1223848
[0085708]

HNF-19169
Revision 12B

M-91 Transuranic Mixed/Mixed Low-Level Waste Project Management Plan

AS REVISED TO INCORPORATE ECOLOGY COMMENTS

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

RECEIVED
APR 16 2014
EDMC

Approved for Public Release;
Further Dissemination Unlimited

cross ref- 1223846 & 1223847

1438

HNF-19169
Revision 12B

M-91 Transuranic Mixed/Mixed Low-Level Waste Project Management Plan

AS REVISED TO INCORPORATE ECOLOGY COMMENTS

Date Published
March 2014

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY | Richland Operations Office
P.O. Box 550
Richland, Washington 99352

APPROVED
By Lee Ann Snyder at 7:27 am, Mar 18, 2014

Release Approval | Date

Approved for Public Release;
Further Dissemination Unlimited

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by tradename, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

Executive Summary

The Hanford Site, managed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), produced about 60 percent of the United States' plutonium from the mid-1940s to the late 1980s in support of national defense efforts. Much of the legacy waste and contaminated materials from the Hanford Site defense mission remains on the Central Plateau of the Hanford Site.

The *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Ecology et al., 1989a[1]), commonly known as the Tri-Party Agreement, is a legal agreement between the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and DOE that identifies cleanup actions and schedules, referred to as milestones, to manage a portion of this remaining legacy waste and contaminated material. The scope of the M-091 Milestone series (Ecology et al., 1989b[2]) is to complete removal of the retrievably stored waste (RSW) from the burial grounds and eliminate the backlog of mixed low-level waste (MLLW) and transuranic mixed (TRUM) waste in storage by December 31, 2030. When these milestones are complete, DOE will have successfully treated the MLLW and shipped the TRUM waste offsite for disposal.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE approved a number of changes to the M-091 Milestone series in September 2010. These changes refocused the major milestone from the acquisition of facilities to the treatment of Hanford Site *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*[3] MLLW to satisfy land disposal restriction (LDR) standards prior to disposal at Hanford, and the certification and shipment of TRUM waste to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) in Carlsbad, New Mexico. Interim milestones were established to support the actions necessary to achieve the major milestone including waste retrieval, treatment, certification, and shipment and the acquisition of facilities and/or capabilities necessary to complete that work.

This Project Management Plan (PMP) contains the current status of work completed and outlines DOE's plan to accomplish the remaining work scope under the M-091 Milestone series. The plan includes several new technical approaches to provide the necessary capabilities to accomplish the M-091 Milestone series. Included in this approach are the expanded use of commercial

---

[1] Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1989a, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www.hanford.gov/?page=82.

[2] Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1989b, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Action Plan*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www.hanford.gov/?page=82.

[3] *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*, 42 USC 6901, et seq. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/rcra.pdf.

capabilities, the implementation of enhanced retrieval techniques, and the implementation of remote-handled (RH) technology for disposition of RH-TRUM waste.

The status of the M-091 Milestones as of April 1, 2013 is provided in Table ES-1.

Table ES-1. Status of M-091 Milestones as of April 1, 2013

M-091 Milestone	Status	Required Completion Date
M-091-03	Submitted annual revision of TRUM waste and MLLW PMP to Ecology.	6/30/2012
M-091-03	On schedule to submit 2013 annual revision of TRUM waste and MLLW PMP to Ecology.	6/30/2013
M-091-44P	Designation of all RH-TRUM waste and large containers of CH-TRUM waste currently in above ground storage as of June 30, 2003 was completed.	12/31/2012
M-091-40U-T01	Retrieve a minimum of 250 m3 of CH-RSW in fiscal year 2013~~3~~2. This target milestone was not met.	9/30/2012
M-091-46B-T01	Certify 300 m3 of small container CH-TRUM waste. This target milestone was not met	9/30/2012
M-091-44Q	300 m^3 of large container CH-TRUM waste has been repackaged into certifiable containers was completed on May 25, 2011. Ecology was formally notified of completion of this milestone on October 2, 2012.	9/30/2016
M-091-44R	300 m^3 of large container CH-TRUM waste has been repackaged into certifiable containers was completed on August 25, 2011. Ecology was formally notified of completion of this milestone on October 2, 2012.	9/30/2017

Contents

1 Project Overview 1-1

1.1 Goals and Objectives 1-3

1.2 Scope 1-3

1.3 Summary of Progress ..... 1-8~~1-6~~

1.4 Management Plan Overview ..... 1-8~~1-7~~

1.5 Summary of Updates in this PMP ..... 1-14~~1-12~~

2 Retrieval and Designation of Retrievably Stored Waste (M-091-40 and M-091-41) 2-1

2.1 Status and Annual Volume Projections for Retrieval of Retrievably Stored Waste 2-1

2.2 Retrieval Approach of Non-Caisson Retrievably Stored Waste 2-3

2.2.1 Retrieval and Characterization of CH-RSW Drums 2-3

2.2.2 Retrieval of Non-Drum CH-RSW and Non-Caisson RH-RSW ..... 2-7~~2-6~~

2.3 Retrieval of Caisson RH-RSW ..... 2-11~~2-10~~

2.4 Post-Retrieval Activities ..... 2-14~~2-13~~

3 Treatment of Mixed Low-Level Waste (M-091-42 and M-091-43) 3-1

3.1 Status and Annual Volume Projections for Treatment of Mixed Low-Level Waste 3-1

3.2 Overview of MLLW Treatability Groups 3-2

3.3 Treatment Capabilities for MLLW 3-3

3.3.1 Stabilization (MLLW-02) 3-3

3.3.2 Thermal Treatment of Organics (MLLW-03) 3-3

3.3.3 Macro-Encapsulation (MLLW-04, MLLW-05, MLLW-09) 3-4

3.3.4 Mercury Stabilization and Amalgamation (MLLW-06) 3-4

3.3.5 Commercial Treatment (MLLW-07) 3-4

3.3.6 Disposition Path for MLLW-08 3-5

3.3.7 Deactivation (MLLW-10) 3-5

3.4 Disposal of MLLW 3-5

4 Certification and Shipment of TRUM Waste (M-091-44 and M-091-46) 4-1

4.1 Status and Annual Volume Projections for Certification and Shipment of TRUM Waste 4-1

4.2 Approach for Generating Certifiable Containers of TRUM Waste 4-2

4.2.1 Processing Approach for Small Container of CH-TRUM Waste 4-2

4.2.2 Processing Approach for CH-TRUM (Large Container) and RH-TRUM (Non-Caisson) Waste 4-8

4.2.3 Processing Approach for RH-TRUM (Caisson) Waste 4-8

4.3 Shipments of TRUM Waste to WIPP 4-10

4.3.1 CCP Certification Program 4-10

4.3.2 CH-TRUM Waste Shipments to WIPP 4-11

4.3.3 RH-TRU Waste Shipments to WIPP 4-11

5 No-Path-Forward Waste (M-091-03D-02) 5-1

6 Storage Capacity 6-1

6.1 CWC Storage 6-1

6.2 T Plant Storage 6-2

6.3 WRAP Storage 6-2

6.4 LLBG Storage 6-2

7 TRU and TRUM Waste Generated from CERCLA Cleanup Actions 7-1

7.1 Status of Approved CERCLA Cleanup Actions Generating TRU and TRUM Waste 7-2

7.1.1 Plutonium Finishing Plant 7-2

7.1.2 100 K Basin ..... 7-4~~7-3~~

7.1.3 209E Critical Mass Laboratory ..... 7-4~~7-3~~

7.1.4 U Plant ..... 7-5~~7-4~~

7.1.5 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds (300-FF-2) ..... 7-5~~7-4~~

7.1.6 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 OUs ..... 7-6~~7-5~~

7.2 CERCLA TRU and TRUM Shipments to WIPP ..... 7-7~~7-6~~

7.3 Status of Future CERCLA Cleanup Decisions with the Potential to Generate TRU and TRUM Waste ..... 7-9~~7-8~~

7.4 Summary of Disposition Approaches per Waste Form ..... 7-9~~7-8~~

7.4.1 Soil, Gravel, and Rock ..... 7-9~~7-8~~

7.4.2 Debris ..... 7-9~~7-8~~

7.4.3 Sludge ..... 7-10~~7-9~~

8 Project Control Elements 8-1

8.1 Funding Profile and Project Work Breakdown Structure 8-1

8.2 Project Schedule and Critical Path Analysis ..... 8-4~~8-3~~

8.3 Constraints and Risks ..... 8-5~~8-4~~

8.3.1 Budget ..... 8-5~~8-4~~

8.3.2 Delay in Retrieval Operations ..... 8-6~~8-5~~

8.3.3 New Technology Being Acquired ..... 8-6~~8-5~~

8.3.4 Higher Contamination Levels than Expected ..... 8-7~~8-6~~

8.3.5 Increase in RSW Volume ..... 8-7~~8-6~~

8.3.6 Increase in Volume of TRUM Waste to be Shipped to WIPP ..... 8-7~~8-6~~

8.3.7 Final Certification and Shipment ..... 8-8~~8-7~~

8.4 Key Deliverables/Products ..... 8-8~~8-7~~

8.5 Performance Measurement ..... 8-8~~8-7~~

8.6 Project Interface Control ..... 8-8~~8-7~~

8.7 Reporting ..... 8-9~~8-8~~

8.8 Change Management ..... 8-9~~8-8~~

8.8.1 TPA Change Management ..... 8-9~~8-8~~

8.8.2 Baseline Change Management ..... 8-10~~8-9~~
9 References 9-1

Appendices

A Glossary of Terms ..... A-i
B Applicable Regulatory Requirements ..... B-i
C Descriptions of Low-Level Burial Grounds with Retrievably Stored Waste ..... C-i
D Basis for Figures ..... D-i
E Outyear CERCLA Cleanup Actions ..... E-i
F Critical Path Schedule ..... F-i

Figures

Figure 1-1. Overarching Goals for Hanford Site Cleanup 1-1
Figure 1-2. Aerial View of Hanford Site 200 West Area (April 2010) ..... 1-5~~1-4~~
Figure 1-3. Aerial View of Retrieval Areas in the 218-E-12B Burial Ground in Hanford Site 200 East Area (September 2011) ..... 1-6~~1-5~~
Figure 1-4. Simplified Waste Flow Path (Typical) ..... 1-10~~1-8~~
~~Figure 1-5. Waste Volume within M-091 Milestone Scope Remaining at the End of a Fiscal Year~~ 1-11~~1-9~~
Figure 2-1. Volume Projections for CH-RSW (M-091-40) Retrieval 2-2
Figure 2-2. Volume Projections for RH-RSW (M-091-41) Retrieval 2-2
Figure 2-3. Simplified Flow Path of Trench Face Characterization System ..... 2-5~~2-4~~
Figure 2-4. Example of Drums Being Removed from 218-E-12B Trenches ..... 2-6~~2-5~~
Figure 2-5. Examples of Large Containers in Good Condition Being Retrieved from LLBG ..... 2-8~~2-7~~
Figure 2-6. Examples of Large Container in Degraded Condition Being Retrieved from LLBG ..... 2-9~~2-8~~
Figure 2-7. Examples of Failed Container Being Packaged Prior to Retrieval from LLBG ..... 2-10~~2-9~~
Figure 2-8. Schematic of an Alpha Caisson ..... 2-12~~2-11~~
Figure 2-9. Alpha Caisson (1987) ..... 2-13~~2-12~~
Figure 2-10. Waste Containers in Alpha Caisson 4 (1987) ..... 2-13~~2-12~~
Figure 2-11. Schematic of a Caisson in the 618-10/11 Burial Grounds ..... 2-13~~2-12~~
Figure 3-1. Volume Projections for Treatment of MLLW (M-091-42 and M-091-43) 3-2
Figure 4-1. Certifiable Volume Projections of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM Waste (M-091-44 and M-091-46) 4-3
Figure 4-2. Projection of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM Waste Shipments to WIPP (M-091-44 and M-091-46) 4-4
Figure 4-3. Simplified TRUM Waste Flow Path (Typical) 4-5
Figure 4-4. Repackaging of TRUM Waste at T Plant 4-7
Figure 4-5. Repackaging of TRUM Waste at WRAP 4-9

Figure 4-6. Repackaging of TRUM Waste at Perma-Fix Northwest 4-10
Figure 4-7. Loading a TRUPACT-II with TRUM Waste Drums at WRAP 4-12
Figure 4-8. TRUPACT-II Shipment of TRUM Waste to WIPP 4-12
~~Figure 4-9. RH-72 Cask Used to Ship RH-TRUM Waste to WIPP ..... 4-13~~4-13
Figure 7-1. Projection of CH-TRU/TRUM and RH-TRU/TRUM Waste Shipments to WIPP under CERCLA Work Scope 7-7
Figure 8-1. RL-0013 Annual Funding Profile ..... 8-3~~8-2~~

Tables

Table 1-1. Status of M-091 Milestones as of April 1, 2013 1-6
Table 1-2. M-091 Milestones at Risk ..... 1-13~~1-11~~
Table 4-1. TRUM Waste Project Schedule 4-11
Table 5-1. No-Path-Forward Waste as of April 1, 2013 5-3
Table 6-1. Facility Permitted Storage Capacity 6-1
Table 7-1. Summary of Operable Units and Facilities 7-2
Table 7-2. TRU and TRUM Waste Forecast from CERCLA Cleanup Actions ..... 7-4~~7-3~~

Terms

AMWTP	Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project
AR	Administrative Record
ARRA	*American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009*
CBFO	DOE Carlsbad Field Office
CCP	Central Characterization Project
CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
CH	contact-handled
CWC	Central Waste Complex
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility
FS	feasibility study
FY	fiscal year
HERTR	high energy real time radiography
LDR	land disposal restriction
LLBG	low-level burial ground
LLW	low-level waste
MLLW	mixed low-level waste
MWT	mixed waste trench
OU	operable unit
PFP	Plutonium Finishing Plant
PMP	Project Management Plan
PUREX	plutonium-uranium extraction
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
RH	remote-handled
RI	remedial investigation

ROD	record of decision
RSW	retrievably stored waste
RTD	removal, treatment, and disposal
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
SLB-2	standard large box - 2
SuperHENC	super high efficiency neutron coincidence
SWB	standard waste box
TSD	treatment, storage, and/or disposal
TPA	Tri-Party Agreement
Tri-Party Agreement	*Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*
TRU	transuranic
TRUM	transuranic mixed
TRUPACT-II	Transuranic Package Transporter Model 2
WBS	work breakdown structure
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WMA	waste management area
WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility

1 Project Overview

The Hanford Site, managed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), produced about 60 percent of the United States' plutonium from the mid-1940s to the late 1980s in support of national defense efforts. The 586 square mile site is located in southeastern Washington State. The Central Plateau covers approximately 75 square miles in the center of the Hanford Site. Much of the legacy waste and contaminated materials from the site's defense mission remains on the Central Plateau.

The *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Ecology et al., 1989a), commonly known as the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA), is a legal agreement between the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and DOE that identifies cleanup actions and schedules referred to as milestones (Ecology et al., 1989a). The scope of the M-091 Milestone series (Ecology et al., 1989b, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Action Plan*) is to complete retrieval and eliminate the backlog of Hanford mixed low-level waste (MLLW) and transuranic mixed (TRUM) waste in storage by December 31, 2030. When these milestones are completed, DOE will have retrieved the retrievably stored waste (RSW) from the burial grounds, treated and disposed the MLLW, repackaged the TRUM waste into certifiable containers, and shipped the TRUM waste offsite for disposal.

In recent years the M-091 PMP has been expanded to include CERCLA cleanup activities that were previously covered under TPA Milestone M-016-93. Because ~~Cleanup~~ cleanup of the Hanford Site is a complex and challenging undertaking, an overview of the entire Hanford Site cleanup goals has been included into this PMP. In July of 2010, DOE issued the *Hanford Site Cleanup Completion Framework* (DOE/RL-2009-10), that describes the overall site cleanup strategy and the approach to completing the remainder of the cleanup mission. The framework document defines the principal components of cleanup and provides the context for individual cleanup activities by establishing the approaches and common goals for those decisions needed to complete the cleanup mission. The framework document ~~defines~~identifies the ~~overarching~~ goals for cleanup as shown in Figure 1-1.

~~Goals for the Hanford Site Cleanup~~Goals for Cleanup
Goal 1: Protect the Columbia River.
Goal 2: Restore groundwater to its beneficial use to protect human health, the environment, and the Columbia River.
Goal 3: Cleanup River Corridor waste sites and facilities to: • Protect groundwater and the Columbia River. • Shrink the active cleanup footprint to the Central Plateau. • Support anticipated future land uses.+
Goal 4: Clean ~~up~~ up Central Plateau waste sites~~,~~ ~~tank farms,~~ and facilities to; • Protect groundwater and the Columbia River. • Minimize the footprint of areas requiring long-term waste management activities. —Support anticipated future land uses. Goal 5: Safely mitigate and remove the threat of Hanford's tank waste. • Safely store tank waste until it is retrieved for treatment. • Safely and effectively immobilize tank waste. • Close tank farms and mitigate the impacts from past releases of tank waste to the ground.

Goal ~~5~~6: Safely manage and transfer legacy materials scheduled for offsite disposition~~,~~ including special nuclear material (including plutonium), spent nuclear fuel, transuranic waste, and immobilized high-level waste.

Goal ~~6~~7: Consolidate waste treatment, storage, and disposal operations on the Central Plateau.

Goal ~~7~~8: Develop and implement institutional controls and long-term stewardship activities that protect **human health, the environment, and Hanford's unique cultural,** historical~~,~~ and ecological resources after cleanup activities are complete.

Figure 1-1. ~~Overarching~~ Goals for Hanford Site Cleanup

These goals embody more than 20 years of dialogue among the TPA agencies, Tribal Governments, State of Oregon, stakeholders, and the public. These goals provide a set of principles that guide all aspects of Hanford Site cleanup and help set priorities to apply resources and sequence cleanup efforts for the greatest benefit. Cleanup activities occurring at various areas of the site support the achievement of one or more of these goals.

While the *Completion Framework* is not a budget document, it is important for DOE to state its priorities for cleanup. These priorities help to guide budget requests and ensure that cleanup funds support DOE's vision for completing cleanup. Cleanup priorities help DOE to schedule portions of work and to allocate cleanup funds to achieve the most benefit. Not all work can be done at the same time so priorities are generally risk based.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE approved changes to the M-091 Milestones in September 2010. Changes to the M-091 Milestones were considered extensive enough that Ecology and DOE decided to issue the change package as a replacement of the M-091 Milestone series. An overview of the changes follows:

- The major M-091 Milestone previously focused on the acquisition and modification of facilities/ capabilities to support retrieval, storage, and treatment of wastes. Ecology and DOE refocused the major milestone on the original milestone goal, to treat all *Hanford Site Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (RCRA) MLLW to satisfy land disposal restriction (LDR) treatment standards, and certify and ship TRUM waste to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) in Carlsbad, New Mexico for disposal. The WIPP is the only disposal facility authorized by law for TRUM waste, and which has been exempted from the LDR treatment standards by the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act Amendments. Interim milestones were established to support the actions necessary to achieve the major milestone including waste: retrieval, treatment, certification, and shipment and the acquisition of necessary facilities and/or capabilities.

- The previous M-091-45 Milestone required DOE to replace a "to be determined" date for the M-091-01 Milestone, which originally required DOE to complete acquisition of facilities and modification of existing facilities, and modification of planned facilities by June 30, 2012. Ecology and DOE have agreed that DOE will prepare a conceptual design for facilities by 2016, and replace the M-091-01 "to be determined" date at that time (2016).

- The new milestones provide a comprehensive and easily understood series of milestones to measure progress on the safe and stable processing and shipping of Hanford Site waste covered by the M-091 Milestone series and address public comments in order to make the milestones easier to read and understand.

~~During negotiations in the fall of 2009 to modify the M-091 Milestone series, the parties agreed to evaluate changes to the entire M-091 milestone series based on other Hanford site priorities and~~

~~availability of ARRA funding. As a result, enforceable milestones were established for fiscal years (FYs) 2010 and 2011, consistent with the allocated ARRA funding and DOE performance metrics. Target dates were identified for FYs 2012 through 2014, reflective of the allocation of funding for completion of higher priority cleanup activities.~~

DOE developed this Project Management Plan (PMP) in accordance with the TPA, Section 11.5, "Waste Material Stream Project Management Work Plans," prepared under Milestone series M-090-00, M-091-00, and M-092-00 of the TPA Action Plan (Ecology et al., 1989b). This PMP contains the current status of completed work along with DOE's plan to accomplish the remaining work scope under the M-091 Milestone series ~~based on the *Framework Cleanup* and available resources~~.

A goal of DOE, Ecology, and EPA is to integrate the Hanford Site cleanup activities to the extent possible to enable efficient and effective management of waste. The three agencies agreed to integrate the plan for managing transuranic (TRU) and TRUM waste under the *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (CERCLA) cleanup actions, with the plan to manage similar waste forms under the M-091 work scope. This revision of the PMP also addresses the acquisition of capabilities necessary to prepare TRU and TRUM waste generated under CERCLA cleanup actions.

1.1 Goals and Objectives

Previously, the focus of the M-091 Milestones was on the acquisition and modification of facilities and capabilities to support retrieval, storage, and treatment of wastes. The TPA agencies renegotiated the milestones to refocus the goal on treating all Hanford Site RCRA MLLW and on certifying and shipping TRUM waste to WIPP.

The milestones establish a comprehensive approach for the safe and stable processing of retrieved and aboveground stored waste. The latest change to the milestones set a deadline of 2030 to remove all legacy TRUM waste from the Hanford Site. When the M-091 Milestones are completed, the RSW will have been removed from the burial grounds, the backlog of MLLW will have been treated and disposed, and the TRUM waste will have been repackaged into certifiable containers and shipped offsite for disposal.

1.2 Scope

The scope of the M-091 Milestone series includes all MLLW and TRUM waste in aboveground storage as of June 30, 2009 and RSW in the low-level burial grounds (LLBGs). Waste in aboveground storage is defined as the waste stored within the Central Waste Complex (CWC), T Plant, and the Waste Receiving and Processing Facility (WRAP). The RSW is defined as waste that was placed in LLBG 218-W-4B, 218-W-4C, 218-W-3A, and 218-E-12B after May 6, 1970, and was believed to meet TRU waste criteria when it was placed in one of these burial grounds. Descriptions and maps of the LLBGs are included in Appendix C. An aerial view of the Hanford Site 200 West Area is presented in Figure 1-2. An aerial view of the 218-E-12B Burial Ground in the 200 East Area of the Hanford Site is presented in Figure 1-3.

The M-091 Milestone series scope is as follows:

- Acquisition of capabilities for retrieving and processing/treating TRUM waste (M-091-01)
- Disposition of no-path-forward waste ~~(M-091-03D-02)~~
- Retrieval of contact-handled (CH) RSW from the LLBGs (M-091-40)
- Retrieval of remote-handled (RH) RSW from the LLBGs (M-091-41)

- Treatment of CH-MLLW in small containers (M-091-42)
- Treatment of CH-MLLW in large containers and RH-MLLW (M-091-43)

Figure 1-2. Aerial View of Hanford Site 200 West Area (April 2010)

Figure 1-3. Aerial View of Retrieval Areas in the 218-E-12B Burial Ground in Hanford Site 200 East Area (September 2011)

- Certification and shipment offsite of CH-TRUM waste in large containers and RH-TRUM waste (M-091-44)
- Certification and shipment offsite of CH-TRUM waste in small containers (M-091-46)

In the M-091 milestone series, the following container size definitions are used:

- When referring to MLLW, small containers are less than 10 m^3, including 55 gal drums. A large container is defined as any container that is not defined as a small container.
- When referring to TRUM waste, small containers are 55 gal drums or smaller containers, even if over packed in 85 gal drums, and WIPP standard waste boxes (SWBs). A WIPP SWB is a 1.8 m^3 steel container that is approximately 0.94 m in height, 1.8 m in length, and 1.4 m in width. A large container is defined as any container that is not defined as a small container.

The scope of this M-091 PMP has been expanded to include the projected waste volumes and schedules for CERCLA cleanup actions under the scope of the ~~M-016 Milestone series~~previous TPA milestone, M-016-93. Provided in Chapter 7 is a summary of the CERCLA cleanup actions that have the potential to generate waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g, along with projected volumes. ~~and s~~Schedules from the CERCLA cleanup actions authorized in records of decision (RODs) and actions memoranda are included with a ~~Also provided in Chapter 7 is a~~ projected shipment schedule of TRU waste to WIPP. Milestone schedules for CERCLA cleanup actions still in the investigatory phase are provided in Appendix E.

