Ancillary underground pipes from other buildings in the PFP complex connected to the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit that cannot be clean closed under this plan will be addressed during decommissioning of the PFP complex.

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit is proposed to be clean closed to the performance standards of WAC 173-303-610, with respect to all dangerous waste and materials contaminated from operation of the treatment and storage tanks. Closure of the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks will be performed in accordance with the Ecology-approved closure plan. General closure activities are as follows.

- Visually examine the tanks and compare to the "debris rule" performance standard as a clean closure performance standard.
- Clean the tanks as necessary and re-examine visually.
- Flush and drain the ancillary piping.
- Sample and analyze rinsate, or if accessible, visually inspect to verify clean closure.
- Physically remove and dispose of ancillary equipment.
- Visually examine concrete for potentially contaminated areas and for potential pathways for dangerous waste to reach the soils (e.g., cracks).
- Decontaminate the potentially contaminated concrete areas and visually inspect for a clean debris surface.
- If the tanks, internal piping, concrete, and underlying soils meet the clean closure performance standards, clean close the unit.
- If portion(s) of the tanks, internal piping, or concrete do not meet the closure performance standards and further cleanup is ineffective, remove contaminated portions or coordinate cleanup with the decommissioning process.
- If cracks are found in the concrete vaults that may have resulted in contamination of the soils, the soil characterization and potential cleanup will be coordinated with the PFP complex decommissioning process.

12. **Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.**

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks are located within the PFP complex in the central west portion of the 200 West Area of the Hanford Facility. The section, township, and range are as follows: Section 1, T12N, R25E.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other_____.

Flat.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The approximate slope of the land is less than 2 percent.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site? (for example, clay, sandy gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Soil types consist mainly of eolian and fluvial sands and gravel. More detailed information concerning specific soil classifications can be found in the *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415, Revision 14, September 2002. Farming is not permitted on the Hanford Facility.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

No filling or grading is required.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The 241-Z Building will remain intact, providing covering of the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

h. **Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:**

None.

2. Air

a. **What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities, if known.**

Routine closure activities would generate emissions from a controlled environment through a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA)-filtered ventilation system. Routine closure activities also would generate dust. Minor amounts of exhaust would be generated by vehicles used by personnel during closure operations.

Airborne releases (radionuclides and chemicals) could occur as a result of upset conditions. Such a release would not exceed immediately dangerous to life and health concentrations outside the immediate area of the spill/release because of the small quantity of material that is available for release.

b. **Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odors that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.**

No.

c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to the air, if any?**

Good engineering practices would be followed, and actions would comply with onsite procedures designed to protect the environment and personnel safety and health.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

2) **Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

The work would not require any activity in or near the described waters and drainage.

3) **Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.**

There would be no dredging or filling from or to surface water or wetlands.

4) **Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

The water supply for the 200 West Area is pumped from the Columbia River. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks closure activities would use relatively little of this overall withdrawal. The estimated amounts are insignificant compared to normal daily water use in the 200 West Area.

5) **Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.**

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks are not within the 100-year or 500-year floodplain [*Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415, Revision 14, September 2002].

6) **Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.**

No.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

b. Ground

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No groundwater would be withdrawn in support of this project, and water would not be discharged to the aquifer.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None.

c. Water Run-off (including storm water)

1) Describe the source of run-off (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The Hanford Facility receives only 15.2 to 17.8 centimeters of annual precipitation. Precipitation runs off the existing buildings and seeps into the soil on and near the buildings. This precipitation does not reach the groundwater or surface waters.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Waste materials would not enter ground or surface waters. All waste materials would be contained.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and run-off water impacts, if any:

No surface, ground, or run-off water impacts are expected.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle the types of vegetation found on the site.

