

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION WITH INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS EXECUTIVE ORDER 13175			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> To establish regular and meaningful consultation with tribal officials in development of Federal policies that have tribal implications, to strengthen government-to-government relationships with tribes, and to reduce the imposition of unfunded mandates upon indian tribes.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> RESPONSIBILITIES OF FEDERAL AGENCIES TO PROTECT MIGRATORY BIRDS EXECUTIVE ORDER 13186			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Clarifies Federal agency responsibility and required actions to comply with the Migratory Bird Act and other conventions.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	1	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Land use, page 2	c) Each MOU shall establish protocols for implementation of the MOU and for reporting accomplishments. These protocols may be incorporated into existing actions; however, the MOU shall recognize that the agency may not be able to implement some elements of the MOU until such time as the agency has successfully included them in each agency's formal planning processes (such as revision of agency land management plans, land use compatibility guidelines, integrated resource management plans, and fishery management plans), including public participation and NEPA analysis, as appropriate. This Order and the MOUs to be developed by the agencies are intended to be implemented when new actions or renewal of contracts, permits, delegations, or other third party agreements are initiated as well as during the initiation of new, or revisions to, land management plans.
2.	Recreational, page 3	(14) recognize and promote economic and recreational values of birds, as appropriate;
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> TRAILS FOR AMERICA IN THE 21ST CENTURY SERVICE EXECUTIVE ORDER 13195			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Promote development of national trail system			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Conservation, page 1	h) Encouraging participation of qualified youth conservation or service corps, as outlined in 41 U.S.C. 12572 and 42 U.S.C. 12656, to perform construction and maintenance of trails and trail-related projects,
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up. Thru CCP	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> ACTIONS TO EXPEDITE ENERGY-RELATED PROJECTS EXECUTIVE ORDER 13212			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Increase production and transmission of energy.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	2
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Conservation, page 1	<p>Sec. 1. Policy.</p> <p>The increased production and transmission of energy in a safe and environmentally sound manner is essential to the well-being of the American people. In general, it is the policy of this Administration that executive departments and agencies (agencies) shall take appropriate actions, to the extent consistent with applicable law, to expedite projects that will increase the production, transmission, or conservation of energy.</p>
2.	Conservation, page 1	<p>Sec. 3. Interagency Task Force.</p> <p>There is established an interagency task force (Task Force) to monitor and assist the agencies in their efforts to expedite their review of permits or similar actions, as necessary, to accelerate the completion of energy-related projects, increase energy production and conservation, and improve transmission of energy. The Task Force also shall monitor and assist agencies in setting up appropriate mechanisms to coordinate Federal, State, tribal, and local permitting in geographic areas where increased permitting activity is expected. The Task Force shall be composed of representatives from the Departments of State, the Treasury, Defense, Agriculture, Housing and Urban Development, Justice, Commerce, Transportation, the Interior, Labor, Education, Health and Human Services, Energy, Veterans Affairs, the Environmental Protection Agency, Central Intelligence Agency, General Services Administration, Office of Management and Budget, Council of Economic Advisers, Domestic Policy Council, National Economic Council, and such other representatives as may be determined by the Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b><i>Specify which process:</i></b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP AND TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT REVIEWS EXECUTIVE ORDER 13274			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> To enhance environmental stewardship and streamline the environmental review and development of transportation infrastructure projects.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	2
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Preservation, page 2	The Task Force shall consist exclusively of the following officers of the United States: the Secretary of Agriculture, Secretary of Commerce, Secretary of Transportation (who shall chair the Task Force), Secretary of the Interior, Secretary of Defense, Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, Chairman of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality.
2.	Preservation, page 2	Sec. 5. Preservation of Authority.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> PRESERVE AMERICA EXECUTIVE ORDER 13287			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Provide leadership in preserving American Heritage by actively advancing the protection, enhancement, and contemporary use of the historic properties owned by the Federal government. The Federal government shall recognize and manage the historic properties in its ownership as assets that can support department missions while contributing to the viability and economic well-being of the Nation's communities.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	18
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Preservation, page 1	Where consistent with executive branch department and agency missions, governing law, applicable preservation standards, and where appropriate, executive branch departments and agencies ("agency" or "agencies") shall advance this policy through the protection and continued use of the historic properties owned by the Federal Government, and by pursuing partnerships with State and local governments, Indian tribes, and the private sector to promote the preservation of the unique cultural heritage of communities and of the Nation and to realize the economic benefit that these properties can provide. Agencies shall maximize efforts to integrate the policies, procedures, and practices of the NHPA and this order into their program activities in order to efficiently and effectively advance historic preservation objectives in the pursuit of their missions.
2.	Preservation, page 1	When carrying out its mission activities, each agency, where consistent with its mission and governing authorities, and where appropriate, shall seek partnerships with State and local governments, Indian tribes, and the private sector to promote local economic development and vitality through the use of historic properties in a manner that contributes to the long-term preservation and productive use of those properties. Each agency shall examine its policies, procedures, and capabilities to ensure that its actions encourage, support, and foster public-private initiatives and investment in the use, reuse, and rehabilitation of historic properties, to the extent such support is not inconsistent with other provisions of law, the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Archeology and Historic Preservation, and essential national department and agency mission requirements.
3.	Preservation, page 1	Each agency with real property management responsibilities shall prepare an assessment of the current status of its inventory of historic properties required by section 110(a)(2) of the NHPA (16 U.S.C. 470h-2(a)(2)), the general condition and management needs of such properties, and the steps underway or planned to meet those management needs. The assessment shall also include an evaluation of the suitability of the agency's types of historic properties to contribute to community economic development initiatives, including heritage tourism, taking into account agency mission needs, public access considerations, and the long-term preservation of the historic properties. No later than September 30, 2004, each covered agency shall complete a report of the assessment and make it available to the Chairman of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) and the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary).
4.	Preservation, page 1	<p>Sec. 4. Improving Federal Stewardship of Historic Properties.</p> <p>(a) Each agency shall ensure that the management of historic properties in its ownership is conducted in a manner that promotes the long-term preservation and use of those properties as Federal assets and, where consistent with agency missions, governing law, and the nature of the properties, contributes to the local community and its economy.</p> <p>(b) Where consistent with agency missions and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Archeology and Historic Preservation, and where appropriate, agencies shall cooperate with communities to increase opportunities for public benefit from, and access to, Federally owned historic properties.</p> <p>(c) The Council is directed to use its existing authority to encourage and accept donations of money, equipment, and other resources from public and private parties to assist other agencies in the preservation of historic properties in Federal ownership to fulfill the goals of the NHPA and this order.</p> <p>(d) The National Park Service, working with the Council and in consultation with other agencies, shall make available existing materials and information for education, training, and awareness of historic property stewardship to ensure that all Federal personnel have access to information and can develop the skills necessary to continue the productive use of Federally owned historic properties while meeting their stewardship responsibilities.</p> <p>(e) The Council, in consultation with the National Park Service and other agencies, shall encourage and recognize exceptional achievement by such agencies in meeting the goals of the NHPA and this order. By March 31, 2004, the Council shall submit to the President and the heads of agencies recommendations to further stimulate initiative, creativity, and efficiency in the Federal stewardship of historic properties.</p>

5.	Preservation, page 1	(b) Where consistent with agency missions and governing law, and where appropriate, agencies shall use historic properties in their ownership in conjunction with State, tribal, and local tourism programs to foster viable economic partnerships, including, but not limited to, cooperation and coordination with tourism officials and others with interests in the properties.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up. All historic property.	Proceed to B2.
B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford. 3 year reporting of progress.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.