The currently approved CERCLA cleanup actions generating TRU/TRUM waste include:

- Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP)
- 100 K Basins
- 200E Critical Mass Laboratory
- U Plant
- 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds (300-FF-2)
- 200-PW-1 and 200-PW-6 Operable Units (OUs)

Future CERCLA OUs and facilities with the potential to generate waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g during CERCLA actions are summarized in Section 7.3 and Appendix E. These OUs and facilities include:

- 200-BC-1
- 200-SW-2
- 200-WA-1
- 200-DV-1
- 200-IS-1
- 200-EA-1
- 200-CP-1, including the PUREX Tunnel #1 and Tunnel #2

- 224B
- 200-CR-1 (REDOX)

Other RCRA actions that have the potential to generate waste with TRU consitituents greater than 100 nCi/g that are not covered in this PMP include the Tank Farms WMAs that are covered under the M-045 Milestone series and eleven single-shell tanks. DOE expects to make a classification as the whether the material is transuranic waste and to continue critical decision documentation development that will define the technology and infrastructure needed to retrieve, process and package the waste for disposal. As more information becomes available, any interfaces or impacts to the M-091 scope will be addressed in this PMP.

1.3 Summary of Progress

With the completion of the *American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009* (ARRA) program, available resources for M-091 work scope has slowed. The status of the M-091 Milestones as of April 1, 2013 is provided in Table 1-1.

Table 1. Status of M-091 Milestones as of April 1, 2013

M-091 Milestone	Status	Required Completion Date
M-091-03	Submitted annual revision of TRUM waste and MLLW PMP to Ecology.	6/30/2012
M-091-03	On schedule to submit 2013 annual revision of TRUM waste and MLLW PMP to Ecology.	6/30/2013
M-091-44P	Designation of all RH-TRUM waste and large containers of CH-TRUM waste currently in above ground storage as of June 30, 2003 was completed.	12/31/2012
M-091-40U-T01	Retrieve a minimum of 250 m3 of CH-RSW in fiscal year 2013~~3~~2. This target milestone was not met.	9/30/2012
M-091-46B-T01	Certify 300 m3 of small container CH-TRUM waste. This target milestone was not met.	9/30/2012
M-091-44Q	300 m^3 of large container CH-TRUM waste has been repackaged into certifiable containers was completed on May 25, 2011. Ecology was formally notified of completion of this milestone on October 2, 2012.	9/30/2016
M-091-44R	300 m^3 of large container CH-TRUM waste has been repackaged into certifiable containers was completed on August 25, 2011. Ecology was formally notified of completion of this milestone on October 2, 2012.	9/30/2017

1.4 Management Plan Overview

Figure 1-4 presents a simplified flow path for MLLW and TRUM waste retrieved from the LLBGs and aboveground storage, through treatment/processing, and to disposal. ~~This figure illustrates DOE's overall~~

~~plan for disposition of the remaining 7,193 m^3 of TRUM waste and 1,386 m^3 of MLLW (as of October 1, 2012) as shown in Figure 1-5.~~



Figure 1-4. Simplified Waste Flow Path (Typical)



	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31
TRU Waste	7,193	7,193	7,193	7,193	7,193	6,087	4,982	3,876	3,623	3,270	2,916	2,563	2,209	1,856	1,502	1,149	795	442	88	0
MLLW	1,386	1,388	1,388	1,388	941	495	38	38	38	38	0									

* See Appendix D, Table D-1, for the data source, analytical basis, and underlying assumption used in the development of this chart.

Figure 1-5. Waste Volume within M-091 Milestone Scope Remaining at the End of a Fiscal Year

The following key elements of DOE's plan support the completion of the M-091 Milestone series:

- Existing retrieval methods will continue to be used to retrieve the remaining CH-RSW and non-caisson RH-RSW. New retrieval methods (i.e., Trench Face Retrieval and Characterization System) that were implemented through ARRA funding will be remobilized. As ramp-up begins in FY 2015, restart plans will be developed that will consider lessons learned from recent retrieval operations and from the future retrieval of waste from the 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds. A mission need has been identified~~project has been established~~ to acquire the capability necessary to retrieve the RH-RSW from the alpha caissons (Chapter 2).

- Existing onsite (T Plant) and offsite (commercial facilities) capabilities will continue to be utilized to treat the remaining MLLW. The MLLW that has been identified as having no-path-forward is expected to be dispositioned at an offsite commercial facility for LDR treatment, and/or site-specific LDR treatment variance. The majority of the no-path-forward waste is expected to be dispositioned by FY 2016 (Chapter 5). The MLLW will be disposed at either the mixed waste trenches (MWTs) or the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF). A small portion of MLLW is thermally treated, which results in ~~no~~ reduced residue (Chapter 3).

- Existing onsite (WRAP, T Plant) and offsite (commercial facilities) capabilities will continue to be utilized to repackage the remaining small container CH-TRUM waste currently in storage into WIPP certifiable containers. A future trench faced processing system is expected to be used to process the remaining small containers of CH-RSW (Chapter 4). Larger containers of CH-RSW have been retrieved leaving only drums and small boxes remaining.

- Existing offsite commercial capabilities will continue to be utilized to repackage a large portion of the large containers of CH-TRUM and non-caisson RH-TRUM waste into WIPP certifiable containers. ~~Projects~~ A mission need has been identified ~~have been established~~ to acquire the capabilities necessary to repackage the remaining large containers of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste that cannot be repackaged commercially (Chapter 4). This new capability will also accommodate the needs that maybe necessary to repackage TRU waste generated during CERCLA activities, for example, 618-10/11 Burial Grounds and the Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP).

- WRAP will continue to be used for TRUM waste characterization, certification, and loading of CH-TRUM waste into Transuranic Package Transporter Model 2 (TRUPACT-II) shipments to WIPP. The Central Characterization Project (CCP) will provide the capability loading and shipping ~~A mission need has been identified project has been established to acquire the capability necessary for loading~~ RH-TRUM waste ~~into RH-72B canister and transport casks for shipment~~ to WIPP (Chapter 4).

Presented in Chapter 2 through 4 is the ~~Figure 1-5 reflects~~ projected volumes of TRUM waste and MLLW remaining for disposition at the end of each FY. These volumes include waste in aboveground storage and waste as RSW. The RSW is considered TRUM waste until shown otherwise through assay. Of the RSW already retrieved, subsequent analysis has shown that a percentage of the RSW is MLLW. In order to determine future capability and capacity needs, DOE has made projections as to the RSW volume breakdown of MLLW and TRUM waste ~~(Chapters 3 and 4). Figure 1-5 reflects this breakdown, combined with the waste already in aboveground storage.~~

For the out years, the annual work off rates ~~provided in Figure 1-5~~provided in Chapters 3 and 4 ~~-~~are based on the funding profile given in Figure 8-1. This funding profile is based on the FY 2013 through 2018 Plateau Remediation Contract baseline. Funding for FY 2019 through FY 2031 is based on ~~was estimated based on assumptions regarding operations that support completion of the M-091 Milestone series and is subject to change as planning is refined.~~ the Hanford Lifecycle Scope, Schedule and Cost Report, under M-036-01, that reflects all of those actions necessary for the DOE to full meet all applicable environmental obligations including those under the TPA.

Given the anticipated funding levels and competing site priorities, DOE has~~it has become necessary to~~ suspended M-091 Milestone work scope for the next few years until resources become available. As a result, several M-091 target dates and enforceable milestones are at risk of not being completed on schedule. Status of the ~~At risk~~ targets and milestones are listed in Table 1-2. DOE shall continue submit the annual update of this PMP under M-091-03, the required burial ground substrate sampling and analysis under M-091-40, and notification of TRUM certification under M-091-044 at least annually. Delay in retrieving the suspect TRUM waste for the LLBGs (M-091-40 and M-091-41) causes a cascade effect in delay of subsequent milestones because waste is not available to complete these milestones (M-091-42, M-091-43, M-091-46).

Table 1-2. Status of M-091 Milestones and Targets ~~at Risk~~

M-091 Milestone	M-091 Milestone Title	Required Completion Date	Status
M-091-01A	Complete conceptual design for acquisition of capabilities/facilities/modifications necessary for retrieval, designation, storage, and treatment/processing of TRUM waste.	9/30/2016	on Schedule
M-091-01B	Complete defintive design for acquisition of capabilities/facilities/modifications necessary for retrieval, designation, storage, and treatment/processing of TRUM waste.	9/30/2018	on Schedule
M-091-40	Complete retrieval and designation of ~~all~~ CH-RSW.	9/30/2016	at Risk
M-091-40V-T01	Retrieve a minimum 250 m^3 CH-RSW in FY 2013. Any volume above the 250 m^3 shall count towards fulfillment of M-091-40X.	9/30/2013	at Risk
M-091-40W-T01	Retrieve a minimum 250 m^3 CH-RSW in FY 2014. Any volume above the 250 m^3 shall count towards fulfillment of M-091-40X.	9/20/2014	at Risk
M-091-40X	Retrieve a total of 1,250 m3 of CH-RSW in FY 2015.	9/30/2015	at Risk
M-091-41	Complete the retrieval and designation of RH-RSW	12/31/2018	at Risk
M-091-41A	Retrieve all non-caisson RH-RSW.	9/30/2016	at Risk
M-091-42	Complete treatment of small container CH-MLLW (in above ground storage as of 6/30/2009 and in retrievable storage).	9/30/2017	at Risk
M-091-43	Complete treatment of large container CH-MLLW and RH-MLLW (in above ground storage as of 6/30/2009 and in retrievable storage).	9/30/2017	at Risk
M-091-44	Complete treatment of large container CH TRUM waste and RH TRUM waste.	9/30/2030	on Schedule

Table 1-2. Status of M-091 Milestones and Targets ~~at Risk~~

M-091 Milestone	M-091 Milestone Title	Required Completion Date	Status
M-091-44S	Certify a total of 300 m3 of large container CH TRUM waste and/or RH TRUM waste	9/30/2018	on Schedule
M-091-44T	Submit change package for annual milestones to treat or certify and ship large container CH-TRUM waste and RH-TRUM waste to complete the disposition of this waste.	9/30/2018	on Schedule
M-091-46	Complete the certification of small container TRUM (in above ground storage as of 6/30/2009 and in retrievable storage).	9/30/2017	at Risk
~~M-091-46B-T01~~	~~Certify 300 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM waste. Any volume above the 300 m^3 shall count towards fulfillment of M-091-46E.~~	~~9/30/2012~~	~~at Risk~~
M-091-46C-T02	Certify 125 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM waste. Any volume above the 125 m^3 shall count towards fulfillment of M-091-46E.	9/30/2013	at Risk
M-091-46D-T03	Certify 125 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM waste. Any volume above the 125 m^3 shall count towards fulfillment of M-091-46E.	9/30/2014	at Risk
M-091-46E	Certify 250 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM waste. Any volume above the 250 m^3 shall count towards fulfillment of subsequent milestones.	9/30/2015	at Risk
M-091-46F	Certify 250 m^3 of small container CH-TRUM waste.	9/30/2016	at Risk
M-091-46H	Complete offsite shipment of all small container CH-TRUM waste (in above ground storage as of 6/30/2009 and in retrievable storage).	9/30/2018	at Risk

The funding profile given in Figure 8-1 does not include the funding necessary to support the CERCLA cleanup actions discussed in Chapter 7.

1.5 Summary of Updates in this PMP

This annual update of the PMP reflects the following changes:

- ~~Incorporated~~ Ecology comments on Revision 11 of the PMP were incorporated as appropriate.~~.~~
- Chapter 7 provides a shipment forecast of TRU waste, as a result of CERCLA cleanup activities, to WIPP.
- Updated text and volume projections (i.e., work off rates) throughout document to reflect completed and scheduled work based on the current funding profile. Some M-091 work scope has been suspended in FY 2013 through FY 2015 due to available resources focused on other higher priority work scope.

2 Retrieval and Designation of Retrievably Stored Waste (M-091-40 and M-091-41)

DOE has made substantial progress in retrieving RSW from the burial grounds that contained approximately 15,200 m^3 of RSW. Since retrieval operations began, DOE has successfully retrieved over 12,500 m^3 of RSW, leaving an estimated 2,700 m^3 as of October 1, 2012 remaining to be retrieved. The RSW is in designated areas in LLBGs 218-E-12B, 218-W-3A, 218-W-4B, and 218-W-4C. Burial Ground 218-W-4B includes four alpha caissons containing RH-RSW (Section 2.3). The retrieval of RSW has been completed in the 218-W-4C LLBG. Descriptions and maps of these LLBGs are included in Appendix C.

The key elements of DOE plans for completing Milestones M-091-40 and M-091-41 are as follows:

- Continue retrieving and characterizing the remaining drums of CH-RSW, and utilize existing retrieval methods that have been supplemented with the recently implemented Trench Face Retrieval and Characterization System (Section 2.2.1).
- Continue retrieving the remaining non-drum CH-RSW and non-caisson RH-RSW utilizing existing methods.
- Acquire the necessary new capability to retrieve the alpha caissons. DOE will consider incorporation of lessons learned from the retrieval of TRU waste from the 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds that have similar complex challenges.

Retrieval has become more challenging as more frequent occurrences of degraded, failed, and contaminated containers or areas have been encountered. Containers with significant deterioration are placed in a safe configuration (e.g., over packing in larger containers and building of containment around degraded boxes) pending development of container specific retrieval instructions. Containers determined to present unacceptable hazards to the workers will be documented and a path forward identified. The containers will be retrieved per TPA milestone requirements. Weather enclosures and containment systems may be used as required to support retrieval operations.

2.1 Status and Annual Volume Projections for Retrieval of Retrievably Stored Waste

Retrieval operation has been placed in a layup condition. During FY 2012 retrieval of RSW was not performed.

Figures 2-1 and 2-2 present a summary of the CH-RSW and RH-RSW projected to be retrieved in the coming years. The bars represent the CH-RSW and RH-RSW that is projected to be retrieved during a fiscal year and the line represents the cumulative volume remaining at the end of an FY. The schedule of retrieval activities is based on the funding profile given in Figure 8-1, while evaluating other factors such as, minimizing the life-cycle retrieval cost, optimizing retrieval versus capacity for repackaging, optimal WIPP shipment schedule, and offsite treatment capacity.

Under the projected annual funding profile, retrieval of RSW is not anticipated to occur during FY 2013 through FY 2015. Operation ramp-up will begin in FY 2015 with retrieval of RSW resuming in FY 2016. The retrieval milestones M-091-40 and M-091-41A are at risk of not being completed on schedule. The current funding profile shows the completion of these milestones two years behind schedule. If retrieval is delayed, treatment of MLLW and repackaging/shipping of TRUM waste will also be delayed as discussed in Chapters 3 and 4. DOE expects the retrieval of the caisson RH-RSW be completed on schedule.



	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
CH-RSW Retrieved	0	0	0	0	834	1,176	571
CH-RSW Remaining End-of-Year	2,581	2,581	2,581	2,581	1,747	571	0

* See Appendix D, Table D-1, for the data source and analytical basis used in the development of this chart.

Figure 2-1. Volume Projections for CH-RSW (M-091-40) Retrieval



	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
non-Caisson RH-RSW Retrieved	0	0	0	0	25	31	34
Caisson RH-RSW Retrieved	0	0	0	0	0	12	12
RH-RSW Remaining End-of-Year	114	114	114	114	89	46	0

* See Appendix D, Table D-1, for the data source and analytical basis used in the development of this chart.

Figure 2-2. Volume Projections for RH-RSW (M-091-41) Retrieval

2.2 Retrieval Approach of Non-Caisson Retrievably Stored Waste

The existing retrieval process and techniques, including the trench face characterization systems, will continue to be used to retrieve the remaining CH-RSW and non-caisson RH-RSW once retrieval operations resumes. At the end of FY 2011, retrieval operations were placed in a layup condition (e.g., returning leased equipment, storing supplies and other equipment, stabilizing contaminated areas, documenting facility conditions). During FY 2015 preparatory work for the restart of retrieval operations will begin, with restart of retrieval operations in FY 2016. Details for the re-depolyment of retrieval have not been established. Preliminary cost is $30M and a twelve month durations with the majority of cost going towards hiring/training of staff. Additional information is provided in Appendix D.

The retrieval process begins with the development of a retrieval plan that addresses the following.

- Identification of the trench area(s).
- Characterization of the buried containers in the selected trench areas, identification of radiological, chemical, and industrial hazards.
- Determination of hazard controls to be applied to retrieval operations.
- Review of existing processes, techniques, equipment, tools, and procedures to determine if they are adequate and appropriate for the planned retrieval activity.
- Identification of actions that need to be addressed prior to initiating retrieval activities.

In addition, subsurface (geophysical) surveys are performed to identify underground container configuration and any obstruction that may be encountered during excavation activities. The information gathered during the planning process is documented in a retrieval plan.

2.2.1 Retrieval and Characterization of CH-RSW Drums

Approximately 12,000 drums of CH-RSW (as of October 1, 2012) remain to be retrieved from 218-W-3A, 218-W-4B, and 218-E-12B LLBGs. In February of 2011, retrieval of CH-RSW drums began in Trenches 17 and 27 of the 218-E-12B LLBG using the newly acquired Trench Face Retrieval and Characterization System. This system has since been demobilized as part of the current layup condition, but is expected to remobilize once retrieval operations has resumed. A simplified flow path for retrieval and characterization is presented in Figure 2-3. Small containers of RH-RSW intermingled with CH-RSW drums will also being retrieved.

Excavation of the trench is initiated following completion of site setup and preparation. Retrieval of the containers will primarily be performed using existing and proven handling processes. Due to the potential existence of higher radiological ~~dose~~activity containers, new long reach and remote equipment (e.g., crawler) was acquired to support the retrieval and handling activities (see Figure 2-4).

Containers that have contamination on the outside of the container and/or poor integrity will be placed into a plastic drum bag or an 85 gal drum over pack. Containers are then removed from the trench by forklift, crane, or conveyor system for characterization. The RH-RSW containers with higher radiological ~~dose~~activity will be retrieved using the newly acquired remote-controlled crawler and/or crane with lifting attachments that allow for remote handling of containers. As these containers are retrieved, they will be placed in concrete shielded over packs. If RH containers are found that are believed to have poor integrity, they will be covered with lead blankets, soil, or other shielding to reduce radiological ~~dose~~activity ~~rates~~ and a plan will be developed for retrieving these containers.

The Trench Face Characterization System is housed in trailers and CONEX containers (i.e., large metal cargo container) that were staged at the 218-E-12B LLBG until retrieval activities were suspended and the system demobilized. The equipment is staged in or near the trench chosen for retrieval for the purpose



Figure 2-3. Simplified Flow Path of Trench Face Characterization System

Excavation using newly acquired remote-controlled crawler.

Exposed drums during excavation.

Lifting drum from trench.

Figure 2-4. Example of Drums Being Removed from 218-E-12B Trenches

of minimizing handling of the drums by bringing the equipment to the trenches and not having to transfer the drums to WRAP to be characterized. Once a drum (or low radiological ~~dose~~activity RH container) has been removed from the trench, it is processed through the gamma assay system and/or neutron assay equipment to determine whether it is MLLW or TRUM waste. If the cesium levels in the containers are high enough that they flood the gamma assay detectors, the gamma assay results are indeterminate, or the containers are too large for the gamma assay equipment, they will be moved to the neutron assay system for characterization. The containers determined to be MLLW will be sent directly to an offsite commercial facility for treatment prior to disposal (Chapter 3).

Once assayed, the TRUM drums are vented. The vented drums are then moved into the real time radiography equipment, where an x-ray of the drum is performed to determine if it contains any WIPP prohibited items. During the winter months, a drum warming unit will be used to melt any liquids inside a drum prior to going in the real time radiography equipment. Drums that do not contain WIPP prohibited items are placed into WIPP SWBs (four drums per SWB) and transferred to CWC as certifiable TRUM waste. Drums that have WIPP prohibited items and low plutonium content are sent offsite to Perma-Fix Northwest, located in Richland, Washington, ~~or in the future in the trench,~~ for repackaging into WIPP-certifiable containers. Drums with prohibited items and higher plutonium content are sent to CWC for interim storage until the drums can be repackaged onsite at either WRAP or T Plant (Chapter 4).

2.2.2 Retrieval of Non-Drum CH-RSW and Non-Caisson RH-RSW

Existing methods will continue to be utilized to retrieve the remaining containers of non-drum CH-RSW and non-caisson RH-RSW once retrieval operations resume. The current inventory of containers (as of October 1, 2012), not including drums of CH-RSW, consists of:

- 50 containers of CH-RSW, that are not drums, located in a trench to be removed and transferred to a treatment, storage, and/or disposal facility (TSD).
- 125 containers of RH-RSW located in a trench to be removed and transferred to a TSD.

The excavation techniques for exposing non-drum containers of RSW and non-caisson RH-RSW in the LLBGs is similar to the excavation of drums as described in Section 2.2.1. The difference between the retrieval approaches comes during the removal of the non-drum containers from the trenches as described in this subsection.

The initial field activity comprises site setup and preparation. Retrieval and portable nondestructive assay equipment are staged in or near the trench chosen for retrieval. During the planning process, containers with the potential to be MLLW are identified and assays are performed at the trenches using portable assay equipment. Container staging and work areas are defined and set up. Equipment setback distances are determined by engineering analysis to ensure trench slope stability is maintained. Weather enclosures may be used in selected retrieval activities. The excavation of the trench is initiated following completion of site setup and preparation.

During excavation, once the overburden is removed to the extent that the containers become visible, the container condition is evaluated. If the visible containers provide evidence of significant degradation, an appropriate protective covering is applied to protect the waste from the environmental conditions until it is time to retrieve the containers. Excavation activities will be monitored to identify any contamination that may be present and to minimize impacts to worker health and safety. Radiological measurements of the container are performed to measure the radiological ~~dose~~activity rate and identify potential contamination. Industrial hygiene sampling is also performed to monitor potential chemical hazards. If conditions are encountered that may require actions outside those in approved operating procedures, appropriate actions will be determined, documented, and taken to remediate the conditions.

Lifting of a container directly from a trench

Another example of a container being lifted driectly out of a trench.

Figure 2-5. Examples of Large Containers in Good Condition Being Retrieved from LLBG

Excavation of container in trench

Reinforcing container with plywood

Placing container onto a lifting base

Lifting container from trench

Figure 2-6. Examples of Large Container in Degraded Condition Being Retrieved from LLBG



Excavation of container in trench

Removing container collapsed lid

Placing waste into new container prior to being removed from trench

Figure 2-7. Examples of Failed Container Being Packaged Prior to Retrieval from LLBG

Retrieval may include container repair, over packing, application of fixatives for contamination control, and moving the containers to a staging location for final inspection, labeling, and surveys. A crane and/or a forklift are used to remove or reposition containers in the trenches. Other equipment may be used in the retrieval activities such as remote controlled equipment that will accomplish similar tasks without exposing personnel to the immediate hazards of retrieval. Figure 2-5 illustrates an example of a container in good condition being lifted from the trench. Figure 2-6 illustrates an example of a large container that is degraded, requiring reinforcement and placement on a lifting base prior to being lifted from the trench. Figure 2-7 illustrates an example of a container that has failed, requiring the waste to be placed into a new container prior to being removed from the trench.

2.3 Retrieval of Caisson RH-RSW

Burial Ground 218-W-4B includes four alpha caissons containing high radiological ~~dose~~activity RH-RSW. Based on available records, the four caissons contain a total of 5,567 containers (approximately 23.5 m^3) that are primarily 1 gal cans, with a few 2 and 5 gal cans. This waste was generated from post-irradiation examination of reactor fuel elements and other material in the 325 and 327 hot cell facilities in the 300 Area of the Hanford Site.

The alpha caissons are cylindrical, underground waste repositories used to store dry, RH-RSW. The alpha caissons are located 4 m (14 ft) below grade, and have a 1 m (3 ft) diameter loading chute where the RH-RSW was loaded into the caisson and a 0.3 m (1 ft) diameter ventilation shaft. Loading of this waste material into the alpha caissons occurred between 1970 and 1988. A fifth alpha caisson in the 218-W-4B was never used and is empty. Figure 2-8 presents a schematic of an alpha caisson in the 200 West LLBG.

Removal of the waste from the caissons will be complicated by the offset inlet chute (Figure 2-9), the heaped and random arrangement of the containers (Figure 2-10), and the assumed breached containers from the impact of sliding and dropping into the caisson. Removal will be further complicated by the presence of solid waste (e.g., plastic sheeting, rope, wire, rods) that has accumulated over the years of loading operations.

