

0074621

**Natural Resource Trustee Council  
Meeting Summary  
January 30, 31, February 1, 2006  
Portland, Oregon**

**Participants:**

<b>CTUIR</b>	<b>WDOE</b>
Barbara Harper	Larry Goldstein
	Beth Rochette
<b>NPT</b>	<b>WDFW</b>
Gabriel Bohnee	Lauri Vigue
Dan Landeen (telephone)	
Sandra Lilliaren (telephone)	
Stan Sobjek (telephone)	
<b>ODOE</b>	<b>YN</b>
Susan Hughs	Jay McConnaughey
Paul Shaffer	Brian Barry
<b>USFWS</b>	<b>USDOE</b>
Don Steffeck	Steve Wisness, RL
Lindsey Hayes	Dana Ward, RL
	Jamie Zeisloft, RL
<b>USEPA</b>	Peggy Nazarali, RL
Larry Gadbois	Woody Russell, ORP
	Jim Rasmussen, ORP

**RECEIVED**  
DEC 03 2007

**EDMC**

January 30, 2006

**Announcements:**

Larry Goldstein opened the meeting and introduced Jim Rasmussen's replacement, Woody Russell from ORP. There will also be a conference call with Mike Thompson on 1/31/06 on Congressional \$10M earmark for groundwater. (Handout)

Laurie Vigue will be leaving the council; she has accepted a new position within WDFW as a Watershed Steward for salmon recovery.

Larry Goldstein announced that Washington State intends to join the Yakama in the NRDA Area lawsuit. Washington will only participate in Claim II of that lawsuit. Oregon is still considering their options.

Susan Hughs announced a news release which contains a web site concerning a joint study of limited sediment samples taken on the Hanford Reach involving Ecology, Washington Department of Health and Mr. Norman Buske. The study focused the sampling of sediments for U-233 at D Island in the Hanford Reach of the Columbia River.

Dana Ward distributed the latest version of the NRTC Telephone, address and email list for corrections.

**Meeting Summary for November 15 – 17, 2005 Council Meeting**

Susan Hughs moved to adopt the meeting summary from last meeting and it was adopted.

**Review of Action Items from the 11/15-17/05 Meeting.**

Open action items, as described in the November 2005 meeting summary, were reviewed and closed as warranted. Those items remaining open, as well as new actions items established during the January 30 - February 1, 2006 meeting, are listed at the end of this summary.

**FY08 Funding Work Plan and Implementation. - All**

This agenda item focused on development of the FY 08 budget request for Trustee activities. The Trustees discussed the need for good justification and specificity in

developing the request. A review was done of past cost account plans, the \$1.7 million request for FY07 and the need to fund and finish all aspects of the data compilation.

Yakama Nation staff expressed interest in submittal of a request for injury assessment activities in the \$10 million range to cover studies previously scoped in the task two cost account plan. Both the State of Washington and USDOE stated that the matter is the subject of litigation.

Some Trustees were interested in the fate of the carry-over money from FY05. S. Wisness stated that these funds are typically encumbered.

The Trustees discussed projected work to be conducted for the River Corridor and Central Plateau which will affect Trustee activities and the budget request.

The Trustees reviewed the FY07 budget request and what should be done if partial or no funding is received from the President's budget. It was determined that if the trustees get the \$1.7 million requested that \$2.8 million would be an appropriate follow-up request. If less than \$1.7 M is received an adjustment will be needed to the FY08 budget request. Yakama Nation staff asked whether the proposed FY08 funds would be within target or another above target request. USDOE stated that hopefully it would be within target but was unable to provide a definitive answer. It was then determined that a teleconference should be conducted on February 14, 2006 (Action Item # 97 ) to discuss the steps forward after the President's budget is made public. This teleconference would also prepare the Trustees to brief their Senior Managers on the status of the Senior Trustee's meeting on March 9, 2006.

Larry Goldstein volunteered to update the Project Management Plan Cost Accounts to reflect the budget request and then send that draft to D. Steffek, P. Shaffer, B. Harper , S. Wisness and D. Ward for review. The amount of the request will be contingent on what the President's budget contains.

The information below is from a white board. The FY07 and above target figures are previously determined values. The figures under the heading FY08 are projected funding levels needed to fund tasks in FY08.