☐ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

- ☐ evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- ☒ shrubs
- ☒ grass
- ☐ pasture
- ☐ crop or grain
- ☐ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
- ☐ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- ☐ other types of vegetation

The most common vegetation community in the 200 West Area is sagebrush/cheatgrass or Sandberg's bluegrass.

b. **What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?**

No vegetation would be removed or altered during 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks closure activities.

c. **List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.**

The Hanford Facility contains some federal and state listed threatened and endangered plant and animal species. Additional information on species can be found in *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415 (Revision 14, September 2002).

d. **Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:**

None.

5. Animals

a. **Indicate (by underlining) any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:**

birds: Raptors (burrowing owls, ferruginous, redtail, and Swainson's hawks) eagles, songbirds,
mammals: deer, elk, coyotes, rabbits, rodents.

Additional information on animals can be found in *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415 (Revision 14, September 2002).

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

mammals: deer, elk, coyotes, rabbits, rodents.

Additional information on animals can be found in *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415 (Revision 14, September 2002).

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

One federal and state listed threatened or endangered species has been identified on the 1,517 square kilometer Hanford Site along the Columbia River (the bald eagle) and two in the Columbia River (steelhead and spring-run chinook salmon). In addition, the state listed white pelican, sandhill crane, and ferruginous hawk also occur on or migrate through the Hanford Site.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The Hanford Site is a part of the broad Pacific Flyway. However, the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit location is not known as a haven for migratory birds.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This project contains no specific measures to preserve or enhance wildlife.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Existing PFP complex utility sources will include electricity used at the 241-Z Building for heating and lighting the support structures and for perimeter lighting.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Possible environmental health hazards to personnel could arise from activities at the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit. The hazard could come from exposure to radioactive, dangerous, and/or mixed waste. Stringent administrative controls and engineered barriers will be used to minimize the probability of even a minor incident and/or accident. A chemical spill, release, fire, or explosion could occur only as a result of a simultaneous breakdown in multiple barriers or a catastrophic natural forces event.

1) **Describe special emergency services that might be required.**

Hanford Site security, fire response, and ambulance services are on call at all times in the event of an onsite emergency. Hanford Site emergency services personnel are trained specially to manage a variety of circumstances involving chemical and/or mixed waste constituents and situations.

2) **Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:**

All personnel are trained to follow proper procedures during the disposal operations to minimize potential exposure. The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit will have systems for radiation monitoring, fire protection, and alarm capability.

Chemical and radiological safety hazards would be mitigated by preventing direct contact with the residual chemical constituents; and protective clothing, appropriate training, and respiratory protection used by onsite personnel as necessary. As low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) principles would be applied during construction and operations.

b. Noise

1) **What type of noise exists in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?**

While there is a minor amount of traffic, operation, and equipment noise in the vicinity, there would be minimal affect to personnel at the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit.

2) **What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term**

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Minor amounts of noise from traffic and equipment are expected during day shift hours for operations.

3) **Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:**

In the unlikely event that Occupational Safety and Health Administration noise standards would be exceeded, appropriate measures to protect personnel would be employed.

8. **Land and Shoreline Use**

a. **What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?**

The Hanford Facility is a single RCRA facility identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)/State Identification Number WA7890008967 that consists of over 70 TSD units conducting dangerous waste management activities. These TSD units are included in the *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Part A Permit Application* (DOE/RL-88-21). The Hanford Facility consists of all contiguous land, and structures, other appurtenances, and improvements on the land, used for recycling, reusing, reclaiming, transferring, storing, treating, or disposing of dangerous waste, which, for the purposes of the RCRA, are owned by the U.S. Government and operated by the DOE-RL (excluding lands north and east of the Columbia River, river islands, lands owned or used by the Bonneville Power Administration, lands leased to Energy Northwest, and lands owned by or leased to Washington State).

b. **Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.**

No portion of the 200 West Area has been used for agricultural purposes since 1943.

c. **Describe any structures on the site.**

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks are located below grade in a buried, reinforced- concrete structure with a pre-engineered corrugated metal enclosure over the top, which provides weather protection. The 241-Z Building is approximately 6 meters (20 feet) wide, 28 meters (92 feet) long, and 7 meters (22 feet) deep. The 241-Z Building is located about 100 meters (328 feet) south of the 234-5Z Building.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

d. **Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?**

None.