These alpha caissons are similar in design of those in the 618-~~10/~~11 Burial Grounds (Figure 2-11) except the 618-~~10/~~11 caissons are made with galvanized corrugated metal pipe with an open bottom and the alpha caissons are enclosed concrete structures. The Alpha Caisson Waste ~~Project~~ mission need has been established to retrieve the RH-RSW from the caissons in the 218-W-4B LLBG. Options evaluated include retrieval of the RH-RSW individually in the trench or removing a caisson intact and store at a TSD (e.g., T Plant, CWC) until processing capability is available (see Section 4.2.3). During the design phase of the project that is scheduled to begin in FY 2015, lessons learned from the retrieval of the 618-~~10/~~11 Burial Ground caissons will be considered in choosing the final method of retrieval of the RH-RSW from the alpha caissons.



Figure 2-8. Schematic of an Alpha Caisson

Figure 2-9. Alpha Caisson (1987)

Figure 2-10. Waste Containers in Alpha Caisson 4 (1987)



Figure 2-11. Schematic of a Caisson in the 618-~~10~~/11 Burial Grounds

2.4 Post-Retrieval Activities

Milestone M-091-40 requires that as RSW retrieval proceeds, DOE will sample and analyze trench substrates with the purposes of determining whether or not release of contaminates to the environment have occurred and, if so, the nature and extent of contamination. Sampling that has been performed is documented in the Administrative Record (AR).

Once CH-RSW has been removed from the trenches in the LLBGs, information and photographs regarding as-left trench conditions will be documented and sampling of the soil will commence per the sampling and analysis plans (SAPs) that have been developed to determine whether contaminants have been released from the burial grounds where CH-RSW has and will be retrieved. The M-091-41 Milestone does not require sampling and analysis.

The SAPs for the four LLBGs are:

- 218-W-4C Sampling and Analysis Plan, DOE/RL-2003-48
- 218-W-4B Burial Ground Sampling and Analysis Plan, DOE/RL-2004-70

- 218-E-12B Burial Ground Sampling and Analysis Plan, DOE/RL-2004-32
- 218-W-3A Burial Ground Sampling and Analysis Plan, DOE/RL-2004-71

Once all RH-RSW (Milestone M-091-41) has been removed from the trenches in the LLBGs, information and photographs regarding as-left trench conditions will be documented.

For the purposes of this PMP, it is assumed that any soil remediation in the trenches where RSW is removed will be covered as part of the 200-SW-2 Operable Unit (OU) CERCLA cleanup actions (M-016 Milestone series). There are opportunities to support the 200-SW-2 investigative process through implementation of the SAPs.

3 Treatment of Mixed Low-Level Waste (M-091-42 and M-091-43)

Substantial progress has been made in recent years in the treatment and disposal of MLLW. Since 1997, over 9,500 m^3 of MLLW has been treated and disposed. The majority of this MLLW has been treated using commercial capabilities and disposed onsite at either the MWTs or ERDF. As of February 2013, approximately 1,340 m^3 of MLLW remained to be treated and disposed, 67 m^3 is in aboveground storage and a projected 1,273 m^3 of RSW that will assay as MLLW. The MLLW remaining that cannot currently be treated commercially is considered no-path-forward waste. Disposition of this waste is covered under Milestone M-091-03D-02, as discussed in Chapter 6.

Current commercial facilities under contract include:

- Perma-Fix Northwest, located in Richland, Washington
- East Tennessee Material and Energy Corporation, Inc., located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- Perma-Fix Diversified Scientific Services, Inc., located in Kingston, Tennessee
- Energy*Solutions* Clive Site, located in Clive, Utah

3.1 Status and Annual Volume Projections for Treatment of Mixed Low-Level Waste

During FY 2012, 170 m^3 of small container CH-MLLW (Milestone M-091-42) and 53 m^3 of large containers of CH-MLLW and RH-MLLW (Milestone M-091-43) were treated.

Figure 3-1 presents a summary of the volume of MLLW that has been treated or is projected to be treated from FY 2012 through FY 2018. The projections are based on available inventory from retrieval operations where the RSW designates as MLLW.

Under the projected annual funding profile, treatment of MLLW is not anticipated to occur during FY 2013 through FY 2015. The treatment of MLLW will restart during FY 2016 once funding has become available. Completion of the MLLW milestones is dependent on feed from retrieval. If retrieval is delayed, treatment of MLLW is also delayed causing the milestones, M-091-42 and M-091-43, to be at risk at completing schedule. The current funding profile shows the completion of these milestones one year behind schedule.

3.2 Overview of MLLW Treatability Groups

The MLLW is categorized by the necessary treatment path to ensure that the waste, once treated, will meet LDR requirements for disposal. The *Calendar Year 2011 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report* (DOE/RL-2012-12) includes the following treatability groups:

- MLLW-01 "LDR Compliant Waste," Treatment Path: Direct disposal without additional LDR treatment
- MLLW-02 "Inorganic Non-Debris," Treatment Path: Non-thermal (stabilization)
- MLLW-03 "Organic Non-Debris," Treatment Path: Thermal
- MLLW-04 "Hazardous Debris," Treatment Path: Non-thermal (macro-encapsulation)
- MLLW-05 "Radioactive Lead Solids," Treatment Path: Non-thermal (macro-encapsulation)



	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18
CH-MLLW (sm) Treated (M-091-42)	170	0	0	0	410	410	410
Ch-MLLW (lg) & RH-MLLW Treated (M-091-43)	53	0	0	0	36	36	36
CH-MLLW & RH-MLLW Remaining End-of-Year	1,340	1,340	1,340	1,340	893	447	0

* See Appendix D, Table D-2, for the data source, analytical basis, and underlying assumptions used in the development of this chart.

Figure 3-1. Volume Projections for Treatment of MLLW (M-091-42 and M-091-43)

~~3.2 Overview of MLLW Treatability Groups~~

~~The MLLW is categorized by the necessary treatment path to ensure that the waste, once treated, will meet LDR requirements for disposal. The *Calendar Year 2010 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report* (DOE/RL-2011-31) includes the following treatability groups:~~

- ~~MLLW-01 "LDR Compliant Waste," Treatment Path: Direct disposal without additional LDR treatment~~
- ~~MLLW-02 "Inorganic Non-Debris," Treatment Path: Non-thermal (stabilization)~~
- ~~MLLW-03 "Organic Non-Debris," Treatment Path: Thermal~~
- ~~MLLW-04 "Hazardous Debris," Treatment Path: Non-thermal (macro-encapsulation)~~
- ~~MLLW-05 "Radioactive Lead Solids," Treatment Path: Non-thermal (macro-encapsulation)~~

- MLLW-06 "Mercury Waste," Treatment Path: Mercury stabilization (that is, amalgamation or grout stabilization)
- MLLW-07 "RH and Large Container," Treatment Path: Commercial
- MLLW-08 "Unique Wastes," Treatment Path: No treatment capability
- MLLW-09 "Radioactive Batteries," Treatment Path: Macro-encapsulation
- MLLW-10 "Reactive Metals," Treatment Path: Deactivation of reactive component

Pursuant to the *Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984*, LDRs were promulgated beginning in 1986 for nonradioactive waste. The LDRs later became effective for mixed waste. Beginning in 1990, TPA Milestone M-26-01 required a plan with subsequent yearly reports on the volume of mixed waste in storage at the Hanford Site. The last approved report (DOE/RL-2011-31) provides total waste volume for both the currently stored inventory and the waste forecast to be generated during the next 5 years by Treatability Group. This PMP addresses MLLW LDR Treatability Groups MLLW-02 through MLLW-10. Treatability Group MLLW-01, direct disposal of LDR compliant waste, requires no processing and is not included in this PMP.

3.3 Treatment Capabilities for MLLW

Commercial capabilities are used to treat/process inorganic nondebris (MLLW-02), organic nondebris (MLLW-03), hazardous debris (MLLW-04), radioactive lead solids (MLLW-05), mercury waste (MLLW-06), radioactive batteries (MLLW-09), and reactive metals (MLLW-10) in small containers.

Commercial capabilities are used to treat/process RH-MLLW and CH-MLLW in large containers (MLLW-07). Onsite and offsite transportation of waste is discussed in Section B1.8.

3.3.1 Stabilization (MLLW-02)

The treatment path for inorganic nondebris MLLW is commercial stabilization and is represented in LDR Treatability Group MLLW-02. Waste within this group consists of many different inorganic solids (e.g., particulates, absorbed liquids, sludges, resins, and soils) and lab packs that are contaminated with regulated metals and other inorganics.

The objective of stabilization is to immobilize the hazardous component through chemical and/or physical fixation into low solubility materials, and by encapsulation to reduce the potential for future releases. Usually, stabilization is accomplished by mixing the waste with Portland cement or pozzolanic materials at a preselected ratio, but stabilization can also include mixing with polymer materials. Pretreatment processes may be employed prior to stabilization (e.g., drying, shredding, screening, and chemical treatments).

Several commercial treatment facilities located in the United States can accept the majority of the Hanford Site's waste in Treatability Group MLLW-02. T Plant and WRAP have waste stabilization capability and could be used to supplement commercial facilities. However, there are two drums of MLLW-02 waste that contain high concentrations of inorganic mercury that are identified as no-path-forward waste and discussed in Chapter 5.

3.3.2 Thermal Treatment of Organics (MLLW-03)

The treatment path for organic nondebris MLLW is commercial thermal treatment and is represented in LDR Treatability Group MLLW-03. Waste within this group consists of many different inorganic and organic solids (e.g., particulates, absorbed liquids, sludges, resins, and soils) and lab packs that are

contaminated with organic regulated dangerous waste constituents. The thermal treatment process destroys organic materials by oxidation, combustion, and/or pyrolysis.

Commercial treatment facilities are located in the United States that can accept the Hanford Site's waste in Treatability Group MLLW-03.

3.3.3 Macro-Encapsulation (MLLW-04, MLLW-05, MLLW-09)

Waste within Treatability Group MLLW-04 meets the definition of hazardous debris as defined in 40 CFR 268.2, "Definitions Applicable in This Part." The physical characteristics include paper, plastic, wood, rubber, rags, and lesser quantities of metallic and inorganic waste components. This waste may include organic/carbonaceous waste constituents in excess of 10 percent as defined in WAC 173-303-040, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Definitions."

Waste within Treatability Group MLLW-05 meets the definition of the radioactive lead solids subcategory as described in 40 CFR 268.40, "Applicability of Treatment Standards." The physical makeup consists of many different forms of radioactive lead solids including bricks, sheets, shot-filled blankets, and lead-lined debris items where the lead comprises more than 50 percent of the waste matrix. The primary treatment path for MLLW debris and radioactive lead solids is commercial macro-encapsulation.

Waste within Treatability Group MLLW-09 is, or contains, radioactively contaminated batteries that have the treatment requirements specified in 40 CFR 268.40 (i.e., D006, cadmium batteries; D008, lead acid batteries; D009, mercury batteries; and D011, silver batteries).

The primary treatment path for MLLW debris, radioactive lead solids, and radioactively contaminated batteries is commercial macro-encapsulation. Macro-encapsulation consists of applying a surface coating of polymeric organics or using a jacket of inert inorganic materials (e.g., cement) to allow substantial reduction of surface exposure to potential leaching media. Portland cement based grouts have mainly been used to macro-encapsulate this waste on the Hanford Site. The waste is typically sent through one or more size reduction steps (e.g., sorting, cutting/shearing, compaction, and super compaction), prior to macro-encapsulation.

Commercial treatment facilities are located in the United States and can accept the Hanford Site's waste in the MLLW-04, -05, and -09 treatability groups. The T Plant facility has macro-encapsulation capability and could be used to supplement commercial facilities. There are five drums of MLLW debris (MLLW-04) that have been identified as no-path-forward waste and discussed in Chapter 5. Onsite and offsite transportation of waste is discussed in Section B1.8.

3.3.4 Mercury Stabilization and Amalgamation (MLLW-06)

Radioactively contaminated mercury waste requires either stabilization or amalgamation. Commercial capability is available. The Hanford Site inventory of mercury-bearing waste is currently zero (represented in LDR Treatability Group MLLW-06).

3.3.5 Commercial Treatment (MLLW-07)

Waste that falls into the MLLW-07 treatability group includes very large packages that, when treated, pose a transportation concern, and/or waste packages that have a significant radiological inventory that pose a worker protection concern. The waste will be limited to hazardous debris. Chemical stabilization and macro-encapsulation under 40 CFR 268.45, "Treatment Standards for Hazardous Debris," will be utilized to render the waste LDR compliant. In addition, the mixed waste containers will meet the 90 percent full container requirements following treatment. Treatment would be limited to those technologies that can be employed for containerized mixed waste only.

Commercial facilities will be used to treat most CH-MLLW in large containers and some RH-MLLW. Waste within Treatability Group MLLW-07 consists of: (1) large containers of MLLW, (2) RH-MLLW packages, and (3) RH-MLLW that is shielded down to contact handling levels for safe handling and storage. DOE has implemented significant commercial capability with firms in Washington and Utah to disposition a significant portion of this LDR Treatability Group.

3.3.6 Disposition Path for MLLW-08

Waste within Treatability Group MLLW-08 is a unique waste, for which no permitted treatment capability exists in the United States, or the capability exists but the capability is very limited. Currently, there are no containers of MLLW-08 waste in aboveground storage. Containers in this category would be considered no-path-forward waste (see Chapter 5)

3.3.7 Deactivation (MLLW-10)

Reactive metals containing radioactive contamination require deactivation as the specified treatment technology under RCRA. Waste within Treatability Group MLLW-10 has water reactive materials, including sodium metal.

3.4 Disposal of MLLW

On the Hanford Site, MLLW is disposed at the MWTs and ERDF. The MWTs (LLBG 218-W-5, Trenches 31 and 34) are RCRA-compliant, meet Subtitle C disposal requirements, and provide permanent disposal of low-level and mixed low-level waste. They have a double-liner system with leachate collection. The combined capacity of the MWTs is 22,300 m^3 with approximately half of the capacity currently used.

ERDF is authorized to dispose of waste under CERCLA and meets substantive requirements for RCRA landfills (e.g., double liner, leachate collection). The landfill is used for disposal of environmental restoration waste being generated from cleanup activities. ERDF is designed to provide permanent disposal capacity to accommodate projected Hanford low-level and mixed low-level wastes.

In 2007, an amendment to the ERDF ROD was approved, authorizing treatment and/or disposal at ERDF of specific Hanford only waste that is not covered in other existing Hanford CERCLA authorizations or RODs. Examples of Hanford only waste include waste from surveillance and maintenance at Hanford facilities, environmental research and development activities, sample analyses, liquid effluent waste treatment, and environmental monitoring programs.

This page intentionally left blank.

4 Certification and Shipment of TRUM Waste (M-091-44 and M-091-46)

DOE has made considerable progress in disposing of TRUM waste by ~~-~~shipping over 4,200 m^3 to WIPP or the Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project (AMWTP) in Idaho for disposal. This chapter presents DOE's plan to complete Milestones M-091-44 and M-091-46 by continuing to utilize existing capabilities and, where necessary, acquiring new capabilities to treat, certify, and ship the remaining containers of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM wastes for offsite disposal.

The key elements of DOE's plan to complete Milestones M-091-44 and M-09-46 are as follows:

- Utilize onsite capabilities at T Plant and WRAP, and offsite capabilities at Perma-Fix Northwest to repackage the small containers of CH-TRUM waste that are in aboveground storage as of June 30, 2009, and from retrieval operations into WIPP certifiable containers. DOE will be evaluating the possibility of performing repackaging of the remaining RSW at retrieval areas when retrieval resumes.
- Utilize capabilities at Perma-Fix Northwest to repackage a portion of the large containers of CH-TRUM and non-caisson RH-TRUM waste that is in aboveground storage as of June 30, 2009, and from retrieval operations into WIPP certifiable containers. New capability onsite will be acquired to repackage the remaining portion of large container CH-TRUM and non-caisson RH-TRUM waste that cannot be repackaged at Perma-Fix Northwest.
- Acquiring the necessary capability to repackage the retrieved alpha caisson RH-TRUM waste into WIPP certifiable containers.
- Utilize WRAP to support certification of TRUM waste and loading CH-TRUM waste for shipment to WIPP.
- Utilize ~~the Central Characterization Project (~~CCP~~)~~ (Section 4.3.1) to certify and ship TRUM waste to WIPP (or AMWTP) until all TRUM waste has been shipped offsite.
- CCP will provide the capability to load and ship ~~Acquire the necessary capability to load~~ RH-TRUM waste ~~into RH-72B casks for shipment~~ to WIPP.

4.1 Status and Annual Volume Projections for Certification and Shipment of TRUM Waste

T Plant and WRAP have been placed in a standby condition. During FY 2012 neither repackaging nor shipment of TRUM waste to WIPP was performed.

Figure 4-1 presents a summary of the volume of TRUM waste projected to be repackaged into WIPP-certifiable containers. The bars represent the CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste projected to be certified during an FY, and the line represents the remaining inventory to be certified at the end of an FY. The projected values are based on after processed volumes. The volume of waste currently in above ground storage that is either certified waste awaiting shipment to WIPP or certifiable waste awaiting certification by CCP is not included in Figure 4-1.

Figure 4-2 presents a summary of the volume of M-091 TRUM waste projected to be shipped to WIPP. Projected CERCLA TRU/TRUM waste shipments to WIPP are addressed on Chapter 7. In Figure 4-2, the bars represent the CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste projected to be shipped to WIPP during an FY, and the line represents the remaining inventory to be shipped to WIPP at the end of an FY. Shipments of TRUM waste to WIPP or AMWTP are expected to be completed by the end of CY 2030.

To accomplish this M-091 Milestone work scope, DOE will utilize existing capabilities and acquire the necessary new capabilities as described in the following sections.

Under the anticipated annual funding profile, repackaging/shipment of -TRUM waste ~~under~~ will not occur during FY 2013 through FY 2015. The repackaging of TRUM waste (under M-091-~~48~~44) currently in above ground storage will restart during FY 2016, with shipments to WIPP also resuming in FY 2016. The repackaging of the remaining 1,307 m^3 of RSW using the trench face processing system will occur during FY 2018. Completion of the M-091-46 milestone is dependent on feed from retrieval. As retrieval is delayed, repackage and shipment of small container TRUM waste is also delayed causing the milestones under M-091-46 being at risk to complete on schedule. The current funding profile shows the completion of these milestones one to two years behind schedule.

Under the anticipated annual funding profile, minimal repackaging of TRUM waste (under M-091-44) using commercial capabilities will occur in FY 2017 to meet milestone M-091-44S. The remainder of the waste will be repackaged starting in FY 2019 once resources are expected to become available.

Details for re-deployment of certification/shipping capability at Hanford have not been established and subject to national priorities. Certification will continue to be done by CCP. Details for re-deployment of onsite repackaging of TRUM waste has not been established. Preliminary cost for ramp-up of certification/shipping is $3M, with duration of six months. Cost for repackaging at WRAP is $5.4M with duration of twelve months. As stated, under the anticipated annual funding profile, restart of repackaging will occur in FY 2016 and TRUM shipments to WIPP will resume in FY 2017. Additional information is provided in Appendix D.

4.2 Approach for Generating Certifiable Containers of TRUM Waste

Figure 4-3 illustrates the simplified flow path of TRUM waste starting with the initial screening of the suspect TRUM waste to determine if it is TRUM or MLLW, determining whether the TRUM containers have prohibited items, repackaging the TRUM waste into WIPP certifiable containers, submitting the containers to CCP for certification and, finally, shipment of the TRUM waste to WIPP. The following subsections describe the TRUM waste flow path. WIPP compliant containers include 55 gal drums and WIPP SWBs.

4.2.1 Processing Approach for Small Container of CH-TRUM Waste

DOE has the capability to repackage small containers of TRUM waste into WIPP certifiable containers onsite at T Plant and WRAP as described in the following subsections, and has a contract with the offsite commercial facility, Perma-Fix Northwest, to perform repackaging of CH-TRUM waste. For TRUM waste, small containers are defined as 55 gal drums or SWBs.

4.2.1.1 T Plant Processing

DOE can utilize the T Plant canyon for treating (e.g., pH neutralization, liquid absorption, and macro-encapsulation), venting, sampling, and repackaging waste. T Plant utilizes modular enclosure structures for TRUM waste sorting, processing, and volume reduction. These activities are performed in glove bags inside the enclosure structures to control the spread of contamination (see Figure 4-4).

T Plant has the capability to repackage 55 and 85 gal containers of CH-TRUM waste. T Plant modular enclosure systems have TRUM waste processing limitations (i.e., plutonium quantities, weight, and sharp items). The 2706-T Facility activities include staging, verifying, treating, venting, sampling, and storing CH waste.



	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30
CH-TRUM (sm)	0	0	0	0	481	481	1,307												
CH-TRUM (lg) & RH-TRUM	0	0	0	0	0	172	0	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	580	580
Remaining TRUM to be Certified	9,396	9,396	9,396	9,396	8,915	8,262	6,955	6,375	5,796	5,216	4,637	4,057	3,478	2,898	2,318	1,739	1,159	580	0

* See Appendix D, Table D-3, for the data source, analytical basis, and underlying assumptions used in the development of this chart.

Figure 4-1. Certifiable Volume Projections of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM Waste (M-091-44 and M-091-46)



	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31
M-091 CH-TRUM (sm) shipped	0	0	0	0	474	948	948	948												
M-091 CH-TRUM (lg) & RH-TRUM shipped	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	253	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	88
Remaining Volume to be Shipped	7,193	7,193	7,193	7,193	6,719	5,771	4,824	3,876	3,623	3,270	2,916	2,563	2,209	1,856	1,502	1,149	795	442	88	0

* See Appendix D, Table D-3, for the data source, analytical basis, and underlying assumptions used in the development of this chart.

Figure 4-2. Projection of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM Waste Shipments to WIPP (M-091-44 and M-091-46)



Figure 4-3. Simplified TRUM Waste Flow Path (Typical)

This page intentionally left blank.



Enclosure structure located in T Plant canyon.

Repackaging of TRUM waste inside a glove bag that is located in the enclosure structure.

Figure 4-4. Repackaging of TRUM Waste at T Plant

4.2.1.2 WRAP Characterization and Processing

DOE has the capability to characterize and process TRUM waste in the 2336W Building, which is the main WRAP building, with limited waste characterization performed in the 2404-WC Building. Characterization and processing capabilities include x-raying and assaying containers, repackaging waste treatment, sampling headspace gas and flammable gas, and drum venting.

Waste is characterized and examined using radiography to identify prohibited items and assayed to determine radionuclide to identify whether the waste is TRUM or MLLW. WRAP assay unit is sized for 55-gal and 85-gal drums. A mobile trailer houses the super high efficiency neutron coincidence (SuperHENC) assay unit for performing assay of SWBs along with 55-gal and 85-gal drums. WRAP has also acquired a high energy real-time radiography (HERTR) unit that uses x-ray technology that can penetrate concrete-lined containers and dense materials. Headspace gas and flammable gas sampling can also be performed in the 2336W and 2404-WC Buildings.

WRAP has the capability to repackage 55 gal drums of CH-TRUM waste, with limited capabilities to process 85 gal over packs containing internal packages that potentially have integrity issues. Repackaging of CH-TRUM waste is performed in glove boxes to protect workers from exposure to potentially radioactive materials (see Figure 4-5). Drum venting is performed at WRAP.

WRAP treatment capabilities include amalgamation of mercury, neutralization for acidity or alkalinity, solidification of free liquids, and limited macro-encapsulation.

4.2.2 Processing Approach for CH-TRUM (Large Container) and RH-TRUM (Non-Caisson) Waste

Currently, the capability to process large containers of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste does not exist on the Hanford Site. As of December 12, 2012, 728 m^3 of large container CH-TRUM waste was repackaged into certifiable containers at Perma-Fix Northwest, located in Richland, Washington (see Figure 4-6). Commercial capabilities are available to process containers with low grams of plutonium of CH-TRUM waste and low radiological ~~dose~~activity ~~rate~~ RH-TRUM waste. For TRUM waste, a large container is defined as any container that is not a 55 gal drum or SWB. Onsite and offsite transportation of waste is discussed in Section B1.8.

For the large containers of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste that cannot be processed using commercial capabilities, a new capability will be acquired to repackage the remaining portion of these waste containers. Onsite and offsite transportation of waste is discussed in Section B1.8. The project schedule dates for acquiring this capability is provided in Table 4-1. At this time it is assumed that other TRU waste generated during Hanford Cleanup activities (e.g., 618-10/11, PFP) will be compliantly packaged at the point-of-generation. If at the time of conceptual design this is not the case, the scope of the new capability or the time to use the new capability may be expanded to accommodate the repackaging of other TRU waste beyond M-091 scope.