	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> AMENDING EXECUTIVE ORDER 13212, ACTIONS TO EXPEDITE ENERGY-RELATED PROJECTS EXECUTIVE ORDER 13302			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Provides clarifying text to EO 13212 on pipelines and interagency task force			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Conservation, page 1	“Sec. 3. Interagency Task Force. (a) There is established, within the Department of Energy for administrative purposes, an interagency task force (Task Force) to perform the following functions: (i) monitor and assist the agencies in their efforts to expedite their reviews of permits or similar actions, as necessary, to accelerate the completion of energy-related projects (including pipeline safety projects), increase energy production and conservation, and improve the transmission of energy;
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> FURTHER AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER 12580, AS AMENDED, SUPERFUND IMPLEMENTATION EXECUTIVE ORDER 13308			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Delegation of authority from President to agency heads.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	1
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Preservation, page 1	``Sec. 13. Preservation of Authorities.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> FACILITATION OF COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION EXECUTIVE ORDER 13352			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> To ensure Departments of Interior, Agriculture, Commerce, and Defense and EPA implement laws relating to the environment and natural resources in a manner that promotes cooperative conservation with an emphasis on appropriate inclusion of local participation in Federal decisionmaking.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	6
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe. Does not apply to Department of Energy		
B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> RESPONSIBILITIES OF FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES WITH RESPECT TO VOLUNTEER COMMUNITY SERVICE EXECUTIVE ORDER 13401			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Designate a liaison in each agency to service as the agency liaison for volunteer community service.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> STRENGTHENING FEDERAL ENVIRONMENTAL, ENERGY, AND TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE ORDER 13423			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Implement sustainable practices for energy efficiency, renewable energy, water conservation, acquisition, pollution, waste prevention, and recycling, reduce or eliminate use of toxic/hazardous chemicals, high performance of construction, lease, operation, and maintenance of buildings, vehicle fleet management and electronic equipment.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 20 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	1
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	1	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Industrial, page 6	i) "energy intensity" means energy consumption per square foot of building space, including industrial or laboratory facilities
2.	Conservation, page 4	Sec. 3. Duties of Heads of Agencies. In implementing the policy set forth in section 1 of this order, the head of each agency shall:  (a) implement within the agency sustainable practices for (i) energy efficiency, greenhouse gas emissions avoidance or reduction, and petroleum products use reduction, (ii) renewable energy, including bioenergy, (iii) water conservation, (iv) acquisition, (v) pollution and waste prevention and recycling, (vi) reduction or elimination of acquisition and use of toxic or hazardous chemicals, (vii) high performance construction, lease, operation, and maintenance of buildings, (viii) vehicle fleet management, and (ix) electronic equipment management;
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford. <b>Would be evaluated on a project-by-project basis</b>	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> FACILITATION OF HUNTING HERITAGE AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION EXECUTIVE ORDER 13443			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Directs Federal agencies that have programs and activities that have a measurable effect on public land management, outdoor recreation and wildlife management to facilitate the expansion and enhancement of hunting opportunities and the management of game species and their habitat			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	3
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Recreational, page 3	(b) Consider the economic and recreational values of hunting in agency actions, as appropriate;
2.	Recreational, page 3 & 4	Sec. 4. Recreational Hunting and Wildlife Resource Conservation Plan. The Chairman shall prepare, consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations, in coordination with the appropriate Federal agencies and in consultation with the Sporting Conservation Council, and in operation with State and tribal fish and wildlife agencies, not later than 1 year following the conclusion of the Conference, a comprehensive Recreational Hunting and Wildlife Conservation Plan that incorporates existing and ongoing activities and sets forth a 10-year agenda for fulfilling the actions identified in section 2 of this order.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT OF THE NORTH LOADOUT PIT SLUDGE TREATMENT SYSTEM RISK-BASED DISPOSAL APPROVAL, 07-SED-0023, DATED NOVEMBER 6, 2006			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> EPA approves treatment of certain PCB remediation waste from Hanford K-Basin			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Toxic Substances Control Act Polychlorinated Biphenyls Hanford Site Users Guide, DOE/RL-2001-50, Revision 1			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Provides clarification as to how the regulations apply on the Hanford Site for TSCA			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 03/13/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 4-11	Solid phase: Disposal of the organic solid containing 60 ppm PCBs, using the options of 40 CFR 761.61(a), are land disposal in a low occupancy area that is capped [40 CFR 761.61(a)(4)(i)(3)]; land disposal in an approved TSCA chemical waste landfill; land disposal in a hazardous waste landfill permitted by the EPA under Section 3004 of RCRA, or by a state authorized under Section 3006 of RCRA [40 CFR 761.61(a)(5)(iii)]; soil washing in accordance with 40 CFR 761.61(a)(5)(i)(A); disposal by a 'non-thermal' technology at a facility approved under 40 CFR 761.60(e) (most of these technologies could not function or destroy PCBs in a waste containing 90 percent water); and disposal in a TSCA incinerator approved under 40 CFR 761.70 or alternate thermal destruction method approved under 40 CFR 761.60(e).
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> TSCA Users Guide	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT OF THE NORTH LOADOUT PIT SLUDGE TREATMENT SYSTEM RISK-BASED DISPOSAL APPROVAL, 07-SED-0023, DATED NOVEMBER 6, 2006			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> DOE requests approval from EPA to treat certain PCB remediation waste from Hanford's K-Basins.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR PHASE 2 OF MAINTENANCE OF FOUR TELECOMMUNICATIONS REPEATER BUILDINGS, 600 AREA, HANFORD SITE, BENTON COUNTY, WASHINGTON (HCRC # 2006-600-015B) BETWEEN THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE, THE WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF CONSULTING PARTIES THE YAKAMA NATION, CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA INDIAN RESERVATION, WANAPUM, AND NEZ PERCE TRIBE			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> MOA FOR REPEATER BUILDINGS			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Michael Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/03/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0 (in context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR PHASE 2 OF MAINTENANCE OF FOUR TELECOMMUNICATIONS REPEATER BUILDINGS, 600 AREA, HANFORD SITE, BENTON COUNTY, WASHINGTON (HCRC # 2006-600-015B) BETWEEN THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE, THE WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF CONSULTING PARTIES THE YAKAMA NATION, CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA INDIAN RESERVATION, WANAPUM, AND NEZ PERCE TRIBE  January 18, 2008, draft, includes RL legal, RL AMRC, and CTUIR comments.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action. Draft MOA	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> PNSO, Letter, J. Rispoli and R. Orbach, DOE, to A. Minthorn, CTUIR, dated 01/10/08.			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Deals with reassignment of PNSO; PNSO issues are relevant			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0, in context	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Page 1, paragraph 2	In your letter, you voiced your concern regarding the consultation and environmental protection requirements for this parcel of land. We want to assure you that this parcel, although reassigned to SC's Pacific Northwest Site Office (PNSO), is still part of the Hanford Site and is subject to the same consultations and environmental protection requirements as when it was under the responsibility of EM's Richland Operations Office (RL).
2.	Page 1, paragraph 3	In your letter, you also expressed concerns over the proceses used to reassign the land. In fact, the reassignment was discussed with representatives of the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation at several Cultural Resource Issues Meetings.
3.	Page 1, paragraph 4	The PNSO has also committed to preparing (in consultation with RL and the Tribes) a management plan for known Hanford Native American Cemetery Sites to further ensure their protection.
4.	Page 1, paragraph 5	Another issue you raised relates to the environmental and cultural resources reviews that were part of the Environmental Assessment (EA) process for the Physical Sciences Facility (PSF) being constructed on the PNNL site. The CTUIR along with the Nez Perce Nation and the Yakama nation, and many others with an interest in this construction activity, were provided copies of the draft EA. The CTUIR provided detailed comments to PNSO on the draft EA in late 2006. These comments and concerns were seriously considered in making our final decision on the project.
5.	Page 1, paragraph 6	In the spirit of government-to-government relations and the Department's American Indian and Alaska Native Tribal Government Policy, let us assure you that consultation with CTUIR on developing projects or any issues that may arise regarding PNSO and/or the PNNL site will be sought in the future.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> NEPA EA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> LALIIK Traditional Cultural Property (letter, Washington DAHP, Log No. 062207-07-DOE, dated October 1, 2007)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Addresses LALIIK Traditional Cultural Property as eligible to the National Register of Historic Places			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0 (in context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	"We concur with your determination the property is eligible to the national Register of Historic Places."
2.	N/A	<p>Refer to: RL letter, 07-SED-0375, "National Register of Historic Places Determination of Eligibility for 'LALIIK' Traditional Cultural Property," dated September 25, 2007.</p> <p>Therein, RL determined that the portion of the 'Laliik' Traditional Cultural Property that is under DOE management responsibility is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places.</p> <p>Memorandum of Agreement between RL and Washington State State Historic Preservation Officer, regarding "The Post Review Discovery of Shell Midden at Waste Site 128-B-3; Archaeological Site 45BN1422 (HT-2005-001). RL signed 12/28/05; SHPO signed 1/30/06; Wanapum concurred on 4/20/06; Yakama concurred on 4/21/06; no other Tribes concurred.</p>
3.	Preservation, pg. 4-18	<p>The general approach to ensure preservation of important cultural resources at Hanford is two fold. First, institute administrative procedures to ensure that program staff are aware of and review planned actions, or in the case of an emergency, are notified as soon as an emergency has occurred, which might have affected cultural resources (e.g., a fire). Second, the program maintains a long-term monitoring program that incorporates field visits to cultural resources and detailed recording of site conditions. Site information is analyzed to identify areas where DOE needs to take action to mitigate impacts from natural and human forces.</p> <p>This approach to preserving cultural resources located in the DOE-managed portions of the Hanford Reach national Monument are subject to change pending the results of the planning process currently underway by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.

B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b> Refer to referenced letter.	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): Reference RL letter 07-SED-0375, September 25, 2007</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Pacific Northwest Site Office (PNSO) Cultural and Biological Resources Management Plan (DOE/PNSO Guide 11, Revision 0), DRAFT, October 24, 2007.			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Resource Management Plan associated with PNSO.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0, in context	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land-use, P 2.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Integrate resource management goals and administrative procedures into relevant program- and project-level activities to ensure the avoidance or minimization of potential adverse impacts to biological resources.</li> <li>Integrate biological and cultural resource information into land and facility use plans to ensure that broad-scale land-use planning and specific site-selection decisions consider cultural and biological resource values, apply ecosystem management principles, and minimize cumulative impacts to these resources.</li> </ul>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action. Draft document; not final.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Memorandum of Agreement between RL and Washington State State Historic Preservation Officer, regarding "The Post Review Discovery of Shell Midden at Waste Site 128-B-3; Archaeological Site 45BN1422 (HT-2005-001).			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> MOA FOR ACTIVITY IN B AREA			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Michael Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/03/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0 (in context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Memorandum of Agreement between RL and Washington State State Historic Preservation Officer, regarding "The Post Review Discovery of Shell Midden at Waste Site 128-B-3; Archaeological Site 45BN1422 (HT-2005-001). RL signed 12/28/05; SHPO signed 1/30/06; Wanapum concurred on 4/20/06; Yakama concurred on 4/21/06; no other Tribes concurred.  MOA addressing conducting archaeological data recovery for the post review discovery at the aforementioned archaeological site.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <i>Specify decision maker:</i> RL et al	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction: Formal MOA</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): Formal MOA</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Proclamation 7319 of June 9, 2000, Establishment of the Hanford Reach National Monument			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Proclamation establishes the Hanford Reach			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	10	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 3	NOW, THEREFORE, I, WILLIAM J. CLINTON, President of the United States of America, by the authority vested in me by section 2 of the Act of June 8, 1906 (34 Stat. 225, 16 U.S.C. 431), do proclaim that there are hereby set apart and reserved as the Hanford Reach National Monument, for the purpose of protecting the objects identified above, all lands and interests in lands owned or controlled by the United States within the boundaries of the area described on the map entitled "Hanford Reach National Monument" attached to and forming a part of this proclamation. The Federal land and interests in land reserved consist of approximately 195,000 acres, which is the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected.
2.	N/A, pg. 3	<p>All Federal lands and interests in lands within the boundaries of this monument are hereby appropriated and withdrawn from all forms of entry, location, selection, sale, or leasing or other disposition under the public land laws, including but not limited to withdrawal from location, entry, and patent under the mining laws, and from disposition under all laws relating to mineral and geothermal leasing, other than by exchange that furthers the protective purposes of the monument.</p> <p>For the purpose of protecting the objects identified above, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Energy shall prohibit all motorized and mechanized vehicle use off road, except for emergency or other federally authorized purposes, including remediation purposes. There is hereby reserved, as of the date of this proclamation and subject to valid existing rights, a quantity of water in the Columbia River sufficient to fulfill the purposes for which this monument is established. Nothing in this reservation shall be construed as a relinquishment or reduction of any water use or rights reserved or appropriated by the United States on or before the date of this proclamation.</p> <p>For the purpose of protecting the objects identified above, the Secretary of the Interior shall prohibit livestock grazing.</p>
3.	N/A, pg. 3	The monument shall be managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under existing agreements with the Department of Energy, except that the Department of Energy shall manage the lands within the monument that are not subject to management agreements with the Service, and in developing any management plans and rules and regulations governing the portions of the monument for which the Department of Energy has management responsibility, the Secretary of Energy shall consult with the Secretary of the Interior.
4.	N/A, pg. 4	<p>As the Department of Energy and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service determine that lands within the monument managed by the Department of Energy become suitable for management by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will assume management by agreement with the Department of Energy. All agreements between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Department of Energy shall be consistent with the provisions of this proclamation.</p> <p>Nothing in this proclamation shall affect the responsibility of the Department of Energy under environmental laws, including the remediation of hazardous substances or the restoration of natural resources at the Hanford facility; nor affect the Department of Energy's statutory authority to control public access or statutory responsibility to take other measures for environmental remediation, monitoring, security, safety, or emergency preparedness purposes; nor affect any Department of Energy activities on lands not included within the monument.</p> <p>Nothing in this proclamation shall be deemed to enlarge or diminish the jurisdiction of the State of Washington with respect to fish</p>

	and wildlife management. Nothing in this proclamation shall enlarge or diminish the rights of any Indian tribe.	
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> President	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation: Proclamation</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied. Presidential proclamation implemented	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Policy - American Indian and Alaska Native, Memorandum dated November 27, 2007			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Addresses American Indian and Alaska Native policy			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<p><b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]                      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]                      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]                      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]             </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]                      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]                      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]                      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]             </p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.</p>			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
<b>Keyword</b>	<b>Occurrences</b>	<b>Keyword</b>	<b>Occurrences</b>
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Memorandum, "Offices of Environmental Management, Science, Nuclear Energy, and the National Nuclear Security Administration Framework for Implementing the Department of Energy's American Indian and Alaska Native Policy," T. D'Agostino, C. Albright, Jr., and R. Orbach, dated November 27, 2007.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied. HQ policy implementation initiated	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** Agreement in Principle: PFP and TPA

**Purpose of Item or Document:** DOE, Ecology and EPA agree to pursue milestones for transition/deactivation of PFP

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky	<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08
--	-----------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]     
  No Effect [B]     
  Defer [C]     
  Defer [D]

No Effect [E]     
  No Effect [F]     
  No Effect [G]     
  No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>
---