e. **What is the current zoning classification of the site?**

The Hanford Site is currently included in Public Lands designation in the Benton County Comprehensive Plan (June 22, 1998) (internet address: http://206.61.210.104/pl/compplan/forward.htm). The Plan is being revised, and will address the Hanford Site as a separate geographic component, or "Sub-Area" with its own Land Use Plan (under development as Chapter 13 in the aforementioned Benton County Comprehensive Plan).

f. **What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?**

The *Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision* (64 FR 61615, November 12, 1999) stated that the Central Plateau (200 Areas) geographic area is designated Industrial-Exclusive.

g. **If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?**

Does not apply.

h. **Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.**

No.

i. **Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?**

Minimal staff would provide appropriate surveillance and maintenance of the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit after closure, in conjunction with the overall PFP Complex surveillance and maintenance activities.

j. **Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?**

None.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Does not apply (refer to Section 8.f.).

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The 241-Z Building is approximately 4 meters (12 feet) tall.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

11. Light and Glare

a. **What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**

Nighttime lighting provides a continuous operations environment and necessary security requirements.

b. **Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

No.

c. **What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?**

None.

d. **Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:**

None.

12. Recreation

a. **What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?**

None.

b. **Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.**

No.

c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any?**

None.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

13. **Historic and Cultural Preservation**

a. **Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.**

The impacts of deactivation on the cultural and historical resources identified within the PFP complex have been documented within a suite of Cultural Resource Reviews. The Cultural Resources Review conducted for this PFP complex deactivation, including the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit, ensured compliance with the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and the Programmatic Agreement Among the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the Washington State Historic Preservation Office for the Maintenance, Deactivation, Alteration, and Demolition of the Built Environment on the Hanford Site, Washington (DOE/RL-96-77).

Eleven buildings in the PFP complex (i.e., 232-Z., 234-5Z, 234-5ZA, 236-Z, 242-Z, 2701-ZA, 2704-Z, 2736-Z, 2736-ZA, 2736-ZB, and 291-Z) are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places as contributing properties within the Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District. Of these 11 buildings, four buildings (i.e., 234-5Z, 291-Z, 232-Z, and 2736-Z) have been recommended by DOE-RL for preservation for public education and interpretation through heritage tourism[1].

In addition, building walkthroughs of the PFP complex historic buildings have been conducted in accordance with DOE/RL-96-77 to assess their contents and to locate any artifacts that might have interpretive or educational value as potential exhibits within local, state, or national museums. Artifacts within the PFP complex have been identified and tagged.

b. **Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.**

See response to B.13.A.

c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:**

See response to B.13.A.

[1] DOE/RL-97-1047, *History of the Plutonium Production Facilities at the Hanford Site Historic District, 1943-1990*, DOE/RL-97-1047, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

14. Transportation

a. **Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.**

Does not apply.

b. **Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit is not accessible to the public and is not served by public transit.

c. **How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?**

Not applicable.

d. **Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).**

No.

e. **Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

No.

f. **How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.**

None.

g. **Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:**

None.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

Does not apply.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other:

Electricity, non-potable water, refuse service, telephone, and a sanitary sewer system are available in the 200 West Area.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Existing utilities at the PFP complex would be used to support the closure of the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks unit.

SIGNATURES

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Michael H. Schlender 2/25/03

Keith A. Klein, Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office

Date

Enclosure 5

State Environmental Policy Act Environmental Checklist for the HA-20MB Glovebox Closure

Consisting of 21 pages, including cover sheet

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

FOR THE

HA-20MB GLOVEBOX CLOSURE

REVISION 1

JUNE 2003

WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
[WAC 197-11-960]

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

This *State Environmental Policy Act* (SEPA) *of 1971* Environmental Checklist is being submitted for closure for the Hanford Facility, HA-20MB Glovebox at the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP). The HA-20MB Glovebox will be closed with respect to dangerous waste contamination that resulted from treatment operations as a *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* (RCRA) *of 1976* treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) unit.