<b>Target</b>	<b>FY 07</b>	<b>↑ Above Target</b>	<b>FY08</b>
HNRTC	\$250	-----	
Matrix	200	300	1M
ERA Integration		500	500
DOE Support	200	-----	

Admin. Record	-----	50	----
Tech. Assistance	<u>200</u>	<u>800</u>	<u>1.3M</u>
Totals:	850	1.7M	2.8M

## **January 31, 2006**

### **Senior Trustee Meeting Discussions – All**

The Trustees discussed the benefits of the last Senior Trustee meeting in 2005. It was decided that there should be a small number of priority topics for the March meeting. It was determined that four areas of discussion would be placed on the Senior Trustees agenda.

1. What's working
2. What's not working
3. FY07-08 Budget
4. Integration of injury, risk, restoration & cleanup

The Trustees compiled a list of what is working and what is not working for use by the Senior Trustees:

#### What is working?

1. Action Plans
2. Frank discussion on difficult or complex topics
3. 100/300 area risk assessment process collaboration
4. Funding for data Gap analysis workshop useful
5. DOE is taking Trustees more seriously
6. Trustees providing more and better technical assistance

#### What is not working?

1. Budget and schedules drive cleanup decisions
2. Integration of injury assessments and restoration into cleanup
3. Site wide risk integration effort collapsed
4. How do we build a budget process over the years – rejected for not enough details, wrong format, wrong timing, - give us something that works.
5. Lack of Independent Review

6. Chairman/Vice Chairman selection problems and the Finding on Reference Sites not being completed.
7. No consistency within DOE on how to define/interpret NRDA – bring up how to resolve

The individual Trustees will inform their senior representatives of the agenda items discussed at this Council meeting in preparation for the March 9, 2006 meeting. The draft agenda topics will be added to the letter being sent by Keith Klein to invite the senior representatives to the Senior Trustee Meeting (Action Item # 98).

### **Chromium – Jamie Zeisloft**

Jamie Zeisloft reviewed current information on chromium. The Trustees would like to see outside experts involved in the proposed workshop. It was suggested that NOAA be requested to acquire the support of outside experts for the workshop. (Action Item # 99). It was also suggested that a Ridolfi representative, under the current contract with NOAA, be present at the March Council meeting to discuss what chromium information they might have relative to the data gap collection work they have been doing. The document titled Recommended Chromium/Salmon Documents for Study Plan will be sent to the Trustees (Action Item #100).

Larry Gadbois recommended that the Trustees review two important documents he is aware of on chromium. One is a 1975 US Fish and Wildlife Service report and the other is an EPA water quality document from around 1990. Some discussion centered around getting US Geological Survey involved in work at Hanford. There was some talk on the importance of peer reviews and DOE is doing this now for some specific actions.

The following items should be considered in the March 14 Chromium Workshop.

- 100 D Area chromium sources
- The new chromium plume at K-West and what remedies are being considered
- Updates to pump and treat at K, D, and H Reactor Areas
- Chromium at other reactors
- M-24 milestone to install wells at 100 D Area

### **Action Plans - All**

#### **How We Govern Ourselves (Governance) – Vigue, Harper, Hughs, Ward**

The most recent handout was distributed to the Trustees for review. Lauri and Susan reviewed the handout and took suggestions on the revision of the “Issues Manager” and “No Surprises” policies. Susan will transition into the lead for future actions involving the How We Govern Ourselves working group since Lauri will soon be leaving for her new position. Much discussion on proposed Collaborative Problem Solving training ensued. Lauri will arrange a telephone conference with the training instructor for our next

Council meeting in March (Action Item # 101). It is proposed that possibly a training day could be arranged for in the summer.

There was discussion on decision-making and whether the council should operate under consensus, consensus-minus one or majority. Any decision by the council would require amending the MOA.

It was determined that the latest Finding (06-01, Reference Sites) needed attention and the group discussed the failure of getting enough votes and the possibility that some faxed votes did not get through the electronic system. It was determined that an extension was necessary and it was agreed to that February 14<sup>th</sup> would be the last day to vote (Action Item # 102).

Discussion also occurred on Resolutions 06-01 and 06-02. The Yakama Nation representative stated that it was his understanding that the Yakama Nation objected to Resolution 06-01, and that it was faxed on the last day of the deadline. The Chair stated that he had not received a vote from the Yakama Nation on this matter and stated that the outcome of the vote on 06-01 was 5 in support and 2 abstentions and on Resolution 06-02 the outcome was 6 in support and 1 abstention.