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE, NEGOTIATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR THE COMPLETION OF TRANSITION AND OTHER SELECTED ACTIVITIES PERSUANT TO TPA SECTION 8, TABLE 8.1, AT THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY'S PLUTONIUM FIMSHING PLANT (PFP), DECEMBER 12, 2001
2.	N/A, pg. 1	<p>IN LIGHT OF THE PRECED ING, THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY (ECOLOGY), DOE, AND THE U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AGREE TO THE FOLLOW ING:</p> <p>A. To enter into negotiations intended to establish HFFACO Section 8 Facility Decommissioning Process milestones and target dates, using the applicable HFFACO requirements per Section 8.0, Table 8-1. The Parties will negotiate the Transition Phase as described in the transition project management plan. These negotiations will seek to establish milestones for scope of work covered under the current EIS and establish milestones for key decisions and follow-on negotiations, including any necessary under RCRA.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE/Ecology/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> TPA/RCRA	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** Manhattan Project National Historical Park Study Act

**Purpose of Item or Document:** An act to promote studying the establishment of parts of the Manhattan Project as National Park(s)

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky	<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08
--	-----------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]     
  No Effect [B]     
  Defer [C]     
  Defer [D]

No Effect [E]     
  No Effect [F]     
  No Effect [G]     
  No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>
---

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	1	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	Manhattan Project National Historical Park Study Act , Public Law 108-340, October 18, 2004  see <a href="http://www.glin.gov/view.action?glinID=178768">http://www.glin.gov/view.action?glinID=178768</a>
2.	N/A, pg. 1	(3) STUDY AREA.—  (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “study area” means the historically significant sites associated with the Manhattan Project.  (B) INCLUSIONS.—The term “study area” includes—(i) Los Alamos National Laboratory and townsite in the State of New Mexico; (ii) the Hanford Site in the State of Washington; and (iii) Oak Ridge Reservation in the State of Tennessee.
3.	N/A, pg. 1	(a) STUDY.—  (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall conduct a special resource study of the study area to assess the national significance, suitability, and feasibility of designating 1 or more sites within the study area as a unit of the National Park System in accordance with section 8(c) of Public Law 91–383 (16 U.S.C. 1a–5(c)).  (2) ADMINISTRATION.—In conducting the study, the Secretary shall—  (A) consult with interested Federal, State, tribal, and local officials, representatives of organizations, and members of the public;  (B) evaluate, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy, the compatibility of designating 1 or more sites within the study area as a unit of the National Park Manhattan Project National Historical Park System with maintaining the security, productivity, and management goals of the Department of Energy and public health and safety; and  (C) consider research in existence on the date of enactment of this Act by the Department of Energy on the historical significance and feasibility of preserving and interpreting the various sites and structures in the study area.  (b) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out the study, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that describes the findings of the study and the conclusions and recommendations of the Secretary.

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> ECOLOGICAL COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN DOE/RL-95-11, Rev 2			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Describes procedures by which DOE implements ecological reviews, ensure ecological impacts are understood and documented and ensures compliance with laws, regulations, EOs, DOE Orders and Hanford natural resource management guidance.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	6	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	3	• Preservation	1
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	15
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	CLUP	Acronyms, Table of Contents and references
2.	CLUP, page 3	the roles of the ECR process in supporting various executive and DOE Orders, the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan (HCLUP; DOE 1999), and the Hanford Site Biological Resource Management Plan (DOE 2001) are described.
3.	CLUP, page 7	<p>1.2.14 Comprehensive Land Use Plan</p> <p>The Final Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCLUP) (DOE 1999) provides integrated guidance for Hanford Site programs regarding conduct and objectives for activities with impacts on the ecological and cultural environment. Management and disposition of waste materials at Hanford is considered the focal point for Site planning. As such, the HCLUP documents the results of an integrated planning process, which provides the basis for directing Hanford activities to be consistent with an overall land-use objective.</p> <p>The HCLUP is intended to implement the December 1994 Secretary of Energy Land- and Facility-Use Policy (DOE 1994), which states that DOE will manage all of its land and facilities as valuable national resources by integrating mission, economic, ecological, social, and cultural factors in a comprehensive plan. The ECR process is one of the ways that enable DOE to pursue and document compliance with this comprehensive plan.</p>
4.	Land use, page 6	Executive Order 11990, "Protection of Wetlands," and Executive Order 11988, "Floodplain Management," require federal agencies to minimize the loss or degradation of wetlands on federal lands and account for floodplain management when developing water- and land-use plans, respectively.
5.	Land use, page 7	As such, the HCLUP documents the results of an integrated planning process, which provides the basis for directing Hanford activities to be consistent with an overall land-use objective.
6.	Land use, page 9	<p>Project impacts can be avoided or minimized by following such steps as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• implementing alternatives that would result in fewer adverse impacts</li> <li>• locating projects at a less ecologically sensitive site</li> <li>• reducing project footprint or land-use requirements</li> </ul>
7.	Preservation, page 8	<p>The ECR process supports all of these programs and missions and helps to ensure that the objectives of all programs are met in a timely and cost-effective manner while at the same time ensuring protection of the Site's resources and compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and executive and DOE Orders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides an avenue to audit Site activities to ensure compliance with relevant and appropriate requirements that apply to the preservation and management of biological resources.</li> </ul>
8.	Conservation	Referenced in terms of RCRA, Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
9.	Conservation, page 3	The Endangered Species Act (ESA) provides for the designation and protection of wildlife, fish, and plant species that are in danger of becoming extinct because of natural or human-made factors and the conservation of the ecosystems upon which they depend.
10.	Conservation, page 5-6	The Sikes Act (Public Law 86-797) originally provided for cooperation by the U.S. Department of the Interior and the U.S. Department of Defense with state agencies in "planning, development, maintenance and coordination of wildlife, fish and game conservation and rehabilitation" on military reservations throughout the United States. An amendment (Public Law 93-452) in 1974 authorized conservation and rehabilitation programs on lands managed by DOE, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, U.S. Forest Service, and Bureau of Land Management. These programs are carried out in cooperation with the states by the Secretary of the Interior. Information required to support effective interagency cooperation is obtained, in part, via the ECR process.

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford. <b>This would occur on a project-by-project assessment using this document</b>	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> CONCLUSION AGREEMENT ON NEGOTIATION OF REQUIREMENTS GOVERNING THE NEAR TERM RETRIEVAL OF WASTES FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY'S SINGLE-SHELL TANKS (NEGOTIATIONS PURSUANT TO MILESTONE M45-OOA)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Agreement on the retrieval of waste from the Single-Shell Tanks			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 24 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> EXTENSION OF HANFORD FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT AND CONSENT ORDER (HFFACO) DISPUTE RESOLUTION REGARDING U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) CHANGE REQUEST M-45-02-02, "COMPLETE RENEGOTIATION OF SECOND PHASE (i.e., SEPTEMBER 30, 2006, THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30 2015) SINGLE-SHELL TANK (SST) WASTE RETRIEVAL ACTIVITIES"			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Extension of dispute resolution process associated with TPA Milestone.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 24 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> EXTENSION OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION TO JULY 18, 2002, TO RESPOND TO (TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT) CHANGE REQUEST NUMBER M-91-00-03 (FOR TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT MILESTONE M-091•01), CHANGE REQUEST NUMBER M-91-00-04 (FOR TRI-PARTY AGREEMENT MILESTONE M-091-03) AND ASSOCIATED DISPUTE RELATED CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE AGENCIES			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Extension of dispute resolution process to resolve disapproval of M-45-02-02			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 24 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]
<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]	<input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> EXTENSION OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION TO JULY 18, 2002, DA05304356			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Extension of dispute resolution process associated with TPA Milestones			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 23 January 2008	
<p><b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]                 </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]                 </p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.</p>			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** 2002 BIENNIAL REVIEW OF THE LONG-TERM FACILITY DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

**Purpose of Item or Document:** TPA members conduct a biannual review of the status of facilities, the long-term decommissioning plan, discuss current priorities, and assess what changes are necessary. Report outlines the disposition path for Central Plateau structures and documents agency concurrence with the pathforward.

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley	<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008
--	------------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]     
  No Effect [B]     
  Defer [C]     
  Defer [D]

No Effect [E]     
  No Effect [F]     
  No Effect [G]     
  No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>
---

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> 2006 BIENNIAL REVIEW OF THE LONG-TERM FACILITY DECOMMISSIONING PLAN DA03374960			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> TPA members conduct a biannual review of the status of facilities, the long-term decommissioning plan, discuss current priorities, and assess what changes are necessary. Report outlines the disposition path for Central Plateau structures and documents agency concurrence with the pathforward.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 24 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> 200-SW-1/2 RI/FS Work Plan Development			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Explains the pathforward to use a phased approach in the Work Plan development and collecting data			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 2-13-2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Energy Policy Act of 2005			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Reauthorization re states energy goals, use, measurement and accounting, purchase of energy efficient products, fed. building energy performance standards, etc.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Paul Seeley		<b>Date:</b> 22 January 2008	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	186
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Conservation	The Energy Policy Act of 2005, was signed into law by President Bush on August 8, 2005. Subtitle A, Federal Programs, reestablishes a number of Federal agency goals and contains relevant, amended portions of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (NECPA).
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford. <b>Evaluation must be on project-by-project basis</b>	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> HANFORD SITE BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES MITIGATION STRATEGY			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> This document is intended to describe the recommended process that should be followed to ensure that Hanford Site missions are accomplished without significant impacts to important biological resources. Mitigation may entail both the prevention of adverse impacts and the replacement of resources if impact prevention is not practical.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	2, refers to recreational importance of wildlife
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	26	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	8	• End state	1
• Industrial	3	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, pg 19	The BRMaP is prepared and updated in coordination with the Hanford Site Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP-EIS) (DOE 1999), and other site-wide guidance.  ...the HCP-EIS (DOE 1999) provides guidance for Hanford Site land-use planning within an ecosystem management and sustainable development framework.
2.	HCP EIS, conservation, preservation, pg. 48	The mitigation area should be placed in regions designated within the HCP-EIS as conservation or preservation.
3.	industrial, pg 40	5.4.3 Bank Operation Policy  The preferred location for habitat replacement is the site being impacted; however, situations exist where this option is infeasible or would result in substantial time lags in the recovery of lost resource values. For example, converting wildlife habitat to an industrial site severely limits the options for onsite rectification; similarly, removal of habitat for the purposes of waste burial will adversely impact the biological resources during the interval between site clearing and onsite rectification. In these situations, compensatory mitigation away from the project site can be used to ensure maintenance of resources at the prescribed management levels.
4.	preservation, pg 32	...preservation of highly valued biological resources and habitats.
5.	conservation, pg 55	Refers to species conservation, not land use designation.
6.	end state, pg 43	Thus, approximately twice the number of shrubs desired at the end-state should be planted—suggesting a 2:1 replacement ratio.

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> UNIFORM COVENANTS ACT			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> An environmental covenant typically is used when the real property is to be cleaned up to a level determined by the potential environmental risks posed by a particular use, rather than to unrestricted use standards.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	7, speaks of contaminated sites in general terms - does not specifically refer to the Hanford Site	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	3
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, Preferatory Note	Environmental covenants - whether called institutional controls, land use controls or some other term - are increasingly being used as part of the environmental remediation process for contaminated real property. An environmental covenant typically is used when the real property is to be cleaned up to a level determined by the potential environmental risks posed by a particular use, rather than to unrestricted use standards. Such risk-based remediation is both environmentally and economically preferable in many circumstances, although it will often allow the parties to leave residual contamination in the real property. An environmental covenant is then used to implement this risk-based cleanup by controlling the potential risks presented by that residual contamination.
2.	zoning, Section 6	This [act] does not authorize a use of real property that is otherwise prohibited by zoning, by law other than this [act] regulating use of real property, or by a recorded instrument that has priority over the environmental covenant. An environmental covenant may prohibit or restrict uses of real property which are authorized by zoning or by law other than this [act].
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.

