

0094963



Hanford NRDA: Moving Forward

Prepared for:
Hanford Natural Resources Trustee Council

Jamie Holmes and David Chapman
Stratus Consulting Inc.
Boulder, CO
June 3, 2009




Outline

- Summary of 6/1 discussion
- Tasks for the next 3 - 4 months
- Tasks for the ensuing 18 months




Yesterday's News




Schedule

- Phase 1 scheduled to end 6/30
 - Trustee comments due 6/16
 - Stratus summary/response 6/19
 - Trustee conference call 6/22
 - Stratus final: 6/30
- Final deliverable date subject to change pending Trustee's needs and Phase 1.5 decision




Data Report

- All recommendations will be consolidated in final report
- Include more on data management plan
 - Who?
 - What software?
 - How might process work?



Spatial Extent of Aquatic Injury

- Possible injuries upstream of Priest Rapids
 - Fallout
 - Biotic vectors
- Include in CSM



Closure Facilities

- Discuss evidence of/potential for uncontrolled releases from ERDF, others
- Include “waste treatment” as response action stressor
- Include US Ecology as potential Hanford/DOE closure facility



1100 Area


- Include in CSM and injury assessment
 - Horn Rapids institutional controls
 - Buried contamination



Air Resources




- Recognize importance of air as a pathway
 - Reserve discussion of air injury
 - Lower priority
- Classified air release data
 - Need to discuss if/how to approach this



Uncertainty


- Trustees/TWGs need to discuss approach to uncertainty
 - How bad was it in the past?
 - How many samples?
 - Over how much area?



Uncertainty (cont.)


- Stratus can provide examples of how uncertainty has been addressed in other NRDA's
 - Conservative assumptions
 - Bounding the possible outcomes
 - Collection of additional information

Additional Tasks Before Phase 2



Keeping the Ball Rolling

- “Common initial understanding”
 - Document recurring issues
 - Discuss approach in other NRDA’s
 - Baseline
 - Risk vs. injury
 - HEA discounting
 - Framework for addressing uncertainty
 - Probably won’t resolve all the issues



Keeping the Ball Rolling (cont.)


- TWGs

- Develop management approach
 - Specific tasks and deadlines
- Identify specific short term tasks
- Move from CSM (general) to injury assessment (specific)



Keeping the Ball Rolling (cont.)

- Aquatic and terrestrial TWGs
 - Select initial primary COCs
 - Criteria for selecting key species
 - Document habitats/sub-habitats
 - Are distinctions relevant to NRDA?
 - Outline tasks for injury assessment




Keeping the Ball Rolling (cont.)

- Source/Pathway TWG

- Select initial primary COCs


- Prioritization

- Which sources have the least amount of data and are most likely to be causing injuries?



Keeping the Ball Rolling (cont.)


- Groundwater TWG
 - Select initial primary COCs
 - Compile issues with existing site groundwater models
 - Prioritize suggestions to address those issues



Keeping the Ball Rolling (cont.)

- Tribal TWG

- Create it (members, chair)
- Propose method for inter-tribal information sharing
- Propose method for disseminating information to Trustees without revealing sensitive information



Keeping the Ball Rolling (cont.)

- Human Services TWG
 - Identification of key services
 - Discussion of potential assessment approaches




Phase 2




Recommendations

- □ At least 18 months
 - Preferably 24 months
- □ Data review
- □ Assessment Plan plus addenda
- □ Initial injury studies
- □ Possible early restoration opportunities



High Priorities

- Document management/review
 - Library database
 - Searchable PDF documents
 - Web-based query interface
 - Rapid document review
 - Keyword/abstract
 - Note usability for injury assessment



High Priorities (cont.)

- Data management/review
 - Create Trustee database
 - Get data from DOE
 - Integrate with WCH river data
 - Web-based interface (GIS)
 - Review QA/QC protocol



-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

High Priorities (cont.)

- Toxicity profiles of selected COCs
 - Which contaminants most likely to cause injuries



High Priorities (cont.)



- Ecological profiles of selected species



- Species most likely exposed




- Species most sensitive




- Locations/habitats where exposure most likely to occur






High Priorities (cont.)

- Preliminary injury assessment
 - Based on results of toxicity and ecological profiles
 - What can you conclude from existing documents/data?
 - Where are data gaps?
 - How do you know when you have enough data?



High Priorities (cont.)

- Injury quantification approach
 - Attempt to reach consensus
 - Quantify future injuries for remediation scenarios



High Priorities (cont.)

- Assessment Plan

- General overarching plan with addenda

- Separate addenda for sampling
 - Addenda when ongoing data analysis suggests alternate needs