February 1, 2006

### **Action Plans – All (continued)**

#### **Strategies and Priorities – Paul Shaffer**

Paul reviewed certain sections of the work plan. There was some concern on particular words within the plan. It is suggested that new wording be placed in the plan that would be acceptable to all Trustees. Section 4 of Strategies and Priorities will take some rewriting. Dates will be removed from the plan to reflect the ongoing nature of the actions. Sections of the plan related to issue managers will be moved over to How We Govern Ourselves. Paul will work with that group to reconcile differences in language between the two draft action plans regarding issue managers. Paul will make changes to the plan and get it ready for the next Council meeting in March.

Baseline strategy was discussed by all and Larry Gadbois recommended that previous work done by John Price, Ecology be obtained. Larry recommended that three elements be considered in the baseline strategy: 1) layout Tri-Party Agreement schedule, 2) layout NRTC actions, and 3) Project plans and budgets.

Paul Shaffer, with assistance from Larry Goldstein, will develop a first draft of a Trustee baseline. This action represents a step toward implementation of the S&P Action Plan, and will be separate from finalization of the Action Plan. To develop the draft, Paul and Larry need a copy of the River Corridor Baseline document (Action Item # 103). It was also suggested that a copy of the Richland Operations Office baseline be obtained if possible (Action Item # 104).

### Integration Action Plan – Jay McConnaughey

Jay reviewed efforts to develop the action plan. There have been two conference calls to work out a draft framework. D. Steffek wrote the first draft. A goals statement is being developed. Additional work and information is needed to develop wording in the action plan concerning budget, legal issues, and definitions. Jay was optimistic that a draft will be completed by the March 15<sup>th</sup> Trustee meeting.

### Resources and Budget Action Plan – Larry Goldstein

Larry reviewed the Resources and Budget handout with the group. Minimal editing is necessary at this time. It was recommended that dates be removed from the budget section to reflect the ongoing nature of the actions. The wording on “tolling agreement” should be moved into the Integration work plan. It was also recommended that the last section concerning DOE’s reluctance to fund be rewritten and new wording added for the funding sources.

### **Congressional \$10 million Earmark – Mike Thompson**

Mike Thompson reviewed the status of \$10M special funding from Congress for groundwater remedial projects.

The Trustees reviewed the proposed list of projects and budgets. The list contains 10 technologies that will be put into place or continued at Hanford to slow or prevent contaminants from migrating to the river. The Trustees are concerned about sufficient input into the review process by stakeholders. Mike explained that some proposed actions will need additional stakeholder (Trustee) involvement while other actions are on a fast track and are already in the works.

There was a discussion on the importance and benefit of a peer review process. It was recommended that DOE work with stakeholders for input on the peer review. Mike stated that Bryan Looney from Savannah River Site will be a Technical Advisor and will arrange for experts for technical review of the 10 proposed projects. Their task will be to determine if the 10 technologies have merit for funding. Some technologies will have an expedited peer review.

Mike will be going to Washington D. C. the week of February 6<sup>th</sup> to work with Headquarters staff on the merits of the 10 technologies to meet the intent of the Congressional earmark. Mike will get back to the Council members on a teleconference February 13, 2006 (Action Item # 105). In addition, he will try to get information concerning the \$10 million on the groundwater-vadose zone web site by Friday, February 3, 2006.

### Future HNRTC Meetings

The next meeting is scheduled for March 15 and 16, 2006, in Richland. The third meeting to be held this year is scheduled for June 7 and 8, 2006 also in Richland.