	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> PRELIMINARY REDEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL FOR THE HANFORD 300 AREA, FINAL REPORT			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> To present a preliminary analysis of the redevelopment potential of the 300 Area of Hanford to support the remediation process and to plan for the future growth of the city (of Richland).			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	X	• Recreational	X
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	X	• Residential	X
• Land use/Land-Use	X	• Preservation	
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	X	• Conservation	
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map		• Zoning	X
• HCP EIS		• End state	
• Industrial	X	• 435.1	

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, residential, conservation, pg 1-3	Project Summary: “Option A calls for mixed land uses to be developed under the current cleanup plan. This includes removal of all existing facilities with the possible exception of five facilities identified as having some reuse potential. Option A develops most of the site as a Business Research Park, with areas of residential and neighborhood commercial/office use. A conservation area with trail is established along the Columbia River, a golf course is added in the northern portion of the site, and gateway park with boat launch is established at the northern boundary of the site.
2.	land use, industrial, pg 1-4	Option B also calls for mixed land use, including retaining some of the existing facilities. This second option was developed to accommodate industrial use if tenants are secured for the Treated Effluent Disposal Facility (TEDF) and the 350 building. Option B involves the same basic layout as in Option A with the addition of two areas surrounding the TEDF and 350 building set aside for light industrial. Further details on these preliminary redevelopment scenarios are provided in Section 4 of this report.”
3.	industrial, land use, pg 2-13	The 300 Area was designated in the past by USDOE, the City of Richland and Benton County as an industrial use area. Richland based their designation, in part, upon information provided by USDOE in the early 1990s that many of the existing facilities would be made available to the City of Richland for reuse. The Tri-Parties have adopted an associated industrial clean up standard through 1996 and 2001 CERCLA records of decision (RODs).
4.	CLUP, land use, industrial, pg 3-1	The CLUP-EIS evaluated future land use alternatives for the Hanford Site, which included the 300 Area. USDOE's preferred alternative categorized the future use of the 300 Area to be primarily industrial with the southeast corner kept a preserve and portions of the interior dedicated as industrial (exclusive).  Based on information provided by USDOE in the early 1990s indicating that many buildings in the 300 Area would be available for future use, the City of Richland classified the 300 Area as industrial and business/research in their land use plan.”
5.	land use, industrial, zoning, pg 3-2	“...Benton County has classified their portion of the 300 Area for industrial land use; however, no county zoning descriptions have been established for the 300 Area.”
6.	industrial, residential, pg 5-2	USDOE leads – “...identify the difference in remediation costs for an industrial cleanup standard compared with remediation costs for an unrestricted (e.g. residential) cleanup standard for those lands where remediation is planned.”  “Identify whether irrigation, such as for a golf course or a park, is feasible for certain portions of the site, including areas where remediation has been completed. The existing ROD poses restrictions on future irrigation, which in turn restricts use of the site.”
7.	land use, Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, industrial, pg 131 of Second Five-Year Review DOE/RL-2006-20, Rev 1	Lands under the control of the federal government are not subject to the Washington Growth Management Act. Consequently, local and regional land use plans, such as the City of Richland Land Use Plan, do not apply on federal lands. However, to ensure that local city and county governments understood the way DOE planned to use the federal lands in proximity to private lands, several years ago the DOE prepared an environmental impact statement and issued a National Environmental Policy Act ROD on the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan (DOE 1999). As long as the land remains under federal control, this document provides the legal basis and underlying logic for the land use for the Hanford Site, including the 300 Area. The Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan designates the land use for the 300 Area to be industrial with restricted surface use. As long as the lands in the 300 Area remain under federal control, the appropriate land use will be determined by the responsible federal agency.

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> NEZ PERCE HANFORD END-STATE VISION, RESOLUTION 05-411			
<p><b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> The document emphasizes the Nez Perce commitment to assist with DOE efforts to reduce the level of contaminants on the Hanford Site in an effort to expedite the cleanup process. The implementation of "...DOE Policy 455.1 to develop a Risk Based End State Vision ...represents site conditions and associated information that reflect the planned future use of the property and are appropriately protective of human health and the environment consistent with that use;" ...The document does not mention land use, land-use designation or land-use map changes.</p>			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/24/08	
<p><b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]                 </p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]                 </p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.</p>			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<p><b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i></p>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	4
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	end state, pg 2	Whereas, the End-State Vision document will represent site conditions and associated information that reflect the planned future use of the property and are appropriately protective of human health and the environment consistent with that use; ...
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> Nez Perce	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** NEZ PERCE RESOLUTION, NP 07-399

**Purpose of Item or Document:** Note: The document addresses the cultural resource concerns of the Nez Perce, Yakama, Wanapum, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, and the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation of Hanford Site locations that are considered sacred to Tribes (specifically Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain and Gable Butte) historically held within the deepest realm of religious value. "Whereas, any use by Hanford Operations and its contractors of said Spiritual Mountain (Rattlesnake Mountain) is a violation and/or interference of basic Religious Freedom of access, and cumulative resource impacts due to installations, road improvements and ground removal activities." Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee (NPTEC) hereby approves to halt the use of said Spiritual Mountain for any further use except Historical Religious use, and recommends to protect, preserve, restore and maintain the Cultural environment in sacred areas at the Hanford Site. Document seeks to protect and preserve Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain and Gable Butte which are included in the "Preservation" land-use designation in the 1999 Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan.

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons	<b>Date:</b> 01/24/08
---	-----------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]             No Effect [B]             Defer [C]             Defer [D]

No Effect [E]             No Effect [F]             No Effect [G]             No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>
---

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.		No text cited.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> Nez Perce	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F

B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> NEZ PERCE RESOLUTION, NP 03-139			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Note: The document addresses the cultural resource concerns of the Nez Perce, Yakama, Wanapum, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, and the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation of Hanford Site locations that are considered sacred to Tribes (specifically Gable Mountain and Gable Butte) historically held within the deepest realm of religious value.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/24/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	2
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Pp 1-2	<p>"Whereas, any use by Hanford Operations and its contractors of said Spiritual Mountain (Gable Mountain) is a violation and/or interference of basic Religious Freedom of access, and cumulative resource impacts due to installations, road improvements and ground removal activities. Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee (NPTEC) hereby approves to halt the use of said Spiritual Mountain for any further use except Historical Religious use, and recommends to protect, preserve, restore and maintain the Cultural environment in sacred areas at the Hanford Site."</p> <p>Document seeks to protect and preserve Gable Mountain and Gable Butte which are included in the "Preservation" land-use designation in the 1999 Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> Nez Perce	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> HANFORD SITE RISK-BASED END STATE VISION DOE/RL-2005-57			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> The purpose of the policy is to focus DOE on conducting cleanup that protects human health and the environment for the planned future use of each defined area on the Hanford Site. In addition, the policy directs the consideration of future land use and risk in making cleanup decisions.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 03/05/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4/53	• Recreational	24
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	15
• Land use/Land-Use	219	• Preservation	44
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	7	• Conservation	127
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	1
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	497
• Industrial	112	• 435.1	2

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	end state, Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, CLUP, land-use, Summary p.iii	The Hanford Site End State Vision describes a post-cleanup condition for the Hanford Site. The end state described in this document was originally based on an established land-use plan contained in the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP; DOE 1999a). DOE recognizes that this document covers a 50-year planning window and that the contaminants that will remain at Hanford after cleanup will be hazardous for much longer periods of time. This led DOE to recognize that a broader set of potential future uses must be considered as cleanup decisions are finalized if those decisions are to be sustainable. Following the workshops, sections were added to the document describing the modified vision based on the workshop input.
2.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, CLUP, end state, land-use, industrial, recreational, p. 1.2	In September 1999, DOE issued the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP) (DOE 1999a). The guidance for preparing an end state vision for Hanford called for the use of current land-use plans as the basis for the risk scenarios considered and initial end state planning relied heavily on the CLUP (DOE 1999a). The plan evaluated the potential environmental impact associated with implementing a 50-year comprehensive land-use plan for the Hanford Site. DOE’s selected alternative anticipates multiple uses of the Hanford Site, including consolidating waste management operations in the Central Plateau, allowing industrial development in the eastern and southern portions of the site, increasing recreational access to the Columbia River, expanding the Saddle Mountain National Wildlife Refuge to include all of the Wahluke Slope, and the management of the Fitzner/Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology Reserve (ALE) by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Anticipated future uses for Hanford land discussed in the end state vision have been extensively supplemented with information obtained in the public workshops mentioned above.
3.	CLUP, land use, conservation, p. 1.7	The risk framework was developed subsequent to the CLUP (DOE 1999a) and is not completely consistent with the land uses envisioned in CLUP and the likely allowable land uses included in the comprehensive conservation plan being developed for the Hanford Reach National Monument. Tank farms will be closed under the permitting process for the state of Washington integrated with other waste sites.
4.	end state, land use, CLUP, p.3.30	It is anticipated that the End State Vision alternatives may be analyzed further along with other alternatives in the remedy selection process. Based on a conceptual model of the hazards and exposure pathways being developed and analyzed in various risk assessments across Hanford, DOE believes the end state vision alternatives would result in interim and final remedies that are adequately protective of human health and the environment for the land uses identified in the CLUP (DOE 1999a).
5.	end state, industrial, land-use designation, conservation, p. 3.59	In addition to the views expressed in the end state workshop, stakeholders, as articulated in The Future for Hanford: Uses and Cleanup – The Final Report of the Hanford Future Site Uses Working Group (DOE 1992b) and consensus advice from the Hanford Advisory Board (ADVISE # 132; <a href="http://www/hanford.gov/docs/rbes">http://www/hanford.gov/docs/rbes</a> ), have recognized for many years that waste will remain in the 200 Areas post cleanup. This view is captured in the CLUP (DOE 1999a) by giving the Central Plateau an industrial-exclusive land-use designation, i.e., an area suitable and desirable for treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous, dangerous, radioactive, non-radioactive waste, and related activities. Adjacent areas will be conservation areas, i.e., areas reserved for the management and protection of archeological, cultural, ecological, and natural resources with possible limited and managed mining within appropriate areas.

