0544091

DISCOVERY SITE EVALUATION CHECKLIST

0057073

(To be completed by a member of ERC Data Management and included with the data package for a newly discovered potential waste management unit.)

Discovery Site ID Number: 4232

Site Alias(es): 600-251, Steel Pipe from RCRA General Inspection #LORIVFY97 Item #6

Waste Management Unit	Not a Waste Management Unit	More Information Needed
○	◉	○

1. Does the unit receive uncontaminated rainwater runoff only? y ○ n ◉

IF YES, CHECK "NOT A WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT" ABOVE AND STOP. IF NO, GO TO 2.

A check in any "YES" box below indicates the site is a waste management unit as defined in Section 3.1 of the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) and should be entered into WIDS. (Items 2 through 7 below correspond with the six waste management unit types found in the TPA definition.)

	YES	NO
	○	◉

2. Complete items 2.a through 2.f below to determine if the unit is a solid waste management unit (SWMU) as specified under Section 3004(u) of RCRA.

2.a. Is the material at the unit a waste? (i.e., a regulated waste or a discarded material, including garbage, refuse, sludge, construction/demolition debris, industrial/sanitary wastewater or other discarded solid, liquid, semisolid, or contained gas) y ◉ n ○

IF NO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF YES, GO TO 2.b.

2.b. Is the waste from historical residental activities? (i.e., not from industrial, commercial, mining, agricultural, or community activities) y ○ n ◉

2.c. Is the unit an industrial wastewater point discharge permitted under the Clean Water Act? (i.e., National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit) y ○ n ◉

2.d. Does the waste consist ONLY of source, special nuclear, or byproduct material regulated by the Atomic Energy Act? y ○ n ◉

A YES TO ANY OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS INDICATES THE SITE IS NOT A SWMU. IF SO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF ALL ARE NO,

2.e. Was the waste placed in a discernable unit? (i.e., a landfill, surface impoundment, land treatment unit, waste pile, tank, container storage area, incinerator, injection well, wastewater treatment unit, waste recycling unit, or other physical, chemical, or biological treatment unit) y ○ n ◉

IF YES, CHECK YES AND GO TO 3. IF NO, GO TO 2.f.

2.f. Is the unit the result of routine and systematic discharges? (i.e., areas receiving small but steady discharges over time from systematic human activity, such as from loading/unloading operations, solvent washing, industrial process sewer systems, etc.) y ○ n ◉

IF YES, CHECK YES. IF NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 3.

RECEIVED
APR 30 2002
EDMC

		YES	NO
3.	**Is the unit a waste disposal unit? (Complete items 3.a and 3.b below)**	○	◉
3.a.	Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the disposal of dangerous or mixed waste? y ○ n ◉		
3.b.	Have hazardous wastes or substances been disposed of in a burial ground, pit, pond, ditch, crib, trench, french drain, or land surface that is not subject to regulation as a RCRA disposal unit and may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact? (e.g., radioactive waste disposal units, pre-RCRA units) y ○ n ◉		
	IF EITHER IS YES, CHECK YES. IF BOTH ARE NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 4.		
4.	**Is the unit an unplanned release that has not been adequately cleaned up and represents a potential threat to human health or the environment? (i.e., releases above CERCLA reportable quantities defined in 40 CFR 302.4; other hazardous substance releases, including petroleum, that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact)**	○	◉
5.	**Is the unit an inactive, contaminated structure?**	○	◉
6.	**Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the treatment or storage of dangerous or mixed waste?**	○	◉
7.	**Is the unit another type of storage unit that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact? (e.g., radioactive waste storage unit)**	○	◉

Comments:

Timothy F. Johnson — 10/23/97
ERC Data Management Investigator — Date

Joseph P. Zoric — 10-23-97
Regulatory Compliance Concurrence — Date

FOR SITES REQUIRING DOE-RL AND REGULATOR REVIEW PER SECTION 5.2 OF RL-TPA-90-0001

Steven Burnum — 2/19/2002
DOE-RL Concurrence STEVEN BURNUM — Date

Larry Gadbois — 4-10-2002
Lead Regulatory Agency Concurrence Larry Gadbois, EPA — Date