4.2.3 Processing Approach for RH-TRUM (Caisson) Waste

Current onsite capabilities are not adequate to repackage the alpha caisson waste into WIPP certifiable containers (see Section 2.3 for description of waste containers). As a result, a new capability is being acquired through the Alpha Caisson Waste Processing Project that will perform the required processing and packaging of the waste to generate WIPP certifiable containers. These certifiable containers will be shipped to CWC for storage while awaiting final certification by CCP and loading/shipping ~~into the RH-72B shipping cask for transfer~~ to WIPP. During conceptual design the potential to integrate the processing of RH-TRUM waste retrieved from the alpha caissons and the future capability to treat non-caisson RH-TRUM waste (see Section 4.2.2) will be explored.

Outside a glovebox at WRAP that is used to repackage of TRUM waste.

Repackaging of TRUM waste inside a WRAP glovebox.

Figure 4-5. Repackaging of TRUM Waste at WRAP

Figure 4-6. Repackaging of TRUM Waste at Perma-Fix Northwest

The project schedule for acquiring the RH-TRUM waste from the alpha caissons is provided in Table 4-1.

4.3 Shipments of TRUM Waste to WIPP

The following subsections describe the certification program for shipment of TRUM waste to WIPP for disposal.

4.3.1 CCP Certification Program

The DOE Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) is responsible for characterization, certification, and shipment of the TRU waste to WIPP for disposal or to AMWTP through CCP. The flow path presented in Figure 4-3 shows the activities under CCP's responsibility. These activities at Hanford have been suspended until 2017 when funding is expected to become available.

To support DOE in the packaging and disposal of TRU wastes, CCP provides characterization services in accordance with the 2010 *Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Facility Permit, Attachment C, Waste Analysis Plan* (NM4890139088-TSDF), and the *Transuranic Waste Acceptance Criteria for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant* (DOE/WIPP-02-3122). In addition, CCP provides intersite certification and transportation for containers to be transported to AMWTP.

The waste acceptance criteria applicable to the transportation, storage, and disposal of CH-TRU and RH-TRU waste at WIPP are defined in DOE/WIPP-02-3122. These criteria serve as DOE instructions for

Table 4-1. TRUM Waste Project Schedule Date

M-091 Milestone	Activity	Scheduled Completion Date
M-091-01A	Complete conceptual design of alpha caisson processing capability.	9/30/2016
	Complete conceptual design of large container CH-TRUM waste and RH-TRUM waste repackage capability.	
	Complete conceptual design of RH-72B cask loading capability.	
M-091-01B	Complete definitive design of alpha caisson processing capability.	9/30/2018
	Complete definitive design of large container CH-TRUM waste and RH-TRUM waste repackage capability.	
	Complete definitive design of RH-72B cask loading capability.	
-	Complete construction of alpha caisson processing capability.	9/30/2020
	Complete construction of large container CH-TRUM waste and RH-TRUM waste repackage capability.	
	Complete construction of RH-72B cask loading capability.	
M-091-44	Complete the ~~certification and shipment~~ treatment of large containers CH-TRUM waste and RH-TRUM waste ~~to WIPP~~.	12/31/2030

ensuring that CH-TRU and RH-TRU waste are managed and disposed of in a manner that protects human health and safety and the environment.

4.3.2 CH-TRUM Waste Shipments to WIPP

At WRAP, DOE has the capability to load drums and SWBs of CH-TRUM waste into TRUPACT-II containers that are shipped to WIPP. Each stainless steel TRUPACT-II (see Figure 4-7) is approximately 2.4 m (8 ft) in diameter, 3 m (10 ft) high and constructed with leak-tight inner and outer containment vessels. TRUPACT-II can hold up to fourteen 55 gal waste drums, or two SWBs. The TRUPACT-II containers are typically shipped three at a time to WIPP (see Figure 4-8).

4.3.3 RH-TRU Waste Shipments to WIPP

DOE currently does not have the capability onsite that is necessary to load and ship the RH-TRUM waste to WIPP. To facilitate the shipment of RH-TRU waste to WIPP for disposal, ~~DOE~~ CCP will ~~acquire~~ provide the capability to load and ship RH-TRUM waste to WIPP.~~onsite RH-72B canister loading and cask loading capability. The facility will have the capability to load 30 and 55-gal drums into a canister (up to three 55-gal drums per canister). The canister is loaded into a RH-72B cask (Figure 4-9) for shipment to WIPP.~~

~~The project schedule for acquiring the RH-72B cask loading capability is provided in Table 4-1.~~

Figure 4-7. Loading a TRUPACT-II with TRUM Waste Drums at WRAP

Figure 4-8. TRUPACT-II Shipment of TRUM Waste to WIPP

~~Figure 4-9. RH-72 Cask Used to Ship RH-TRUM Waste to WIPP~~

This page intentionally left blank.

5 No-Path-Forward Waste ~~(M-091-03D-02)~~

Several miscellaneous containers of MLLW have characteristics that are impediments to the identification of a disposal path. To address these container, Milestone M-091-03D (completed in 2011) required a disposition plan be established for these no-path-forward waste that is included in this PMP. These wastes either exceed U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) shipping requirements or exceed offsite commercial facility acceptance requirements, and/or LDR treatment technology is not available. Considerable progress has been made in recent years in the treatment of these types of waste once thought to have no-path-forward.

Table 5-1 lists the ~~32~~ 30 containers of MLLW that have been identified as no-path-forward waste as of April 1, 2013. These containers are grouped into six waste streams, and a disposition plan and schedule for each waste stream have been identified. The disposition paths for the no-path-forward waste include pretreatment of the waste onsite then ship to an offsite commercial facility for LDR treatment, and site-specific LDR treatment variance. The majority of this waste is expected to be dispositioned by FY 2016.

This page intentionally left blank.

Table 5-1. No-Path-Forward Waste as of April 1, 2013

Waste Stream	Treatability Group	Container Type	Volume (m^3)	Treatment Problem	Disposition Path Description	Disposition Schedule
Onsite Treatment Required	MLLW-04	2 drums	0.6	High radiological ~~dose~~activity ~~rate~~ debris and/or high Curie content that exceeds DOT shipping requirements and/or offsite commercial facility acceptance requirements.	The waste containers will be examined by non-destructive evaluation (NDE) to confirm waste contents and that they have less than 10 percent void space, and then macroencapsulated at one of the Hanford Site dangerous waste management "treatment" units. ~~to determine if they meet the less than 10 percent void space, absorb any free liquids with Washington State approved absorbents, and then macro-encapsulated in the MWTs.~~	Treat by 9/30/2016.
	MLLW-07	9 drums	2.0			
~~High Inorganic Mercury~~	~~MLLW-02~~	~~2 drums~~	~~0.4~~	~~The LDR treatment requirement for this waste is specified as "RMERG" (as defined in 40 CFR 268.42). No commercial facility has been located yet that can accept the waste for treatment per the specified treatment technology.~~	~~Work with offsite commercial treatment facilities to determine if they can treat to the LDR treatment standard. If no capability is identified, then a site-specific LDR Treatment variance will be requested from Ecology for stabilizing the waste to meet the RCRA Universal Treatment Standard requirements.~~	~~If offsite commercial capability can be identified to treat this waste, the waste will be shipped to the facility in FY 2011 and completed in FY 2012. If by a treatment variance is required, treat by 9/30/2016 provided the treatment variance is approved by 9/30/2015.~~
Onsite Repack then Offsite Treatment	MLLW-04	3 drums	1.0	This waste stream consists of liquid containing waste containers that currently do not meet DOT shipping requirements. However, if the liquids were absorbed, the waste then could be shipped to a commercial facility for treatment.	Transfer the waste containers to either T Plant or WRAP for liquid absorption, then ship offsite to a commercial facility for final treatment.	Treat by 9/30/2016.
	MLLW-07	7 drums	2.0			
High Uranium	MLLW-07	1 drum	0.2	The waste must be treated for chromium (D007) prior to disposal. However, ~~The uranium content exceeds DOT shipping requirements and offsite commercial facility acceptance requirements~~the high uranium content prohibits the waste from being shipped offsite for treatment and exceeds the commercial facility acceptance criteria.	Submit a site-specific LDR Treatment variance to Ecology to allow use of macro-encapsulation of the waste in lieu of chromium (D007) stabilization. Macroencapsulation would take place at one of the Hanford Site dangerous waste management "treatment" units ~~(chromium) characteristic associated with the waste~~.	Treat by 9/30/2016 provided the treatment variance is approved by 9/30/2015.
325 Building Hot Cell Debris	MLLW-07	7 drums	1.5	High radiological ~~dose~~activity ~~rate~~ debris in shielded waste drums. Waste containers do not meet DOT shipping requirements and contain too high of radiological inventory for acceptance at offsite commercial treatment facilities.	The waste containers will be examined by non-destructive evaluation (NDE) to confirm waste contents and that they have less than 10 percent void space, and then macroencapsulated at one of the Hanford Site dangerous waste management "toreatment" units. ~~Confirm the drums meet the less than 10 percent void space, place into a high integrity container located in MWTs. The high integrity container meets macro-encapsulation requirements when sealed.~~	Treat by 9/30/2016.
Oversize Package	MLLW-07	1 box (12 ft × 12 ft, 8 in. × 9 ft)	38.4	This waste container was retrieved from the 218-W-3A LLBG and is too high for acceptance at Perma-Fix Northwest.	Repackage the container into smaller containers using the future onsite capability to repackage large containers of TRUM waste (see Section 4.2.2) and then ship the waste to an offsite commercial facility for treatment.	The schedule for processing this waste is dependent on future onsite capability and will be established as part of the change package required under M-091-44T.
		TOTAL	46			

This page intentionally left blank.

6 Storage Capacity

CWC, T Plant, WRAP, and LLBGs provide storage of containers managed under the M-091 Milestone series. Table 6-1 lists the permitted storage capacities as stated in the applicable Part A permit. The design storage capacities are much larger. The maximum volume of waste that would require storage at one time is projected to be 14,000 m^3 with potentially an additional 3,000 m3 from CERCLA cleanup activities (see Chapter 7). With a permitted storage capacity of 33,729 m^3, the need for additional storage capacity is not expected. As the out year schedule for the management of waste containers is refined, the impact on storage capacity will be reevaluated.

Table 6-1. Facility Permitted Storage Capacity

Facility	Operating Unit	Permitted Capacity (m^3)[1]
CWC	WA 89000 8967, Part III, Operating Unit 6, Revision 8, October 1, 2008	20,796
T Plant	WA 89000 8967, Part III, Operating Unit 9, Revision 12, October 1, 2008	946
WRAP	WA 89000 8967, Part III, Operating Unit 7, Revision 6, October 1, 2008	1,987
LLBG	WA 89000 8967, Part III, Operating Unit 17, Revision 14, October 1, 2008	10,000
	Total	**33,729**

[1] The permitted storage capacity is based on the latest Ecology-approved Part A capacity for the operating unit. It is recognized that DOE and Regulator agreements may change this in the future.

The following assumptions were used to determine the adequacy of the current storage capacity:

- TRUM waste will remain in aboveground storage (as of June 30, 2009) until the waste is treated/processed and shipped to WIPP.
- RSW will be designated and stored at CWC awaiting treatment/processing.
- After treatment/processing, TRUM waste will be stored at CWC and WRAP awaiting final characterization, certification, and shipment to WIPP.

6.1 CWC Storage

The CWC, located in the 200 West Area, provides storage for mixed waste. The following waste management activities are associated with storage:

- Loading and unloading of containers for shipments
- Transferring containers from one building or storage area to another area
- Relocating a container from storage for treatment
- Performing required facility, equipment, and container inspections

The storage areas provide space for various sizes of waste containers. Storage structures with physical features that provide for segregated storage areas are operated to maintain appropriate separation between containers of incompatible waste (incompatibility is defined in WAC 173-303-040).

Secondary containment has been incorporated into the design of the Flammable and Alkali Waste Storage Modules, the 2401-W Building, the 2404-WA Building and the 2402-Series and 2403-Series Buildings. Any waste containers that are to be stored outside of the storage buildings and modules requiring secondary containment will be stored over spill containment pallets or equivalent devices meeting the requirements of WAC 173-303-630(7), "**Dangerous Waste Regulations,**" "Use and Management of Containers." Liquid incompatible wastes will be segregated within these outside storage areas by separating the containers of incompatible waste on portable spill containment pallets, or equivalent devices meeting the requirements of WAC 173-303-630(9).

6.2 T Plant Storage

T Plant storage structures and areas use a variety of engineered and administrative controls to provide segregation of and maintain appropriate separation between incompatible wastes. Storage of dangerous and/or mixed waste in various sized containers could take place in the 221-T canyon, 221-T railroad tunnel, 2706-T, 214-T storage building, other support structures and storage areas, or outdoor storage areas located within the boundaries of T Plant.

The storage and storage/treatment areas provide space for the management and storage of various sizes of waste containers. Storage structures with physical features that provide for segregated storage areas are operated and maintain appropriate separation between containers of incompatible waste (incompatibility is defined in WAC 173-303-040). Liquid incompatible wastes will be segregated within outside storage areas by separating the containers of incompatible waste on portable spill containment pallets, or equivalent devices meeting the requirements of WAC 173-303-630(7),(9). The management of the containers is consistent with and performed in accordance with T Plant procedures and controls.

6.3 WRAP Storage

The 2336W Building is the main WRAP building and divided into administrative, shipping and receiving, waste characterization, and processing areas. Storage of mixed waste occurs in the shipping and receiving area, characterization area, Room 152 of the administrative area, and the process area. Two large container storage buildings are part of WRAP (2404-WB, 2404-WC). The storage capacity at WRAP also includes outdoor storage that is intended to facilitate the WRAP waste management activities such as the loading and unloading of containers for shipment, transferring containers from one building to another area or TSD unit, or relocating a container for storage awaiting treatment or characterization.

These storage/treatment areas provide space for the management and storage of various sizes of waste containers. Storage structures and areas are operated to maintain appropriate separation between containers of incompatible waste (incompatibility is defined in WAC 173-303-040). Waste containers holding a dangerous waste that is incompatible with any waste or other materials stored nearby will be separated from the other materials or protected from them by means of portable spill containment pallets or equivalent devices meeting the requirement of WAC 173-303-630(7),(9).

6.4 LLBG Storage

7 The current MWTs (218-W-5 LLBG, Trenches 31 and 34) Part A (dated October 1, 2008) provides storage for various sized containers of mixed waste. It is recognized that DOE and Regulator agreements may change this in the future.

87 TRU and TRUM Waste Generated from CERCLA Cleanup Actions

A goal of DOE, Ecology, and EPA is to integrate the Hanford Site cleanup activities to the extent possible to enable efficient, effective management of waste. The three agencies agreed to integrate the plan for managing TRU and TRUM waste under the CERCLA cleanup actions with the plan to manage similar waste forms under the M-091 Milestone work scope. As a result, this M-091 PMP addresses the acquisition of capabilities necessary to prepare TRU and TRUM waste within the scope of the M-016 Milestone series for the disposal at WIPP. This PMP reflects retrieval decisions, projected waste volumes, and schedules for CERCLA cleanup actions authorized in RODs and action memoranda at the Hanford Site. The remedial actions for all non-tank farm and non-canyon OUs are to be completed by September 30, 2024 per Milestone M-016-00.

Schedules for CERCLA cleanup actions are established through the following CERCLA decision documentation:

1. **Prepare Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS)**. The RI presents data collected during the investigation and other characterization activities (analogous to the RCRA facility investigation). The FS develops and evaluates alternatives for remediation comparable to the RCRA corrective measures study.
2. **Prepare Proposed Plan**. This plan is based on the detailed information contained in the RI/FS reports.
3. **Receive Public Input**. Ecology, EPA, and DOE will solicit input from the Tribal Nations and the public regarding the preferred remedial alternatives, which are described in the Proposed Plan.
4. **Select Preferred Alternative**. Comments received from the Tribal Nations and the public regarding the preferred alternatives will assist Ecology, EPA, and DOE in selecting a final decision on the preferred alternatives that will be taken to clean up the contamination associated with the OUs described in the Proposed Plan.
5. **Prepare Record of Decision (ROD)**. After Ecology, EPA, and DOE consideration of the comments received, a ROD will be issued identifying the final cleanup remedies selected for implementation, including a summary of the responses to comments.
6. **Post-ROD Activities**. The selected remedial alternative is implemented after the final ROD is approved. This stage may involve remedial design and design verification studies, construction, remediation process optimization, and operation and maintenance of the implemented processes (comparable to the RCRA corrective measure implementation stage).

The OUs and facilities that may generate TRU waste are at different stages in the CERCLA decision process.

Table 7-1 summarizes the OUs and/or facilities that will or will not be addressed in this PMP. Those to be included have the potential to generate waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g during CERCLA cleanup actions and are within the scope of the M-016, M-083, and M-085 Milestone series. The groundwater OUs and the tank farm waste management areas (WMAs) are not addressed in this PMP.

Table 7-1. Summary of Operable Units and Facilities

Operable Unit or Facility	Comment
300-FF-2, PFP, 221-U Facility, 100 K Basins, 209E, ~~200-BC-1,~~ 200-PW-1, and 200-PW-6~~, 200-SW-2, 200-WA-1, 200-DV-1, 200-IS-1, 200-EA-1, 200-CP-1 (including the PUREX Tunnels #1 and #2), 224B, 209E, and 200-CR-1~~	• Potential waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g is generated during cleanup/closure actions at these OUs and facilities. • Approved CERCLA cleanup actions under RODS or Action Memorandas. • Addressed in this PMP (see Sections &.1 and 7.2)~~Table 7-2~~).
200-BC-1, 200-SW-2, 200-WA-1, 200-DV-1, 200-IS-1, 200-EA-1, 200-CP-1 (including the PUREX Tunnels #1 and #2), 224B, and 200- CR-1	• Potential waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g is generated during cleanup/closure actions at these OUs and facilities. • Future CERCLA cleanup actions. • Only summary presented in this PMP (see Sections 7.3 and 7.4, and Appendix E).
100-DR-1, 100-DR-2, 100-FR-1, 100-FR-2, 100-NR-1, 100-IU-2, 100-IU-6, 100-KR-1, 100-KR-2, 100-HR-1, 100-HR-2, 200-CW-1, 200-CW-3, 200-CW-5, 200-PW-3, and 200-CB-1	• No waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g is expected to be generated during CERCLA cleanup actions at these OUs. • Not addressed in this PMP.
200-BP-5, 200-PO-1, 100-NR-2, 100-FR-3, 100-KR-4, 100-HR-3, and 100-FF-5, 200-UP-1, and 200-ZP-1	• No waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g is expected to be generated during CERCLA cleanup actions at these groundwater OUs. • Not addressed in this PMP.
WMA Series	• Tank farm WMAs are covered under the M-045 Milestone series. • Not addressed in this PMP.

~~8.1~~7.1 Status of Approved CERCLA Cleanup Actions Generating TRU and TRUM Waste

DOE is currently implementing several major CERCLA cleanup actions on the Hanford Site in accordance with approved RODs and Action Memorandas that have or are projected to generate TRU or TRUM waste. Table 7-2 presents the forecast volumes of these cleanup actions that were provided from the projects in HNF-EP-0918, *Solid Waste Integrated Forecast (SWIFT) FY2013—FY2050* and represents a forecast subject to time changes. The following subsections discuss these cleanup actions.

~~8.1.1~~7.1.1 Plutonium Finishing Plant

The Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) represented the end of the line associated with plutonium production at Hanford. The PFP is a complex consisting of multiple buildings. Ultimately, DOE will decontaminate and demolish all of these structures as Hanford Site cleanup continues. The long-term goal for PFP is to bring it down to slab-on-grade, which means that the buildings are all to be decontaminated and demolished, debris will removed, and only concrete floors of the various structures will be left. DOE is performing the PFP decontamination and decommissioning in accordance with DOE/RL-2005-13,

Action Memorandum for the Plutonium Finishing Plant Above-Grade Structures Non-Time Critical Removal Action.

Removal of plutonium-contaminated process equipment continued as a top priority in readying the PFP Complex for demolition, with a particular focus on removal of glove boxes and associated piping and ductwork. TRU waste continues to be transferred from PFP to WRAP/CWC for certification and shipment to WIPP. DOE is utilizing existing capabilities to disposition the TRU waste generated during the slab-on-grade activities. DOE implemented the use of standard large box-2 (SLB-2) containers that

Table 7-2. TRU and TRUM Waste Forecast from CERCLA Cleanup Actions

Generator	FY 2013		FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2017		FY 2018		Total	
	CH	RH	CH	RH	CH	RH	CH	RH	CH	RH	CH	RH	CH	RH
PFP[a]	876		1,023	10	315	13	160						2,374	23
100 K[a]				110		50							0	160
618-10/11[a]	73		25		69	612		35		35			167	682
200-PW-1, 200-PW-6 OUs													2,340[b]	

a. Projected volumes, in m^3, are from HNF-EP-0918, *Solid Waste Integrated Forecast (SWIFT) FY 2013-2050*, and the internal volume of the container is used.

b. Preliminary volume, in m^3, based on DOE/RL-2009-117, *Proposed Plan for the Remediation of the 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 Operable Units*, and ROD.

allows glove boxes and miscellaneous debris (e.g., piping, ductwork) to be removed with limited size reduction and packaged in a WIPP compliant container. It is expected that the remaining waste will be packaged in WIPP certifiable containers at the point-of-generation and no new capabilities will be required.

~~8.1.2~~7.1.2 100 K Basin

The K Basin Interim Remedial Action ROD Amendment indicates that the sludge will be treated, packaged for disposal, interim stored pending shipment, and shipped to a national repository for disposal. Sludge from the 105-KW Basin originated primarily from the 105-KE Basin floor and pits, fuel canisters, and fuel washing. DOE plans to package the sludge into transport casks, transfer them to T Plant, and place them into interim storage until a new treatment and packaging facility is available. The K Basin Remediation is being performed in accordance with *Amendment to the Interim Remedial Action Record of Decision for the 100 K Area K Basins* (EPA, 2005).

DOE has completed the technology evaluation report and has selected warm water oxidation as the technical baseline for sludge treatment with size reduction and Fenton's Reagent processes as potential enhancements. DOE has begun a Treatment and Packaging Siting study. One of the first activities will be establishment of the siting criteria to be utilized for the overall siting study. Current efforts are reviewing the approach being taken by the project; the scope of the siting study; and the decision process being used. The design of the treatment and packaging system is not mature enough to determine whether the solidification and packaging system could be used for packaging of other RH-TRU(M) sludge (e.g., U Plant Tank D-10 contents).

During K Basin cleanup, an estimated 10 m^3 filter media (sand, garnet) with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g may also be generated.

~~8.1.3~~7.1.3 209E Critical Mass Laboratory

DOE used the 209E Critical Mass Laboratory from 1961 through 1983. The radioactive nature of the work that was done in this building has resulted in some parts of the building becoming contaminated. It was designed to provide a heavily shielded reactor room where quantities of plutonium and uranium in solution could be brought to near critical configurations under carefully controlled and monitored

conditions. DOE completed the CERCLA cleanup actions at the 209E Building in accordance with *Action Memorandum for Decontamination, Deactivation, Decommissioning, and Demolition (D4) Activities for 200 East Area Tier 2 Buildings/Structures* (DOE/RL-2010-102) and the *Removal Action Work plan for the 209E Critical Mass Laboratory* (DOE/RL-2011-10).

The 209E Building has been demolished to slab-on-grade, and underground tanks/equipment containing TRU waste were excavated and removed. The TRU waste was sized reduced and packaged into WIPP certifiable containers at Perma-Fix Northwest. The WIPP compliant containers are being stored at CWC for the interim prior to shipment to WIPP.

~~8.1.4~~7.1.4 U Plant

TRUM waste generated during the CERCLA cleanup actions at U Plant is a tank heel. During FY 2011, DOE removed Tank D-10, located in Cell 30 of the 221-U Facility, from the canyon and transferred it to CWC for interim storage until capability is available to repackage the waste in a WIPP certifiable container, as described in DOE/RL-2010-106, *90% Design Remedial Design Report Addenda for the Disposition of Tank D-10 from Cell 30 within the 221-U Plant Canyon Facility*. The tank heel contains approximately 500 gal of solid and liquid that has been designated as RH-TRUM waste. U Plant decontamination and decommissioning is being performed in accordance with the *Record of Decision 221-U Facility (Canyon Disposition Initiative) Hanford Site, Washington* (Ecology et al., 2005).

DOE will disposition the Tank D-10 heel at the future large package/RH capability. There is a possibility that the tank heel could be dispositioned at the same future facility used to disposition the K Basin sludge; however, design of this treatment and packaging system is not mature enough to determine whether the solidification and packaging system could be used for packaging of other RH-TRUM sludge.