2. Name of applicants:

U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (DOE-RL).

3. Address and phone number of applicants and contact persons:

U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

Contact:

Keith A. Klein, Manager
Richland Operations Office
(509) 376-7395

4. Date checklist prepared:

June 2003.

5. Agency requesting the checklist:

Washington State Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, Washington 98504-7600

6. Proposed timing or schedule: (including phasing, if applicable):

This SEPA Environmental Checklist is being submitted concurrently with a closure plan prepared in accordance with Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303 Dangerous Waste Regulations. The closure plan will be submitted to the Washington State Department of Ecology in July 2003.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

8. **List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.**

This revised SEPA Environmental Checklist is being submitted to Ecology to address the HA-20MB Glovebox. Previously, revision 0 of this SEPA Environmental Checklist, submitted concurrently with the Notice of Intent for the Hanford Facility, was submitted in July 1997.

An environmental impact statement was prepared under the *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) *of 1969* which included glovebox operations for immobilization of plutonium-bearing materials (DOE/EIS-0244-F, *Plutonium Finishing Plant Stabilization Final Environmental Impact Statement*, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington). A supplement analysis (DOE/EIS-0244-FS/SA1, *Supplement Analysis for the Immobilization of Plutonium-Bearing Materials at the Plutonium Finishing Plant, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*) addressed an alternative packaging concept for immobilized materials.

An environmental assessment addressing deactivation of the PFP complex is being prepared under the *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) *of 1969*. Final disposition of the PFP complex, including the HA-20MB Glovebox unit, will be addressed in appropriate *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act* (CERCLA) *of 1980* documentation.

General information concerning the Hanford Facility environment can be found in the *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415, Revision 14, September 2002. This document is updated annually by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), and provides current information concerning climate and meteorology, ecology, history and archeology, socioeconomic, land use and noise levels, and geology and hydrology. These baseline data for the Hanford Site and past activities are useful for evaluating proposed activities and their potential environmental impacts.

9. **Do you know whether applications are pending for government approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.**

No other applications are pending.

10. **List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.**

DOE-RL and Ecology will approve the HA-20MB Glovebox closure plan. Final disposition of the glovebox unit is to the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility, disposal will be addressed in appropriate approved CERCLA documentation (refer to Item 8).

11. **Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.**

The closure plan describes the planned activities and performance standards for closing the PFP glovebox HA-20MB that housed a RCRA treatment unit. The PFP treatment unit boundary is glovebox HA-20MB in Room 235B of the 234-5Z Building in the 200 West Area of the Hanford Facility. Clean closure is planned for the glovebox and will be accomplished by using the closure standard in WAC 173-303-610. All process activities took place inside the glovebox. No spills occurred outside of the glovebox and no containers were open outside the glovebox. Therefore, mixed waste or mixed waste residues from this unit operation do not exist outside of the glovebox. This closure plan proposes

multiple closure performance standards. The closure performance standard is either physical removal and disposal of the glovebox, or clean closure and continued use of the glovebox based on either achieving a clean debris surface, or, if necessary, sampling for dangerous waste constituents. The option implemented for closure of this unit will be determined at the time of closure and will be based on the continued need to use the glovebox. Because the intention is to clean close the PFP treatment unit, postclosure activities are not applicable to this closure plan. If it is determined that clean closure is not possible or is environmentally impractical, the closure plan will be modified to address required postclosure activities.

