

START

9513356.2329


15
0041575

Meeting Minutes Transmittal


200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY (ETF)
and
LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY (LERF)
and
242-A EVAPORATOR
Unit Managers Meeting
712 Swift Boulevard, EPA Conference Room
Richland, Washington

February 21, 1995
2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.


The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Unit Managers Meeting.


Paul W. Jones, Unit Manager, RL


Date: 4-11-95


Daniel L. Duncan, RCRA Program Manager, EPA Region 10

Date: 6-12-95


Mike Gordon, Unit Manager, Washington State Department of Ecology


Date: 4/19/95


Don Flyckt, 200 ETF Contractor Representative, WHC

Date: 4/11/95

Joe G. Coenenberg, LERF Contractor Representative, WHC


Date: _____


Brian H. Von Bargaen, 242-A Contractor Representative, WHC

Date: 4/11/95

Purpose: Discuss Permitting Process

Meeting Minutes are attached. The minutes are comprised of the following:
Attachment 1 - Agenda
Attachment 2 - Summary of Discussion and Commitments/Agreements
Attachment 3 - Attendance List
Attachment 4 - Action Items
Attachment 5 - Proposal to Delay/Eliminate Six-Month Sampling at LERF
February 16, 1995



Attachment 1

**Unit Managers Meeting
200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY (ETF)
AND
LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY (LERF)
AND
242-A EVAPORATOR
712 Swift Boulevard, EPA Conference Room
Richland, Washington**

**February 21, 1995
2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.**

Agenda**200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility**

1. Status of Schedule/Design/Construction (WHC)
2. Program Status
3. General Discussion
 - Discuss the schedule for consolidation of the three Part B permit applications
4. Action Items

Liquid Effluent Retention Facility

1. Status of Permit Application
2. Program Status
3. General Discussion
 - Discuss revising six-month sampling event in basins
 - Provide regulatory requirements for LERF contingency space
4. Action Items

242-A Evaporator

1. Status of Permit Application
2. Program Status
3. General Discussion
4. Action Items
5. Set Next Meeting Date

Attachment 2

**200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY
and
LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY
and
242-A
Unit Managers Meeting
712 Swift Boulevard, EPA Conference Room
Richland, Washington**

**February 21, 1995
2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.**

Summary of Discussion and Commitments/Agreements**200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY****1. STATUS OF SCHEDULE/DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION**

Mr. S. Godfrey (WHC) provided the status of the schedule for design and construction. Construction is 99 percent complete. The items remaining to be completed include the final site preparations, repairing cracking, coating, instrument tagging and labeling, and the collection system. Forty-eight of the 63 acceptance test procedures (ATPs) have been completed. Mr. Godfrey stated that the main treatment train ATP was scheduled to begin last week.

The construction contractor is projecting completion of testing by March 8, 1995. WHC/Kaiser are projecting the end of March 1995 for completion of construction and initiating the turnover process.

2. PROGRAM STATUS

There was no discussion under program status.

3. GENERAL DISCUSSION

There were no items for general discussion.

4. ACTION ITEMS

There were no action items to status.

LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY**1. STATUS OF PERMIT APPLICATION**

Revision of the Part B permit application is on hold until the Notice of Deficiency (NOD) workshop meetings begin and efforts to consolidate the three Part B permit applications (200 ETF, LERF and 242-A Evaporator) are initiated.

2. PROGRAM STATUS

Mr. M. Gordon (Ecology) stated that he will be leaving Ecology on March 6, 1995, and a new unit manager should be assigned in the near future.

3. GENERAL DISCUSSION**• Discuss Revising Six-Month Sampling Event in Basins**

Mr. B. Von Bargaen (WHC) distributed a handout (Attachment 5) which proposed to delay/eliminate the six-month sampling at LERF. Mr. M. Bowman (WHC) stated that the basis for sampling would be to combine LERF sampling with 200 ETF sampling needs and any land disposal restrictions (LDRs) that may need to be addressed. Mr. D. Duncan (EPA) referred to EPA's letter to RL/WHC which stated that the management of waste in the LERF basins meets the definition of treatment under the regulations. Mr. Duncan pointed out that the letter included specific requirements for granting a surface impoundment treatment exemption, and he asked if RL/WHC plan to address those issues. Mr. J. Coenenberg (WHC) responded that RL/WHC will address the issues. Mr. Von Bargaen added that WHC is evaluating the LDR issues; however, the due date for the evaluation is April 1995, and the sampling is required in March 1995. Following further discussion, Mr. Gordon agreed that the six-month sampling was not necessary.

