0521029

DISCOVERY SITE EVALUATION CHECKLIST

(To be completed by a member of ERC Data Management and included with the data package for a newly discovered potential waste management unit.)

00533246

Discovery Site ID Number: 4218

Site Alias(es): 1100-14, Gravel Pit #2

Waste Management Unit	Not a Waste Management Unit	More Information Needed
( )	(●)	( )

1. Does the unit receive uncontaminated rainwater runoff only? y ( ) n (●)

IF YES, CHECK "NOT A WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT" ABOVE AND STOP. IF NO, GO TO 2.

A check in any "YES" box below indicates the site is a waste management unit as defined in Section 3.1 of the Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) and should be entered into WIDS. (Items 2 through 7 below correspond with the six waste management unit types found in the TPA definition.)	YES	NO
	(●)	( )
2. Complete items 2.a through 2.f below to determine if the unit is a solid waste management unit (SWMU) as specified under Section 3004(u) of RCRA.		
2.a. Is the material at the unit a waste? (i.e., a regulated waste or a discarded material, including garbage, refuse, sludge, construction/demolition debris, industrial/sanitary wastewater or other discarded solid, liquid, semisolid, or contained gas) **IF NO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF YES, GO TO 2.b.**	y (●) n ( )	
2.b. Is the waste from historical residental activities? (i.e., not from industrial, commercial, mining, agricultural, or community activities)	y ( ) n (●)	
2.c. Is the unit an industrial wastewater point discharge permitted under the Clean Water Act? (i.e., National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit)	y ( ) n (●)	
2.d. Does the waste consist ONLY of source, special nuclear, or byproduct material regulated by the Atomic Energy Act? **A YES TO ANY OF THE ABOVE QUESTIONS INDICATES THE SITE IS NOT A SWMU. IF SO, CHECK NO AND GO TO 3. IF ALL ARE NO,**	y ( ) n (●)	
2.e. Was the waste placed in a discernable unit? (i.e., a landfill, surface impoundment, land treatment unit, waste pile, tank, container storage area, incinerator, injection well, wastewater treatment unit, waste recycling unit, or other physical, chemical, or biological treatment unit) **IF YES, CHECK YES AND GO TO 3. IF NO, GO TO 2.f.**	y (●) n ( )	
2.f. Is the unit the result of routine and systematic discharges? (i.e., areas receiving small but steady discharges over time from systematic human activity, such as from loading/unloading operations, solvent washing, industrial process sewer systems, etc.) **IF YES, CHECK YES. IF NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 3.**	y ( ) n ( )	

RECEIVED
JUN 19 2000
EDMC

		YES	NO
3.	**Is the unit a waste disposal unit? (Complete items 3.a and 3.b below)**	(●)	( )
3.a.	Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the disposal of dangerous or mixed waste? y ( ) n (●)		
3.b.	Have hazardous wastes or substances been disposed of in a burial ground, pit, pond, ditch, crib, trench, french drain, or land surface that is not subject to regulation as a RCRA disposal unit and may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact? (e.g., radioactive waste disposal units, pre-RCRA units) y (●) n ( )		
	IF EITHER IS YES, CHECK YES. IF BOTH ARE NO, CHECK NO. GO TO 4.		
4.	**Is the unit an unplanned release that has not been adequately cleaned up and represents a potential threat to human health or the environment? (i.e., releases above CERCLA reportable quantities defined in 40 CFR 302.4; other hazardous substance releases, including petroleum, that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact)**	( )	(●)
5.	**Is the unit an inactive, contaminated structure?**	( )	(●)
6.	**Does the unit require a RCRA permit for the treatment or storage of dangerous or mixed waste?**	( )	(●)
7.	**Is the unit another type of storage unit that may require action to mitigate a potential environmental impact? (e.g., radioactive waste storage unit)**	( )	(●)

Comments: Although debris and paint has been disposed of in this pit, the pit and its contents were evaluated in the 1100-EM-1 Operable Unit investigations. A ROD exists that states no further action is required.

Chris Webb — 9-21-97

ERC Data Management Investigator — Date

Joseph V. Zoric — 1-19-98

Regulatory Compliance Concurrence — Date

FOR SITES REQUIRING DOE-RL AND REGULATOR REVIEW PER SECTION 5.2 OF RL-TPA-90-0001

Steven Bierman — 5/09/2000

DOE-RL Concurrence — Date

David R. Einan — 5/9/2000

Lead Regulatory Agency Concurrence — Date