The PFP treatment unit equipment immobilized plutonium-bearing sand, slag and crucible (SS&C) residue in a glovebox process. The SS&C residue in a solid physical state (chunks and coarse powder), was mixed with water, cemented, and sealed into and out of the glovebox in closed containers. The treatment unit equipment consisted of a mixer/bowl assembly. Glovebox HA-20MB measures approximately 4.7 meters long, by 1.5 meters wide, by 1.6 meters high. SS&C was treated in glovebox HA-20MB by a cementation process performed by mixing a standard cement material with appropriate amounts of the SS&C and water to form a slurry. Following mixing the slurry was placed into approximately 3-liter billet cans for solidification before loadout. Following cementation, the containers of immobilized waste were loaded out and transferred to a Hanford Site facility for proper disposal as radioactive waste. Three 3-liter billet cans were filled as a result of this activity. The equipment associated with the TSD activities was removed, designated, and managed as TRU waste.

12. **Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.**

The HA-20MB Glovebox is located within the PFP complex in the central west portion of the 200 West Area of the Hanford Facility. The section, township, and range are as follows: Section 1, T12N, R25E.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other_____.

Flat.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The approximate slope of the land is less than 2 percent.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site? (for example, clay, sandy gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Soil types consist mainly of eolian and fluvial sands and gravel. More detailed information concerning specific soil classifications can be found in the *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415, Revision 14, September 2002. Farming is not permitted on the Hanford Facility.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No.

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

No filling or grading is required.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

No.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The 234-5Z Building which contains the HA-20MB Glovebox will remain intact.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

None.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities, if known.

Routine closure activities would generate dust.

An airborne radiological release could occur as a result of upset conditions. Such a release would not exceed immediately dangerous to life and health concentrations outside the immediate area of the spill/release because of the small quantity of material that is available for release.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odors that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to the air, if any?

Good engineering practices would be followed, and actions would comply with onsite procedures designed to protect the environment and personnel safety and health.

3. Water

a. Surface

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No. The HA-20MB Glovebox is over 7 kilometers from the Columbia River.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

2) **Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

The work would not require any activity in or near the described waters and drainage.

3) **Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.**

There would be no dredging or filling from or to surface water or wetlands.

4) **Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

The water supply for the 200 West Area is pumped from the Columbia River. The HA-20MB Glovebox closure activities would use relatively little of this overall withdrawal. The estimated amounts are insignificant compared to normal daily water use in the 200 West Area.

5) **Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.**

The HA-20MB Glovebox is not within the 100-year or 500-year floodplain [*Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415, Revision 14, September 2002].

6) **Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.**

No.

b. Ground

1) **Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

No groundwater would be withdrawn in support of this project, and water would not be discharged to the aquifer.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None.

c. Water Run-off (including storm water)

1) Describe the source of run-off (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The Hanford Facility receives only 15.2 to 17.8 centimeters of annual precipitation. Precipitation runs off the existing buildings and seeps into the soil on and near the buildings. This precipitation does not reach the groundwater or surface waters.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Waste materials would not enter ground or surface waters. All waste materials would be contained.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and run-off water impacts, if any:

No surface, ground, or run-off water impacts are expected.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle the types of vegetation found on the site.

- ☐ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- ☐ evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- ☒ shrubs
- ☒ grass
- ☐ pasture
- ☐ crop or grain
- ☐ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
- ☐ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT | **EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY**

☐ other types of vegetation

The most common vegetation community in the 200 West Area is sagebrush/cheatgrass or Sandberg's bluegrass.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

No vegetation would be removed or altered during HA-20MB Glovebox closure activities.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The Hanford Facility contains some federal and state listed threatened and endangered plant and animal species. Additional information on species can be found in *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415 (Revision 14, September 2002).

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

None.

5. Animals

a. Indicate (by underlining) any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: Raptors (burrowing owls, ferruginous, redtail, and Swainson's hawks) eagles, songbirds,
mammals: deer, elk, coyotes, rabbits, rodents.

Additional information on animals can be found in *Hanford Site National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Characterization*, PNL-6415 (Revision 14, September 2002).