• Provide Regulatory Requirements for LERF Contingency Space

Mr. Coenenberg initiated a discussion regarding a requirement for contingency space in the LERF basins, and stated that this issue had been discussed during the LERF NOD workshops and the Unit Manager Meetings. Mr. Coenenberg stated his understanding of the agreement among RL/WHC and Ecology was that contingency space could be a combination of space in the basins and did not have to be one empty basin. Mr. Gordon stated that according to the regulations, RL/WHC do not have to maintain an empty basin. However, Mr. Gordon stated that Ecology recommends keeping the third basin clean. Mr. Von Bargaen stated RL/WHC's intent is to maintain a third basin, but prefer to keep the option open to use the third basin if necessary. Ecology and RL/WHC agreed this issue was resolved, and that a combination of space in the basins could be considered contingency space.

4. ACTION ITEMS

There were no action items to status. Mr. Duncan requested a response to EPA's letter regarding the surface treatment impoundment exemption. Mr. Coenberg stated that the response would be handled through the M-17 Milestone Unit Managers Meeting. Ms. J. Williams (WHC) provided a draft response to Mr. D. Sherwood (EPA) during the February 16, 1995 M-17 Milestone Unit Managers Meeting, and Mr. Sherwood agreed to forward a copy to Mr. Duncan.

242-A EVAPORATOR**1. STATUS OF PERMIT APPLICATION**

The status of the Part B permit application was covered under the status of the LERF permit application.

2. PROGRAM STATUS

Mr. Von Bargaen stated that the 242-A Evaporator is still in the 95-1 campaign, 95-1 working outage, and June 1, 1995 is the scheduled start-up date. The preliminary data from the waste staging and sampling for 106 AP has been completed, and 107 AP has been sampled. Tanks 106 AP and 108 AP will be staged for the next campaign for transfer purposes.

3. GENERAL DISCUSSION

Mr. Gordon initiated a discussion regarding the cleanout of Tank C-100 being pumped through a single-encased line. Mr. Von Bargaen explained that the C-100 pump needs to be replaced, and the tank must be drained dry. Most of the volume was pumped out, but there is a heel remaining that has to be drained through a six-inch, single-encased line. Mr. Gordon suggested that RL/WHC confer with Mr. J. Grantham (Ecology) regarding leak testing the line and what the limitations are before proceeding.

4. ACTION ITEMS

Action item 5-19-94:1, Provide Ecology the waste analysis plan sampling data when available from the first campaign.

Mr. Gordon stated that the data had been received by Ecology. This action item was closed.

5. SET NEXT MEETING DATE

The next Unit Managers Meeting was deferred until a new unit manager is assigned.

Attachment 3

200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY (ETF)
and
LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY (LERF)
and
242-A EVAPORATOR
Unit Managers Meeting
712 Swift Boulevard, EPA Conference Room
Richland, Washington

February 21, 1995
2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

Attendance List

A stenographer is present to take detailed notes on the proceedings of this meeting. These notes will be used for the sole purpose of preparing unit manager meeting minutes. After these unit manager meeting minutes are finalized, the detailed notes will be discarded. If any attendee has objections with this approach, they should voice these objections at this time.

Name	Organization	Phone #
Kathy Knox	WHC	372-3596
Walter Alconis	WHC	376-9390
Joe Koerner	WHC	372-3935
Tony Miskito	WHC	376-7313
Elizabeth Bowles	RL-LEP Mgr	373-9276
Nancy Ballantyne	WHC	2-1547
Anna Beard	DOE-RL LEP	376-7472
Brian Van Bargaen	WHC	373-1809
Mike Gordon	Ecology	206.407.7143
Joe Coenowberg	WHC	376-1745
Jay Warwick	WHC	373-3073
Dow Flycht	WHC	372-4132
Mark Bowman	WHC	373-9379
Paul Johnson	WHC	373-1970
Steve Godfrey	WHC	372-0801
Michael J. Royack	DOE/TWRS/TOF	376-4420
JEFFREY R MARKILLIE	DAMES & MOORE/GSSC	946-3685
STEVE SKURLA	ECOLOGY	736-3011
Melodie Selby	Ecology	736-3011
Dan Duncan	EPA	(206) 553-6693
C.J. Greier	WHC/TWRS ECO	373-5617
TESPAL BASRA	WHC/TWRS EE	373-5039
Paul W. Jones	DOE-RL EAF	373-6635