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE/TPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> TPA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE 100 AREA AND 300 AREA COMPONENT OF THE RIVER CORRIDOR BASELINE RISK ASSESSMENT, DRAFT A			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> The 100 Area and 300 Area Component of the River Corridor Baseline Risk Assessment (RCBRA) addresses post-remediation residual contaminant concentrations in the 100 Area and 300 Area, as well as the Hanford Townsite and White Bluffs Townsite. This assessment also investigates risks related to the potential transport of Hanford Site contaminants into Columbia River riparian and near-shore environments adjacent to the operational areas.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	6/16	• Recreational	94
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	259, refer primarily to rural-residential exposure scenarios
• Land use/Land-Use	45	• Preservation	4
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	3	• Conservation	10
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	120	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, CLUP, land use, land-use designation, residential, pg ES-2	The Hanford Site Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP) (DOE/EIS-0222-F), its subsequent ROD (64 FR 61615), and Presidential Proclamation 7319 (65 FR 37253), which established the Hanford Reach National Monument, and established future land uses for the Hanford Site. The identification of land uses is important for investigating potential receptors and exposure pathways to be used in the risk assessment. Completed under the National Environmental Policy Act, the CLUP provides detailed descriptions of anticipated activities under each land-use designation selected in the preferred alternative (DOE/EIS-0222-F). To support continued protection of natural and cultural resources, the proclamation stated that the Monument would not be developed for residential or commercial use in the future (65 FR 37253). The land-use designations identified in the CLUP and the National Monument are the basis for some of the human exposure scenarios evaluated in the report.
2.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, CLUP, land use, resident, pg 57	Also, no decisions on final land uses had been made when the Interim Action RODs for the 100 Area were written. To permit development of cleanup goals, a conservative assumption of ‘unrestricted use’ was assumed in the RODs. The unrestricted use was evaluated via a hypothetical rural resident, a reasonably maximally exposed individual who would spend his life on the site and consume irrigated crops grown on the remediated waste site. While the Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) later identified future uses of the Hanford Site, the Interim Action RODs have not been changed to deviate from a goal of unrestricted use.
3.	CLUP, land-use designation, recreation, preservation, conservation, pg 64	The CLUP designations within the Columbia River Corridor (the area adjacent to the Columbia River that includes the reactor areas) includes high-intensity recreation, low-intensity recreation, conservation (mining), and preservation land-use designations. The “100 Area” addressed by this risk assessment is generally within the Columbia River Corridor. The river islands and a 0.4-km (0.25-mi) buffer zone adjacent to the Columbia River is designated as preservation land use to protect cultural and ecological resources.
4.	preservation, conservation, CLUP, residential, land use, pg 65	The Hanford Reach National Monument consists of an 82.1 km (51-mi)-long unimpounded stretch of the Columbia River and federally owned land on either side of the river with an average width of 402 m (1,320 ft). The Monument encompasses approximately 793 km <sup>2</sup> (306 mi <sup>2</sup> ) of lands already owned by the federal government that had previously been designated for preservation or conservation under the CLUP (DOE/EIS-0222-F). To support continued protection of natural and cultural resources, the proclamation stated that the Monument would not be developed for residential or commercial use in the future (65 FR 37253). As of May 2007, no changes have occurred with respect to land uses since the monument designation.
5.	residential, land use, conservation, preservation, industrial, CLUP, pg 96	The selected remedies presented in these interim action RODs require removal of wastes, treatment (as necessary), and subsequent disposal at the ERDF or other approved facilities. Source unit cleanup actions in the River Corridor are generally being performed based on a rural-residential exposure scenario. While the rural-resident exposure scenario is inconsistent with the DOE Preferred Alternative land-use alternatives of conservation/preservation (100 Areas) and industrial/commercial (300 Area) designated in the CLUP (DOE/EIS-0222-F), cleanup levels for the rural-resident exposure scenario are presumed to be adequately protective of exposures under the land uses identified in the CLUP.
6.	land use, residential, CLUP, pg 111	Potential risks related to the hypothetical Rural-Residential and CTUIR scenarios in particular are not representative of potential future exposures when DOE maintains its anticipated land use and institutional controls. Because no decisions on final land use had been made when the Interim Action RODs for the 100 Area were written, a conservative assumption of “unrestricted use” via a hypothetical rural resident was assumed for developing cleanup goals. While the CLUP (DOE/EIS-0222-F) later identified future uses of the Hanford Site, the interim action RODs have not been changed to deviate from a goal of unrestricted use. In addition, the

	proclamation for the Hanford Reach National Monument (65 FR 37253) stated that the Monument lands would not be developed for residential or commercial use in the future. As of May 2007, no changes have occurred with respect to land uses under the monument designation.	
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.

	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> CONCENSUS ADVICE #132: EXPOSURE SCENARIOS TASK FORCE ON THE 200 AREA: RESPONSE			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Letter in response to Advice #132 dated June 7, 2002, regarding the Central Plateau risk framework and exposure scenario development.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/21/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	1
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	1
• Land use/Land-Use	3	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	3	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, pg 4	The Core Zone (200 Areas including B Pond (main pond) and S Ponds) will have an Industrial Scenario for the foreseeable future.
2.	industrial, land use, residential, recreational, pg 4	An industrial land use scenario will set cleanup levels on the Central Plateau. Other scenarios (e.g. residential, recreational) may be used for comparison purposes to support decision making especially for: the post institutional controls period (>150 years), Sites near the Core Zone perimeter to analyze opportunities to "shrink the site", early (precedent-setting) closure/remediation decisions.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE/EPA/ECOLOGY	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** BENTON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN

**Purpose of Item or Document:** The purpose and intent of this plan is to provide for local needs relating to the use of land, including the protection of property and water rights, and in so doing, to meet the state's minimum planning law requirements.

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons	<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08
---	-----------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]     
  No Effect [B]     
  Defer [C]     
  Defer [D]

No Effect [E]     
  No Effect [F]     
  No Effect [G]     
  No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>
---

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	5, do not pertain to the Hanford Site
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	38	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	67	• Preservation	1, does not pertain to the Hanford Site
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	6	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	14	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	59	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, Chapter 4 - Land Use Element	<p>Within Chapter 13 of this Comprehensive Plan, the Hanford Site is treated as a separate geographic component, or "Sub-Area" with its own Land Use Plan. Please refer to Figure 4-6 for the location of the Hanford Planning Region or Sub-Area.</p> <p>The land use trend on the Hanford Site can be broadly described as the gradual reintegration of major portions of Hanford's resources (land, water and infrastructure) into the economy, custom and culture and regulatory authority of local jurisdictions within which the Site lies. Today the roughly 429 square miles of the Site within Benton County are being cleaned up for future uses, that in addition to federal missions will likely include non-defense related private and public sector uses. Local jurisdictions are preparing Land Use Plans for the portions of the Hanford Site within their boundaries (see Hanford Area Plan, Chapter 13).</p> <p>Hanford Planning Region</p> <p>The Hanford land uses have not been determined at this time and will be addressed and amended in Chapter 13 of this plan.</p> <p>*Note: Chapter 13 of the Benton County Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, Hanford Planning Region, does not exist.</p>
2.	industrial designation, classification, Chapter 4	<p>Lands included within the Light Industrial classification in unincorporated county are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• in the vicinities of Paterson and Plymouth;</li> <li>• west of the city of Richland in the SW corner of the Badger Road and I82 interchange;</li> <li>• east of the City of Prosser on County Route 12;</li> <li>• within the Community Core of Whitstran;</li> <li>• in the SW of Benton City;</li> <li>• west of the city of West Richland along SR-224; and,</li> <li>• on the Hanford site.</li> </ul> <p>The county's supply of Industrial designated lands is augmented by similar designations within cities in the county. For example, the City of Richland has in excess of 7000 acres within and adjacent to south border of the Hanford Reservation so designated.</p>
3.	industrial designated lands, Chapter 4	<p>Heavy Industrial lands are designated in Paterson-Plymouth of the south county, in the south Finley area, north of Prosser, and on the Hanford Site.</p>

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford. <b>Chapter 13 of BCCLUP does not exist.</b>	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> RICHLAND COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN - LAND USE ELEMENT			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Defining appropriate uses of land within the City of Richland urban growth area (UGA) will facilitate longterm decisions by individuals and businesses. Clear land use definitions minimize costs associated with public services and preserve the qualities that make the area special.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	34, refer to City of Richland CLUP	• Recreational	7
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	23, refer primarily to Hanford Site history	• Residential	60
• Land use/Land-Use	160, refer to City of Richland land use	• Preservation	6
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	15, refers to City of Richland land use designation	• Conservation	6
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	1	• Zoning	46
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	33	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, land use, land use designation, Hanford, industrial, zoning, pg 15	<p>The Comprehensive Plan land use map (Figure LU-4) defines Richland’s new UGA and establishes how land is to be used for development throughout the UGA. The Plan defines new categories of land uses. The land use designations of the Comprehensive Plan provide adequate land capacity within the existing city limits to accommodate projected growth. The UGA primarily allows for expansion of industrial development north of the city limits and the provision of urban levels of service to existing residents to the south.</p> <p>...Approximately 26 percent (5,861 acres) of the UGA will be designated for industrial uses compared to 19 percent under existing zoning. Most of this increase is attributable to the addition of land in TAZ 31 (Hanford Reservation) that is currently not classified under the Benton County Zoning Code.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).D		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> The plan provides DOE-RL and its contractors with a consistent approach to protect biological resources and monitor, assess, and mitigate impacts to them from site development and environmental cleanup and restoration activities.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/28/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	14/0	• Recreational	33
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	95	• Preservation	28
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	42
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	5
• HCP EIS	10	• End state	0
• Industrial	29	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, pg iv	The BRMaP also shares an important relationship with the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE 1999). The land-use plan integrates appropriate biological resource data and biological resources management strategies from BRMaP with other components dealing with environmental, cultural, economic, and sociopolitical elements to implement an ecosystem management approach to land-use planning at the Hanford Site.
2.	recreational, pg xii	No recreational use of motor-powered off-road vehicles is permitted on the Site. A Hanford Site policy that generally prohibits all off-road driving will be advertised in appropriate Hanford Site publications accessible to Site employees.
3.	preservation, pg iv	DOE-RL will endeavor to enhance throughout the Hanford complex an awareness of and appreciation for biological resource values and their preservation, restoration, and enhancement
4.	conservation, pg v	From a conservation standpoint, the Hanford Site is a vital—and perhaps the single most important—link in preserving and sustaining the biodiversity of the Columbia Basin’s shrub-steppe region.
5.	land use, pg 4.4	...much of Hanford remains undeveloped though portions of it have been affected by historic and ongoing land use. In contrast, much of the land surrounding Hanford has been converted to human use.
6.	industrial, pg 4.1	Use of Hanford for the production of defense nuclear materials has protected much of the Site from industrial development, agriculture, and livestock grazing (Gray and Becker 1993; Gray and Rickard 1989).
7.	recreational	Refers to recreationally-important species
8.	preservation, pg 4.4	The DOE-RL’s approach to biological resource management is management by level of concern. This approach associates different management actions (i.e., monitoring, impact assessment, mitigation, and preservation) with particular sets of biological resources.
9.	preservation, pg 4.7	Level IV—Level IV biological resources—because of their federally protected legal status or their regional and national significance—justify preservation as the primary management option.
10.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, HCP EIS, land use, pg 5.12	The application of a landscape-scale approach to prioritizing between mitigable shrub-steppe resources is best applied to broad scale land-use planning as achieved by the Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) (DOE 1999).
11.	comprehensive land-use plan, industrial, pg 5.24	The strategy should be developed and implemented in conjunction with comprehensive land-use planning for the Hanford Site and be consistent with a future vision of the Site (DOE 1999). Thus, constraints on restoration planning, such as areas intended to remain industrial for the foreseeable future, should be acknowledged.
12.	Land use, pg 5.14	Different mitigation area threshold levels are set for different geographic areas on the Hanford Site. The area thresholds also apply to late-successional habitats containing bitterbrush or spiny hopsage. The different area threshold levels reflect current land use (industrial versus open space) surrounding the patches of late-successional, shrub-steppe habitat found within these areas, the size of the patches of habitat, and the connectivity of the patches to similar habitat.
13.	Land use, pg 5.19	The <i>Biological Resources Mitigation Strategy</i> (DOE-RL 1996) outlines the specific technical criteria that must be considered when siting a mitigation area. The siting of a mitigation area also must be consistent with Hanford’s land-use planning goals and constraints. Once established, mitigation areas that have been designated as part of a commitment in a Mitigation Action Plan, Record of Decision, or as part of a proposed NEPA action are considered either a Level III (onsite mitigation or rectification areas) or Level IV (compensatory mitigation areas) resource area.
14.	Preservation, pg 6.12	Biological resource inventory and monitoring data are used by multiple entities for planning resource usage and preservation schemes, evaluating DOE-RL’s performance in biological resource stewardship, assessing DOE-RL’s compliance to various laws and regulations, and for other purposes.