~~8.1.5~~7.1.5 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds (300-FF-2)

Two of the most challenging CERCLA cleanup actions at the Hanford Site will be the 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds that are part of the 300-FF-2 OU. Incomplete operational records and history associated with past waste disposal practices of the 300 Area waste streams complicate these actions. The burial grounds contain waste that was generated by the 300 Area of the Hanford Site that was used for developing and manufacturing reactor fuel and conducting laboratory research during Hanford's plutonium production mission.

TRU wastes were disposed in trenches, as well as vertical pipe units and caissons. The vertical pipe units were constructed by welding three to five bottomless drums together and buried vertically about 3 m (10 ft) apart. The caissons were constructed of galvanized corrugated metal pipe (10 ft high, 8 ft diameter) and buried approximately 15 ft underground. DOE is performing the 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Ground remediation in accordance with *Record of Decision for Remedial Actions in the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit* (Ecology et al., 2001).

DOE has begun remediation of the 618-10 and 618-11 Burial Grounds. Equipment at WRAP will be utilized for the characterization of the waste containers removed from the 618-10 Burial Ground. Initially, the WRAP high energy x-ray equipment can be used to penetrate the approximately 100 concrete lined drums being removed to determine whether liquids are present. Existing WRAP procedures will be used to compliantly manage the drums at WRAP. DOE has also begun conducting demonstrations of the vertical pipe unit remediation and is exploring options for removing the caissons, which will present more of a challenge. The TRU waste will be sent to CWC for interim storage prior to disposition at WIPP. The expectation is that the waste coming out of the caissons will be RH-TRU waste. DOE will continue to explore integration of TRU waste disposition activities.

DOE has a milestone to cleanup both burial grounds by the end of FY 2018.

~~8.1.6~~7.1.6 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 OUs

The ROD for the 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 OUs was signed by the Tri-Parties in October of 2011. The selected remedy of these OUs addresses soils and subsurface disposal structures, two settling tanks, and associated pipelines contaminated primarily with plutonium and cesium. The amount of waste disposed is a limiting factor since the plutonium waste generated at 200-PW-1 and 200-PW-6 waste sites are expected to include TRU waste that will be disposed at WIPP that has limited capacity.

From 1943 to 1990, the primary mission of the Hanford Site was the production of nuclear materials for national defense. Operations at the Hanford Site included nuclear fuel manufacturing, reactor operations, fuel reprocessing, chemical separation, plutonium and uranium recovery, processing of fission products, and waste partitioning. Large volumes of liquid wastes were generated from the processing of plutonium at various facilities in the 200 Area. This process wastewater was discharged to waste sites in the 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 OUs. The processes were intended to recover as much plutonium as possible prior to discharge of the waste liquids, but the waste streams still contained low levels of plutonium and other contaminants. Cooling water and steam condensate were discharged to the 200-CW-5 OU waste sites. The cooling waste and steam condensate systems were designed to isolate those systems from potential contamination sources, but occasionally became contaminated because of minor leaks due to corrosion pinholes or cracks and process upsets. The liquid waste that contained low levels of plutonium and other contaminants discharged to the waste sites in these OUs infiltrated into the ground and contaminated the underlying soil. Over time, this facilitated the accumulation of contaminates to form localized areas of concentrated contaminants.

Removal, treatment (as needed) and disposal (RTD) of soil and debris to the specified depths or specified cleanup levels will be used to address plutonium contaminated soils and subsurface structures and debris. This consists of: (1) removing a portion of the contaminated soil, structures, and debris; (2) treating these removed wastes as required to meet disposal requirements at ERDF, or waste acceptance criteria for offsite disposal at WIPP, and (3) disposal at ERDF or WIPP. The selected pipelines associated with these OUs will also be excavated and disposal at ERDF. Cleanup levels have been selected which are protective of groundwater and the current and reasonably expected future industrial land use.

- Three of the six 200-PW-1 waste sites (216-Z-1A, 216-Z-9, 216-Z-18), also known as the High-Salt Waste Group, will use the RTD approach to excavate contaminated soils and debris located to a minimum of 2 feet below the bottom of the disposal structure, with disposal at ERDF or WIPP, as appropriate. After the excavations are filled, an evapotranspiration barrier will be constructed over the remaining waste in these waste sites.
- The 200-PW-6 and three of the six 200-PW-1 waste sites (216-Z-5, 216-Z-1&2, 216-Z-3, 216-Z-12), also known as the Low-Salt Waste Group, will use the RTD approach to excavate contaminated soils and debris to a depth of 22 ft to 33 ft below ground surface, with disposal at ERDF or WIPP, as appropriate. After excavations are filled, an evapotranspiration barrier will be constructed over the remaining waste in these waste sites.

Conceptually, the RTD approach consists of the following steps: (1) remove and stockpile clean overburden for use in backfilling; (2) remove contaminated soils and debris using conventional excavation technology and place in waste containers; (3) dispose waste at ERDF or WIPP; (4) backfill excavation with clean fill and compact, and; (5) construct an evapotranspiration barrier as necessary and replant surface with native vegetation.

The 241-Z-361 Settling Tank is an underground, reinforced-concrete structure with a 0.95 cm (3/8 in) steel liner. The tank has inside dimensions of 7.9 m (26 ft) long and 4 m (13 ft) wide. The bottom slopes, resulting in an internal height variation between 5.2 to 5.5 m (17 to 18 ft). The top of the tank is 0.6 m (2 ft) below grade. The tank served as the primary solids settling tank for low-salt liquid from PFP from 1949 to 1973, then taken out of service in May of 1973 when discharge of contaminated waste streams to the ground from the PFP was discontinued as a matter of policy. All available information indicates that the settling has not leaked.

The 241-Z-8 Settling Tank is a cylindrical tank that is 12.1 m (40 ft) long and 2.4 m (8 ft) in diameter. It is constructed of steel or wrought iron plate, and oriented horizontally at about 1.8 m (6 ft) below grade. The tank was in service from 1955 to 1962, receiving pH neutral effluent waste from back flushes of the PFP feed filters.

The sludge removal and tank stabilization of the two settling tanks require:

- Removal of sludge from the tanks.
- Packaging of the sludge to meet waste disposal criteria for disposal at WIPP.
- Screening of waste in container to confirm it meets the requirements for disposal at WIPP. Waste in containers that does not meet WIPP disposal criteria will be treated if necessary and sent to ERDF for disposal.
- Verification of removal of tank contents prior to grouting will be conducted in accordance with the RD/RA work plan.
- Grouting of empty tanks with a suitable fill material to remove the potential of collapse. Tanks will remain in place.

In addition, remediation of the tanks will be conducted to satisfy substantive requirements for closure of dangerous waste tanks.

Associated pipelines covered under the 200-PW-1 and 200-PW-6 OUs are expected to be LLW and will be shipped to ERDF for disposal. The pipelines are constructed of various materials, primarily stainless steel or vitrified clay.

An estimated 2,200 m^3 of TRU soil/rock/gravel waste is anticipated to be generated during the RTD of these OUs and an estimated 140 m^3 of TRU sludge from the two settling tanks. It is expected that any TRU waste generated during the remediation of the 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 OUs will be packaged in WIPP certifiable containers at the point-of-generation and no new capabilities will be required. Remedial actions for the 200-PW-1 and 200-PW-6 OUs are expected to be completed by September 30, 2024 under TPA milestone M-016-00.

~~8.2~~7.2 CERCLA TRU and TRUM Shipments to WIPP

Figure 7-1 presents a summary of the volume of CERCLA TRU/ TRUM waste projected to be shipped to WIPP. The projected annual shipping rate of the CERCLA waste is based on available inventory and annual shipping capacity (see Appendix D~~, Table 6-7~~). The total volume is based on approximately 690 m3 currently in above ground storage, primarily from PFP and 300 Area cleanup activities, plus the waste forecast given in Table 7-2. At this time no impacts to the M-091 work scope is anticipated as a result of certification and shipment of CERCLA TRU/TRUM waste to WIPP. Potential impacts are evaluated as waste volume projections are updated.



	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	FY30	FY31
CERCLA Scope	0	0	0	406	812	812	812	539	0	0	0	0	1,507	832	0	0	0	0	75
M-091 Scope	0	0	0	474	948	948	948	253	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	353	88

* See Appendix D, Table D-4, for the data source, analytical basis, and underlying assumption used in the development of this chart.

Figure 7-1. Projection of CH-TRU/TRUM and RH-TRU/TRUM Waste Shipments to WIPP under CERCLA Work Scope

~~8.3~~7.3 Status of Future CERCLA Cleanup Decisions with the Potential to Generate TRU and TRUM Waste

Table E-1 in Appendix E describes the OUs and facilities with potential to generate waste with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g during CERCLA cleanup actions. To date, no regulatory cleanup decisions have been made for these OUs. A range of plausible alternatives and reasonable upper bound cleanup volumes have been estimated. Completion schedules will be established with the CERCLA remedial action work plans. Table E-1 in Appendix E gives the waste unit name, waste type, estimated volume, and schedule. The volume projections are based on currently available information and will be updated as the CERCLA process for a given OU progresses. The sources of the estimated volumes are referenced in the table.

Although a significant volume of material with TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g has been identified, the majority of the CERCLA decisions have not been made regarding cleanup. This results in a significant level of uncertainty regarding the remedy selection and potential volumes and time of TRU waste generation.

~~8.4~~7.4 Summary of Disposition Approaches per Waste Form

The form of waste with the potential for TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g generated during CERCLA cleanup actions fall into three general categories as follows: (1) soil/gravel/rock, (2) debris, and (3) sludge. The following subsections outline the waste disposition approach of each of these categories.

~~8.4.1~~7.4.1 Soil, Gravel, and Rock

During the CERCLA cleanup actions of contaminated cribs, trenches, and tile fields, an upper bound estimate of 4,170 m^3 of soil/gravel/rock waste could be generated that has a potential to have TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g. This estimated volume is based on current available data and is dependent on the area and depth of soil excavated in accordance with the CERCLA Records of Decision. It is expected that this waste would be packaged in WIPP certifiable containers at the point-of-generation.

Cleanup actions could include: (1) removal and stockpiling of clean overburden for use in backfilling once contaminated area has been removed; (2) removal of contaminated soil/gravel/rock using conventional excavation technology and placement into WIPP certifiable containers (SWB or drums); and (3) assay of containers to determine whether they are TRU waste or LLW/MLLW. The TRU waste containers will be certified by CCP and shipped to WIPP, and the LLW/MLLW containers to ERDF.

1. Remove and stockpile clean overburden for use in backfilling.
2. Remove contaminated solids and debris and place in waste containers.
3. Haul waste containers to assay/screening station and then to ERDF or WIPP for disposal.
4. Backfill excavation with clean fill and compact.
5. Construct ET barrier as necessary and replant surface with native vegetation.

~~8.4.2~~7.4.2 Debris

During the CERCLA cleanup actions of facilities and burial grounds, an upper bound estimate of 28,700 m^3 of contaminated debris waste could be generated that has the potential to have TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g. The majority of debris waste generated during the cleanup actions at facilities would be packaged into WIPP certifiable containers at the point-of-generation.

For debris waste that cannot be packaged into WIPP certifiable containers at the point-of-generation, the future large container CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM capability being acquired under the M-091 scope could also be used to repackage this waste. Yet to be determined, waste in this category could include a portion of the 27,290 m^3 of debris waste potentially removed from the 200-SW-2 landfills. The debris waste from the landfills could also be repackaged at WRAP, T Plant, or commercially as is being done with the RSW.

~~8.4.3~~7.4.3 Sludge

During the CERCLA cleanup actions of facilities, an estimated 170 m^3 of sludge waste could be generated that has a potential to have TRU constituents greater than 100 nCi/g. Typically, sludge removal from tanks would employ a power fluidics system to loosen and homogenize the sludge, and transfer to WIPP certifiable drums or SWBs at the point-of-generation. An absorbent would be added to the SWB to absorb residual liquid and stabilize the sludge. These waste containers would be certified by CCP and shipped to WIPP.

The design of the treatment and packaging system for the K Basin sludge is not mature enough to determine whether the solidification and packaging system could be used for packaging of other TRU sludge.

This page intentionally left blank.

~~9~~8 Project Control Elements

The following sections identify DOE's project control elements for the planning, managing, and reporting performance necessary to complete the M-091 Milestone work scope. These project control elements are consistent with DOE O 413.3B, *Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets*, and related project management activities.

~~9.1~~8.1 Funding Profile and Project Work Breakdown Structure

The funding profile to support activities necessary to complete the M-091 Milestone series is given in Figure 8-1. This funding profile is based on the FY 2013 through FY 2018 Plateau Remediation Contract baseline. Funding for FY 2019 through FY 2031 is based on the Hanford Lifecycle Scope, Schedule and Cost Report, under M-036-01, that reflects all of those actions necessary for the DOE to full meet all applicable environmental obligations including those under the TPA. ~~Funding for FY 2019 through FY 2031 was estimated based on assumptions regarding operations that support achievement of the M-091 Milestone series and is subject to change as planning is refined.~~ The funding profile does not include the funding necessary to support the CERCLA cleanup actions discussed in Chapter 7.

Work that is part of this PMP is broken down into discrete, defined units of scope. DOE uses this breakdown for planning, estimating, and scheduling performance measurement of work. This breakdown, known as the work breakdown structure (WBS) is developed to organize, define, and display work to be performed in completing a project. The specific element numbers and descriptions are as follows.

WBS 013.01 Project Management—This scope includes safety, health, and quality technical support, and oversight is performed to support implementation of key programs such as the Integrated Safety Management System, Corrective Action Management, Occurrence Reporting, and Quality Assurance Program. This scope also includes support management and staff to the overall project to provide waste support services to Hanford Site generators, human relations, buyer/procurement staff, and project controls (e.g., schedulers/cost analysts). Technical support includes environmental and nuclear/criticality safety engineering from centralized organizations to support development and implementation of regulatory permits, safety bases, procedure reviews, hazard analysis generation, and criticality safety evaluation report development.

Strategic planning and integration is another critical scope element that provides onsite interface between DOE contractors and subcontractors to ensure that mission needs are met. Also included in this scope is the maintenance of the transportation and packaging program, in accordance with applicable requirements for onsite and offsite shipments of regulated waste and materials and nonregulated materials.

WBS 013.04 MLLW Treatment—This scope provides for M-091-42 MLLW and M-091-43 MLLW treatment. Processing includes thermal and nonthermal treatment. Activities consist of managing offsite commercial MLLW treatment/disposal contracts, shipping MLLW packages that have been determined to be LDR compliant to the MWTs or ERDF for disposal, and treatment of selected waste containers.

WBS 013.05 TRU Retrieval—This scope provides for retrieval of suspect TRU waste from the LLBG (218-W-4C, 218-W-4B, 218-E-12B, and 218-W-3A). Included is redeployment of the trench face retrieval and characterization system, a new trench face processing system for the retrieval/processing CH-RSW, and the retrieval of the caisson RH-RSW. Retrieval consists of the following activities:

- Removing soil over RSW containers within the trenches
- Removing the RSW containers from the trenches
- Assaying all containers and venting the containers as required

- Designating waste
- Shipping the containers to the appropriate TSD facility
- Sampling of the LLBG trenches

	WBS	SCOPE	LIFECYCLE COST	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021
M-091	013.01	Project Management -PBS RL-13	430,527	12,422	33,202	50,159	62,428	56,947	16,228	15,711	16,025	16,346
	013.04	Mixed Low Level Waste Treatment	25,951	0	0	101	5,235	7,072	5,871	546	562	576
	013.05	TRU Retrieval	217,818	0	0	48,431	51,639	73,662	44,087	0	0	0
	013.06	TRU Repackaging	315,405	0	0	11,311	21,390	56,226	45,553	46,523	48,962	4,036
	013.07	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility (WRAP)	166,201	2,660	2,711	10,031	10,064	10,448	11,339	9,668	8,160	8,160
	013.08	T-Plant	152,069	8,983	13,245	14,583	17,576	18,324	23,728	5,364	5,525	5,691
	013.09	Central Waste Complex	191,413	44,586	26,540	9,115	8,715	9,191	9,505	6,808	5,746	5,746
	013.10	Environmental Restoration Disposal Fac (ERDF)	44,574	37	1,257	2,787	3,360	2,176	680	1,233	1,270	1,308
	013.12	Integrated Disposal Facility	14,028	876	1,260	1,719	1,251	2,593	550	370	381	393
	013.15	TRU Disposition	452,508	0	0	938	3,903	8,154	10,480	18,128	25,000	25,000
	013.21	Mixed Waste Trenches (MWTs)	17,025	623	638	653	660	2,509	4,507	604	510	510
M-091 Subtotal			2,027,519	70,186	78,852	149,830	186,221	247,303	172,527	104,955	112,141	67,765
Other Subtotal			227,132	7,225	7,081	8,959	10,356	10,973	11,427	11,656	11,889	12,127
TOTAL			2,254,651	77,412	85,934	158,789	196,577	258,276	183,954	116,611	124,030	79,891

	WBS	SCOPE	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031
M-091	013.01	Project Management -PBS RL-13	13,796	14,072	14,353	14,640	14,933	15,232	15,536	15,847	16,164	16,487
	013.04	Mixed Low Level Waste Treatment	593	606	628	638	661	681	711	728	741	0
	013.05	TRU Retrieval	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	013.06	TRU Repackaging	8,386	8,507	8,677	8,851	9,028	9,209	9,393	9,581	9,772	0
	013.07	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility (WRAP)	8,490	8,659	8,833	9,009	9,189	9,373	9,561	9,752	9,947	10,146
	013.08	T-Plant	5,861	6,037	6,218	6,405	6,597	6,795	6,999	0	0	0
	013.09	Central Waste Complex	5,978	6,098	6,220	6,344	6,471	6,600	6,732	6,867	7,004	7,144
	013.10	Environmental Restoration Disposal Fac (ERDF)	15,229	1,500	1,545	1,591	1,639	1,688	1,739	1,791	1,845	1,900
	013.12	Integrated Disposal Facility	404	416	429	442	455	469	483	497	512	528
	013.15	TRU Disposition	35,700	36,414	37,142	37,885	38,643	39,416	40,204	41,008	41,828	12,665
	013.21	Mixed Waste Trenches (MWTs)	531	541	552	563	574	586	598	609	622	634
M-091 Subtotal			94,968	82,851	84,597	86,369	88,191	90,049	91,955	86,680	88,435	49,504
Other Subtotal			12,369	12,617	12,869	13,126	13,389	13,657	13,930	14,208	14,492	14,782
TOTAL			107,338	95,468	97,466	99,495	101,580	103,705	105,885	100,889	102,928	64,286

dollars in $000s

* See Appendix D, Table D-5, for the basis of this figure.

Figure 8-1. RL-0013 Annual Funding Profile

WBS 013.06 TRU Repackaging—This scope provides repackaging of TRUM waste at WRAP, T Plant, local commercial facility (i.e., Perma-Fix Northwest), and if necessary, new onsite capability for large container CH-TRUM waste and RH-TRUM waste such that it can be certified to meet the WIPP waste acceptance criteria.

WBS 013.07 WRAP—This scope provides activities for the safe operation of WRAP and maintaining WRAP in a minimum safe condition.

WBS 013.08 T Plant—This scope provides activities for the safe operation of T Plant and maintaining the T Plant in a minimum safe condition.

WBS 013.09 CWC/LLBGs—This scope provides for the safe operation of CWC and maintaining CWC in a ready-to-serve condition and the safe operation of LLBGs.

The LLBGs contain two lined mixed waste trenches (218-W-5 LLBG, Trenches 31 and 34) that are within the boundaries of the LLBGs. Operations and maintenance of these trenches is included in WBS 013.21.

WBS 013.10 ERDF—This scope provides activities to support ERDF expansion, construction of interim covers and long term stewardship (leachate management and monitoring).

WBS 013.12 IDF—This scope provides for a minimum level of required maintenance of the facility prior to initiation of operations and operational startup activities.

WBS 013.15 TRU Disposition—This scope includes support ~~of~~ to CCP certification activities and shipment of TRU waste to WIPP and AMWTP. CCP will be providing the capability to load/ship RH-TRUM waste to WIPP.

WBS 013.21 Mixed Waste Trenches—This scope provides activities for the safe operation of the MWTs and maintaining the MWTs in a ready-to-serve condition.

~~9.2~~8.2 Project Schedule and Critical Path Analysis

Appendix F presents the M-091 Milestone series logic tied lifecycle schedule that is supported by the funding profile presented in Figure 8-1. The following tasks are included on the schedule:

- Acquisition of new capabilities to retrieve the alpha caisson RH-RSW, to treat/process the remaining waste, and to load RH casks for shipment to WIPP. Within DOE, projects typically progress first by performing an alternative study that evaluates and selects a preferred alternative; second, a conceptual design phase, which is an iterative process to define, analyze, and refine project concepts and alternatives; third, a definitive design phase where the design of the project is finalized; fourth, the construction phase; and fifth, the startup phase.
- Annual preparation of the PMP (Milestone M-091-03).
- Retrieval of CH-RSW and RH-RSW (Milestones M-091-40 and M-091-41). Retrieval operations will generate CH and RH wastes in a variety of packages, which feed into the treatment and processing of MLLW and TRUM waste. Delay in retrieval of RSW will cause a delay in subsequent milestones.
- The treatment/processing of CH-MLLW and RH-MLLW (Milestones M-091-42 and M-091-43). Waste for treatment and processing is from the RSW retrieval operations and waste in storage.
- The processing and shipment of CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste (Milestones M-091-44 and M-091-46). Waste for processing is from the RSW retrieval operations and waste in storage.

~~9.3~~8.3 Project Constraints ~~and Risks~~

The following subsections identify constraints and uncertainties associated with the ability to accomplish the M-091 Milestone work scope.

~~9.3.1~~8.3.1 Budget

The schedule of activities presented in this PMP is based on the assumption that funding levels are available as given in Figure 8-1. However, there is a high degree of uncertainty in this funding profile. Given preliminary budget levels and site priorities, work will be curtailed ~~sharply~~ that supports the M-091 Milestone series.

Ecology has proposed the following integrated 5-year priorities for 2010 through 2015 (Letter, Ecology to DOE Richland Operation Office, dated June 1, 2010):

1. Build and prepare to operate the Waste Treatment Plant.
2. Retrieve tanks on the consent decree schedule (10 tanks in C Farm by 2014); including submission of the C Farm Closure permit modification application.
3. Meet groundwater milestones for the River Corridor and Central Plateau.
4. Complete River Corridor cleanup, including soil sites, reactors, K Basins, and 618-10/11 Burial Grounds.
5. Complete PFP cleanup.
6. Complete retrieval, certification, and shipment of TRU by the proposed TPA milestone dates.
7. Complete Outer Central Plateau area soil sites by the proposed dates, including closure of the Solid Waste Landfill and the Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Landfill.
8. Complete remedial investigations and cleanup decisions for Central Plateau soils by the proposed TPA milestone dates.
9. Complete closure of the canyons and demolition of the remaining Central Plateau buildings.

The T Plant and WRAP have been placed into a minimum safe condition. In addition, programs including MLLW treatment and disposal, TRUM waste characterization and shipping, and retrieval of RSW have been temporarily suspended.

This sharp reduction in waste generation (M-091 Milestone work scope and other Hanford cleanup work) will also impact the ability of commercial facilities, which rely heavily on M-091 Milestone feed, to maintain trained and experienced staff. If adequate feed is not available, the vendors may be forced to close operations entirely. With the loss of commercial capability, DOE may be required to develop these capabilities onsite in the future at considerable expense.

Based on current funding levels, there is currently insufficient funding to meet all M-091 milestone obligations on schedule. Focus on the completion of cleanup along the Columbia River Corridor coupled with future funding uncertainty formed the basis for the recently renegotiated milestones. Target (unenforceable) milestones were established for M-091 Milestone work in FY 2012 through FY 2014 and enforceable milestones were agreed to for FY 2015 and FY 2016 as the River Corridor cleanup is completed and funding is made available to refocus on MLLW and TRUM retrieval.

~~9.3.2~~8.3.2 Delay in Retrieval Operations

Retrieval of CH-RSW and RH-RSW supplies the inventory to the MLLW treatment and TRUM waste repackage/shipment milestones. A slip in schedule to these milestones is possible if retrieval is delayed. Once funding is available a recovery schedule will be established.

~~9.3.3~~8.3.3 New Technology Being Acquired

The majority of waste under the scope of the M-091 Milestone series can be managed using existing technologies and processing methods. However, current technologies and processing methods are not adequate to retrieve and process the alpha caisson RH-RSW, process the large container CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM, or load waste into the RH-72B cask for shipment of RH-TRUM waste to WIPP. Additionally, commercial capabilities are being relied upon to support completion of the milestones.