Attachment 4

200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY
and
LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY
and
242-A EVAPORATOR
Unit Mangers Meeting
712 Swift Boulevard, EPA Conference Room
Richland, Washington

February 21, 1995
2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

Action Items

<u>Action Item</u>	<u>Description</u>
200 ETF:	
12-6-94:1	RL/WHC and Ecology will be prepared at the next UMM to discuss the schedule for consolidation of the three Part B permit applications (200 ETF, LERF and 242-A).
	CLOSED
LERF:	
8-23-94:1	WHC will notify Ecology and EPA by letter that leak testing on basin 44 passed, and that WHC will return to the original plan of two basins storage volume and one basin contingency volume. ACTION: M. Bowman (WHC)
	CLOSED
242-A Evaporator:	
5-19-94:1	Provide Ecology the Waste Analysis Plan sampling data when available from the first campaign. ACTION: L. Garner (WHC)
	CLOSED
10-6-94:1	Provide Ecology a schedule of the 242-A Evaporator campaigns, including the projected start and end date of each campaign and the tanks that will be used. ACTION: C. Ruud (DOE-RL)
	CLOSED

Attachment 5

200 AREA EFFLUENT TREATMENT FACILITY
and
LIQUID EFFLUENT RETENTION FACILITY
and
242-A EVAPORATOR
Unit Mangers Meeting
712 Swift Boulevard, EPA Conference Room
Richland, Washington

February 21, 1995
2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

PROPOSAL TO DELAY/ELIMINATE SIX-MONTH SAMPLING AT LERF
February 6, 1995

PROPOSAL TO DELAY/ELIMINATE SIX-MONTH SAMPLING AT LERF
February 16, 1995

Requirement:

The LERF Part B Permit Application (DOE/RL-90-43, Rev 0) requires six-month sampling for various chemical constituents as long as waste is stored in a basin.

Status:

- Basin 43: Received first process condensate (PC) discharge in April 1994. Received all of the PC from Evaporator Campaign 94-1 (3.08 million gallons) and most of the PC from Evaporator Campaign 94-2. This basin currently contains approximately 6.18 million gallons. This basin must be sampled in March 1995 under current requirements.
- Basin 42: Received PC from the final pass of Evaporator Campaign 94-2 (November 1994). This basin contains approximately 433,000 gallons. This basin must be sampled in May 1995 under current requirements.

Proposal:

1. Delay the six-month sampling of Basin 43 (scheduled 3/95) and Basin 42 (scheduled 5/95) as required by the LERF Part B Permit. Coordinate LERF sampling needs with the ETF startup sampling event.
2. Evaluate the elimination of the permit application's six-month sampling requirement by developing an integrated strategy for sampling LERF to meet the requirements of all three facilities: Evaporator, LERF, and ETF. The requirement will be documented in the applicable Waste Analysis Plan(s).

Justification for Proposals:

1. Five PC samples have been collected during each of the two Evaporator campaigns (94-1 and 94-2). These sample results are well below the LERF limits established in the Evaporator/LERF DQO (WHC-SD-WM-DQO-014, Rev 0). One six-month sample set was also collected directly from the LERF prior to the second Evaporator campaign in 9/94. These results are also below the limits. Continuation of the six-month sampling requirement will not provide any additional assurance nor is it designed to collect the necessary data for ETF operation. In addition, feed tank characterization includes analysis of these constituents and a process control plan evaluates and defines the processing parameters to ensure that no limits will be exceeded. Considering the cost, there is very little benefit for sampling in March and May 1995, particularly when another sampling event for ETF must be performed.