15.	Land use, zoning, Comprehensive Land Use Plan, HCP EIS, pg 7.6	For Level IV resources (all identified for high-level impact management in Table 7.1), impact management will rely initially on land-use “zoning” restrictions arising out of the <i>Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS)</i> (DOE 1999). Level IV resource areas (i.e., rare habitats, element occurrences, and designated compensatory mitigation/habitat improvement areas) will be identified as areas major constraints against development.
16.	Preservation, land use, pg 7.9	Preservation refers to a management action that specifically targets certain resources for protection from any human-induced impacts. Thus, these are resource areas whose primary land use at Hanford is the preservation of their biological resource values. The Level IV resource areas, rare habitats, and element occurrences, fall into this land-use category.
17.	Preservation, pg D.33	Although preservation of a mosaic of different successional stages of shrub-steppe on Hanford is vital for maintaining the full diversity of shrubsteppe dependent species, the late-successional stages are most important because recent wildfires have removed much of this habitat. The remainder is at risk from potential land conversion due to waste management and CERCLA cleanup activities on the central plateau of Hanford (an area that contains extensive blocks of late-successional shrubsteppe, principally sagebrush-steppe).
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE-Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** Considerations for Cleanup of the Hanford 200 Area National Priorities List Site (December 2007)

**Purpose of Item or Document:** Deals with EPA and Ecology concept of 200 Area end state

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky	<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08
--	-----------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]     
  No Effect [B]     
  Defer [C]     
  Defer [D]

No Effect [E]     
  No Effect [F]     
  No Effect [G]     
  No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>
---

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	7
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	14
• Land use/Land-Use	7	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	11 (core zone)
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	2
• Industrial	6	• 435.1	2

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	<p>This white paper focuses on non-tank farm areas.</p> <p>...it will help lead to constructive dialogue with DOE, the Tribes, the State of Oregon, the HAB and Natural Resource Trustees, and the public.</p> <p>Our hope is that this document will ensure that individual decisions are not made in a vacuum and will reflect a broader understanding of the 200 Area cleanup effort.</p> <p>This white paper does not have any regulatory standing, is not intended in any way to substitute for the remedy selection process, and does not change, substitute, or replace established laws, regulations, permit or other legal requirements. EPA and Ecology emphasize our intent to make CERCLA decisions in accordance with CERCLA requirements and RCRA decisions in accordance with RCRA requirements.</p>
2.	Comprehensive Land Use EIS; core zone; end state; pg. 5	<p>200 Area End State</p> <p>Portions of the core zone (see Figure 2) are expected to remain waste management areas for the foreseeable future; some areas, such as ERDF, need to be managed or maintained indefinitely. The core zone boundaries shown in Figure 2 are consistent with those developed during the 200 Area Exposure Scenarios Task Force meetings held in 2002. The map with the core zone boundaries was included in the Tri-Party response to Hanford Advisory Board Advice #132. Numerous studies and workshops have been held, and there is general public support for the concept of the core zone being a waste management area for the foreseeable future (Future Site Uses Working Group, HAB advice, Comprehensive Land Use Environmental Impact Statement, Exposure Scenario Task Force, and Risk-Based End State workshops). The concept is that there are places within the core zone that are expected to need maintenance for a very long time to prevent unacceptable exposure to residual contamination (i.e., require land use controls). The potential for exposure can be limited by engineering controls (such as armoring of caps) or by the determination of appropriate waste acceptance criteria in the case of disposal facilities. The engineering controls and waste acceptance criteria are intended to prevent releases to the environment that would contribute to exceedences of groundwater standards and protect against future exposures to human and ecological receptors.</p>

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> EPA/Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> Incorporated into CERCLA/RCRA decision making	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Reuse Assessments: A Tool to Implement the Superfund Land Use Directive (June 4, 2001)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Deals with land use and CERCLA, issued by EPA			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	7
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	14
• Land use/Land-Use	187	• Preservation	3
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	1	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	10 (zone also)
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	17	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use; pg 1	This directive presents information for developing future land use assumptions when making remedy selection decisions for Superfund sites under CERCLA.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> Incorporated into CERCLA decision making	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> DOE Response to the 24 Command Wildland Fire (DOE/RL-2000-63, October 2000)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Summarize findings on 24 Command Fire			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, reference	U.S. Department of Energy Response to the 24 Command Wildland Fire on the Hanford Site - June 27-July 1, 2000 (DOE/RL-2000-63, dated October 2000)
2.	N/A, ES-1	On June 27, 2000, a passenger vehicle and semitractor-trailer collided on Washington State Route (SR) 24 near the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Hanford Site. The vehicle fire resulting from the fatality accident quickly ignited vegetation on both sides of the highway. An abundance of natural fuel and adverse weather conditions allowed the fire to move rapidly across the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology (ALE) Reserve, a 120-square-mile area southwest of the central Hanford Site and part of the Hanford Reach National Monument. By the afternoon of June 28, the fire jumped SR 240, threatening facilities on the central Hanford Site. From June 27 through July 1, the 24 Command Wildland Fire burned nearly 300 square miles of both public and private lands. The fire consumed an average of 2,000 acres per hour. In addition, during one 90-minute period, the fire traveled 20 miles.
3.	N/A, ES-6	The Board concluded that the HFD's response to the initial event was proactive and timely. The fire was an immediate and spontaneous result of the vehicle accident. However, the lack of maintenance of defensible firebreaks along state highways running through the Hanford Site allowed the fire to spread quickly onto the ALE Reserve. The HFD leadership recognized the severity of the fire and marshaled all available resources at the disposal of the local command. Within the first hour of the event, all available HFD wildland resources were deployed. In addition, air tanker support and FWS firefighting resources were requested at a very early stage. The decisions to escalate the fire response from local command through mutual aid and to a Type 3 IMT structure were made within hours of the initial notification and were influenced appropriately by the characteristics of the fire and the unique terrain involved.
4.	N/A, ES-6	Sound preventive fire planning and execution, including fire-safe designs and enforcement of vegetation control and fire setbacks around facilities, contributed to the successful defense of Hanford structures and infrastructure. Vegetation management on waste sites and controlled areas contributed positively to minimizing the release of airborne radioactivity during the fire. Only very minor vegetation damage occurred on the waste sites and controlled areas. The Board concluded that the combination of sound preventive techniques and effective event management accounted for the light loss of property on the Hanford Site and minor injuries to Hanford staff observed.
5.	N/A, ES-8	RL should implement or revise agreements with external agencies and non-DOE tenants of the Site that define roles and responsibilities for emergency response. (1a) RL/ORP and the contractors need to engage and coordinate with local clean air authorities, state regulators, the DOE-HQ Office of Environment, and the Washington State Department of Transportation to improve firebreaks along state right-of-way shoulders between Highways 24 and 240 and the DOE fenceline. (1a1) RL/ORP need to update and enhance MOUs and agreements between RL/ORP and the FWS, and between the HFD and FWS, to address NWCG roles and responsibilities and protocols associated with ordering aerial tanker suppression support. (1a2) RL/ORP need to put into place MOUs or agreements with the Yakima Training Center (for aerial helicopter support for wildland fire suppression) and the Washington State Patrol Yakima Detachment (for incident management) to support wildland firefighting operations. (1a3) RL/ORP should review and revise as appropriate agreements (e.g., MOUs, contracts) with non-DOR tenants at the Hanford Site (e.g., LIGO, U.S. Ecology, Energy Northwest) that implement execution of Site emergency management.

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).

<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Wautoma Wildland Fire (2007-RL-HNF-0039, October 23, 2007)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Summarize findings on Wautoma Fire			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, reference	"Wautoma Wildland Fire," PHMC Lessons Learned, FHI, 2007-RL:-HNF-0039, dated October 23, 2007.
2.	N/A, Summary	<p>Summary: After the June 2000 fire left the Hanford Site, one Benton City resident was burned and subsequently died, eleven Benton City homes were destroyed and 160,000 acres (~250 square miles) of land were consumed.</p> <p>On August 16, 2007, at 1:00 P.M., the Hanford Fire Department (HFD) responded to investigate a column of smoke near the Hanford reservation. Because of weather conditions the fire had the potential to threaten government property. What followed was an almost picture perfect response to a significant wildland fire. The response by the HFD and other mutual aid partners was based upon the lessons learned and implemented from a similar fire which occurred in June 2000. However, the outcome this time was significantly different. No one was injured, no buildings were destroyed, and the fire was contained to about 65,000 acres.</p>
3.	N/A, Analysis	<p>Analysis: While there were many actions taken to control the fire as fast as it was achieved, there were four key components - based upon previous lessons learned which created the conditions for this successful operation. These were: 1) the development and implementation of interagency agreements, 2) the acquisition and use of specialized fire-fighting equipment, 3) creation of the wildfire management plan, and 4) training personnel in wildfire-fighting techniques.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> --REVISED DRAFT-- MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR TREATABILITY TEST USE OF THE BORROW SOURCE AT AREA C, HANFORD SITE, RICHLAND, WASHINGTON, AMONG THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, THE WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE; CONSULTING PARTIES AND CONCURRING SIGNATORIES: YAKAMA NATION, CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA INDIAN RESERVATION, WANAPUM, AND THE NEZ PERCE TRIBE			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> MOA FOR PORTION OF AREA C			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Michael Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/03/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0 (in context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Draft MOA, dated October 2, 2007
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action. draft MOA	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.		
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).

<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).

DOE Significance Determination is required.

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan

DOE = Department of Energy

HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976

TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> --DRAFT-- MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR TANK CLOSURE AND WASTE MANAGEMENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT, HANFORD SITE, RICHLAND, WASHINGTON AMONG THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, THE WASHINGTON STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, AND THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION; CONSULTING PARTIES & CONCURRING SIGNATORIES: YAKAMA NATION, CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE UMATILLA INDIAN RESERVATION, WANAPUM, AND THE NEZ PERCE TRIBE			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> MOA FOR TC&WM EIS			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Michael Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/03/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	2 (in context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	3
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	3	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Draft MOA, dated September 5, 2007
2.	comprehensive land use plan; HCP EIS; conservation; pg. 1 of 6	WHEREAS, the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) selected the preferred alternative for implementation, as presented in the final EIS. Borrow source Area C was designated as "Conservation (Mining)" as DOE's preferred quarry site for basalt rock and silty soil materials to be used for large waste-management area covers in the Central Plateau. The final HCP EIS preferred alternative indicates that a portion of the ALE Reserve (Borrow Area C) would be managed as Conservation (Mining) during the remediation of the Hanford Site, and would be DOE's preferred quarry site for basalt rock and silty soil materials to be used for large waste-management area covers in the Central Plateau. The final HCP EIS discussion indicates that this designation was being made as a trade-off, based on DOE's receipt of public comments on the Draft EIS and input from the cooperating agencies, including area Tribes. Greater value was placed by the public and the cooperating agencies on preservation of the wildlife corridor running through the McGee Ranch/Umtanum Ridge area, which DOE had previously identified as its preferred quarry site. In addition to the wildlife corridor function, the mature shrub-steppe vegetation structure in the McGee Ranch area was considered to have greater wildlife value than the cheat grass in the ALE Reserve (Borrow Area C) quarry site. As a result of this tradeoff, the McGee Ranch was included in the National Wildlife Refuge and designated as Preservation, and the ALE Reserve (Borrow Area C) designated as Conservation (Mining).
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action. draft MOA	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] – Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b><i>Specify which process:</i></b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction:</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> NEPA EIS --FINAL-- COMMERCIAL LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL SITE, RICHLAND, WASHINGTON, May 28, 2004			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b>			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Michael Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/03/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	12	• Recreational	57
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	162	• Residential	4
• Land use/Land-Use	31/7	• Preservation	0 (context)
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	6 (designation)	• Conservation	0 (context)
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	88 (zone)
• HCP EIS	3	• End state	0
• Industrial	15	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	Transmittal letter, WDOH and Ecology, dated May 14, 2004, "To: Public Agencies and Persons with Interest in the Commercial Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Site in Richland, Washington"  "This Final EIS is being issued in response to a February 14, 1997 State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21C RCW, Determination of Significance. Both the Final EIS and the August 2000 Draft EIS were a joint effort by the Washington Department of Health (DOH) and the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology)."
2.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, industrial, p. 22	Hypothetical impacts from the commercial LLRW site are best presented in the context of the surrounding 586-square mile Hanford Site. The commercial LLRW site is a 100-acre site in the middle of the much larger contaminated central plateau area of Hanford. USDOE has designated the central plateau for Industrial-Exclusive use in the final Hanford Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) EIS (USDOE 1999). The central plateau will be unfit for residential use or other long-term uses for at least 50 years after the Hanford Site is closed. In this context, hypothetical impacts from the commercial site would contribute little, if any, to the overall impact on public health. USDOE is planning to use institutional controls to restrict public access to the central plateau for the foreseeable future. It will be every future generation's responsibility to ensure that these controls remain in place for as long as necessary.
3.	Comprehensive Land Use Plan, p. 26	Land Use. Disposal of diffuse NARM would be consistent with the 1999 USDOE Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan EIS. USDOE has designated the central plateau, including the 100-acre commercial LLRW site, as Industrial-Exclusive to accommodate current and future waste management activities.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> WDOH/Ecology	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> NOTE: EIS was prepared under SEPA; specific citations noted consistency with CLUP	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Letter, K. Klein, RL, to Addresses, "Invitation to Participate as a Member on the Hanford Governmental Site Planning Advisory Board," 00-MSD-027, dated December 30, 1999.			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Invitations to participate on the SPAB			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	5	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	5	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	1	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	3	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	<p>Part of DOE's decision is to form a governmental Site Planning Advisory Board (SPAB) within two months of the November 2, 1999, decision. This letter serves as notice that DOE Richland Operations Office (RL) plans to create the SPAB, recommended by the cooperating agencies and consulting Tribal governments, as an essential function for the successful implementation of the CLUP. RL invites you to participate as a member on the SPAB.</p> <p>The SPAB will support RL by reviewing and providing advice for "area" and "resource" management plans, providing policy advice to RL in areas involving coordination of land and resource management, and advising during consideration of nonconforming proposals within the boundaries of the Hanford Site.</p> <p>Consistent with the ROD, the SPAB will include interested representatives from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Nez Perce Tribe, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Adams, Benton, Franklin, and Grant Counties, and the City of Richland. We are requesting your active participation in the prompt creation of the SPAB.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.