~~9.3.3.1~~8.3.3.1 Retrieval and Processing of RH-RSW in Alpha Caissons

The alpha caissons in the 218-W-4B Burial Ground contain waste containers that have a much higher level of radioactivity than previously retrieved. The mobile hot cell design that is being considered for the retrieval and processing of the alpha caisson waste is based upon expected radiation levels to be encountered as calculated from available waste records. There is a risk that the actual waste containers hold higher quantities of fission material than indicated in the waste records. This could result in modification being required to the designed retrieval and processing modules with corresponding cost and schedule impacts. To reduce the impact, review of all available waste records has been performed. The systems will be designed with the flexibility to handle various containers and number/volume of product (output) containers.

Also during design development, alternate processing paths will be explored to address the worst case scenario through administrative controls and sound operational practices to ensure worker safety. During the development of the design, workers from operations, radiological safety, and nuclear safety organizations will be involved to ensure adequate controls are in place for the worker safety.

Because this technology has not previously been used at Hanford and because the caisson waste has a much higher radiological ~~dose~~ activity than experienced with offsite use of the mobile hot cells, there is a probability that unanticipated startup and implementation problems will be encountered. These problems could impact productivity, with resulting cost and schedule impacts. To mitigate this risk, cold testing and training of mobile hot cells using mock-up caisson to simulate field conditions will be performed.

Another approach being explored is retrieving the alpha caissons intact and shipping to TSD (e.g., T Plant, CWC) for interim storage until capability is available for processing. Further analysis for this approach is needed to evaluate the risks involved, for example, alpha inventory in the facility, high radiological ~~dose~~activity rate waste handling, interfaces between other projects such as K Basin Sludge Treatment Project.

DOE has begun exploring options for the removing the caisson waste in the 618-~~10/~~11 Burial Ground~~s~~. Lessons learned from this activity may be applied to the retrieval of the alpha caissons in the 218-W-4B Burial Ground.

~~9.3.3.2~~8.3.3.2 Repackaging of RH-TRUM Waste

The RH-TRUM waste containers have a much higher level of radioactivity than previously repackaged CH-TRUM waste containers. The new onsite repackaging capability will be designed to remotely remove the waste from the current container, size reduce and sort waste, treat nonconforming items, and package and support certification from CCP to generate a WIPP-compliant container. The majority of the RH-TRUM waste containers will be received in metal and/or concrete over packs. These containers will need

to be opened, and the waste will be repackaged or size reduced into WIPP certifiable containers. The surface radiological ~~dose~~activity rate of the waste can be as high as 50,000 mrem/hr.

Similar to the alpha caisson project, during the development of the design of the RH-TRUM repackaging capability, workers from operations, radiological safety, and nuclear safety organizations will be involved to ensure that adequate controls are in place for worker safety. Because this technology for repackaging and size reducing waste has not previously been used at the Hanford Site and because the RH-TRUM waste has a much higher radiological ~~dose~~activity than experienced with repackaging CH-TRUM waste, there is a probability that unanticipated startup and implementation problems will be encountered. These problems could impact productivity, with resulting cost and schedule impacts. To mitigate this risk, cold testing of and training ~~of~~ on remote equipment using mock-up containers to simulate actual conditions will be performed.

~~9.3.3.3~~8.3.3.3 *RH-TRUM Waste Loading into Canister and RH-72B Cask*

Loading of RH-TRUM waste containers into canisters and RH-72B casks, as discussed in Section 4.3.3, has not been performed on the Hanford Site. However, it is successfully performed at other DOE sites, and those sites will be consulted, during the design of the RH-TRUM waste loading facility at the Hanford Site, to incorporate lessons learned.

~~9.3.4~~8.3.4 Higher Contamination Levels than Expected

There is a risk that RSW retrieval operations are impacted by higher than expected contamination levels, container degradation, or container location. RSW retrieval is moving into the higher risk trenches where waste records may be less complete and waste packaging may be more degraded than encountered to date. Although retrieval planning considers the most likely waste contamination/exposure scenario in developing the retrieval approach, there is a possibility that contamination levels (radiological or chemical) may be greater than expected or that container degradation may be more significant than expected, requiring in-trench overpacking prior to retrieval. There is also a risk that some containers will be buried at depths that require shoring trench boxing during retrieval. These retrieval complexities would result in schedule impacts.

~~9.3.5~~8.3.5 Increase in RSW Volume

There is a risk of RSW retrieval operations encountering waste that is either not identified in records or is comingled with non-RSW due to inaccurate records or soil contamination. Based on inspections of recently excavated waste containers in the trenches and handling the waste at the point-of-generation, the volume of waste to be retrieved is uncertain due to difficulty in identifying the RSW containers in trenches where the waste is not clearly marked. Inability to identify the containers may result in increased volumes of waste to be retrieved before determining that the RSW waste sought has been retrieved. The volumes and characteristics of RSW waste to be processed are based upon existing records. Waste not identified in the records or inaccurate records could result in unexpected waste volumes or characteristics.

~~9.3.6~~8.3.6 Increase in Volume of TRUM Waste to be Shipped to WIPP

Volumes could increase if smaller quantities of waste must be placed into the waste packages to meet the WIPP requirements. Having additional size reduction, as an example, increases the amount of processing time and increases the number of shipment to WIPP. The WIPP acceptance criteria allows for a limited number of waste packages that exceed a surface contact radiological ~~dose~~activity ~~rate~~ of 100 R/hr. Much of the RH-RSW waste that will be generated as part of the alpha caisson retrieval could exceed the 100 R/hr ~~dose~~activity limit. This could result in the need for additional size reduction and separation into separate waste containers or incorporation of shielding into the waste package, thus increasing the total

number of RH-TRUM packages and, therefore, increasing the number and duration of shipments to WIPP.

~~9.3.7~~8.3.7 Final Certification and Shipment

Final certification and shipment of TRUM waste to WIPP is dependent on support from CCP and WIPP. CCP has been contracted by CBFO to characterize and certify ~~that~~ TRU waste that is being packaged at the Hanford Site. Shipments to WIPP are dependent upon a number of factors, including availability to shipping casks, shipping priorities established by CBFO, WIPP approvals of new waste forms, and the availability of CCP resources to certify wastes. These factors could impact the ability to meet planned shipping schedules and cause prolonged storage at CWC.

~~9.4~~8.4 Key Deliverables/Products

Key deliverables/products that will be developed in support of the M-091 work scope include the submittal of annual revisions of this PMP on June 30 every year until the M-091 Milestones are completed. The PMP will include the funding profile, which includes a lifecycle projection of annual funding required to accomplish project scope in accordance with the top-level WBS and schedule (see Figure 8-1). The PMP will detail project objectives, work schedules, expected outputs, integration with other programs and projects, and project management alternatives consistent with established agreement and other project constraints.

~~9.5~~8.5 Performance Measurement

DOE conducts a performance measurement of the M-091 Milestones to provide an objective assessment of work accomplishments and progress against the baseline plan (scope, schedule, and budget) to manage the baseline effectively and to provide data for management decision making and reporting. The project performance is measured by comparing the amount of work planned with actual accomplishments to determine whether cost and schedule performance is as planned. DOE monitors the project performance monthly by comparing the budgeted cost for work performed to the actual cost of work performed.

~~9.6~~8.6 Project Interface Control

DOE controls project interfaces through contract requirements, statements of work, interface control documents, and/or Memoranda of Agreement/Understanding. These documents define the interface and/or service, roles and responsibilities, accountabilities, and authorities.

Interface among the M-091-00 Milestone TRUM waste and MLLW activities and other projects, including waste generating programs for inventory tracking and capacity configuration purposes, is essential for successful project execution. The following waste activities, projects, facilities, and organizations require integration for successful project execution:

- CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company
- Mission Support Alliance, LLC
- Hanford Site waste generators of TRU/TRUM waste
- CCP and WIPP
- MWTs 31 and 34
- WRAP

- T Plant
- CWC
- RSW retrieval
- ERDF
- Commercial processing facilities

All Hanford generators of TRU solid waste that is destined for disposal at WIPP are required to meet the requirements of HNF-EP-0063, *Hanford Site Solid Waste Acceptance Criteria.* The requirements include the responsibility of the generator to provide TRU waste that is WIPP certifiable and acceptable knowledge to support waste certification at the point-of-generation.

For TRU waste that cannot be packaged into WIPP certifiable containers at the point-of-generation, the future large container CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM capability being acquired under the M-091 scope could also be used to repackage this waste, along with WRAP, T Plant, or commercially. At this time it is assumed that TRU waste generated during Hanford Cleanup activities (e.g., 618-10/11, PFP) will be compliantly packaged at the point-of-generation. If at the time of conceptual design for the future capability under M-091 this is not the case, the scope of the new capability may be expanded to accommodate the repackaging of other TRU waste beyond M-091 scope.

The annual site wide solid waste forecast includes Hanford generator TRU/TRUM waste projections. At this time no impacts to the M-091 work scope is anticipated as a result of the additional volume of CERCLA TRU/TRUM waste to be certified and shipped to WIPP. Potential impacts are evaluated as waste volume projections are updated.

~~9.7~~8.7 Reporting

TPA reporting requirements are described in Chapter 4, "Agreement Management," of the TPA (Ecology et al., 1989a). The primary interface for reporting and notification is from DOE Project Managers to their regulatory counterparts or through the Interagency Management and Integration Team. DOE typically provides a status on the M-091 Milestones to the Ecology Project Manager on a monthly basis that is documented in the AR. In addition, monthly M-091 Milestone Project Manager meetings are held. The roles and responsibilities for the Project Manager and the Integration Team are contained in TPA Sections 4.1 and 4.2, respectively (Ecology et al., 1989a).

~~9.8~~8.8 Change Management

TPA and baseline change management are discussed in the following subsections.

~~9.8.1~~8.8.1 TPA Change Management

TPA change management is described in the TPA Action Plan, Section 12.0, "Changes to the Agreement" (Ecology et al., 1989b). The appropriate authority level for approval of a change is based on the content of the change. All changes will be processed using the change control form provided in Section 12.3.1, "Change Control Form," of the TPA Action Plan (Ecology et al., 1989b).

Changes to the M-091 Milestone PMP will be in accordance with the TPA Action Plan, Section 9.0, "Documentation and Records," and Section 9.3, "Document Revision" (Ecology et al., 1989b). Changes will be documented in the AR. Changes or revisions to the PMP may also result in the need to modify

TPA milestones. Such changes are subject to the requirements of Section 12.0, "Changes to the Agreement," of the TPA Action Plan (Ecology et al., 1989b).

DOE will submit revisions to this PMP annually on June 30 of every year until the M-091 Milestones are completed. The PMP revision will include DOE's plans and schedules to address all requirements set forth in the M-091 Milestone series. Each revision of the M-091-03 Milestone PMP will, after approval by Ecology, supersede previous M-091-03 Milestone PMPs.

DOE will submit the PMP revision to Ecology for review and approval as primary documents pursuant to the TPA Action Plan, Section 9.2.1 (Ecology et al., 1989b). DOE will implement the PMP, as approved.

~~9.8.2~~8.8.2 Baseline Change Management

DOE maintains a contract budget log under configuration control and management that reconciles to the current contract target costs. Changes are controlled and formally reviewed and approved. DOE requires the contractor to maintain a baseline change process that is approved by DOE.

This page intentionally left blank.

~~10~~9 References

40 CFR 268, "Land Disposal Restrictions," *Code of Federal Regulations*. Available at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_09/40cfr268_09.html.

268.2, "Definitions Applicable in This Part."

268.40, "Applicability of Treatment Standards."

268.42, "Treatment Standards Expressed as Specified Technologies."

268.45, "Treatment Standards for Hazardous Debris."

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5, 123 Stat. 115, February 17, 2009. Available at: http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=111_cong_bills&docid=f:h1enr.pdf.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 USC 9601, et seq., Pub. L. 107-377, December 31, 2002. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/cercla.pdf.

DOE O 431.3B, 2010, *Program and Project Management for the Acquisition of Capital Assets*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Available at: http://management.energy.gov/policy_guidance/project_management.htm.

DOE/CBFO 12-3491, 2012, *National TRU Waste Management Plan*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office, Carlsbad, New Mexico

DOE/RL-2003-48, 2003, *218-W-4C Sampling and Analysis Plan*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2004-32, 2004, *218-E-12B Burial Ground Sampling and Analysis Plan*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D7228581.

DOE/RL-2004-70, 2006, *218-W-4B Burial Ground Sampling and Analysis Plan*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=DA02967771.

DOE/RL-2004-71, 2006, *218-W-3A Burial Ground Sampling and Analysis Plan*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=DA02722900.

DOE/RL-2005-13, 2005, *Action Memorandum for the Plutonium Finishing Plant Above-Grade Structures Non-Time Critical Removal Action*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2009-10, 2010, *Hanford Site Cleanup Completion Framework*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2009-117, 2011, *Proposed Plan for the Remediation of the 200-CW-5, 200-PW-1, 200-PW-3, and 200-PW-6 Operable Units*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2010-102, 2011, *Action Memorandum for Decontamination, Deactivation, Decommissioning, and Demolition (D4) Activities for 200 East Area Tier 2 Buildings/Structures*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2010-106, 2011, *90% Design Remedial Design Report Addenda for the Disposition of Tank D-10 for Cell 30 within the 221-U Plant Canyon Facility*, Draft A, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-201~~1-31~~2-12~~,~~ ~~2011~~2012, *Calendar Year ~~2010~~ 2011 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2011-93, 2011, *2012 Hanford Lifecycle Scope, Schedule and Cost Report*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office and Office of River Protection, Richland, Washington.

DOE/WIPP-02-3122, 2010, *Transuranic Waste Acceptance Criteria for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant*, Rev. 7, U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad Field Office, Carlsbad, New Mexico. Available at: http://www.wipp.energy.gov/library/wac/wac.pdf.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1989a, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, 2 vols., as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www.hanford.gov/?page=81.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1989b, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Action Plan*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www.hanford.gov/?page=82.

EPA, DOE, and Ecology, 2005, *Record of Decision 221-U Facility (Canyon Disposition Initiative) Hanford Site, Washington*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Energy, and Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=DA01060264.

EPA, 2001, *Record of Decision for Remedial Actions in the 300-FF-2 Operable Unit*, Agreement Between U.S. Department of Energy and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, with Concurrence by the Washington State Department of Ecology.

EPA, 2005, *Amendment to the Interim Remedial Action Record of Decision for the 100 K Area K Basins*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Seattle, Washington. Available at: http://www5.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=DA450992.

Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, Public Law 98-616, Nov. 8, 1984, 98 Stat. 3221.

HNF-EP-0918, 2011, *Solid Waste Integrated Forecast (SWIFT) FY2013—FY2050*, Rev. 23, Vol. 1, Draft, CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company, Richland, Washington.

NM4890139088-TSDF, 2002, *Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Facility Permit*, Attachment C, "Waste Analysis Plan," New Mexico Environment Department, Santa Fe, New Mexico, November.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 USC 6901, et seq. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/rcra.pdf.

WAC 173-303-040, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Definitions," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-040.

WAC 173-303-395, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Other General Requirements," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-395.

WAC 173-303-630, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Use and Management of Containers," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-630.

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix A

Glossary of Terms

A1 Terms

Terms used in the waste management plan are defined in this appendix.

Caissons, as used within the M-091 Milestone series (Ecology et al., 1989, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Action Plan*), are the four caissons containing retrievably stored waste (RSW) in the 218-W-4B Burial Ground.

Certification, as used within the M-091 Milestone series, is defined as follows:

- All activities necessary for waste to be packaged, in order to meet the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) acceptance criteria, are completed. The volume of waste certified is the volume of waste given to the Central Characterization Project for certification verification. If subsequent WIPP certification reveals that the waste cannot be shipped to WIPP, this waste will not count toward meeting the milestone volume requirements (and will be subtracted from meeting such requirements) until such time as it has been determined to meet the WIPP waste acceptance criteria.
- The transuranic mixed (TRUM) waste has been shipped to Idaho, which may also count toward certification based upon actual shipment to Idaho and contingent upon the waste not returning to Hanford Site.
- The waste has been treated to meet land disposal restriction treatment standards.

Contact-Handled (CH) waste is a waste container with a surface dose rate less than or equal to 200 mrem/h.

Designation is the process of determining whether a waste is regulated under the dangerous waste lists (WAC 173-303-080, "**Dangerous Waste Regulations,**" "**Dangerous Waste Lists,**" through 173-303-082, "**Dangerous Waste Sources**"), characteristics (WAC 173-303-090, "Dangerous Waste Characteristics"), or criteria (WAC 173-303-100, "**Dangerous Waste Criteria**"). The process for designating wastes is described in WAC 173-303-070, "**Designation of Dangerous Waste.**" A waste that has been designated as a dangerous waste may be either dangerous waste or extremely hazardous waste. These regulations allow the use of "**acceptable knowledge,**" **surrogate** sampling, and other measures for designation to minimize radiation exposure to workers and to reduce costs.

Low-Level Waste (LLW) is defined as radioactive waste that is not spent fuel, high-level waste, transuranic (TRU) waste, byproduct material, or naturally occurring radioactive material.

Mixed (M) Waste is a waste that contains a nonradioactive hazardous component and, as defined by 10 CFR 20.1003, "**Standards for Protection Against Radiation,**" source, special nuclear material, or by-product material subject to the *Atomic Energy Act of 1954*.

Retrievably Stored Waste (RSW), as used within the M-091 Milestone series, is or was believed to meet the TRU waste criteria when it was placed in the 218-W-4B, 218-W-4C, 218-W-3A, and 218-E-12B Burial Ground trenches after May 6, 1970. RSW does not include waste in containers that have deteriorated to the point that they cannot be retrieved and stabilized (e.g., placed in overpacks) in a manner that would allow them to be transported and designated without posing significant risks to workers, the public, or the environment. With respect to any such containers, and with respect to any release of RSW, how to move forward will be determined through the cleanup process set forth in the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*; RCW 70.105, "**Hazardous Waste Management;**" and/or the *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*, as appropriate. Those processes may result in additional requirements for the remediation of such wastes.

The Atomic Energy Commission (a Department of Energy [DOE] predecessor agency) initially defined TRU waste as "waste with known or detectable contamination of transuranium nuclides." In March 1970, the Atomic Energy Commission directed field sites to segregate TRU waste and place it in retrievable storage that would allow the waste to be retrieved within 20 years. Before this date, this waste was disposed as LLW.

In 1973, the TRU waste segregation limit was established at 10 nCi/g of TRU isotopes. In 1982, the limit was changed to 100 nCi/g. This limit was enacted by Congress in 1992. Because of the changing definition of TRU waste, waste generated and stored between 1970 and 1982 could contain less than the current threshold of 100 nCi/g for defining TRU waste. This waste has been termed "suspect" TRU waste because some of it will be designated as LLW following radiological characterization.

Remote-Handled (RH) waste is a waste container with a surface dose rate greater than 200 mrem/h. The RH waste volumes are based on the sum of all containers listed in Solid Waste Information and Tracking System (SWITS) with a cumulative contact dose rate greater than 200 mrem/h, and/or have a SWITS shielding code of lead, steel, or concrete, and/or coded in SWITS as RH.

Small and Large Containers have different meanings, depending on whether they are used in reference to MLLW or TRUM waste. When referring to MLLW, small containers are less than 10 m^3 (353.2 ft^2), including 208.2 L (55 gal) drums. When referring to TRUM waste, small containers are 208.2 L (55 gal) drums or small containers, even if overpacked in 321.75 L (85 gal) drums and WIPP standard waste boxes (SWBs). A large container is anything that is not defined as a small container, and vice versa.

Standard Large Box 2 (SLB2) is a steel rectangular container with an external width of 2.5 m (8.2 ft) and an external length of 4.3 m (14 ft). The internal cavity dimensions are 1.8 m (6 ft) wide, 2 m (6.6 ft) tall, and 2.8 m (9.2 ft) long. The SLB2 was qualified in 2004 as meeting the U.S. Department of Transportation requirements for specification 7A Type A packaging.

Standard Waste Box (SWB) is a 1.8 m^3 (63.57 ft^3) steel container that is approximately 0.94 m (3.1 ft) in height, 1.8 m (5.9 ft) in length, and 1.4 m (4.6 ft) in width. The SWB was qualified in 1988 as meeting the U.S. Department of Transportation requirements for specification 7A Type A packaging.

Solid Waste Integrated Forecast Technical (SWIFT) database contains estimates of future waste volumes and characteristics forecasted by waste-generating units. The waste-generating units provide basic information that is incorporated into the SWIFT database. This forecast is updated annually and published in the SWIFT report.

Solid Waste Information and Tracking System (SWITS) is a Hanford Site database containing records of waste containers stored at Hanford and contains data (e.g., volume; container information; and radiological, physical, and dangerous waste characteristics) about each container of stored waste considered within the scope of the M-091 Milestone series. SWITS is a dynamic database that is updated frequently to reflect waste receipts, processing, and shipment volumes; as a result, data presented in this revision of the Project Management Plan may differ from previous versions.

Transuranic (TRU) waste meets the definition, in the *Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act*, Pub. L. 102-579 (Section 2.18), of radioactive waste containing more than 100 nCi of alpha-emitting transuranic isotopes per gram of waste, with half-lives greater than 20 years.

A2 References

10 CFR 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation," *Code of Federal Regulations*. Available at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title10-vol1/xml/CFR-2010-title10-vol1-part20.xml.

Atomic Energy Act of 1954, 42 USC 2011, et seq. Available at: http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0980/ml022200075-vol1.pdf.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 USC 9601, et seq., Pub. L. 107-377, December 31, 2002. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/cercla.pdf.

Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1989, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order Action Plan*, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://www.hanford.gov/?page=82.

RCW 70.105, "Hazardous Waste Management," *Revised Code of Washington*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=70.105.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 USC 6901, et seq. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/rcra.pdf.

WAC 173-303-070, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Designation of Dangerous Waste," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-070.

WAC 173-303-080, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Dangerous Waste Lists," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-080.

WAC 173-303-081, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Discarded Chemical Products," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-081.

WAC 173-303-082, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Dangerous Waste Sources," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-082.

WAC 173-303-090, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Dangerous Waste Characteristics," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-090.

WAC 173-303-100, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," "Dangerous Waste Criteria," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303-100.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act, Pub. L. 102-579. Available at: http://www.westgov.org/wga/initiatives/wipp/PIG-Web/Introduction/WIPP%20Land%20Withdrawl%20Act.pdf.

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix B

Applicable Regulatory Requirements

Contents

B1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements B-1

B1.1 *Clean Air Act of 1990* (42 USC 7401, et seq.) B-1

B1.2 *Hazardous Materials Transportation Act of 1975* (49 USC 5101, et seq.) B-1

B1.3 *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969* (42 USC 4321, et seq.) B-1

B1.4 *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (42 USC 6901, et seq.), as Amended by the *Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984* B-2

B1.5 *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (42 USC 9601, et seq.) B-2

B1.6 *Washington State Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976* (RCW 70.105) B-2

B1.7 "Washington Clean Air Act" (RCW 70.94) B-3

B1.8 Department of Transportation B-3

B2 References B-4

Terms

CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
CAA	*Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990*
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
HFFACO	Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order
HSW EIS	Hanford Solid Waste Environmental Impact Statement
NEPA	*National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*
OU	operable unit
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
ROD	record of decision
TC&WM EIS	Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement
TRU	transuranic
TRUM	transuranic mixed
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WDOH	Washington State Department of Health

B1 Applicable Regulatory Requirements

Mixed waste management activities will consider the requirements described in the following sections as well as any other applicable regulations or U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) requirements.

B1.1 *Clean Air Act of 1990* (42 USC 7401, et seq.)

The Hanford Site air operating permit has been issued in accordance with Title V of the *Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990* (CAA) and is implemented through federal and state programs under 40 CFR 70, "State Operating Permit Programs," and WAC 173-401, "Operating Permit Regulation." The permit is intended to provide a compilation of applicable (CAA) requirements both for radioactive emissions and for criteria/toxic emissions at the Hanford Site. Current air permitting documentation is expected to address existing mixed waste management activities. New air permitting documentation will be needed for alpha caissons retrievably stored waste retrieval and future large container and remote handling capabilities. Activities addressed by the Project Management Plan will be reviewed against the permitting documentation, as necessary, to ensure that mixed waste management activities are addressed.

B1.2 *Hazardous Materials Transportation Act of 1975* (49 USC 5101, et seq.)

