1227696
[0083007H]

Meeting Minutes Transmittal

Fast Flux Test Facility
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Richland, Washington
August 28, 2014

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.

Date: 30 Oct. 2014
Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 30 Oct 14
Project Manager Representative, RL



FFTF Administrative Record	H6-08
FW Bond	H0-07
JV Borghese	H8-43
DH Chapin	A3-04
MS Collins	A6-48
DR Corriel	S2-42
BJ Dixon	T4-09
TA Hopkins	H8-45
RH Engelmann	H8-45
OA Farabee	A5-11

FAST FLUX TEST FACILITY
Project Managers Meeting Minutes
825 Jadwin/Room 460/700 Area
Richland, Washington

August 28, 2014

I. The December 19, 2013, Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Project Managers Meeting minutes were approved by RL and Ecology and submitted to the Administrative Record (AR). No FFTF PMMs were held January through July 2014.

II. Status Report

A. Darin Corriell (CHPRC) stated that there was no new status to report on FFTF. Mr. Corriell noted that the annual surveillance was performed in the March 2014 time frame, and there were no significant findings from the surveillance, with the structural integrity remaining as it has been. Mr. Corriell added that the weekly surveillance of the 400 Area Waste Management Unit (WMU) continues with no identified issues. Mr. Corriell stated that here have been a lot of tumbleweeds in the past year, and the fire protection crew had some issues with tumbleweed cleanup. Mr. Corriell noted that Mission Support Alliance (MSA) has a smaller staff and has reduced some of its spraying, and there is a sitewide issue with tumbleweeds.

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no previous agreements or commitments.

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

A. There were no new agreements or commitments.

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities (Milestones)

A. M-092-09

Doug Chapin (RL) reported that the change package regarding milestone M-092-00 was signed by RL. Jane Borghese (CHPRC), who was introduced as the new TPA lead for CHPRC, took an action to follow up on the approval status of the M-092-00 change package and send an email to the parties to include the language in the change form. Mr. Chapin noted that there were three interim milestones under M-092-00, and milestone M-092-09 is a global milestone that covers capital facilities for sodium. Mr. Chapin added that one of the remaining interim milestones under M-092-00 is associated with the cesium/strontium capsules at WESF. Rick Bond (Ecology) stated that the interim milestone for the 300 Area special case waste has been completed. Mr. Chapin concurred that it was completed. Mr. Bond indicated that the change package for milestone M-092-00 requires a schedule for disposition of the site sodium by 2018. Mr. Chapin noted that the Record of Decision (ROD) was issued for the tank closure Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)..

B. "Path Forward" on the Response to the K. Conaway Inspection Report Letter

Brian Dixon (CHPRC) provided an update regarding Ecology's July 11, 2014 letter on the inspection follow-up. Mr. Dixon reported that Ecology's letter stated the corrective actions have been completed on the five violations, with the exception of a portion of item No. 2 regarding confirmation that the NaK could be appropriately converted to sodium hydroxide or disposed. Mr. Dixon stated that RL requested an extension from the initial 14-day response, and the response is now due by the middle of September 2014. Mr. Dixon noted that the extension request was sent to Ecology in late July 2014. Mr. Dixon stated that the issue has

been researched, and a letter is being drafted to provide the additional information that Ecology requested by mid-September 2014.

Mr. Bond asked if the NaK was the only outstanding issue from the inspection. Mr. Dixon responded that the NaK issue was the only item that Ecology requested a response to, and there were a couple of other issues in Ecology's letter that will be briefly addressed in RL's response letter. Mr. Dixon stated that one of the issues was that Ecology had indicated the details in the closure plan were not sufficient. Mr. Bond noted that Ecology is requesting more detail in the closure plan to explain why the items stored at the Interim Satellite Area (ISA) are not being moved to a different location. Mr. Bond indicated that John Price's (Ecology) preference is to move the items from the ISA and the Fuel Storage Facility (FSF) rather than keeping them there and doing weekly inspections for several years. Mr. Dixon stated that the response will be that the items are being stored safely and compliantly, and that the closure plan has been approved. Mr. Dixon noted that closure plans across the site are being reviewed, and Ecology and EPA have had comments on closure plans. Mr. Dixon added that during the Rev. 9 process, the 400 Area WMU closure plan can be reviewed, along with all the other Hanford Site closure plans, to ensure there is a consistent level of detail and information. Mr. Dixon stated that until that time, RL/CHPRC believe that the schedule for the 400 Area WMU closure plan is defined by the TPA.