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

One federal and state listed threatened or endangered species has been identified on the 1,517 square kilometer Hanford Site along the Columbia River (the bald eagle) and two in the Columbia River (steelhead and spring-run chinook salmon). In addition, the state

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

listed white pelican, sandhill crane, and ferruginous hawk also occur on or migrate through the Hanford Site.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The Hanford Site is a part of the broad Pacific Flyway. However, the HA-20MB Glovebox unit location is not known as a haven for migratory birds.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This project contains no specific measures to preserve or enhance wildlife.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Existing PFP complex utility sources will include electricity used at the 234-5Z Building for heating and lighting the support structures and for perimeter lighting.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Energy consumption is not anticipated to be significant, and energy conservation features are not readily applicable to the HA-20MB Glovebox unit.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Possible environmental health hazards to personnel could arise from activities at the HA-20MB Glovebox unit associated with exposure to radioactive, dangerous, and/or mixed waste. Stringent

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

administrative controls and engineered barriers will be used to minimize the probability of even a minor incident and/or accident. A chemical spill, release, fire, or explosion could occur only as a result of a simultaneous breakdown in multiple barriers or a catastrophic natural forces event.

1) **Describe special emergency services that might be required.**

Hanford Site security, fire response, and ambulance services are on call at all times in the event of an onsite emergency. Hanford Site emergency services personnel are trained specially to manage a variety of circumstances involving chemical and/or mixed waste constituents and situations.

2) **Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:**

All personnel are trained to follow proper procedures during the disposal operations to minimize potential exposure. The HA-20MB Glovebox unit will have systems for radiation monitoring, fire protection, and alarm capability.

Chemical and radiological safety hazards would be mitigated by preventing direct contact with the residual chemical constituents; and protective clothing, appropriate training, and respiratory protection used by onsite personnel as necessary. As low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) principles would be applied during construction and operations.

b. Noise

1) **What type of noise exists in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?**

While there is a minor amount of traffic, operation, and equipment noise in the vicinity, there would be minimal affect to personnel at the HA-20MB Glovebox unit.

2) **What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.**

Minor amounts of noise from traffic and equipment are expected during day shift hours for operations.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

3) **Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:**

In the unlikely event that Occupational Safety and Health Administration noise standards would be exceeded, appropriate measures to protect personnel would be employed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. **What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?**

The Hanford Facility is a single RCRA facility identified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)/State Identification Number WA7890008967 that consists of over 70 TSD units conducting dangerous waste management activities. These TSD units are included in the *Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Part A Permit Application* (DOE/RL-88-21). The Hanford Facility consists of all contiguous land, and structures, other appurtenances, and improvements on the land, used for recycling, reusing, reclaiming, transferring, storing, treating, or disposing of dangerous waste, which, for the purposes of the RCRA, are owned by the U.S. Government and operated by the DOE-RL (excluding lands north and east of the Columbia River, river islands, lands owned or used by the Bonneville Power Administration, lands leased to Energy Northwest, and lands owned by or leased to Washington State).

b. **Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.**

No portion of the 200 West Area has been used for agricultural purposes since 1943.

c. **Describe any structures on the site.**

The HA-20MB Glovebox is located in the 234-5Z Building.

d. **Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?**

None.

e. **What is the current zoning classification of the site?**

The Hanford Site is currently included in Public Lands designation in the Benton County Comprehensive Plan (June 22, 1998) (internet address: http://206.61.210.104/pl/compplan/forward.htm). The Plan is being revised, and will address the Hanford Site as a separate geographic component, or "Sub-Area" with its own Land Use Plan

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

(under development as Chapter 13 in the aforementioned Benton County Comprehensive Plan).

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The *Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision* (64 FR 61615, November 12, 1999) stated that the Central Plateau (200 Areas) geographic area is designated Industrial-Exclusive.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Does not apply.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

No.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Minimal staff would provide appropriate surveillance and maintenance of the HA-20 MB Glovebox unit after closure in conjunction with the overall PFP Complex surveillance and maintenance activities.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Does not apply (refer to Section 8.f.).

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The HA-20MB Glovebox is inside of the 234-5Z Building. The highest point of the 234-5Z Building is approximately 20 meters (60 feet) tall.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

None.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

None.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

None.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any?