Hazardous material transportation requirements include employee training programs, performance standards, and preparation of shipping papers to identify and track hazardous materials, design of packaging and containers, marking, and labeling. Specific requirements will be followed that relate to mixed waste management activities and the shipment mode used (i.e., rail, aircraft, vessel, and public highway). Offsite shipments of hazardous materials must comply with the implementing regulations of 49 CFR Parts 101, 106, 107 and 171 through 180, "Transportation," administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT). Onsite waste movements must comply with DOE requirements, including DOE/RL-2001-36, *Hanford Sitewide Transportation Safety Document*.

B1.3 *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969* (42 USC 4321, et seq.)

The Hanford Solid Waste Environmental Impact Statement (HSW EIS) analyzed potential impacts associated with the onsite and offsite treatment, storage, disposal, and transportation of mixed low-level waste and transuranic (TRU) waste (DOE/EIS-0286F, *Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement*). A record of decision (ROD) was issued (69 FR 39449, "Record of Decision for the Solid Waste Program, Hanford Site, Richland WA: Storage and Treatment of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste; Disposal of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste, and Storage, Processing, and Certification of Transuranic Waste for Shipment to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant").

The HSW EIS was challenged through litigation, resulting in a settlement agreement (*Washington v. Bodman*, 2006). The settlement agreement required that a new Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement (TC&WM EIS) be created to replace the HSW EIS, but allows the HSW EIS to be relied on until the issuance of the ROD for the new EIS. This is stated in the following stipulation:

> *Pending finalization of the TC&WM EIS, the HSW EIS will remain in effect to support ongoing waste management activities at Hanford (including off-site waste transportation such as TRU and TRUM shipments to WIPP), in combination with other applicable Hanford Site NEPA and CERCLA documents, permits and approvals; provided, that pending finalization of the TC&WM EIS, DOE will not rely on the groundwater analysis in the HSW EIS for decision-making. When completed, the TC&WM EIS will supersede the HSW EIS.*

The TC&WM EIS was issued for public comment in October of 2009, and public comment closed in March 2010. As of this writing, the ROD has not yet been issued, so the HSW EIS continues to be relied upon for purposes of M-091 milestone activities.

B1.4 *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (42 USC 6901, et seq.), as Amended by the *Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984*

Federal regulations, implementing the *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (RCRA) and RCRA corrective action, address the requirements for hazardous wastes, including treatment, storage, disposal, and transportation (40 CFR Parts 260 through 271, "Hazardous Waste Management System: General"). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has authorized the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) to administer the State's statute and regulations, RCW 70.105, "Hazardous Waste Management," and WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," in lieu of the federal RCRA regulations.

B1.5 *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (42 USC 9601, et seq.)

The *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (CERCLA) addresses spill cleanups and hazardous substances left at past practice waste sites. DOE performs investigation and response actions for release of hazardous substances at the Hanford Site as the lead agency delegated authority under CERCLA Section 104 by presidential Executive Order 12580 (1987). In 1989, pursuant to CERCLA Section 120, DOE executed an agreement with EPA and Ecology governing execution of CERCLA response actions and measures to bring Hanford into compliance with RCRA Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Unit and Corrective Action requirements. The agreement is titled the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (HFFACO), also called the "Tri-Party Agreement." EPA or Ecology divide the responsibility as lead regulatory agency for various response actions at the Hanford Site.

In September 2006, DOE submitted an M-016-93 implementation work plan to EPA proposing the acquisition of capabilities necessary to prepare transuranic mixed (TRUM) waste generated by CERCLA cleanup actions at the Hanford Site for disposal at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). This work plan reflected retrieval decisions, projected waste volumes, and schedules from all CERCLA cleanup actions authorized in RODs and action memoranda at the Hanford Site and will provide for updates and revisions as new information becomes available (i.e., after all 200 Area RODs are issued). As part of the approval process for RODs and action memoranda, EPA and the DOE Richland Operations Office will obtain Ecology concurrence to ensure that wastes from CERCLA Operable Units (OUs) for which Ecology is the lead regulatory agency, are properly planned.

B1.6 *Washington State Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976* (RCW 70.105)

The *Washington State Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976* authorizes Ecology to regulate the treatment, storage, disposal, and transportation of dangerous waste in Washington State. Mixed waste is dangerous waste that is mixed with radioactive elements. The chemical characteristics of the mixed waste are regulated under RCRA and Washington Dangerous Waste regulations, while the radioactive characteristics are regulated by DOE under the Atomic Energy Act. Ecology has promulgated dangerous waste regulations in WAC 173-303. Mixed waste generation activities are subject to generator requirements. Mixed waste management activities that cannot utilize generator provisions must be conducted according to dangerous waste permits under WAC 173-303 in order to operate.

B1.7 "Washington Clean Air Act" (RCW 70.94)

Ecology's Nuclear Waste Program regulates air toxicity and criteria pollutant emissions from the Hanford Site. Ecology promulgates and enforces the regulations under RCW 70.94, "Washington Clean Air Act." Ecology's implementing requirements (e.g., WAC 173-400, "General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources," and WAC 173-460, "Controls for New Sources of Toxic Air Pollutants") specify review of new source emissions, permitting, applicable controls, reporting, notifications, and compliance with the general standards for applicable sources of Hanford Site emissions.

The Washington State Department of Health (WDOH) Radiation Protection Division regulates radioactive air emissions statewide, as authorized by EPA and Washington State legislative and regulatory authority. WDOH implements the state requirements, adopts and implements the federal requirements under WAC 246-247, "Radiation Protection—Air Emissions," and enforces the federal requirements under authority delegated by EPA. Before beginning any work that would result in creating a new or modified source of radioactive airborne emissions, a notice of construction application must be submitted for review and approval by WDOH, resulting in issuance of an operating license. Typical license requirements for radioactive air emission sources include ensuring adequate emission controls, emissions monitoring/sampling, and annual reporting of emissions.

B1.8 Department of Transportation

Onsite transportation of waste is managed by DOE in accordance with DOE/RL-2001-36. Transportation of waste offsite is regulated by DOT. A Memorandum of Understanding, between the Western Governors' Association and DOE, requires that DOE conduct TRU waste shipments through the western states in accordance with the protocols contained in the *WIPP Transportation Safety Program Implementation Guide* (WGA and DOE-CBFO, 2003). Shipments within the same DOE site, or other TRU waste shipments as agreed to between DOE and the states, are not included. Shipments of TRU waste to commercial firms using road closures are acceptable.

The type of packaging required to transport the waste depends, in part, on the total quantity of radioactivity, the form of the materials, and the concentration of radioactivity. DOE is responsible for determining the appropriate container for the material it is transporting. DOE ensures that each waste package being transported offsite meets DOT regulations for design, material, manufacturing methods, and testing.

B2 References

40 CFR 70, "State Operating Permit Programs," *Code of Federal Regulations*. Available at: http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=b9f71e37c7ac95548244e7c19bfa1665&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40cfr70_main_02.tpl.

40 CFR 260 through 271, "Hazardous Waste Management System: General," *Code of Federal Regulations*. Available at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol25/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol25-part260.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol25/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol25-part261.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol25/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol25-part262.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol25/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol25-part263.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol25/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol25-part264.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol25/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol25-part265.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-part266.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-part267.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-part268.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-part270.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title40-vol26/xml/CFR-2010-title40-vol26-part271.xml.

49 CFR, "Transportation," *Code of Federal Regulations*. Available at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol1/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol1.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol2/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol2.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol3/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol3.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol4/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol4.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol5/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol5.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol6/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol6.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2009-title49-vol7/xml/CFR-2009-title49-vol7.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title49-vol8/xml/CFR-2010-title49-vol8.xml. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2010-title49-vol9/xml/CFR-2010-title49-vol9.xml.

69 FR 39449, "Record of Decision for the Solid Waste Program, Hanford Site, Richland WA: Storage and Treatment of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste; Disposal of Low-Level Waste and Mixed Low-Level Waste, and Storage, Processing, and Certification of Transuranic Waste for Shipment to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant," *Federal Register*, Vol. 69, No. 125, pp. 39449-39455, June 30, 2004. Available at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2004-06-30/pdf/04-14806.pdf.

Clean Air Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-549, as amended, 42 USC 7401, et seq. Available at: http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 USC 9601, et seq., Pub. L. 107-377, December 31, 2002. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/cercla.pdf.

DOE/EIS-0286F, 2004, *Final Hanford Site Solid (Radioactive and Hazardous) Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement*, Richland, Washington, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2001-36, 2003, *Hanford Sitewide Transportation Safety Document*, Rev. 0-A, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www5.hanford.gov/pdwdocs/fsd0001/osti/2002/I0032776.pdf.

Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, Public Law 98-616, Nov. 8, 1984, 98 Stat. 3221.

Hazardous Materials Transportation Act of 1975, 49 U.S.C. 5101, et seq., Available at: http://www.epa.gov/oem/content/lawsregs/hmtaover.htm.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 USC 4321, et seq. Available at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/nepatxt.htm.

RCW 70.94, "Washington Clean Air Act," *Revised Code of Washington*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=70.94.

RCW 70.105, "Hazardous Waste Management," *Revised Code of Washington*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=70.105.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 USC 6901, et seq. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/rcra.pdf.

WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-303.

WAC 173-400, "General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-400.

WAC 173-401, "Operating Permit Regulation," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-401.

WAC 173-460, "Controls for New Sources of Toxic Air Pollutants," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-460.

WAC 246-247, "Radiation Protection—Air Emissions," *Washington Administrative Code*, Olympia, Washington. Available at: http://apps.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=246-247.

Washington v. Bodman, 2006, Settlement Agreement, Civil No. 2:030-cv-005018-AAM, January 6. Available at: http://www.em.doe.gov/pdfs/144113Settlement%20Agreement%20-%20FINAL%20-%2001-06-061.pdf.

WGA and DOE-CBFO, 2003, *WIPP Transportation Safety Program Implementation Guide*, Western Governors' Association and U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad Field Office, Carlsbad, New Mexico. Available at: http://www.westgov.org/wga/initiatives/wipp/wipp-pig03.pdf.

Appendix C

Descriptions of Low-Level Burial Grounds with Retrievably Stored Waste

Contents

C1 Descriptions of Low-Level Burial Grounds with Retrievably Stored Waste C-1

C1.1 218-W-4B C-1

C1.2 218-W-4C C-1

C1.3 218-W-3A C-3

C1.4 218-E-12B C-5

C2 References C-5

Figures

Figure C-1. Map of Low-Level Burial Grounds 218-W-4B and 218-W-4C C-2

Figure C-2. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-4B C-3

Figure C-3. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-4C C-4

Figure C-4. Map of Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-3A C-6

Figure C-5. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-3A C-7

Figure C-6. Map of Low-Level Burial Ground 218-E-12B C-8

Figure C-7. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-E-12B C-9

Terms

CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
LLBG	low-level burial ground
OU	operable unit
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
RSW	retrievably stored waste
TSD	treatment, storage, and/or disposal

C1 Descriptions of Low-Level Burial Grounds with Retrievable Stored Waste

Retrievably stored waste (RSW) is in designated areas of low-level burial grounds (LLBGs) 218-E-12B, 218-W-3A, 218-W-4B, and 218-W-4C. These LLBGs are located in the LLBG *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* (RCRA) treatment, storage, and/or disposal (TSD) unit. These LLBGs are also included in the *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* (CERCLA) 200-SW-2 Radioactive Landfills and Dump Group Operable Unit (OU).

The following sections provide background information on each LLBG.

C1.1 218-W-4B

The 218-W-4B LLBG is located in the central portion of the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site. The trenches are 175 m (575 ft) long and 3.7 m (12 ft) deep. Figure C-1 presents a map of the 218-W-4B LLBG and Figure C-2 shows the trenches in the 218-W-4B LLBG.

The LLBG received miscellaneous radioactive solid waste from the 100, 200, and 300 Areas and offsite shipments from 1967 to 1990. Solid waste at the site consists of rags, paper, cardboard, plastic, pumps, tanks, process equipment, and other miscellaneous high dose rate transuranic waste.

The site contains RSW in Trenches T7, TV7, and T11 and four alpha caissons. Trench T7 is divided into two sections that were designed to receive RSW. The east end of the trench is referred to as TV7, a diamond shaped structure made up of a concrete lined "V" bottom and metal cover. The cement floor of Trench TV7 is a barrier to waste constituent migration, similar to the asphalt pad used in the remainder of Trench T7, with the exception of a known preferred direction of migration along the cement surface.

In the fall of 1972, the first asphalt pad was built in the remainder of Trench T7. Drums were arranged in modules, typically 12 drums wide by 12 drums deep by 4 drums high. Flame retardant plywood sheets were placed to separate the layers of drums and other packages. When modules were completed, they were covered with tarps and plywood sheets.

From 1970 to 1972, Trench T11 received waste drums and boxes that were stacked horizontally and "direct buried" in the ground without tarps or plywood to separate the soil overlying the waste. Other containers, such as concrete or steel burial boxes, ductwork, stainless steel tanks, and a culvert, were placed in this trench.

C1.2 218-W-4C

The 218-W-4C LLBG is located inside the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site and consists of 15 trenches ranging from 91 to 219 m (300 to 719 ft) long. Figure C-1 presents a map of the 218-W-4C LLBG and Figure C-3 shows the trenches in the 218-W-4C LLBG.

In the 218-W-4C LLBG, Trenches T1, T4, T7, T20, and T29 contain RSW. This waste is placed in modules on asphalt pads that contain drums and other packages, including boxes and steel and concrete casks. Drums were arranged in modules, typically 12 drums wide by 12 drums deep by 4 drums high. Flame retardant plywood sheets were placed to separate the layers of drums and other packages. When modules were completed, they were covered with tarps and plywood sheets. The contact-handled RSW has been removed from this LLBG.



Figure C-1. Map of Low-Level Burial Grounds 218-W-4B and 218-W-4C



Figure C-2. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-4B



Figure C-3. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-4C

C1.3 218-W-3A

The 218-W-3A LLBG is located inside the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site. Figure C-~~2~~ 4 presents a map of the 218-W-3A LLBG and Figure C-5 shows the trenches in the 218-W-~~4~~A LLBG. The 218-W-3A LLBG began operating in 1970 and contains solid, dry industrial waste. The RSW is located in 14 trenches: T1, T4, T5, T6, T6S, T8, T9S, T10, T15, T17, T23, T30, T32, and T34.

The 218-W-3A LLBG has no asphalt pads and used only earthen bottom (potentially gravel fill) trenches. Drums were stacked horizontally in earthen trenches from 1970 until approximately 1974. The waste drums were buried directly in the ground without tarps or plywood to separate the soil overlying the waste. Direct contact with the soil increased the probability that containers have corroded and might be breached. The actual date when tarp coverage was initiated has not been established. Later, drums were stacked vertically and placed on plywood, and the completed module waste was covered with nylon tarps and plywood before soil emplacement. RSW in boxes made of various materials (e.g., plywood, concrete, metal, fiberglass reinforced plywood) were also placed in this burial ground. The 218-W-3A LLBG received RSW until 1987.

C1.4 218-E-12B

The 218-E-12B LLBG is located inside the 200 East Area of the Hanford Site. Figure C-~~3~~ 6 presents a map of the 218-E-12B LLBG and Figure C-7 shows the trenches in the 218-E-12B LLBG. The RSW is located in two trenches: T17 and T27.

The 218-E-12B LLBG began operating in 1967. The RSW originated from the Plutonium-Uranium Extraction Facility and was placed in 218-E-12B LLBG Trenches T-17 and T-27 between May 1970 and October 1972.

Drums were stacked horizontally in earthen trenches from 1970 to 1972. The waste drums were directly buried in the ground (i.e., not on asphalt pads as they were in the 218-W-4C LLBG) without tarps or plywood to separate the soil overlying the waste. Direct contact with the soil increased the probability that the containers have corroded and might be breached.

C2 References

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 USC 9601, et seq., Pub. L. 107-377, December 31, 2002. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/cercla.pdf.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 USC 6901, et seq. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/rcra.pdf.



Figure C-4. Map of Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-3A



Figure C-5. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-W-3A



Figure C-6. Map of Low-Level Burial Ground 218-E-12B



Figure C-7. Trenches in Low-Level Burial Ground 218-E-12B

Appendix D

Basis for Figures

Tables

Table D-1. Basis for Figures 2-1 and 2-2 31
Table D-2. Basis for Figure 3-1 42
Table D-3. Basis for Figures 4-1 and 4-2 53
Table D-4. Basis for Figure 7-1 64
Table D-5. Basis for Figure 8-1 75
~~Table D-1. Basis for Figure 1-5 ..... D-1~~
~~Table D-2. Basis for Figures 2-1 and 2-2 ..... D-3~~
~~Table D-3. Basis for Figure 3-1 ..... D-4~~
~~Table D-4. Basis for Figures 4-1 and 4-2 ..... D-5~~
~~Table D-5. Basis for Figure 7-1 ..... D-6~~
~~Table D-6. Basis for Figure 8-1 ..... D-7~~

Terms

CBFO	DOE Carlsbad Field Office
CCP	Central Characterization Project
CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
CH	contact-handled
CHPRC	CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company
CY	calendar year
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
FY	fiscal year
MLLW	mixed low-level waste
PMP	Project Management Plan
RH	remote-handled
RSW	retrievably stored waste
SWITS	Solid Waste Information and Tracking System
TRU	transuranic
TRUM	transuranic mixed waste
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing (Facility)

D1 Tables

Tables D-1 through D-~~6~~5 describe the data sources, analytical bases, and underlying assumptions for certain figures included in the main text of this document.

~~**Table D-1. Basis for Figure 1-5**~~

~~Data Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions~~	
~~Data Source~~	~~• Inventory as of October 1, 2012 is based on SWITS data sorts.~~ ~~• The volume of an RSW container is as reported in SWITS; volumes will be adjusted based on actual volumes removed during waste retrieval operations (see Table D-2).~~ ~~• Volumes are internal volumes of a waste container (e.g., a 55 gal drum has an internal volume of 0.208 m^3 and an external volume of 0.257 m^3).~~ ~~• The chart includes no path forward waste identified in Table 5-1.~~
~~Analytical Basis~~	~~Projected annual volumes are based on the funding profile presented in Figure 8-1:~~ ~~In FY 2016 through FY 2018, the remaining MLLW in aboveground storage, except for the 38 m^3 of no path forward waste (see Chapter 5), and RSW assayed as MLLW will be treated and disposed (See Chapter 3).~~ ~~Mid FY 2016 through FY 2019, an average 4 shipments of CH TRUM waste is shipped to WIPP per week at 8.4 m^3 per shipment; 35 weeks per year (948 m^3/yr shipped to WIPP) (see Chapter 4).~~ ~~In FY 2020 through CY 2030, an average 1 shipments of CH TRUM waste is shipped to WIPP per week at 8.4 m^3 per shipment; 35 weeks per year (253 m^3/yr shipped to WIPP) (see Chapter 4).~~ ~~In FY 2021 through CY 2030, an average 5 shipments of RH TRUM waste is shipped to WIPP per week at 0.6 m^3 per shipment; 35 weeks per year (100 m^3/yr shipped to WIPP, except for CY 2030 where less feed is available to ship) (see Chapter 4).~~ ~~Shipped volume is the treated volume. During repackaging of CH TRUM waste, it has been found that for every four drums repackaged, five drums of certified waste are generated, on average, resulting in a factor increase of 1.25. This factor is also assumed to be valid for non-caisson RH TRUM waste. Volume increases can result from activities such as repackaging performed to generate compliant packages ready for final characterization, certification, and shipment to WIPP. For caisson RH TRUM waste, a factor increase of 10 was used because the waste in a single container will need to be redistributed in several certified containers to minimize dose rates and maintain isotopic distribution (see Chapter 4).~~ ~~Due to rounding, total may not equal sum of individual values.~~

~~Table D-1. Basis for Figure 1-5~~

~~Data Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions~~	
~~Underlying Assumptions~~	~~• After retrieval and assay, a significant portion of the RSW will be designated as non-TRU waste based on the change in the definition of TRU waste (to 100 nCi/g from the former definition of 10 nCi/g), which occurred after the waste was placed into retrievable storage in the trenches. Based on this change in definition, waste records, and field experience, the following percentages of MLLW and TRUM waste were derived (operational experience may make it necessary to change these assumptions in future revisions of this PMP):~~ ~~— The CH RSW in small containers is 48 percent CH MLLW and 52 percent CH TRUM waste.~~ ~~— The CH RSW in large containers is 32 percent CH MLLW and 68 percent CH TRUM waste.~~ ~~— The non-caisson RH RSW is 50 percent RH MLLW and 50 percent RH TRUM waste.~~ ~~— Alpha caisson RH RSW is 100 percent RH TRUM waste.~~ ~~• Retrieval and TRUM waste repackage activities will supply the feed necessary to support the shipment schedule to WIPP.~~ ~~• Retrieval activities will support the feed necessary to support the treatment/disposal schedule for MLLW.~~ ~~• Commercial facilities are available and have sufficient capacity to treat the MLLW.~~ ~~• No path forward waste will be dispositioned as identified in Chapter 5.~~ ~~• CCP and CBFO will support the TRUM waste shipment schedule to WIPP.~~ ~~• Shipments of small container CH TRUM waste (M-091-46) will be completed at the end of FY 2019.~~ ~~• Shipments of CH TRUM waste (M-091-44) repackaged from large containers will be begin in FY 2020 and continue through CY 2030.~~ ~~• Shipments of RH TRUM waste to WIPP will begin in FY 2021 and continue through CY 2030.~~

Table D-21. Basis for Figures 2-1 and 2-2

	Data Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions
Data Source	• RSW consists of suspect TRUM waste in burial grounds 218-W-3A, 218-W-4B, 218-W-4C, and 218-E-12B. • The volume of RSW is as of October 1, 2012 as reported in SWITS. • Volumes are internal volumes of a waste container (e.g., a 55 gal drum has an internal volume of 0.208 m^3 and an external volume of 0.257 m^3). • RH-RSW volumes are based on the sum of all containers listed in SWITS, with a cumulative contact dose greater than 200 mrem/h, and/or have a SWITS shielding code of lead, steel, or concrete, and/or are coded in SWITS as RH. • SWITS is a dynamic database and is updated frequently to reflect updated information. As a result, data presented in this revision of the PMP may differ from previous volumes as follows: – The volume of RSW retrieved is based the actual volume measured when the container is removed from the trench. In some instances, the dimension of a container in SWITS is found not to represent the actual dimensions of a container retrieved. In these instances, SWITS will be updated with the actual volume removed, and this volume will be used to count towards the milestone. For example, when the culverts (cylinders) are retrieved, the original volume in SWITS was based on a rectangular container. SWITS was updated with the actual volume of the cylinder. – For failed containers that are repacked in the trench prior to retrieval, the waste volume reported in SWITS will be the volume counted towards the milestone. – There are instances where waste originally was reported in SWITS as RH but, because it has decayed over the past 30 years, the waste retrieved is CH. However, the retrieved waste will be counted toward the M-091-41 Milestone because the projected volumes of RH are based on the original data reported in SWITS.
Analytical Basis	• Projected annual volumes are based on the funding profile given in Figure 8-1. • Due to rounding, the total may not equal the sum of individual values.
Underlying Assumptions	• Continue retrieving and characterizing the remaining drums of CH-RSW, and utilize existing retrieval methods that have been supplemented with the recently implemented Trench Face Retrieval and Characterization System. • Continue retrieving the remaining non-drum CH-RSW and non-caisson RH-RSW utilizing existing methods. • Acquire the necessary new capability to retrieve the alpha caissons.

Table D-~~3~~2. Basis for Figure 3-1

	Date Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions
Data Source	• Inventory as of October 1, 2012 is reported in SWITS. • Volumes are internal volumes of a waste container (e.g., a 55 gal drum has an internal volume of 0.208 m^3 and an external volume of 0.257 m^3). • Volumes are given as pretreated volumes.
Analytical Basis	• Projected annual volumes are based on the funding profile given in Figure 8-1, and availability of inventory from RSW retrieval operations is as discussed in Chapter 2. • Due to rounding, the total may not equal the sum of individual values.
Underlying Assumptions	• After retrieval and assay, a significant portion of the RSW will be designated as non-TRU waste based on the change in the definition of TRU waste (to 100 nCi/g from the former definition of 10 nCi/g), which occurred after the waste was placed into retrievable storage in the trenches. Based on this change in definition, waste records, and field experience, the following percentages of MLLW and TRUM waste were derived (operational experience may make it necessary to change these assumptions in future revisions of this PMP): – The CH-RSW in small containers is 48 percent CH-MLLW. – The CH-RSW in large containers is 32 percent CH-MLLW. – The non-caisson RH-RSW is 50 percent RH-MLLW. – Alpha caisson RH-RSW is 0 percent MLLW. • MLLW small containers are defined as containers less than 10 m^3, including 55 gal drums. • An MLLW large container is defined as any MLLW container that is not defined as an MLLW small container. • Commercial facilities are available and have sufficient capacity to treat the MLLW. • Retrieval will be done by mid-year of FY 2018 to accommodate the treatment of MLLW by the end of FY 2018.