### ACTION ITEMS 1/30/200– 2/1/2006 HNRTC MEETING

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
2.	<p>WEB SITE:</p> <p>a) Update general information on Web page – D.Ward</p> <p>b) Review update, comment to D.Ward ASAP</p> <p>c) General Review by Trustees, comment to DWard</p> <p>d) Add ERA participation and link to BHI ERA website – J. Zeisloft</p> <p>e) Work Group to Update (SH, LV, BH, DS)</p> <p>ACTION: SH, LV, BH, DS</p>	<p>9/11/03</p> <p>12/1/04</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>4<sup>th</sup> Qtr 04</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>ongoing – review by Trustees</p>
9.	<p>Provide Dana Ward updates to mailing list, especially individuals who need to be copied on information. Update the e-mail list and keep mailing list current.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Routine update at HNRTC meetings</li> </ul> <p>ACTION: Dana Ward</p>	<p>9/8/04</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
30.	<p>Provide Ray (Austin) Johnson biological database electronically</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirm completion</li> <li>• Confirm completion – D. Ward</li> <li>• Obtain CD of last update</li> <li>• Brief Council next meeting – J. Dorian</li> </ul> <p>ACTION: D. Ward</p>	<p>12/2/04</p> <p>2/23/05</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
52.	<p>Forward May 26, 2005 ERWG meeting summary notes</p> <p>ACTION: D.Steffeck</p>	<p>5/25/05, 9/7/05,</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
71.	<p>HNRTC Strategic Planning Action Plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How we govern ourselves: S. Hughs, B. Harper, D. Ward</li> <li>• Timeliness, effective, having an impact: P. Shaffer, M. Baker, S. Wisness (RL support)</li> </ul> <p>NOTE: moved into other action plans 11/17/05</p>		<p>Ongoing</p>

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budget/Resources: L. Goldstein, D. Steffek, J. Price, S. Wisness</li> <li>• Strategy and Priorities: P. Shaffer, L. Goldstein</li> <li>• NRDA and Integration: D. Landeen, J. McConnaughey, L. Vigue, B. Harper, D. Steffek</li> </ul> <p>a) Conference call September 29 @ 10:00am  b) Draft Action Plans for November Mtg to council members for review by October 14  c) Revise plans per Nov meeting input – to council 12/9/05  d) Revise plans for March meeting</p> <p>ACTION: c) Team Leads</p>	<p>9/7/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/17/05</p> <p>1/31/06</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
72.	<p>Governor's Conf call questionnaire – provide 17 questions w/answers to members</p> <p>ACTION: S. Wisness, L. Goldstein</p>	<p>9/7/05, 11/17/05</p>	Ongoing
75.	<p>Workshop on state of Cr in the environment tied in with November HNRTC meeting (November 16 am)</p> <p>Expanded scope, postponed until January</p> <p>ACTION: J. Zeisloft, D. Ward</p>	<p>9/8/05 11/16/05</p>	Ongoing
76.	<p>Reference Site Finding</p> <p>a)final comments to P. Shaffer  b) DOE comments to trustees electronically  c) Conference call, September 19 @ 2:00 pm  d) Finalize: revise per 11/16/05, draft cover letter, submit to council, review, final to chair for signature</p> <p>ACTION: d) J. McConnaughey, P. Shaffer, B. Harper</p>	<p>9/8/05 9/8/05 9/8/05 11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Complete, needs vote</p>
80.	<p>Provide work plan schedules for CP and GW Remediation to trustees</p> <p>ACTION: S. Wisness</p>	<p>9/8/05, 11/16/05</p>	Ongoing will continue to provide info.
84.	<p>Senior Trustee Meeting:</p> <p>a) Council members provide best options for date of Sr. Trustee Meeting and DOE will finalize and send formal invitations  b) Consider Risk Integration as agenda item for meeting</p> <p>ACTION: HNRTC, D. Ward</p>	<p>11/16/05 11/16/05</p>	ltrs. are ready to go out. Change date to later this week.
97.	<p>Teleconference on FY08 budget submittal</p> <p>ACTION: P. Nazarali</p>	1/30/06	
98.	<p>Modify Klein invitation letter to include agenda for the Senior Trustee Meeting on March 9, 2006</p> <p>ACTION: D. Ward</p>	1/31/06	
99.	<p>Through the DOE-NOAA contract invite outside</p>	1/31/06	

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
	experts to the chromium workshop. ACTION: D. Ward		
100.	Chromium documents to be furnished to the Trustees. ACTION: J. Zeisloft	1/31/06	
101.	Telephone conference call with instructor of Collaborative Problem Solving to answer Trustee questions. ACTION: L. Vigue	1/31/06	
102.	Response to Finding 06-01 Reference Sites is due to the Chair. ACTION: L. Goldstein	1/31/06	
103.	Copy of the River Corridor Baseline document to L. Goldstein and P. Shaffer. ACTION: S. Wisness, J. Zeisloft	2/1/06	
104.	Copy of the Richland Operations Office baseline to L. Goldstein and P. Shaffer. ACTION: S. Wisness, D. Ward	2/1/06	
105.	Teleconference concerning groundwater and \$10M earmark. ACTION: P. Nazarali	1/31/06	
106.	Bring site map to all Council meetings. ACTION: P. Nazarali	1/31/06	On going