2. Currently, the Evaporator collects samples of the PC during each campaign. The LERF is required to sample every six months. The ETF is also proposing a sampling strategy in the draft Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) recently circulated for review. There is a clear sampling and analysis optimization required for these facilities.

Note: The requirements for sampling in the Part B Permit Application at each facility were largely the result of the administrative separation of the three facilities. Each facility was administered as a stand-alone and therefore it was considered that each facility operator should establish controls to ensure all requirements were met even though these controls were redundant. The plan developed by WHC, DOE, and Ecology personnel to integrate the facilities has created a beneficial opportunity to reduce operational costs.

Strategy:



1. Issue a letter to Ecology stating that the six-month LERF sampling in the LERF WAP (Chapter 3 of the Part B) will not be performed in March (Basin 43) and May (Basin 42). The sampling will be done as part of the ETF sampling scheduled for ETF startup and will include both LERF and ETF analysis requirements.
2. Integrate the Evaporator, LERF, and ETF process condensate sampling and analysis requirements to ensure that all necessary data is collected while minimizing the number of samples. Develop a sound strategy for location and frequency of sampling that supports both the operational and regulatory needs of an integrated facility.

One strategy under consideration is to sample at the LERF for all three facilities' needs at the end of each Evaporator campaign. This would verify that LERF liner compatibility and discharge limits are met for process condensate discharged during each Evaporator campaign. It would also ensure current data is available and representative of LERF contents which would support operating ETF independent of the Evaporator. This strategy could be developed and issued in the ETF WAP. Process condensate sampling needs in the Evaporator WAP and LERF WAP would refer to this WAP until final permit integration is complete.

Attachments:

- Attachment 1: Diagram Summarizing Evaporator Campaigns
- Attachment 2: Protocol Data Summary for Evaporator and LERF Sampling
- Attachment 3: LERF Limits from Evaporator/LERF DQO
- Attachment 4: Semi-Annual Sampling Requirement from LERF Part B Permit Application

PROCESS CONDENSATE TRANSFERRED TO LERF



Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1

Table 1 - Campaign 94-1 Process Condensate Protocol Data (Validated)

NOTE: These data represent process condensate discharged to LERF Basin 43.

Sample Number		BOBTW4	BOBTW8	BOBTX2	BOBTX6	BOBTY0	LERF Limit
Date		04-May-94	09-May-94	16-May-94	23-May-94	06-Jun-94	
Acetone	mg/L	1.300	1.100	0.900	0.700	0.210	200000
2-Butanone	mg/L	0.044	0.039	0.039	0.034	< 0.015	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	mg/L	< 0.010	0.005	0.003	< 0.010	< 0.010	
2-Hexanone	mg/L	< 0.010	< 0.005	0.012	< 0.010	0.006	
Tetrahydrofuran	mg/L	0.090	0.067	0.072	ND	0.044	2000
1-Butanol	mg/L	2.500	4.600	3.500	1.200	1.200	500000
2-Propanol	mg/L	ND	0.740	0.530	2.200	0.097	
2-Butoxyethanol	mg/L	0.054	0.400	0.250	0.380	0.046	2000
Tridecane	mg/L	0.084	0.054	0.160	0.003	0.150	2000
Tetradecane	mg/L	0.160	0.130	0.340	0.011	0.270	
Tributyl Phosphate	mg/L	0.038	0.021	0.030	0.012	0.013	
NH3	mg/L	35.0	114.0	45.0	29.4	0.1	10000
pH		9.86	10.15	10.1	10	9.09	12.5

Table 2 - LERF Basin 43 Protocol Data (Validated)

24 Samples Collected 9/19-21/94

Analyte		Minimum	Maximum	LERF Limit
Acetone	mg/L	0.990	1.800	200000
2-Butanone	mg/L	< 0.010	< 0.010	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	mg/L	< 0.010	< 0.010	
2-Hexanone	mg/L	< 0.010	< 0.010	
Tetrahydrofuran	mg/L	0.050	0.084	2000
1-Butanol	mg/L	< 1.000	< 1.000	500000
2-Propanol	mg/L	0.020	0.100	
2-Butoxyethanol	mg/L	< 5.0	< 25.0	2000
Tridecane	mg/L	***	***	2000
Tetradecane	mg/L	***	***	
Tributyl Phosphate	mg/L	***	***	
NH3	mg/L	25.6	35.0	10000
pH		9.4	9.9	12.5

*** Analysis not specified in LERF WAP

Table 3 - Campaign 94-2 Process Condensate Protocol Data (Preliminary)

NOTE: Sample numbers B0D0C0 through D0D0D2 represent process condensate discharged to LERF Basin 43.