None.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

The impacts of deactivation on the cultural and historical resources identified within the PFP complex have been documented within Cultural Resource Reviews and associated responses from the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). The Cultural Resources Review conducted for this project ensured compliance with the requirements of the *National Historic Preservation Act of 1966* (as amended) and the Programmatic Agreement Among the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

Operations Office, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the Washington State Historic Preservation Office for the Maintenance, Deactivation, Alteration, and Demolition of the Built Environment on the Hanford Site, Washington (PA) (DOE/RL-96-77).

Eleven buildings (i.e., 232-Z., 234-5Z, 234-5ZA, 236-Z, 242-Z, 2701-ZA, 2704-Z, 2736-Z, 2736-ZA, 2736-ZB, and 291-Z) are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places as contributing properties within the Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District. Of these 11 buildings, four buildings (i.e., 234-5Z, 291-Z, 232-Z, and 2736-Z) have been recommended by DOE-RL for preservation for public education and interpretation through heritage tourism[1].

In addition, building walkthroughs of the PFP complex historic buildings have been conducted in accordance with the PA to assess their contents and to locate any artifacts that might have interpretive or educational value as potential exhibits within local, state, or national museums. Artifacts within the PFP complex have been identified and tagged.

Mitigation of the adverse effects on the physical structures within the PFP complex resulting from their deactivation has been accomplished through individual building documentations and a detailed discussion of the history and role of the PFP complex within Section 5 "Plutonium Finishing" of Chapter 2 of the book History of the Plutonium Production Facilities at the Hanford Site Historic District, 1943-1990. Mitigation measures directed at public education, site interpretation, and artifact curation were presented in an Interpretive Plan and Curation Plan written under the CRR for this project[2]. The Interpretive Plan focused primarily on the four buildings recommended by DOE-RL to be preserved in-place for public education and interpretation through heritage tourism. The Curation Plan considered the disposition of all artifacts tagged for interpretive purposes.

In January 2003, the SHPO provided final concurrence to DOE-RL regarding the recommendations arrived at within the interpretive

[1] DOE/RL-97-1047, *History of the Plutonium Production Facilities at the Hanford Site Historic District, 1943-1990*, DOE/RL-97-1047, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.

[2] Letter, J. Hebdon, RL, to A. Brooks, SHPO, "Cultural Resources Review (CRR) for the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) Decommissioning Project—Demolition of Ten Buildings that are Eligible for Listing in the National Register of Historic Placed (HCRC #2002-200-021)," 03-RCA-0082, dated December 5, 2002.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

plan and curation plan[3]. In summary, the SHPO agreed that because of public health and safety concerns posed by high radiological contamination levels, public access would be highly unlikely; therefore, deactivation activities can proceed. In addition, DOE-RL is evaluating potential long-term curation facility(s). PFP artifacts would be stored within the PFP complex while deactivation activities are being completed or suitable storage space is obtained, and until an interpretive center is established. PFP artifacts that are not contaminated will be retained; contaminated artifacts will be disposed after the objects are thoroughly documented.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

See response to B.13.A.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

See response to B.13.A.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Does not apply.

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The HA-20MB Glovebox unit is not accessible to the public and is not served by public transit.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Not applicable.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including

[3] Letter, G. Griffith, SHPO, to J. Hebdon, RL, log no. 011503-01-DOE, "Re: Deactivation and Decommissioning of Historic Buildings at the PFP Complex, HCRC 2002-200-021," dated January 29, 2003.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

None.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

Does not apply.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other:

Electricity, non-potable water, refuse service, telephone, and a sanitary sewer system are available in the 200 West Area.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATIONS FOR AGENCY USE ONLY

b. **Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.**

Existing utilities at the PFP complex would be used to support the closure of the HA-20MB Glovebox unit.

SIGNATURES

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

M. A. Schlender for

Keith A. Klein, Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office

7/25/03
Date