January 30, 2006

**Announcements:**

Larry Goldstein opened the meeting and introduced Jim Rasmussen's replacement, Woody Russell from ORP. There will also be a conference call with Mike Thompson on 1/31/06 on Congressional \$10M earmark for groundwater. (Handout)

Laurie Vigue will be leaving the council; she has accepted a new position within WDFW as a Watershed Steward for salmon recovery.

Larry Goldstein announced that Washington State intends to join the Yakama in the NRDA Area lawsuit. Washington will only participate in Claim II of that lawsuit. Oregon is still considering their options.

Susan Hughs announced a news release which contains a web site concerning a joint study of limited sediment samples taken on the Hanford Reach involving Ecology, Washington Department of Health and Mr. Norman Buske. The study focused the sampling of sediments for U-233 at D Island in the Hanford Reach of the Columbia River.

Dana Ward distributed the latest version of the NRTC Telephone, address and email list for corrections.

**Meeting Summary for November 15 – 17, 2005 Council Meeting**

Susan Hughs moved to adopt the meeting summary from last meeting and it was adopted.

**Review of Action Items from the 11/15-17/05 Meeting.**

Open action items, as described in the November 2005 meeting summary, were reviewed and closed as warranted. Those items remaining open, as well as new actions items established during the January 30 - February 1, 2006 meeting, are listed at the end of this summary.

**FY08 Funding Work Plan and Implementation. - All**

This agenda item focused on development of the FY 08 budget request for Trustee activities. The Trustees discussed the need for good justification and specificity in

developing the request. A review was done of past cost account plans, the \$1.7 million request for FY07 and the need to fund and finish all aspects of the data compilation.

Yakama Nation staff expressed interest in submittal of a request for injury assessment activities in the \$10 million range to cover studies previously scoped in the task two cost account plan. Both the State of Washington and USDOE stated that the matter is the subject of litigation.

Some Trustees were interested in the fate of the carry-over money from FY05. S. Wisness stated that these funds are typically encumbered.

The Trustees discussed projected work to be conducted for the River Corridor and Central Plateau which will affect Trustee activities and the budget request.

The Trustees reviewed the FY07 budget request and what should be done if partial or no funding is received from the President's budget. It was determined that if the trustees get the \$1.7 million requested that \$2.8 million would be an appropriate follow-up request. If less than \$1.7 M is received an adjustment will be needed to the FY08 budget request. Yakama Nation staff asked whether the proposed FY08 funds would be within target or another above target request. USDOE stated that hopefully it would be within target but was unable to provide a definitive answer. It was then determined that a teleconference should be conducted on February 14, 2006 (Action Item # 97 ) to discuss the steps forward after the President's budget is made public. This teleconference would also prepare the Trustees to brief their Senior Managers on the status of the Senior Trustee's meeting on March 9, 2006.

Larry Goldstein volunteered to update the Project Management Plan Cost Accounts to reflect the budget request and then send that draft to D. Steffek, P. Shaffer, B. Harper , S. Wisness and D. Ward for review. The amount of the request will be contingent on what the President's budget contains.

The information below is from a white board. The FY07 and above target figures are previously determined values. The figures under the heading FY08 are projected funding levels needed to fund tasks in FY08.

<b>Target</b>	<b>FY 07</b>	<b>↑ Above Target</b>	<b>FY08</b>
HNRTC	\$250	-----	
Matrix	200	300	1M
ERA Integration		500	500
DOE Support	200	-----	

Admin. Record	-----	50	----
Tech. Assistance	<u>200</u>	<u>800</u>	<u>1.3M</u>
Totals:	850	1.7M	2.8M

**January 31, 2006**

**Senior Trustee Meeting Discussions – All**

The Trustees discussed the benefits of the last Senior Trustee meeting in 2005. It was decided that there should be a small number of priority topics for the March meeting. It was determined that four areas of discussion would be placed on the Senior Trustees agenda.