Sample number B0D0D6 represents process condensate discharged to LERF Basin 42.

Sample Number		B0D0C0	B0D0C4	B0D0C8	B0D0D2	B0D0D6	LERF Limit
Date		28-Sep-94	05-Oct-94	10-Oct-94	26-Oct-94	14-Nov-94	
Acetone	mg/L	0.083	0.061	0.120	0.120	0.040	200000
2-Butanone	mg/L	6.900	8.000	0.810	0.016	0.033	
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	mg/L	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.050	< 0.050	
2-Hexanone	mg/L	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.050	< 0.050	
Tetrahydrofuran	mg/L	< 0.050	< 0.050	0.014	< 0.500	< 0.500	2000
1-Butanol	mg/L	8.000	15.000	< 1.000	1.700	< 0.500	500000
2-Propanol	mg/L	0.170	< 0.500	0.160	0.620	< 0.500	
2-Butoxyethanol	mg/L	< 25.0	ND	0.130	< 5.000	ND	2000
Tridecane	mg/L	< 0.010	0.082	0.027	< 0.010	ND	2000
Tetradecane	mg/L	0.280	0.150	0.067	0.033	ND	
Tributyl Phosphate	mg/L	0.690	3.200	1.500	1.900	ND	
NH3	mg/L	1100	318	528	79	25.8	10000
pH		11.4	10.9	11	10.6	9.7	12.5

Table 4A.2 Candidate Feed Tank Limits for LERF Liner Compatibility

Chemical Family/Parameter	Current Target Compounds	Limit (mg/L) ^{a,b,c,f}
Alcohol/Glycol	1-Butanol	500,000 x (R-1)/R
Alkanone ^c	sum of acetone, 2-butanone, 2-pentanone, 2-hexanone, methyl isobutyl ketone	200,000 x (R-1)/R
Alkenone ^d	none targeted	2000 x (R-1)/R
Aromatic/Cyclic Hydrocarbon	tetrahydrofuran	2000 x (R-1)/R
Halogenated Hydrocarbon	none targeted	2000 x (R-1)/R
Aliphatic Hydrocarbon	none targeted	500,000 x (R-1)/R
Ether	2-Butoxyethanol	2000 x (R-1)/R
Other Hydrocarbons	Tri-butyl Phosphate	2000 x (R-1)/R
Oxidizing Acid, Salt, Inorganic	none targeted	1000 x (R-1)/R
Non-oxidizing Acid, Base, Salt (including Ammonia)	ammonia	500,000 x (R-1)/R
TC	N/A	(TC - TIC)
TIC	N/A	1240 x (R-1)/R

^aR is the ratio of feed flowrate to slurry flowrate (typically R = 2).

^bThe limits are applied using the sum of the fraction technique:

$$\sum_{n=1}^i \left(\frac{Conc_n}{LIMIT_n} \right) \leq 1$$

where i is the number of organic constituents detected in analysis of the waste feed tank. The TC/TIC difference is not included in the summation.

^cKetone containing saturated alkyl group(s)

^dKetone containing unsaturated alkyl group(s)

^eWhere vendor's literature specifies different limits for chemicals in the same family, the most restrictive limit is listed.

^fIf a chemical fits in more than one chemical family, the more restrictive limit applies.

