0063621

DISCOVERY SITE EVALUATION CHECKLIST

(To be completed by a member of ERC Data Management and included with the data package for a newly discovered potential waste management unit.)

Site Code: UPR-200-E-92 5/17/2001

Site Alias(es): UPR-200-E-92, 216-E-20, UN-216-E-20, UN-216-20, Ground Contamination Outside 200 East Fence, UN-200-E-92, UN-216-E-92

Waste Management Unit	Not a Waste Management Unit	More Information Needed
○	◉	○

1. Does the unit receive uncontaminated rainwater runoff only? y ○ n ◉

IF YES, CHECK "NOT A WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT" ABOVE AND STOP. IF NO, GO TO 2.

A check in any "YES" box in the right column indicates the site is a waste management unit as defined in Section 3.1 of the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA). (Items 2 through 7 below correspond with the six waste management unit types found in the TPA definition.)

		YES	NO
2.	**Complete items 2.a through 2.f below to determine if the unit is a solid waste management unit (SWMU) as specified under WAC 173-303-040.**	○	◉
2.a.	Is the material at the unit a waste (i.e., a regulated waste or a discarded material, including garbage, refuse, sludge, construction/demolition debris, industrial/sanitary wastewater or other discarded solid, liquid, semisolid, or contained gas)? **IF NO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF YES, GO TO 2.b.**	y ○ n ◉	
2.b.	Is the waste from historical residental activities (i.e., not from industrial, commercial, mining, agricultural, or community activities)?	y ○ n ○	
2.c.	Is the unit an industrial wastewater point discharge permitted under the Clean Water Act (i.e., National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit)?	y ○ n ○	
2.d.	Does the waste consist ONLY of source, special nuclear, or byproduct material regulated by the Atomic Energy Act? **A YES TO ANY OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS (2.b.-2.d.) INDICATES THE SITE IS NOT A SWMU. IF SO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF ALL ARE NO, GO TO 2.e.**	y ○ n ○	
2.e.	Was the waste placed in a discernable unit (i.e., a landfill, surface impoundment, land treatment unit, waste pile, tank, container storage area, incinerator, injection well, wastewater treatment unit, waste recycling unit, or other physical, chemical, or biological treatment unit)? **IF YES, CHECK YES AND GO TO 3. IF NO, GO TO 2.f.**	y ○ n ○	
2.f.	Is the unit the result of routine and systematic discharges (i.e., areas receiving small but steady discharges over time from systematic human activity, such as from loading/unloading operations, solvent washing, industrial process sewer systems, etc.)? **IF YES, CHECK YES. IF NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 3.**	y ○ n ○	

RECEIVED
FEB 09 2005
EDMC

Site Code:	UPR-200-E-92	5/17/01

		YES	NO
3.	**Is the unit a waste disposal unit? (Complete items 3.a and 3.b below)**	○	◉
3.a.	Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the disposal of dangerous or mixed waste? y ○ n ◉		
3.b.	Have hazardous wastes or substances been disposed of in a burial ground, pit, pond, ditch, crib, trench, french drain, or land surface that is not subject to regulation as a RCRA disposal unit and may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact (e.g., radioactive waste disposal units, pre-RCRA units)? y ○ n ◉		
	IF EITHER IS YES, CHECK YES. IF BOTH ARE NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 4.		
4.	**Is the unit an unplanned release that has not been adequately cleaned up and represents a potential threat to human health or the environment (i.e., releases above CERCLA reportable quantities defined in 40 CFR 302.4; other hazardous substance releases, including petroleum, that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact)?**	○	◉
5.	**Is the unit an inactive, contaminated structure?**	○	◉
6.	**Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the treatment or storage of dangerous or mixed waste?**	○	◉
7.	**Is the unit another type of storage unit that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact (e.g., radioactive waste storage unit)?**	○	◉

Comments: The contaminated soil was removed in 1981 and the area restored to background levels. More recent contamination in this location and a larger are along the perimeter fence is accepted site 200-E-109. = Reject Consolidate

Clayton J. Wiers — 5/17/01
ERC Data Management Investigator — Date

Laura M. Dittmer — 8/3/2001
Regulatory Compliance Concurrence — Date

FOR SITES REQUIRING DOE-RL AND REGULATOR REVIEW PER SECTION 5.2 OF RL-TPA-90-0001, TPA-MP-14

Julia L. Valdez — 2/28/02
DOE-RL Concurrence — Date

John B. Price — 4/12/04
Lead Regulatory Agency Concurrence — Date