Mr. Dixon referred to the discussion regarding the milestone for the sodium treatment and disposition, stating that the 400 Area WMU is central to that project. Mr. Dixon added that until a date is established when the FFTF area will be dispositioned, RL/CHPRC will not be ready to close the 400 Area WMU. Mr. Dixon stated that the response letter to Ecology will have some language about the closure plan and what RL/CHPRC's position is, but there won't be a lot of time spent defending its position. Mr. Bond responded that Mr. Price has indicated that if RL/CHPRC provided more documentation about continuing to store the items at the ISA and the FSF, there is the potential for leaving it in place rather than moving it. Mr. Bond noted that it appears that RL/CHPRC's response letter is addressing the situation. Mr. Dixon agreed, adding that it has been stated in other documents why RL/CHPRC believe it is necessary to leave the items in place. Mr. Dixon stated that there is a cost and risk to moving the items, and they are good facilities, especially with the argon blanket at FSF.

Mr. Chapin stated that the 400 Area WMU RCRA permit is needed if sodium residuals are dispositioned there.

C. Brief on Results of Surveillance of 400 Area Conducted this Past Spring
Mr. Engelmann noted that this topic was addressed under II (Status Report).

D. 400 Area WMU Status
Mr. Engelmann noted that the 400 Area WMU was discussed under 5B.

VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

A. There were no approved changes to discuss.

VII. General Discussion

A. Mr. Dixon stated that EPA conducted an inspection of the 400 Area in May 2014, with Ecology accompanying EPA, and asked Mr. Bond if he had heard when any feedback

or report will be provided on the inspection. Mr. Dixon noted that EPA has the lead on the inspection. Mr. Bond responded that he had not heard anything about the inspection. Mr. Chapin asked if the inspection was on the less than 90-day storage areas. Mr. Engelmann stated that the inspection was focused on the accumulation areas. Mr. Dixon added that EPA and Ecology looked at the TSD as well and walked through the FSF and the ISA, and then looked at satellite areas, including the areas in the MASF facility that's under the sludge treatment project. Mr. Dixon stated that although EPA was the lead on the inspection, Ms. Conaway suggested that the drums in the ISA should be facing out so they could be inspected from outside the rad boundary, without having to go inside. Mr. Dixon indicated that it was a good suggestion that may be followed up by turning a couple of drums so the labeling could be seen

VIII. Actions

A. A new action was identified for Ms. Borghese to follow up with an email regarding milestone M-092-09.

IX. Documents for the Administrative Record

A. There were no documents identified for submittal to the AR.

X. Next Project Managers Meeting

The next PMM was scheduled for April 23, 2015.

FFTF
Project Managers Meeting
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Hanford, Washington

August 28, 2014
ATTENDANCE LIST

	Name	Organization	Phone Number
1.	Rick Engelmann	CHPRC Environment	376-7485
2.	Ted A Hopkins	CHPRC Env.	376-7487
3.	Doug Chapin	DOE-RL/FFTF	373-9396
4.	Karin Carroll	CHPRC	376-1743
5.	Stephanie Johansen	CHPRC	373-1031
6.	Jane V Borghese	CHPRC	373-3804
7.	PS Badlaa	CHPRC	373-9792
8.	Brian Dixon	CHPRC	Phone
9.	Michael Collins	DOE-RL	376 6536
10.	Kathy Knox	Knox Court Reporting	946-5535
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			
16.			
17.			
18.			
19.			
20.			
21.			
22.			
23.			
24.			
25.			

FFTF PROJECT MEETING
825 Jadwin / Room 540S
Hanford, Washington
August 28, 2014

8:00 – 9:00 A.M.

Agenda

I. The December 19, 2013, Project Managers Meeting (PMM) minutes were approved by RL and Ecology representatives and submitted to Administrative Record. No FFTF PMM was held January through July 2014.

II. Status Report

III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments

IV. New Agreements and Commitments

V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities (Milestones)
   A. M-92-09
   B. "Path forward" on the response to the K. Conaway inspection report letter.
   C. Brief on results of surveillance of 400 Area conducted this past spring by CHPRC.
   D. 400 Area WMU Status

VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2

VII. General Discussion

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record

X. Next Project Managers Meeting