	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Letter, R. Jim, Yakama Nation ER WM, to K. Klein, RL, "Re: Invitation to Participate as a Member on the Hanford Governmental Site Planning Advisory Board," dated January 25, 2000.			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Yakamas accepted invitation to participate on the SPAB			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	5	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	2	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	1	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	I accept your invitation to be an active representative of the SPAB as created by the Record of Decision of the Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (HCP EIS) which was to adopt a Comprehensive Land-Use Plan (CLUP).
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> Yakama Nation	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction: Refer to subject letter</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): Refer to subject letter</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Letter, M. Benitz, Board of Benton County Commissioners, to K. Klein, RL, dated February 8, 2000.			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Board of Benton County Commissioners accepted invitation to participate on the SPAB			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	The Board of Benton County Commissioners has appointed Phil Mees from the Benton County Planning Department, as the representative for Benton County on the Hanford Governmental Site Planning Advisory Board.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> Board of Benton County Commissioners	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction: Refer to subject letter</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): Refer to subject letter</i>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Memorandum on the Hanford Reach National Monument, President Clinton to Secretary of Energy			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Bill Clinton suggested to Bill Richardson to manage the 'central area' of the Hanford Site to protect shrub-steppe and other objects of scientific and historic interest.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	2	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	<p>Monday, June 12, 2000</p> <p>Volume 36--Number 23, Pages 1271-1329</p> <p>Week Ending Friday, June 9, 2000</p> <p>Memorandum on the Hanford Reach National Monument</p> <p>June 9, 2000</p> <p>Memorandum for the Secretary of Energy</p> <p>Subject: Hanford Reach National Monument</p> <p>The area being designated as the Hanford Reach National Monument forms an arc surrounding much of what is known as the central Hanford area. While a portion of the central area is needed for Department of Energy missions, much of the area contains the same shrub-steppe habitat and other objects of scientific and historic interest that I am today permanently protecting in the monument. Therefore, I am directing you to manage the central area to protect these important values where practical. I further direct you to consult with the Secretary of the Interior on how best to permanently protect these objects, including the possibility of adding lands to the monument as they are remediated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">William J. Clinton</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> President	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).F		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Saddle Mountain Lakes Fire May 19, 2006 Hanford Reach National Monument BURNED AREA EMERGENCY STABILIZATION PLAN			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	2	• Recreational	0, in context
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	5	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	comprehensive land use plan, Appendix II	<p><b>B. RELATED PLANS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS</b></p> <p>Draft Hanford Biological Resources Management Plan and Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement: The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist reviewed the Draft Hanford Biological Resources Management Plan (1996) and Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (September 1999) and determined that actions proposed in the Saddle Mountain Lakes Fire BAER Plan within the boundary of the Hanford Reach National Monument are consistent with the management objectives established in the Land-Use Plan. The EIS incorporates the management plan by reference. The EIS/management plan specifically addresses bulldozer lines and provides NEPA compliance for bulldozer line treatment under NEPA.</p>
2.	N/A, operations assessment	<p><b>C. Findings</b></p> <p>The Saddle Mountain Lakes Fire burned approximately 26 acres on the Hanford Reach National Monument. Approximately 1-1.25 miles of access road into the fire and 3 miles within the fire area were created to stop the fire.</p> <p>Suppression line treatments are necessary to protect habitats from noxious weed infestation, ORV intrusion on the landscape, and to minimize fragmentation of ecological areas. Monitoring of suppression lines is necessary to determine the need for future noxious weed mitigation needs. All treatments to stabilize these areas will be done according to methods described in the Hanford Site Biological Resource Management Plan (HSBRMP, 1996). A complete cultural resource assessment will be completed on all suppression lines within the fire (refer to Cultural Resources Assessment).</p>
3.	N/A, Appendix II	<p><b>E. CONSULTATIONS</b></p> <p>Department of Energy, Hanford National Laboratory</p>
<p><b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.</p>		
<p><i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> USFWS	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b> BAER Plan, May 2006	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b> Issue BAER Plan	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>	<b>No.</b>
------------------------	------------

**Item or Document Title and Revision:** White Bluffs Fire- Hanford Reach National Monument BURNED AREA EMERGENCY STABILIZATION AND REHABILITATION (ESR) PLAN Final Accomplishment Report for 2002-2004 Treatments

**Purpose of Item or Document:** U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky	<b>Date:</b> 02/08/08
--	-----------------------

**Summary of Evaluation Results:**

Eliminated at Initial Screening

No Effect [A]     
  No Effect [B]     
  Defer [C]     
  Defer [D]

No Effect [E]     
  No Effect [F]     
  No Effect [G]     
  No Effect [H]

DOE Significance Determination is Required.

**CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING**

**Keywords Found:**  None.  Yes. *Specify keywords and number of occurrences:*

Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	7	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	The White Bluff Fire burned 285 acres, on public and private lands within a perimeter of 3.25 miles. Fire suppression impacts included: approximately 5 miles of disked fireline, one mile of dozerline damage to the Refuge boundary fence, and the potential spread of yellow starthistle and rush skeleton weed by suppression forces and actions.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> USFWS	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction: BAER Plan, January 22, 2004</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): Issuance of BAESR Plan</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> "Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation Plan, 24 Command Fire, Benton County, Washington, June - July 2000"			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Summarize findings on 24 Command Fire; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service & Department of Energy; <b>Prepared by:</b> Northern States Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation Team, U.S. Department of the Interior			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/23/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	7	• Recreational	0, in context
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	157	• Residential	0, in context
• Land use/Land-Use	10	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	18 (zone)
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0, in context	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. ES-ii	<p>This plan has been prepared in accordance with the Interagency Policy Guidance and Direction: Wildland Fire Rehabilitation and Restoration (1998) signed by the Assistant Secretary of the Interior, Policy, Management and Budget and Under Secretary of Agriculture, NRE. This plan provides emergency fire rehabilitation recommendations for all lands burned within the 24 Command Fire including: public lands administered by the US Fish &amp; Wildlife Service (USFWS), Department of Energy (DOE), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), State, and private lands of individual ownerships. The primary objectives of the 24 Command Fire Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation (BAER) Plan are:</p> <p>! To prescribe post-fire mitigation measures necessary to protect human life, property, and critical cultural and natural resources.</p> <p>! To promptly mitigate the unacceptable effects of fire and its suppression on lands within and adjacent to the burned area in accordance with management policies, and all relevant federal, state, and local laws and regulations.</p>
2.	land use, pg. 148	<p><b>B. RELATED PLANS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS</b></p> <p>Draft Hanford Biological Resources Management Plan and Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement: The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist reviewed the Draft Hanford Biological Resources Management Plan (1996) and Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement (September 1999) and in consultation with the Department of Energy (DOE) NEPA coordinator determined that actions proposed in the 24 Command Fire BAER Plan within the boundary of the Hanford National Laboratory are consistent with the management objectives established in the Land-Use Plan. The EIS incorporates the management plan by reference. The EIS/management plan specifically addresses bulldozer lines and provides NEPA compliance for bulldozer line rehabilitation under NEPA.</p>
3.	zone, ES-iii	<p>The Hanford Site was established by the US Government in 1943 as a national security area for the production of weapons-grade plutonium and purification facilities. For more than 40 years, the primary mission at Hanford was associated with the production of nuclear materials for national defense. However, large tracts of land were used as protective buffer zones for safety and security purposes and remained undisturbed. These buffer zones preserved a biological and cultural resource setting unique in the Columbia Basin region.</p> <p>In the late 1980s, the primary DOE mission changed from defense materials production to environmental restoration. The Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement was completed in September, 1999. Included in the assumptions for the preferred alternative are:</p> <p>! DOE, as a Federal agency, has a Trust responsibility to protect Tribal interests.</p> <p>! The public will continue to support protection of cultural and natural resources on the Site, especially on the Wahuake Slope, the Columbia River Corridor, the McGee Ranch, and the ALE Reserve.</p>

<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOI	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.