1 dense than water, the middle layer would consist of a mixture of the process
2 condensate, and the bottom would consist of precipitation solids and/or denser
3 organics. Thus, samples taken from three depths instead of five at each
4 sample port are sufficient to provide in-basin characterization of the waste.
5

6 In the first round of sampling, grab samples are collected from the
7 eight sample risers at three specified depths. The exact number of locations
8 and depths to be sampled in subsequent routine rounds of sampling depends on
9 analysis of the first round of results. The number of locations could be
10 reduced if the process condensate in the LERF is determined to be homogeneous,
11 and the number of depths could be reduced if stratification does not occur.
12 Sampling at the basin centers could be included in subsequent sampling rounds
13 if initial results demonstrate statistically significant areal variation in
14 the waste. The sampling program at the LERF is summarized in Table 3-9.
15

16 The sampling program is repeated on the following basis:

- 17 • Basins actively receiving process condensate--at one-half capacity
18 [i.e., 3.25 million gallons (12.3 million liters)] and at full
19 capacity [i.e., 6.5 million gallons (24.6 million liters)], or every
20 6 months, whichever comes first. The capacity is based on flow
21 totalizer readings taken at a flow-proportional composite sampler
22 located in the 242-A Evaporator
- 23 • Basins that are full--every 6 months.
24
25
26

27 The sampling frequency is based on several considerations. Proper
28 operation of the 242-A Evaporator is complex, and the feeds are processed on a
29 batch basis, or by waste campaign. Therefore, the waste generation rate for
30 any given period of time is not expected to be the same. From historic
31 records between 1985 and 1988, the 242-A Evaporator generated annually
32 between 8.8 million gallons (33.3 million liters) and 12.4 million gallons
33 (46.9 million liters) of process condensate (WHC 1990g). Although the
34 generation rate is expected to be less than historic generation rates, the
35 exact generation rate is varied depending on operating conditions of the
36 242-A Evaporator and mission objectives. Each basin has a capacity of
37 6.5 million gallons (24.6 million liters), and each basin is expected to fill
38 up in 6 to 18 months. For basins actively receiving process condensate,
39 sampling frequency based on both capacity and time is required. As discussed
40 previously, if stratification does occur, it would develop over time, such as
41 over a 6-month period. If the generation rate is greater than expected, it
42 would be appropriate to obtain samples from each basin at half and full
43 capacities. For basins already filled with process condensate, sampling
44 frequency based on time only is required.
45

46 A portable sampler equipped with a peristaltic pump is used to sample the
47 waste. It has a maximum lift capacity of 26 feet (8 meters), which is
48 adequate to withdraw samples from the bottom of each basin. Teflon* tubing
49 is lowered to the bottom of the sample riser to collect the first sample. The

50 * Teflon is a trademark of E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company.

Distribution:

W. C. Alaconis	WHC	H6-32
L. D. Arnold	WHC	B2-35
N. A. Ballantyne	WHC	T7-38
T. S. Basra	WHC	R1-51
A. V. Beard	RL	S7-55
E. M. Bowers	RL	S7-55
M. W. Bowman	WHC	R1-52
R. C. Bowman	WHC	H6-24
R. M. Carosino	RL	A4-52
C. E. Clark	RL	A5-15
J. G. Coenberg	WHC	H6-24
A. J. DiLiberto	WHC	H6-10
D. L. Duncan	EPA	HW-106
D. L. Flyckt	WHC	S6-71
L. A. Garner	WHC	R1-52
S. D. Godfrey	WHC	B2-35
R. D. Gustavson	WHC	R1-51
M. N. Jaraysi	Ecology	B5-18
P. W. Jones	RL	A5-15
J. W. Kelly	WHC	S6-76
P. J. Mackey	WHC	B3-15
J. R. Markillie	GSSC	B1-42
G. R. Mezger	RL	A5-18
J. J. Noble	WHC	S6-76
S. M. Price	WHC	H6-23
R. A. Quintero	RL	S7-55
M. J. Royack	RL	S7-52
M. A. Selby	Ecology	B5-18
G. L. Sinton	RL	S7-55
H. T. Tilden II	PNL	P7-68
B. H. Von Bargaen	WHC	R1-43
RCRA Files/GHL	WHC	H6-23

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD: 200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility (ETF, aka PROJECT C-018H), TSD T-2-8; Liquid Effluent Retention Facility (LERF) TSD S-2-8; 242-A Evaporator, TSD T-2-6 [Care of EDMC, WHC (H6-08)].

Washington State Department of Ecology Nuclear and Mixed Waste Hanford Files, P.O. Box 47600, Olympia, Washington 98504-7600

Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Seattle, Washington 98101, Mail Stop HW-074 (Records Center)

Please send comments on distribution list to K. E. Knox, WHC (H6-23), (509) 372-3596