1. What's working
2. What's not working
3. FY07-08 Budget
4. Integration of injury, risk, restoration & cleanup

The Trustees compiled a list of what is working and what is not working for use by the Senior Trustees:

What is working?

1. Action Plans
2. Frank discussion on difficult or complex topics
3. 100/300 area risk assessment process collaboration
4. Funding for data Gap analysis workshop useful
5. DOE is taking Trustees more seriously
6. Trustees providing more and better technical assistance

What is not working?

1. Budget and schedules drive cleanup decisions
2. Integration of injury assessments and restoration into cleanup
3. Site wide risk integration effort collapsed
4. How do we build a budget process over the years – rejected for not enough details, wrong format, wrong timing, - give us something that works.
5. Lack of Independent Review

6. Chairman/Vice Chairman selection problems and the Finding on Reference Sites not being completed.
7. No consistency within DOE on how to define/interpret NRDA – bring up how to resolve

The individual Trustees will inform their senior representatives of the agenda items discussed at this Council meeting in preparation for the March 9, 2006 meeting. The draft agenda topics will be added to the letter being sent by Keith Klein to invite the senior representatives to the Senior Trustee Meeting (Action Item # 98).

### **Chromium – Jamie Zeisloft**

Jamie Zeisloft reviewed current information on chromium. The Trustees would like to see outside experts involved in the proposed workshop. It was suggested that NOAA be requested to acquire the support of outside experts for the workshop. (Action Item # 99). It was also suggested that a Ridolfi representative, under the current contract with NOAA, be present at the March Council meeting to discuss what chromium information they might have relative to the data gap collection work they have been doing. The document titled Recommended Chromium/Salmon Documents for Study Plan will be sent to the Trustees (Action Item #100).

Larry Gadbois recommended that the Trustees review two important documents he is aware of on chromium. One is a 1975 US Fish and Wildlife Service report and the other is an EPA water quality document from around 1990. Some discussion centered around getting US Geological Survey involved in work at Hanford. There was some talk on the importance of peer reviews and DOE is doing this now for some specific actions.

The following items should be considered in the March 14 Chromium Workshop.

- 100 D Area chromium sources
- The new chromium plume at K-West and what remedies are being considered
- Updates to pump and treat at K, D, and H Reactor Areas
- Chromium at other reactors
- M-24 milestone to install wells at 100 D Area

### **Action Plans - All**

#### **How We Govern Ourselves (Governance) – Vigue, Harper, Hughs, Ward**

The most recent handout was distributed to the Trustees for review. Lauri and Susan reviewed the handout and took suggestions on the revision of the “Issues Manager” and “No Surprises” policies. Susan will transition into the lead for future actions involving the How We Govern Ourselves working group since Lauri will soon be leaving for her new position. Much discussion on proposed Collaborative Problem Solving training ensued. Lauri will arrange a telephone conference with the training instructor for our next

Council meeting in March (Action Item # 101). It is proposed that possibly a training day could be arranged for in the summer.

There was discussion on decision-making and whether the council should operate under consensus, consensus-minus one or majority. Any decision by the council would require amending the MOA.

It was determined that the latest Finding (06-01, Reference Sites) needed attention and the group discussed the failure of getting enough votes and the possibility that some faxed votes did not get through the electronic system. It was determined that an extension was necessary and it was agreed to that February 14<sup>th</sup> would be the last day to vote (Action Item # 102).

Discussion also occurred on Resolutions 06-01 and 06-02. The Yakama Nation representative stated that it was his understanding that the Yakama Nation objected to Resolution 06-01, and that it was faxed on the last day of the deadline. The Chair stated that he had not received a vote from the Yakama Nation on this matter and stated that the outcome of the vote on 06-01 was 5 in support and 2 abstentions and on Resolution 06-02 the outcome was 6 in support and 1 abstention.

February 1, 2006

### **Action Plans – All (continued)**

#### **Strategies and Priorities – Paul Shaffer**

Paul reviewed certain sections of the work plan. There was some concern on particular words within the plan. It is suggested that new wording be placed in the plan that would be acceptable to all Trustees. Section 4 of Strategies and Priorities will take some rewriting. Dates will be removed from the plan to reflect the ongoing nature of the actions. Sections of the plan related to issue managers will be moved over to How We Govern Ourselves. Paul will work with that group to reconcile differences in language between the two draft action plans regarding issue managers. Paul will make changes to the plan and get it ready for the next Council meeting in March.