<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction: BAER Report</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): BAER Report issued, dated July 7, 2000</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Wautoma Fire, BURNED AREA EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service prepared BAER, original submission date September 27, 2007			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/26/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	0, in context
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	139	• Residential	0, in context
• Land use/Land-Use	4	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, pg 117	<p>B. RELATED PLANS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS</p> <p>Draft Hanford Reach National Monument Biological Resources Management Plan (DBRMP, FWS 1996), Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP, DOE 1999), and Draft Hanford Reach National Monument Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (DCCP, FWS 2006): The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist reviewed the DBRMP, CLUP and DCCP and determined that actions proposed in the Wautoma Fire BAER Plan within the boundary of the Hanford Reach National Monument are consistent with the management objectives established in those land use plans. The CLUP EIS incorporates the DBRMP by reference, and both specifically address bulldozer lines and provide NEPA compliance for bulldozer line rehabilitation.</p>
2.	N/A, ES, pg 3	Although this plan does not include emergency stabilization of lands burned in the adjacent Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford Site, these areas were similarly affected and will require the implementation of stabilization measures to reduce impacts to work/safety within the DOE Hanford Nuclear Reservation.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> USFWS	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction: BAER Plan, September 27, 2007</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): USFWS issued BAER Plan</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Hanford Site Radioactive Air Emission License (AOP 2006 Renewal)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Department of Health issued the Hanford Site Radioactive Air Emission License, #FF-01, AOP Renewal, dated December 19, 2006.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/26/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	No key word search. Department of Health issued the radioactive air emission license for the Hanford Site; signed by Health on December 19, 2006.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Hanford Site Air Operating Permit, Renewal			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> Ecology issued the Hanford Site AOP Renewal per WAC 173-401-710, dated December 29, 2006.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/26/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A	As the permitting authority, Ecology formally issues the Hanford Site AOP Renewal, per WAC 173-401-710. This permit is issued for a fixed term of five years with an effective date of January 1, 2007.  Letter, dated December 29, 2006, Jane Hedges, Ecology, to K. A. Klein, RL, et al, "Re: Issuance of Hanford Site Air Operating Permit Renewal."
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b><i>Specify decision maker:</i></b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

EVALUATION FORM		No.	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Milepost 17 Fire BURNED AREA EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service prepared BAER, original submission date September 27, 2007 (unsigned; but complete)			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/26/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	1	• Recreational	0, in context
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	109	• Residential	0, in context
• Land use/Land-Use	4	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, pg 117	<p>B. RELATED PLANS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS</p> <p>Draft Hanford Reach National Monument Biological Resources Management Plan (DBRMP, FWS 1996), Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP, DOE 1999), and Draft Hanford Reach National Monument Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (DCCP, FWS 2006): The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist reviewed the DBRMP, CLUP and DCCP and determined that actions proposed in the Wautoma Fire BAER Plan within the boundary of the Hanford Reach National Monument are consistent with the management objectives established in those land use plans. The CLUP EIS incorporates the DBRMP by reference, and both specifically address bulldozer lines and provides NEPA compliance for bulldozer line rehabilitation.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> USFWS	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction: BAER Plan, September 28, 2007</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): USFWS issued BAER Plan</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> USE OF RISK-BASED END STATES, DOE P 455.1 (approved July 15, 2003)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> The purpose of this policy is to focus the Department line management officials on conducting cleanup that is aimed at, and achieves, clearly defined, risk-based end states.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/23/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	0	• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	4	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	25
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	land use, pg. 1	This policy is intended to be consistent with and emphasizes the provisions in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and the Atomic Energy Act, that either explicitly or implicitly authorize the consideration of future land use and risk in making cleanup decisions.
2.	land use, pg. 2	End states should be based on an integrated site-wide perspective (including the current and future use of surrounding land), rather than on isolated operable units or release sites. This is not a license to do less at individual release sites, but rather to better link narrowly considered decisions to a larger perspective. Multiple land use will be appropriate at some sites.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b and B5.

<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b and B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
B4a. Did land-use designation change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
B4b. Did land-use map change?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> Policy integrates CERCLA, RCRA, and AEA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.

<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Overlook Fire, BURNED AREA EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service prepared BAER, original submission date August 8, 2007 (revision August 22, 2007)			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> MT Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 02/26/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	4	• Recreational	0, in context
• Hanford (only non-site documents)	117	• Residential	0, in context
• Land use/Land-Use	4	• Preservation	0, in context
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0, in context
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	Comprehensive Land-Use Plan, pg 117	<p><b>B. RELATED PLANS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS</b></p> <p>Draft Hanford Reach National Monument Biological Resources Management Plan (DBRMP, FWS 1996), Final Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (CLUP, DOE 1999), and Draft Hanford Reach National Monument Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (DCCP, FWS 2006): The BAER Team Environmental Protection Specialist reviewed the DBRMP, CLUP and DCCP and determined that actions proposed in the McLane Fire BAER Plan within the boundary of the Hanford Reach National Monument are consistent with the management objectives established in those land use plans. The CLUP EIS incorporates the DBRMP by reference, and both specifically address bulldozer lines and provides NEPA compliance for bulldozer line rehabilitation.</p>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.

<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> USFWS	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.
<i>B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.

<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b><i>Specify date/nature of interaction: BAER Plan, August 22, 2007 (revision)</i></b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b><i>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting): USFWS issued BAER Plan</i></b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).H		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> ACTION MEMORANDUM 1 FOR 300 AREA FACILITIES			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> The purpose of this action memorandum is to document approval of the non-time-critical removal action described herein for disposition of 72 buildings and structures located in the northern section of the 300 Area of the Hanford Site.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Sally A. Simmons		<b>Date:</b> 01/21/08	
<b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C] <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G] <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]  <input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> None. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	5
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	3
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	2	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	industrial, pg 3	The 300 Area continues to be an active industrial complex, housing many of the Hanford -Site's R&D facilities and analytical laboratories.
2.	industrial, pg 39	6. Comment: (Nancy Kroening): Wildlife in the area should be relocated, if possible, and nesting areas protected during the nesting season. Have inventories been done?  Response: The 300 Area is a developed industrial area and does not contain any sensitive biological areas. Still, prior to D&D occurring biological reviews are performed to determine whether or not any special precautions need to be taken to protect or relocate the existing wildlife.
3.	preservation, pg 16	The Archeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 469-469c) provides for the preservation of historical and archeological data (including artifacts) that might be irreparably lost or destroyed as the result of a proposed action.
4.	conservation, pg 16	Refers to RCRA and  The Endangered Species Act of 1973 and WAC 232-012-297 require the conservation of critical habitat on which endangered or threatened species depend and prohibit activities that threaten the continued existence of listed species or destruction of critical habitat.
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.
<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.

<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b> DOE/EPA	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]).	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

<i>B6. Were functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b> CERCLA	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
<i>B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<i>B7a. Were public comments resolved?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).G		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8, DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")

<b>EVALUATION FORM</b>		<b>No.</b>	
<b>Item or Document Title and Revision:</b> Technical Guidance Document for Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement Vadose Zone and Groundwater Revised Analyses (Final Rev 0, March 25, 2005)			
<b>Purpose of Item or Document:</b> This Technical Guidance Document (TGD) provides guidance for technical assumptions, model input parameters, and methodologies for proceeding with the Tank Closure Environmental Impact Statement (TCEIS) vadose zone and groundwater analyses.			
<b>Reviewer (print and sign name):</b> Michael Jansky		<b>Date:</b> 03/19/08	
<p><b>Summary of Evaluation Results:</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminated at Initial Screening</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [A]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [B]      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [C]      <input type="checkbox"/> Defer [D]  <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [E]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [F]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [G]      <input type="checkbox"/> No Effect [H]                 </p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> DOE Significance Determination is Required.</p>			
<b>CANDIDATE DOCUMENT INITIAL SCREENING</b>			
<b>Keywords Found:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. <i>Specify keywords and number of occurrences:</i>			
Keyword	Occurrences	Keyword	Occurrences
• Comprehensive Land Use Plan/CLUP	0	• Recreational	0
• Hanford (only non-site documents)		• Residential	0
• Land use/Land-Use	0	• Preservation	0
• Land use designation /Land-use designation (classification may be used instead of designation)	0	• Conservation	0
• Land Use Map/Land-Use Map	0	• Zoning	0
• HCP EIS	0	• End state	0
• Industrial	0	• 435.1	0

ITEM/DOCUMENT EVALUATION		
No.	Keyword and Location	Associated Text
1.	N/A, pg. 1	The TGD provides the rationale for key model input parameters for the TCEIS; and written guidance to Science Applications International Corporation, (SAIC), the TCEIS contractor, on how to proceed with vadose zone and groundwater risk analyses. The technical basis supporting many of the assumptions are a result of various multi-year field and science-based activities consistent with the Hanford Federal Facilities Agreement and Consent Order (also known as the Tri-Party Agreement), Tank Waste Remediation System EIS Record of Decision, and National Academy of Sciences review of the Tank Waste Remediation System Draft EIS (The Hanford Tanks: Environmental Impacts and Policy Choices; Committee on Remediation of Buried and Tank Wastes, National Research Council, 1996).
2.	N/A, pg. 7	<p>4.9 Points of Calculation (as described in the TCEIS)</p> <p>The following points of calculation will be used for the alternatives as well as the cumulative impact analysis for the various constituents of concern;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tank Farm barriers</li> <li>• IDF fence line</li> <li>• River Protection Project Disposal Facility fence line</li> <li>• Core zone boundary</li> <li>• Near shore of Columbia River</li> </ul>
<b>Initial Screening Result:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eliminate item/document from further review. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue with evaluation/Include in Document Universe.		
<i>B1. Does the action pertain to primary DOE- Hanford programs?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action does not deal with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	NO EFFECT [A] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action deals with Hanford Site facility operations, waste management, nuclear materials management, or clean up.	Proceed to B2.

<i>B2. Does the action implicate (potentially involve) land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action has nothing to do with land use at Hanford.	NO EFFECT [B] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action could/does involve land use at Hanford.	Proceed to B3a.
<i>B3a. Is there sufficient information to evaluate land use at Hanford?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. There is not enough information to assess or evaluate potential land use at Hanford.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [C] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. There is sufficient information to evaluate potential land use changes at Hanford.	Proceed to B3b.
<i>B3b. Has a decision been reached on the action?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. A decision has not been made pertaining to the specific action.	NOT RIPE FOR DECISION; DEFER FOR FUTURE REVIEW [D] – Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. A decision has been made regarding the action, allowing further evaluation under this SA. <b>Specify decision maker:</b>	Proceed to assess B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.
<b>FURTHER EVALUATION?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Verify item/document is eliminated above (No Effect [A] or [B]; Defer For Future Review [C] or [D]). <input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Continue with evaluation; respond to B4a, B4b <u>and</u> B5.	
<i>Note to Reviewer: Use of a more stringent risk-based level or clean-up standard does not equate to a change in land-use designation.</i>		
<i>B4a. Did land-use designation change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use designation did not change.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use designation did change. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4a(i).
<i>B4a(i). Did DOE formally change land-use map?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use map.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B4b.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use map. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b.
<i>B4b. Did land-use map change?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The land-use map boundaries were not modified.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The land-use map boundaries were modified. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to B4b(i).
<i>B4b(i). Did DOE formally change land-use designation?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No. DOE did not formally change the land-use designation.	No Effect [E] - Proceed to Section B5.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. DOE did formally change the land-use designation. <b>Provide specific citation:</b>	Proceed to Section B5.
<i>B5. Were CLUP policy and procedures applied?</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were applied.	NO EFFECT [F] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. CLUP policy and procedures as outlined in Chapter 6 of the HCP EIS were not applied.	Proceed to B6.

B6. Were Functional equivalents of the CLUP policy and procedures applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision was subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process. <b>Specify which process:</b>	NO EFFECT [G] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision was not subjected to a RCRA, CERCLA, TPA, and/or NEPA process.	Proceed to B7.
B7. Was there public involvement in the decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. The action/decision included interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and/or the general public. <b>Specify date/nature of interaction:</b>	Proceed to B7a.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. The action/decision did not include interaction with tribal representatives, State and local government officials and/or stakeholders and the general public.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
B7a. Were public comments resolved?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes. Public comment resolution was achieved. <b>Specify resolution method (e.g., letter, unit manager meeting):</b>	NO EFFECT [H] - Eliminate item from further review.
	<input type="checkbox"/> No. Public comments were not resolved.	Proceed to B8, DOE Significance Determination.
<input type="checkbox"/> Item/Document is eliminated above (No Effect [E], [F], [G], [H]).		
<input type="checkbox"/> B8. DOE Significance Determination is required.		

CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980  
 CLUP = Comprehensive Land-Use Plan  
 DOE = Department of Energy  
 HCP EIS = Hanford Comprehensive Land-Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement  
 NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976  
 TPA = Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (aka "Tri-Party Agreement")