Table D-43. Basis for Figures 4-1 and 4-2

	Data Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions
Data Source	• Inventory as of October 1, 2012 is based on SWITS data sorts. • The volume of an RSW container is as reported in SWITS; volumes will be adjusted based on actual volumes removed during waste retrieval operations. • Volumes are internal volumes of a waste container (e.g., a 55 gal drum has an internal volume of 0.208 m^3 and an external volume of 0.257 m^3).
Analytical Basis	• Projected annual volumes are based on the funding profile given in Figure 8-1: – The projections used throughout this PMP are based on level loaded work-off rates. – FY 2016 through FY 2017, an average 53 drums of CH-TRUM waste is repackaged per week at WRAP and T Plant; 44 weeks per year (481 m^3 certified per year). – FY 2018, 143 drums of CH-TRUM waste is repackaged per week at the trench face; 44 weeks per year (1,307 m^3 certified per year). – FY 2017, 172 m3 of large container CH-TRUM waste and/or RH-TRUM waste will be repackaged at a commercial facility. – FY 2019 through FY 2030, an average 580 m^3 of CH-TRUM waste in large containers and RH-TRUM waste is repackaged per year using commercial and future capabilities. This volume includes the D-10 tank from U Plant that is currently stored at CWC. – Mid-FY 2016 through FY 2019, an average 3 shipments of CH-TRUM waste is shipped to WIPP per week at 8.4 m^3 per shipment; 35 weeks per year (948 m^3/yr shipped to WIPP) (see Chapter 4). – In FY 2020 through CY 2030, an average 1 shipments of CH-TRUM waste is shipped to WIPP per week at 8.4 m^3 per shipment; 35 weeks per year (253 m^3/yr shipped to WIPP) (see Chapter 4). – In FY 2021 through CY 2030, an average 5 shipments of RH-TRUM waste is shipped to WIPP per week at 0.6 m^3 per shipment; 35 weeks per year (100 m^3/yr shipped to WIPP, except for CY 2030 where less feed is available to ship) (see Chapter 4). – Production rates: 10 drums/week/line/shift at WRAP; 17 drums/week/line/shift at T Plant, 50 drums/week at Commercial Facility. Number of shipments to WIPP per week is dictated by DOE and is dependent on priority across the DOE Complex. • Certified and shipped volume is the treated volume. During repackaging of CH-TRUM waste, it has been found that for every four drums repackaged, five drums of certified waste are generated, on average, resulting in a factor increase of 1.25. This factor is also assumed to be valid for non-caisson RH-TRUM waste. Volume increases can result from activities such as repackaging performed to generate compliant packages ready for final characterization, certification, and shipment to WIPP. For caisson RH-TRUM waste, a factor increase of 10 was used because the waste in a single container will need to be redistributed in several certified containers to minimize dose rates and maintain isotopic distribution. • Due to rounding, the total may not equal the sum of individual values.
Underlying Assumptions	• After retrieval and assay, a significant portion of the RSW will be designated as non-TRU waste based on the change in the definition of TRU waste (to 100 nCi/g from the former definition of 10 nCi/g), which occurred after the waste was placed into retrievable storage in the trenches. Based on this change in definition, waste records, and field experience, the following percentages of MLLW and TRUM waste were derived (operational experience may make it necessary to change these assumptions in future revisions of this PMP):

Table D-43. Basis for Figures 4-1 and 4-2

Data Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions
– The CH-RSW in small containers is 52 percent CH-TRUM waste. – The CH-RSW in large containers is 68 percent CH-TRUM waste. – The non-caisson RH-RSW is 50 percent RH-TRUM waste. – Alpha caisson RH-RSW is 100 percent RH-TRUM waste. • Based on repackaging of TRUM waste in large containers commercially, the following breakout is used in projecting TRU waste volume to be shipped to WIPP: 30% void space, 40% TRUM waste, 30% MLLW. This assumption will be refined in future revisions of the PMP. • Retrieval will be done by mid-year of FY 2018 to accommodate repackaging of small container CH-TRUM by the end of FY 2018. • Shipments of small container CH-TRUM waste (M-091-46) will be completed at the end of FY 2019. • Alpha caisson processing will begin in FY 2021 and continue through FY 2023 at a rate of 8 m^3 per year. Alphas caisson packages are 0.004 m^3 (1 gal). • Onsite large container CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste processing (M-091-44) will begin in FY 2021 and continue through FY 2030. • Commercial capability will be available to process a portion of large container CH-TRUM and RH-TRUM waste. • Shipments of CH-TRUM waste from the repackage of large container CH-TRUM waste will begin in FY 2020 and continue through FY 2030. • Shipments of RH-TRUM waste to WIPP will begin in FY 2021 and continue through CY 2030.

Table D-54. Basis for Figure 7-1

	Data Source, Analytical Basis, and Underlying Assumptions
Data Source	• Inventory in above ground storage as of 12/31/2012 is based on SWITS data sorts. • Projected waste volumes from Table 7-1.
Analytical Basis	• Total annual TRU/TRUM waste shipments to WIPP from the Hanford Site are as follows: – CH: 8.4 m^3/shipment, 6 shipments/week, 35 weeks/yr (maximum 1,760 m^3/yr) – RH: 0.6 m^3/shipment, 5 shipments/week, 35 weeks/yr (maximum 100 m^3/yr) • Due to rounding, the total may not equal the sum of individual values.
Underlying Assumptions	• Shipments of TRUM waste under the M-091 milestones take priority over CERCLA TRU/TRUM shipments to WIPP.

Table D-65. Basis for Figure 8-1

Underlying Assumptions
• FY 2013 escalated dollars. • Based on CHPRC baseline and DOE/RL-2012-13, *2013 Hanford Lifecycle Scope, Schedule and Cost Run*. Funding levels are subject to change as planning is refined. • Dollars shown in FY 2031 are for activities to meet the M-091 Milestones series that will be complete by December 31, 2030 (first quarter of FY 2031). • WBS 013.04 in years FY 2019 – FY 2030 is funding for the treatment of MLLW dropout during the repackaging of large container CH-TRUM waste. • Funding has been identified for ERDF expansion in FY 2022. • Design and construction of operational covers for the MWTs will be performed in FY 2017 – FY 2018. • Funding for the MWTs extends through FY 2031 for monitoring and surveillance. • The funding profile for CERCLA activities discussed in Chapter 7 is not included. • Other activities include management reserve, fee, and assessments. • Production rates: 10 drums/week/line/shift at WRAP; 17 drums/week/line/shift at T Plant, 50 drums/week at Commercial Facility. Number of shipments to WIPP per week is specified by CBFO and is dependent on priorities throughout the DOE complex.

D2 Reference

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 USC 9601, et seq., Pub. L. 107-377, December 31, 2002. Available at: http://epw.senate.gov/cercla.pdf.

This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix E

Outyear CERCLA Cleanup Actions

Terms

CERCLA	*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*
CMS	corrective measures study
EE/CA	engineering evaluation/cost analysis
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility
FS	feasibility study
LLBG	low-level burial ground
LLW	low-level waste
MLLW	mixed low-level waste
OU	operable unit
PFP	Plutonium Finishing Plant
PMP	Project Management Plan
PUREX	Plutonium Uranium Extraction
RCRA	*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*
RD/RA	remedial design/remedial action
REDOX	reduction oxidation
RFI	RCRA facility investigation
RI	remedial investigation
SWB	solid waste box
SWITS	Solid Waste Information and Tracking System
TBD	to be determined
TPA	Tri-Party Agreement
Tri-Party Agreement	*Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*
TRU	transuranic
TRUM	transuranic mixed
TSD	treatment, storage, and/or disposal
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

Table E-1. Operable Units and Facilities with Potential to Generate Waste with Transuranic Constituents Greater Than 100 nCi/g during CERCLA Cleanup Actions

Operable Unit/Site Name	Description	Potential Waste with Transuranic Constituents Greater Than 100 nCi/g			Schedule
		Waste Unit Name	Waste Form	Volume	
200-BC-1	The 216-B-53A Trench is 18.3 by 3 m (60 by 10 ft) at the base. The site received waste from the liquid release at the Plutonium Recycle Test reactor in the 300 Area during which secondary cooling waste became contaminated with plutonium and mixed fission products. Of all the specific retention trenches in the BC Cribs and Trenches area, only the 216-B-53A Trench is considered to have the potential to contain concentrations of transuranic constituents greater than 100 nCi/g. **Reference:** DOE/RL-2009-36, *BC Cribs and Trenches Excavation-Based Treatability Test Report*.	216-B-53A, Trench	Soil, Rock, Gravel	38 m^3	*M-015-91B*: Submit FS Report(s) and Proposed Plan(s) for the 200-BC-1/200-WA-1 OUs (200 West Inner Area) by 12/31/2015. *M-016-00*: Complete remedial actions for all non-tank farm and non-canyon OUs by 9/30/2024.
200-SW-2	There are 24 landfills assigned to the 200-SW-2 OU. These landfills consist of excavated trenches that received either LLW or MLLW. The majority of the waste disposed in the 200-SW-2 landfills originated from the processing facilities located in the 200 East and 200 West Area, with some of the waste originating from the 100 and 300 Areas, as well as from offsite sources. There are collocated waste sites within the footprint of several 200-SW-2 landfills. These waste sites include 3 ponds, burn pit, and a ditch. Before 1970, LLW was disposed in the same landfill trenches as waste that contained transuranic elements and/or mixed fission product. After 1970, waste that was designated as TRU waste was segregated in either specified LLBG trenches or underground concrete caissons within the landfills for future retrieval. Retrieval of this TRU waste (currently known as retrievably stored suspect-TRU waste) is accomplished under TPA Milestones M-091-40 and M-091-41, as discussed in Chapter 3 of this PMP. Prior to 1960, detailed inventory records were not maintained and specific information about the early landfills often is not available. **References:** The estimated volumes are based on currently available data in SWITS. DOE/RL-2004-60, *200-SW-1 Nonradioactive Landfills Group Operable Unit and 200-SW-2 Radioactive Landfills Group Operable Unit Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan*.	218-E-12B, Landfill	Debris	120 m^3	*M-015-93B*: Submit RFI/CMS, RI/FS, and Proposed Corrective Action Decision/ Proposed Plan for the 200-SW-2 OU by 12/31/2016. *M-016-00*: Complete remedial actions for all non-tank farm and non-canyon OUs by 9/30/2024.
		218-E-5, Landfill		140 m^3	
		218-W-1, Landfill		6,560 m^3	
		218-W-2, Landfill		8,240 m^3	
		218-W-2A, Landfill		180 m^3	
		218-W-3, Landfill		5,930 m^3	
		218-W-3A, Landfill		50 m^3	
		218-W-4A, Landfill		5,140 m^3	
		218-W-4B, Landfill		1,110 m^3	
		Total		**27,290 m^3**	
200-WA-1	200 West Inner Area (200-WA-1) is defined as other sites in the 200 West Area not included in 200-CR-1; 200-IS-1; 200-PW-1,-6; 200-BC-1; 200-CW-5; or 200-SW-2 are within the new 200-WA-1 OU. **References:** DOE/RL-2007-02, *Supplemental Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the 200 Area Central Plateau Operable Units*. DOE/RL-2005-61, *Remedial Investigation Report for the 200-LW-1 (300 Area Chemical Laboratory Waste Group) and 200-LW-2 (200 Area Chemical Laboratory Waste Group) Operable Units*. From Table 2-15 in RHO-RE-ST-30P, *Hanford Defense Waste Disposal Alternatives: Engineering Support Data for the Hanford Defense Wasted- Environmental Impact Statement*. DOE/RL-2003-64, *Feasibility Study for the 200-TW-1 Scavenged Waste Group, the 200-TW-2 Tank Waste Group, and the 200-PW-5 Fission-Product Rich Waste Group Operable Units*.	216-S-1, & -2, Crib	Soil, Gravel, Rock	1,700 m^3	*M-015-91B*: Submit FS Report(s) and Proposed Plan (s) for the 200-BC-1/200-WA-1 OUs (200 West Inner Area) by 12/31/2015. *M-016-00*: Complete remedial actions for all non-tank farm and non-canyon OUs by 9/30/2024.
		216-Z-7, Crib		590 m^3	
		241-T-361	Sludge/Liquid	93 m^3	

Table E-1. Operable Units and Facilities with Potential to Generate Waste with Transuranic Constituents Greater Than 100 nCi/g during CERCLA Cleanup Actions

Operable Unit/Site Name	Description	Potential Waste with Transuranic Constituents Greater Than 100 nCi/g			Schedule
		Waste Unit Name	Waste Form	Volume	
200-DV-1	The 200-DV-1 OU includes waste sites with deep vadose zone contamination that may be a potential threat to groundwater and cannot be remediated using typical surface techniques (e.g., excavation and capping). The vadose zone is defined as the unsaturated region of soil between the ground surface and the water table. **Reference:** Estimated volumes taken from Table 2-15 in RHO-RE-ST-30P, *Hanford Defense Waste Disposal Alternatives: Engineering Support Data for the Hanford Defense Wasted- Environmental Impact Statement*.	216-T-3, Injection/Reverse Well	Soil, Rock, Gravel	<10 m^3	*M-015-110A*: Submit RFI/CMS and RI/FS work plan for the 200-DV-1 OU by 3/31/2015. *M-015-110B*: Submit CMS, FS, and Proposed Plan/Proposed Corrective Action Decision for 200-DV-1 by 9/30/2015. *M-016-00*: Complete remedial actions for all non-tank farm and non-canyon OUs by 9/30/2024.
		216-B-5, Injection/Reverse Well		60 m^3	
		216-B-7A & -7B, Crib		430 m^3	
		216-T-32, Crib		460 m^3	
		216-T-18, Crib		590 m^3	
		216-T-5, Trench		TBD	
		216-T-7, Crib		TBD	
		216-T-6, Crib		290 m^3	
		Total		**1,840 m^3**	
200-IS-1, 200-EA-1	200 East Inner Area (200-EA-1) and 200-IS-1 sites not included in one of the canyon OUs will remain in the 200-IS-1 OU. Other waste sites not included in 200-CS-1, 200-CP-1, 200-PW-3, or 200-SW-2 are reassigned to the new 200-EA-1 OU. The 200-IS-1 OU includes pipelines, diversion boxes, catch tanks, related structures, and RCRA TSD tanks. Potential source of TRU waste is residual sludge/liquid within the structures. Associated pipelines and structures (e.g., diversion boxes, catch tanks, vaults, and storage tanks) are expected to be LLW. The 241-CX-72 Storage Tank is located at the former Hot Semiworks Facility, East of B Plant in the 200 East Area. The 200-EA-1 OU includes the 241-B-361 Settling Tank was used for waste originating in B Plant. **References:** Volume of residual sludge in Tank 241-CX-72 from Table 2-2 in DOE/RL-2002-14, *241-CX-72 Tanks/Lines/Pits/Boxes/Septic Tank and Drain Fields Waste Group OU RI/FS Work Plan and RCRA TSD Unit Sampling Plan; Includes: 200-IS-1 and 200-ST-1 OUs*. Volume of residual sludge in 241-B-361 from Table 2-3 in DOE/RL-2003-64, *Feasibility Study for the 200-TW-1 Scavenged Waste Group, the 200-TW-2 Tank Waste Group, and the 200-PW-5 Fission-Product Rich Waste Group OUs*. DOE/RL-2010-114, *200-IS-1 Operable Unit Pipeline System Waste Sites RFI/CMS/RI/FS Work Plan*.	241-CX-72, Storage Tank	Sludge/Liquid	3 m^3	*M-015-92A*: Submit an RFI/CMS and RI/FS work plan for the 200-EA-1 OU (200 East Inner Area) by 6/30/2015. *M-015-92B*: Submit CMS, FS, and Proposed Corrective Action Decision(s)/Proposed Plan(s) for the 200-EA-1 and 200-IS-1 OUs (Central Plateau 200 East Inner Area) by 12/31/2016. *M-016-00*: Complete remedial actions for all non-tank farm and non-canyon OUs by 9/30/2024. *M-037-10*: Complete unit-specific closure requirements according to the closure plan for 241-CX Tank System (241-CX-70/71/72) by 9/30/2020.
		241-B-361, Settling Tank		78 m^3	
		Diversion Boxes, Catch Tanks		TBD	
		Total		81 m^3	
200-CP-1, PUREX Tunnel #1 and Tunnel #2	The PUREX plant consists of the main fuels reprocessing building (202A) and a number of ancillary buildings. WHC-IP-0977, Section 4.0, describes the many process vessels, chemical storage tanks, and other types of equipment that are potential candidates for removal and processing as solid waste. The volume of potential solids waste is estimated at 9,660 m^3 of which it is estimated that seven percent is TRU.	PUREX Complex	Debris	680 m^3	*M-085-20A*: Submit RI/FS work plan for the 200-CP-1 OU (PUREX Canyon/associated past practice waste sites) by 9/30/2015. *M-085-00*: Complete response actions for
		PUREX Tunnel #1		270 m^3	
		PUREX Tunnel #2		410 m^3	

Table E-1. Operable Units and Facilities with Potential to Generate Waste with Transuranic Constituents Greater Than 100 nCi/g during CERCLA Cleanup Actions

Operable Unit/Site Name	Description	Potential Waste with Transuranic Constituents Greater Than 100 nCi/g			Schedule
		Waste Unit Name	Waste Form	Volume	
	The PUREX Plant is designated as a Tier 1 facility. Final disposition to be addressed using the CERCLA remedial action coordinated with RCRA closure. Completion schedules to be established with the RI/FS work plans and RD/RA work plans and closure conditions/schedules established in the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit. **Reference:** WHC-IP-0977, *Estimation of PUREX Equipment and Materials That are Candidates for Removal and Waste Processing During PUREX Plant Closure*. The two PUREX tunnels, Tunnel #1 and Tunnel #2, were used for interim storage to shelter failed or obsolete process equipment. The process equipment, bulky and highly radioactive, could not be removed from the PUREX Plant. Tunnel #1 is filled to capacity with eight railcars that contain approximately 590 m^3 (20,835 ft) of unsegregated radioactive waste. Section 3.1 of WHC-IP-0977 describes the equipment stored in Tunnel #1. It is estimated that approximately 45 percent of the waste could be classified as TRU, while the remainder is LLW. Tunnel #2, which currently holds 17 railcars, contains approximately 1,370 m^3 (61,094 ft) of unsegregated radioactive waste. Section 3.2 of WHC-IP-0977, describes the equipment stored in Tunnel #2. Approximately 30 percent of the unsegregated radioactive waste is estimated to be TRU. **Reference:** WHC-IP-0977, *Estimation of PUREX Equipment and Materials That are Candidates for Removal and Waste Processing During PUREX Plant Closure*.	**Total**		1,360 m^3	the canyon facilities/associated past practice waste sites, other Tier 1 Central Plateau facilities not covered by existing milestones, and Tier 2 Central Plateau facilities by TBD.
224B	The 224B Building, located in the 200 East Area of the Hanford Site, was used to purify and concentrate diluted plutonium nitrate solution that was the of the 221-B Building bismuth-phosphate process. The building consists of a single canyon-type building, constructed of reinforced concrete and concrete block. There are six hot cell areas within the 224B Building. Majority of the radioactive inventory exists within the process cell equipment and piping. The 224B Building is designated as a Tier 1 Facility based on the fact that an EE/CA has already been developed and not on the results of the graded approach process. Final demolition of the 224B Building will be in accordance with DOE/RL-2004-36, *Action Memorandum for the Non-Time Critical Removal Action for the 224-B Plutonium Concentration Facility*. **References:** DOE/RL-2004-36, *Action Memorandum for the Non-Time Critical Removal Action for the 224-B Plutonium Concentration Facility*. SD-DD-TRP-002, *Radiological Characterization of the 224B Hot Cell*.	224B	Debris	TBD	*M-085-50*: Submit revised removal action work plan for the 224B Concentration Facility by 12/31/2015. *M-085-00*: Complete response actions for the canyon facilities/associated past practice waste sites, other Tier 1 Central Plateau facilities not covered by existing milestones, and Tier 2 Central Plateau facilities by TBD.
200-CR-1	The REDOX Facility, also referred to as the 221-S Process Canyon Building or S Plant, is a chemical separation facility constructed in 1952 to employ an advanced organic solvent extraction process as a replacement for the B and T Plants. Irradiated rods were transferred to the REDOX facility where the plutonium was extracted and transferred as plutonium nitrate to Z Plant for final processing. As with other canyon buildings, the REDOX facility is constructed entirely of concrete and its process equipment is contained in cells. The REDOX Canyon and Service Facility is designated as a Tier 1 facility. Final disposition of the REDOX Facility is to be addressed using CERCLA remedial action. Completion schedules to be established with RI/FS work plans and RD/RA work plans. **Reference:** BHI-00176, *S Plant Aggregate Area Management Study Technical Baseline Report*.	REDOX	Debris	TBD	*M-085-30A*: Submit RI/FS work plan for the 200-CR-1 OU (REDOX Canyon/associated past practice waste sites) by 12/31/2017. *M-085-00*: Complete response actions for the canyon facilities/associated past practice waste sites, other Tier 1 Central Plateau facilities not covered by existing milestones, and Tier 2 Central Plateau facilities by TBD.

This page intentionally left blank.

References

BHI-00176, 1995, *S Plant Aggregate Area Management Study Technical Baseline Report*, Rev. 00, Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www5.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D198038143.

DOE/RL-2002-14, 2008, *Tanks/Lines/Pits/Boxes/Septic Tank and Drain Fields Waste Group Operable Unit RI/FS/Work Plan and RCRA TSD Unit Sampling Plan; Includes: 200-IS-1 and 200-ST-1 Operable Units*, Rev. 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www5.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=0084720.

DOE/RL-2003-64, 2004, *Feasibility Study for the 200-TW-1 Scavenged Waste Group, the 200-TW-2 Tank Waste Group, and the 200-PW-5 Fission-Product Rich Waste Group Operable Units*, Draft A, Re-issue, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at:
http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D4510867.
http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D4511663.
http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D4512590.
http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D4518291.

DOE/RL-2004-36, 2004, *Action Memorandum for the Non-Time Critical Removal Action for the 224-B Plutonium Concentration Facility*, Revision 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www5.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=D6731933.

DOE/RL-2004-60, 2011, *200-SW-1 Nonradioactive Landfills and Dumps Group Operable Unit and 200-SW-2 Radioactive Landfills and Dumps Group Operable Unit Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan*, Rev. 1, Draft A, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2005-61, 2006, *Remedial Investigation Report for the 200-LW-1 (300 Area Chemical Laboratory Waste Group) and 200-LW-2 (200 Area Chemical Laboratory Waste Group) Operable Units*, Draft A, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland Washington. Available at:
http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=DA02009333.
http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=DA02009967.

DOE/RL-2007-02, 2007, *Supplemental Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the 200 Areas Central Plateau Operable Units: Volume I: Work Plan and Appendices*, Rev. 0, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www2.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=00099914.

DOE/RL-2007-02, 2007, *Supplemental Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan for the 200 Areas Central Plateau Operable Units: Volume II: Site-Specific Field-Sampling Plan Addenda*, Rev. 0, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington. Available at:
http://www5.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=00099913.

DOE/RL-2009-36, 2010, *BC Cribs and Trenches Excavation-Based Treatability Test Report*, Rev. 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-2010-114, 2011, *200-IS-1 Operable Unit Pipeline System Waste Sites RFI/CMS/RI/FS Work Plan,* Draft A, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

RHO-RE-ST-30P, 1985, *Hanford Defense Waste Disposal Alternatives: Engineering Support Data for the Hanford Defense Wasted- Environmental Impact Statement*, Rockwell Hanford Operations, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www5.hanford.gov/arpir/?content=findpage&AKey=E0003004.

SD-DD-TRP-002, 1985, *Radiological Characterization of the 224B Hot Cell*, Rockwell Hanford Operations, Richland, Washington. Available at: http://www2.hanford.gov/ARPIR/?content=findpage&AKey=D197083451.

WHC-IP-0977, 1993, *Estimation of PUREX Equipment and Materials That are Candidates for Removal and Waste Processing During PUREX Plant Closure*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Appendix F

Critical Path Schedule

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

This page intentionally left blank.



Figure F-1. Critical Path Schedule (Sheet 1 of 3)



Figure F-1. Critical Path Schedule (Sheet 2 of 3)



Figure F-1. Critical Path Schedule (Sheet 3 of 3)

This page intentionally left blank.