Baseline strategy was discussed by all and Larry Gadbois recommended that previous work done by John Price, Ecology be obtained. Larry recommended that three elements be considered in the baseline strategy: 1) layout Tri-Party Agreement schedule, 2) layout NRTC actions, and 3) Project plans and budgets.

Paul Shaffer, with assistance from Larry Goldstein, will develop a first draft of a Trustee baseline. This action represents a step toward implementation of the S&P Action Plan, and will be separate from finalization of the Action Plan. To develop the draft, Paul and Larry need a copy of the River Corridor Baseline document (Action Item # 103). It was also suggested that a copy of the Richland Operations Office baseline be obtained if possible (Action Item # 104).

### Integration Action Plan – Jay McConnaughey

Jay reviewed efforts to develop the action plan. There have been two conference calls to work out a draft framework. D. Steffeck wrote the first draft. A goals statement is being developed. Additional work and information is needed to develop wording in the action plan concerning budget, legal issues, and definitions. Jay was optimistic that a draft will be completed by the March 15<sup>th</sup> Trustee meeting.

### Resources and Budget Action Plan – Larry Goldstein

Larry reviewed the Resources and Budget handout with the group. Minimal editing is necessary at this time. It was recommended that dates be removed from the budget section to reflect the ongoing nature of the actions. The wording on “tolling agreement” should be moved into the Integration work plan. It was also recommended that the last section concerning DOE’s reluctance to fund be rewritten and new wording added for the funding sources.

### Congressional \$10 million Earmark – Mike Thompson

Mike Thompson reviewed the status of \$10M special funding from Congress for groundwater remedial projects.

The Trustees reviewed the proposed list of projects and budgets. The list contains 10 technologies that will be put into place or continued at Hanford to slow or prevent contaminants from migrating to the river. The Trustees are concerned about sufficient input into the review process by stakeholders. Mike explained that some proposed actions will need additional stakeholder (Trustee) involvement while other actions are on a fast track and are already in the works.

There was a discussion on the importance and benefit of a peer review process. It was recommended that DOE work with stakeholders for input on the peer review. Mike stated that Bryan Looney from Savannah River Site will be a Technical Advisor and will arrange for experts for technical review of the 10 proposed projects. Their task will be to determine if the 10 technologies have merit for funding. Some technologies will have an expedited peer review.

Mike will be going to Washington D. C. the week of February 6<sup>th</sup> to work with Headquarters staff on the merits of the 10 technologies to meet the intent of the Congressional earmark. Mike will get back to the Council members on a teleconference February 13, 2006 (Action Item # 105). In addition, he will try to get information concerning the \$10 million on the groundwater-vadose zone web site by Friday, February 3, 2006.

**Future HNRTC Meetings**

The next meeting is scheduled for March 15 and 16, 2006, in Richland. The third meeting to be held this year is scheduled for June 7 and 8, 2006 also in Richland.

**ACTION ITEMS  
1/30/200– 2/1/2006  
HNRTC MEETING**

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
2.	<p>WEB SITE:</p> <p>a) Update general information on Web page – D.Ward</p> <p>b) Review update, comment to D.Ward ASAP</p> <p>c) General Review by Trustees, comment to DWard</p> <p>d) Add ERA participation and link to BHI ERA website – J. Zeisloft</p> <p>e) Work Group to Update (SH, LV, BH, DS)</p> <p>ACTION: SH, LV, BH, DS</p>	<p>9/11/03</p> <p>12/1/04</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>4<sup>th</sup> Qtr 04</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>ongoing – review by Trustees</p>
9.	<p>Provide Dana Ward updates to mailing list, especially individuals who need to be copied on information. Update the e-mail list and keep mailing list current.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Routine update at HNRTC meetings</li> </ul> <p>ACTION: Dana Ward</p>	<p>9/8/04</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
30.	<p>Provide Ray (Austin) Johnson biological database electronically</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confirm completion</li> <li>• Confirm completion – D. Ward</li> <li>• Obtain CD of last update</li> <li>• Brief Council next meeting – J. Dorian</li> </ul> <p>ACTION: D. Ward</p>	<p>12/2/04</p> <p>2/23/05</p> <p>5/25/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
52.	<p>Forward May 26, 2005 ERWG meeting summary notes</p> <p>ACTION: D.Steffeck</p>	<p>5/25/05, 9/7/05, 11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
71.	<p>HNRTC Strategic Planning Action Plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How we govern ourselves: S. Hughs, B. Harper, D. Ward</li> <li>• Timeliness, effective, having an impact: P. Shaffer, M. Baker, S. Wisness (RL support)</li> </ul> <p>NOTE: moved into other action plans 11/17/05</p>		<p>Ongoing</p>

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budget/Resources: L. Goldstein, D. Steffek, J. Price, S. Wisness</li> <li>• Strategy and Priorities: P. Shaffer, L. Goldstein</li> <li>• NRDA and Integration: D. Landeen, J. McConnaughey, L. Vigue, B. Harper, D. Steffek</li> </ul> <p>a) Conference call September 29 @ 10:00am  b) Draft Action Plans for November Mtg to council members for review by October 14  c) Revise plans per Nov meeting input – to council 12/9/05  d) Revise plans for March meeting</p> <p>ACTION: c) Team Leads</p>	<p>9/7/05</p> <p>9/7/05</p> <p>11/17/05</p> <p>1/31/06</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
72.	<p>Governor's Conf call questionnaire – provide 17 questions w/answers to members</p> <p>ACTION: S. Wisness, L. Goldstein</p>	<p>9/7/05, 11/17/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
75.	<p>Workshop on state of Cr in the environment tied in with November HNRTC meeting (November 16 am)</p> <p>Expanded scope, postponed until January</p> <p>ACTION: J. Zeisloft, D. Ward</p>	<p>9/8/05 11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>
76.	<p>Reference Site Finding</p> <p>a)final comments to P. Shaffer  b) DOE comments to trustees electronically  c) Conference call, September 19 @ 2:00 pm  d) Finalize: revise per 11/16/05, draft cover letter, submit to council, review, final to chair for signature</p> <p>ACTION: d) J. McConnaughey, P. Shaffer, B. Harper</p>	<p>9/8/05 9/8/05 9/8/05 11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Complete, needs vote</p>
80.	<p>Provide work plan schedules for CP and GW Remediation to trustees</p> <p>ACTION: S. Wisness</p>	<p>9/8/05, 11/16/05</p>	<p>Ongoing will continue to provide info.</p>
84.	<p>Senior Trustee Meeting:</p> <p>a) Council members provide best options for date of Sr. Trustee Meeting and DOE will finalize and send formal invitations  b) Consider Risk Integration as agenda item for meeting</p> <p>ACTION: HNRTC, D. Ward</p>	<p>11/16/05 11/16/05</p>	<p>ltrs. are ready to go out. Change date to later this week.</p>
97.	<p>Teleconference on FY08 budget submittal</p> <p>ACTION: P. Nazarali</p>	<p>1/30/06</p>	
98.	<p>Modify Klein invitation letter to include agenda for the Senior Trustee Meeting on March 9, 2006</p> <p>ACTION: D. Ward</p>	<p>1/31/06</p>	
99.	<p>Through the DOE-NOAA contract invite outside</p>	<p>1/31/06</p>	

	ASSIGNEE / ACTION	Date Assigned	Date Completed
	experts to the chromium workshop. ACTION: D. Ward		
100.	Chromium documents to be furnished to the Trustees. ACTION: J. Zeisloft	1/31/06	
101.	Telephone conference call with instructor of Collaborative Problem Solving to answer Trustee questions. ACTION: L. Vigue	1/31/06	
102.	Response to Finding 06-01 Reference Sites is due to the Chair. ACTION: L. Goldstein	1/31/06	
103.	Copy of the River Corridor Baseline document to L. Goldstein and P. Shaffer. ACTION: S. Wisness, J. Zeisloft	2/1/06	
104.	Copy of the Richland Operations Office baseline to L. Goldstein and P. Shaffer. ACTION: S. Wisness, D. Ward	2/1/06	
105.	Teleconference concerning groundwater and \$10M earmark. ACTION: P. Nazarali	1/31/06	
106.	Bring site map to all Council meetings. ACTION: P. Nazarali	1/31/06	On going